

. . . Published ahead of Print

Free-Living Sit-to-Stand Characteristics as Predictors of Lower Extremity Functional Decline Among Older Adults

Antti Löppönen^{1,2}, Laura Karavirta², Taija Finni³, Lotta Palmberg², Erja Portegijs⁴, Taina Rantanen², Christophe Delecluse¹, Evelien Van Roie¹, and Timo Rantalainen²

¹Department of Movement Sciences, Physical Activity, Sports and Health Research Group, KU Leuven, Leuven, BELGIUM; ²Faculty of Sport and Health Sciences and Gerontology Research Center, University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, FINLAND; ³Faculty of Sport and Health Sciences and Neuromuscular Research Center, University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, FINLAND; ⁴University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Center of Human Movement Sciences, Groningen, THE NETHERLANDS

Accepted for Publication: 17 April 2024

Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise Published ahead of Print contains articles in unedited manuscript form that have been peer reviewed and accepted for publication. This manuscript will undergo copyediting, page composition, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered that could affect the content.

Free-Living Sit-to-Stand Characteristics as Predictors of Lower Extremity Functional Decline Among Older Adults

Antti Löppönen^{1,2}, Laura Karavirta², Taija Finni³, Lotta Palmberg², Erja Portegijs⁴, Taina Rantanen², Christophe Delecluse¹, Evelien Van Roie¹, and Timo Rantalainen²

¹Department of Movement Sciences, Physical Activity, Sports and Health Research Group, KU Leuven, Leuven, BELGIUM; ²Faculty of Sport and Health Sciences and Gerontology Research Center, University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, FINLAND; ³Faculty of Sport and Health Sciences and Neuromuscular Research Center, University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, FINLAND; ⁴University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Center of Human Movement Sciences, Groningen, THE NETHERLANDS

E. V. R. and T. R. contributed equally to this work and share the last authorship.

Address for correspondence: Antti Löppönen, PO Box 35, FI-40014, Jyväskylä, Finland; Phone: +358406201771; E-mail: antti.ej.lopponen@jyu.fi

Conflict of Interest and Funding Source: The AGNES-study was financially supported by an Advanced Grant from the European Research Council (Grant 693045 to T.R.), the Academy of Finland (Grant 310526 to T.R.). This work was furthermore supported by the Academy Research Fellow (The Academy of Finland grant numbers 321336, 328818 and 352653 to Ti.R.) and

Academy of Finland (The Academy of Finland grant numbers 339391 and 346462 to L.K.), the Finnish Ministry of Education and Culture (Grant to E.P.), and the Research Foundation Flanders, Belgium (senior postdoctoral fellowship 12Z5720N to E.V.R.). The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

ABSTRACT

Purpose: Habitual strength and power-demanding activities of daily life may support the maintenance of adequate lower-extremity functioning with ageing, but this has been sparingly explored. Hence, we examined whether the characteristics of free-living sit-to-stand (STS) transitions predict a decline in lower-extremity functioning over a 4-year follow-up. Methods: 340 community-dwelling older adults (60% women, age 75, 80 or 85 years) participated in this prospective cohort study. At baseline, a thigh-worn accelerometer was used continuously (3-7 days) to monitor the number and intensity of free-living STS transitions. A decline in lowerextremity functioning was defined as a drop of ≥ 2 points in the Short Physical Performance Battery (SPPB) from baseline to follow-up. Maximal isometric knee-extension strength was measured in the laboratory. Results: 85 participants (75% women) declined in SPPB over 4 years. After adjusting for age, sex, and baseline SPPB points, higher free-living peak STS angular velocity (odds ratio [OR] = 0.70; 95% confidence interval [CI] = 0.52-0.92, per 20 deg/s increase) protected against a future decline. When adjusting the model for maximal isometric knee-extension strength, the statistical significance was attenuated (OR = 0.72; 95% CI = 0.54-0.96, per 20 deg/s increase). Conclusions: Performing STS transitions at higher velocities in the free-living environment can prevent a future decline in lower-extremity function. This indicates that changes in daily STS behavior may be useful in the early identification of functional loss. Free-living peak STS angular velocity may be a factor underlying the longitudinal association of lower-extremity strength and performance.

