



KU LEUVEN

Department of Computer Science DistriNet Research Unit | M-Group

ing. Gregory De Ruyter gregory.deruyter@kuleuven.be

Supervisorprof. Hans HallezCo-supervisorsprof. Bart Vanrumsteprof. Mathias Verbeke



µDistriNAS

BRUGGE

Multi-objective Neural Architecture Search for Distributed Neural Networks on Constrained Devices

Q Problem statement

Machine learning on the edge has several advantages over the traditional cloud-centric approach. **Edge computing** reduces the pressure on the network, decreases communication latency and takes away the possible privacy issues.

The distributed edge environment and constraints of individual devices, however, make the design and deployment of **machine learning** models, especially deep learning models, more **challenging**.

Our framework, µDistriNAS, addresses this challenge by automating the neural architecture design process while considering the constraints of the distributed edge devices and leveraging the collective computational resources available.



🕅 Methodology

µDistriNAS uses **evolutionary search** to explore the search space and keep a population of possible neural network architectures. In addition to the model performance, it uses **multiple search objectives** that are related to the constraints of the distributed edge environment to guide the search. Rather than combining these different objectives into one search objective, a diverse set of non-dominated solutions is maintained that ideally approximates the **Pareto front**.



Search objectives

Multiple search objectives are utilized to optimize with respect to the resource constraints for the individual edge devices, as well as the constraints from the edge network and the application itself.

Constraints

Per-device constraints

- FLASH memory
- SRAM memory
- Energy consumption
- **Application constraints**
- Minimal model performance
- Minimal application latency
- = inference + communication latency

Network constraints

• Limited bandwidth

Search objectives

Per-device objectives (evaluated for each model partition)

- FLASH usage \rightarrow model size
- SRAM usage \rightarrow peak activations size
- Energy consumption \rightarrow number of multiply-accumulate operations
- Inference latency → number of multiply-accumulate operations

General objectives (evaluated for the entire model)

- Communication latency \rightarrow number of hops
- Bandwidth usage \rightarrow size of exchanged deep features
- Model performance \rightarrow validation loss on prediction task

CO Future work

Experimentation and ablation study

Further experimentation on multivariate time series and image datasets from literature

Exploration of other neural architectural elements

Include architectural elements from state-of-the-art and mobile neural nets (such as skip connections, different convolution types, ...) in the search space to achieve a higher model performance and a lower footprint.

Integration of extisting models

Explore how to integrate pre-trained models to optimize the execution time of the NAS.