Key Words: ACCELEROMETER, OLDER ADULTS, CHAIR RISE, DAILY LIFE

INTRODUCTION

High levels of muscle strength and power in old age can protect against future declines in physical functioning (1, 2). One might thus hypothesize that daily engagement in a substantial volume of strength- and power-demanding activities at high intensities, such as sit-to-stand (STS) transitions or stair negotiation, yields positive outcomes. However, these specific activities have previously been beyond the reach of conventional device-based physical activity measurements in the free-living environment (3, 4). While engaging in physical activity at moderate-to-vigorous intensity is important to maintain physical functioning (5–8), the role of daily strength- and power-demanding activities remains to be investigated.

Recently, we have developed a methodology to quantify free-living STS transitions based on thigh-worn accelerometry (9–11). We reported that individuals with a higher number and intensity of free-living STS also had higher physical functioning and knee-extension strength, and a faster lab-based 5xSTS time (9, 10). As older adults perform multiple (on average 45) STS transitions per day (12) and approach their maximal strength capabilities while doing so (10), free-living STS transitions may produce the necessary momentary overload needed to maintain the ability to function. Whether or not free-living STS performance can actually prevent declines in physical functioning among older adults remains scarcely explored. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to examine whether free-living baseline STS characteristics predict a decline in lower-extremity physical functioning over a 4-year follow-up among community-dwelling older adults.

METHODS

Participants and design

We present longitudinal analyses from the population-based 'Active aging resilience and external support as modifiers of the disability outcome' (AGNES) study. The AGNES study comprises three age cohorts (Baseline: 75, 80, and 85 years) of older people living independently in the city of Jyväskylä, in Central Finland (13). The baseline data were collected in 2017-2018 and the 4-year follow-up measurements were carried out in 2021-2022. The Ethical Committee of the Central Finland Health Care District provided an ethical statement on the research plan and protocol of the AGNES baseline (August 23, 2017) and follow-up study (September 8, 2021). The study was executed in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants provided written informed consent.

Baseline recruitment was drawn as a random sample from postal code areas in Jyväskylä (Finland) using the Digital and Population Data Services Agency in Finland registers. After this, a preliminary information letter was sent and the willingness to participate in the study was inquired by phone. Baseline inclusion criteria were age and residence in the study area, willingness to participate and the ability to communicate (13). After exclusions, 1021 individuals participated in the study and of these, 479 wore a tri-axial accelerometer for 3 to 7 consecutive days. From the 479 older adults included in the baseline accelerometer study, 73 were not interested, 32 were deceased, 34 were excluded (not reached, missing data) at the time of the follow-up and hence, the final follow-up sample consisted of 340 participants (Figure 1). All these participants had at least 3 days of successful accelerometer recordings at baseline and took

part in follow-up measurements. Variables are described below as follows: predictor variables (baseline tests) and outcome variable (change from baseline to follow-up).

Baseline predictors and covariates

Age and sex were extracted from the population register, body mass (digital scale Seca, Hamburg, Germany), height, cognitive function (mini-mental state examination, MMSE) (14), and the number of self-reported diseases (as part of the home interview) were assessed using standardized procedures. The maximal isometric knee-extension strength of the dominant lower limb with the knee at 60 (0° is a full extension) was measured in a sitting position using an adjustable dynamometer chair (Metitur LTD, Jyväskylä, Finland) in the research center. The ankle was attached to a strain-gauge system, and after a practice trial, the test was performed at least three times until no further improvement occurred, with an inter-trial rest period of 1 min. During each maximal contraction of 2 to 3 seconds, participants were strongly encouraged to exhibit the best possible force. At least 3 attempts were required, and the highest strength was chosen to represent maximal strength (15). Knee extension strength test has been demonstrated to have good test-retest reliability within a 2-week interval in our research center (15).

At baseline, participants wore a thigh-mounted accelerometer (UKK RM42 triaxial accelerometer, sampling continuously at 100 Hz, 13-bit analog-to-digital conversion, acceleration range ± 16 g, UKK Terveyspalvelut Oy, Tampere, Finland) continuously (24h) for 3 to 7 consecutive days. Daily STS transitions were assessed using our open-access algorithm, which detects STS transitions and measures the angular velocity during the sit-to-stand phase of the movement based on accelerometer data. The structure, code and properties of the algorithm are described elsewhere (9, 11). The thigh angular velocity quantification of the algorithm corresponds to the results of the 2-D motion analysis, and the algorithm's detection accuracy for STS transitions was over 93% (9).

For daily continuous accelerometry recording, the number of STS were determined as the mean number of transitions per monitoring day. The free-living mean STS angular velocity [°/s] of the STS transitions was determined as the mean of free-living median transitions from sitting to standing, and the free-living peak STS angular velocity [°/s] was defined as the median of the ten fastest STS transitions over the full monitoring period. Free-living mean STS angular velocity thus describes the average velocity of STS transitions in everyday life, while peak angular velocity describes the fastest STS transition velocity during the measurement period, which we have reported to have a better association with a laboratory-based capacity (10) compared to mean angular velocity.

Outcome variable measured as change from baseline to follow-up

Lower-extremity functioning was assessed in the participant's home using the Short Physical Performance Battery (SPPB) at baseline and at follow-up. The SPPB comprised tests on standing balance, walking speed over a 3-m distance, and the 5×STS (16, 17). From the test results, we calculated the SPPB total score with a maximum of 12 points, where higher scores indicate better performance (16). Participants whose decline in SPPB total score was at least two points (substantial meaningful change) (18–20) were classified into the group with a "decline in lower-extremity functioning" over 4 years of follow-up, and those with a decline of 1 or no change or improvement into the group, "no decline in lower-extremity functioning". In the

"decline in lower-extremity functioning" group, there was an average decline in all components (subtests) of the SPPB test during the four-year follow-up (Supplemental Table 1, Supplemental Digital Content 1, Comparison of Short Physical Performance Battery components between physical functioning decline and non-decline groups).

Statistical analyses

Descriptive data are reported as mean and standard deviation (SD). Shapiro-Wilk normality test indicated that variables were not normally distributed, and nonparametric statistical tests were therefore chosen. Baseline differences between both groups (i.e., decline or no decline in lower-extremity function) were evaluated using the independent-samples Mann-Whitney U test.

Logistic regression analysis was the main statistical method, and the likelihood of a decline in lower-extremity functioning over the 4-year follow-up was examined using odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). The outcome variable was the dichotomous variable on decline in lower-extremity functioning (0 = 'no decline'; 1 = 'decline') and the predictor variables were free-living STS peak angular velocity, free-living STS mean angular velocity and the number of free-living STS. Models were first adjusted for age, sex and lower-extremity functioning at the baseline (baseline SPPB total score). Finally, maximal isometric knee-extension strength was added to the models to assess whether STS characteristics mediate its association with future decline in lower-extremity physical functioning. To evaluate potential bias, we conducted sensitivity analysis by adding body weight, body height and self-reported number of chronic diseases in the model.

Odds rations were expressed per 20 deg/second for peak STS angular velocity, 10 deg/second for mean STS angular velocity, 10 repetitions/24 hour for number of STS transitions and 50 N for maximal isometric knee-extension strength. These units were chosen because they correspond the standardized values calculated using the formula $z = (x - \mu) / \sigma$, where z = Z-score, x = the value being evaluated, $\mu =$ the mean and $\sigma =$ the standard deviation. The possibility of multicollinearity was excluded with the correlation matrix (Supplemental Table 2, Supplemental Digital Content 1, Spearman's rank correlation coefficient between variables) (21). Statistical significance was set at p < .05 and analyses were performed in the "R" statistical environment (version 4.2.1) (22) and using SPSS statistical software package (IBM SPSS Statistics Version 28.0.1.1, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) (23).

RESULTS

The sample included a total of 340 participants, comprising 60.3% females, with a mean age of 78.0 years (SD 3.2). Other baseline characteristics can be found in Table 1. A total of 85 participants of the final sample (25%, of whom 75 % were women) experienced a decline of at least two points in lower-extremity function at 4-year follow-up compared to baseline. At baseline, body height, number of diseases, maximal isometric knee-extension strength and free-living peak STS angular velocity were lower among groups that experienced a decline in lower-extremity function over the 4-year follow-up period compared to those who did not (p < .05) (Table 1) (Supplemental Figure 1, Supplemental Digital Content 2).

We observed that free-living peak STS angular velocity protected from lowerextremity physical functioning decline by almost 40% per 20 deg/s increase (Table 2). After adjusting for sex, baseline age, baseline SPPB points (Model 2) free-living peak STS angular velocity odds ratios were slightly attenuated (Table 2). When maximal isometric knee-extension strength was added to the model (Model 3), odds ratios were further attenuated but remained significant (Table 2). The number of STS transitions and mean STS angular velocity did not predict the future decline in lower-extremity physical functioning (Table 2).

Sensitivity analysis

Further adjustments for body weight, body height and number of chronic diseases did not materially change the risk estimates but widened the 95% confidence intervals because of the reduced statistical power of the models. The participants not available at follow-up (dropouts; n = 139) were older, had lower SPPB scores, lower body weight, lower maximal isometric knee-extension strength and took longer to complete the 5xSTS test (p < .05) at baseline compared to the final sample (Supplemental Table 3, Supplemental Digital Content 1, Comparison between included and lost to follow-up participants in this follow-up study).

DISCUSSION

The novel finding of this study was that a higher peak angular velocity of freeliving STS transitions at baseline increased the odds of maintaining lower-extremity function over a 4-year follow-up. More specifically, community-dwelling older adults with 20 degrees/seconds increase in peak STS angular velocity were almost 40% less likely to demonstrate a two point decline in SPPB compared to those with lower values. We suggest that free-living STS characteristics, especially the peak intensity, may underlie the association of muscle strength with future functional decline and disability. The present study also showed that maximal isometric knee-extension strength at baseline was associated with changes in lowerextremity functioning. This is in line with earlier studies, thus supporting the validity of our findings (2, 24, 25).

We developed a new methodology to analyse wearable technology data (i.e., accelerometry) to identify habitual strength and powerdemanding activities (STS transitions) (9-11). This enabled extending device-based physical measurement into strength and powerdemanding free-living activities. A similar approach of tailoring numerical treatment of the freeliving acceleration records to the physiological underpinnings has been applied successfully for bone-specific activities (26) and interverbal discs in the past (27). Accordingly in the present study targeting sit-to-stand transitions as a proxy of strength demands on the lower extremity locomotory system was found to be associated with physical functioning by hypothesizing that the transient overload and supercompensation discovered in resistance training literature might manifest in this way. Considering how to analyse the recorded signals for a particular outcome or physiological underpinning may be a valuable exercise. For example, in our study over the 4year follow-up period, 25% demonstrated a substantial meaningful decline in lower-extremity function. If we were able to identify the ones at risk of developing a decline in function at an early phase, these individuals could be targeted with specific countermeasures. The growth of telerehabilitation and daily behaviour monitoring (28, 29) will enable long-term continual monitoring to target early interventions without the need for frequent supervised laboratory visits. In addition, free-living assessments allow the collection of unique information regarding behaviour in the real-life environment that cannot be observed in the laboratory. Future research should therefore identify relevant assessments for specific outcomes with the help of more

modern and easier-to-use wearable sensor technology, and the practical application of such assessments.

In this study, only peak angular velocity of sit-to-stand movements predicted decline in physical functioning. Neither the number of sit-to-stand transitions nor their mean angular velocity showed any predictive value. These findings are in line with our previous cross-sectional findings (9, 10), where we reported that peak STS angular velocity was more strongly related to fear of falling, difficulties negotiating stairs, and physical functioning, compared to mean STS angular velocity and the number of STS transitions. This indicates that the mean angular velocity of STS transitions is a submaximal performance indicator, providing a less accurate view of an individual's potential capacity than peak angular velocity or isometric knee extension strength.

The definition of decline in physical functioning was based on $a \ge 2$ -points decline in SPPB. Importantly, all three components of the SPPB showed a significant decline, and not only the 5xSTS test (Supplemental Table 1, Supplemental Digital Content 1). Neither the 5xSTS time nor the 5xSTS points at baseline were predictors of the decline in total SPPB points (OR = 1.00, 1.05; p > 0.05, respectively, data not shown in the results section). This rules out that the predictive value of free-living STS transitions is caused by their association with lab-based 5xSTS test. In fact, maximum capacity measured in the laboratory (such as maximal strength or maximal walking speed) and body movements measured in a free-living environment (for example, STS free-living angular velocity, customary walking speed) are different constructs (10, 30). As people get older, their reduced maximum capacity becomes more of a limiting factor for performing everyday activities such as STS. Previous observations indicate that older adults perform habitual activities at an intensity that is close to their full capacity (10, 31). It is reasonable that maximum capacity underlies daily movement behaviour, even though it does not determine it completely. Therefore, in the future it should be investigated whether reserve capacity could result in a better prediction of future functional declines.

Some limitations of the present approach should be considered. First, the study focused on STS transitions only, which do not capture the total volume of free-living strength and power-demanding activities. Second, the study period is limited to two measurement points (i.e., baseline and 4-year follow-up), which may hinder a comprehensive understanding of the temporal dynamics and fluctuations in the variables of interest. Third, we cannot rule out some miss-classifications of free-living movements, even though the algorithm's accuracy of detecting STS transitions was greater than 93% and the angular velocity determination was accurate (9, 11). Fourth, using the arms during STS transitions cannot be controlled in the free-living environment, which can lead to misinterpretations in determining the intensity of STS transitions. An underestimation of the studied associations might have occurred, as our sample consisted of community-dwelling, rather well-functioning older adults aged 75, 80, and 85. Inclusion of more individuals with lower-extremity limitations would have resulted in stronger associations. However, from a preventative perspective, it is crucial to detect potential problems in lower-extremity functioning in time, so that interventions can be initiated before major impairments occur.

The main strengths of this study include the comprehensive monitoring of freeliving movement behaviour over a moderately long 4-year follow-up time period using wearable accelerometers (32). Secondly, we created a novel approach to analysing strength and powerdemanding movements from accelerometer data. Third, we linked free-living data with data on maximum muscle strength using a prospective design among a large sample of older people. Finally, we used an objectively assessed meaningful decline in SPPB as the outcome of the analyses (18–20).

CONCLUSIONS

The novel finding of this study is that performing faster STS transitions in the freeliving environment is associated with a future decline in lower-extremity function. Monitoring of free-living STS behavior allows frequent remote assessments of function, which can potentially enable early detection of functional declines, so that preventive strategies can be initiated in time.

Conflict of interest statement

None declared.

Acknowledgements

We thank the whole AGNES research team, especially Eeva-Maija Palonen and Niina Kajan and, all research assistants for their work in the data collection and analyses and all participants of the AGNES study for their time and effort. The authors wish to acknowledge CSC—IT Center for Science, Finland, for computational re-sources. The Gerontology Research Center is a joint effort between the University of Jyväskylä and the University of Tampere. The content of this article does not reflect the official opinion of the European Union. Responsibility for the information and views expressed in the article lies entirely with the author(s). The results of the study are presented clearly, honestly, and without fabrication, falsification, or inappropriate data manipulation. The results of the present study do not constitute endorsement by the American College of Sports Medicine.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization, A.L., L.K., L.P., E.P., T.R., T.F., C.D., E.V.R. and Ti.R.; methodology, A.L., L.K., L.P., E.P., T.R. and Ti.R.; formal analysis, A.L. and Ti.R.; writing—original draft preparation, A.L.; writing—review and editing, A.L., L.K., L.P., E.P., T.R., T.F., C.D., E.V.R. and Ti.R.; supervision, T.F., C.D., E.V.R. and Ti.R.; All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding

The AGNES-study was financially supported by an Advanced Grant from the European Research Council (Grant 693045 to T.R.), the Academy of Finland (Grant 310526 to T.R.). This work was furthermore supported by the Academy Research Fellow (The Academy of Finland grant numbers 321336, 328818 and 352653 to Ti.R.) and Academy of Finland (The Academy of Finland grant numbers 339391 and 346462 to L.K.), the Finnish Ministry of Education and Culture (Grant to E.P.), and the Research Foundation Flanders, Belgium (senior postdoctoral fellowship 12Z5720N to E.V.R.)

Data Availability Statement

After completion of the study, data will be stored at the Finnish Social Science Data Archive without potential identifiers (open access). Until then, pseudonymized datasets are available to external collaborators subject to agreement on the terms of data use and publication of results. To request the data, please contact Professor Taina Rantanen (taina.rantanen@jyu.fi).

REFERENCES

- Suetta C, Haddock B, Alcazar J, et al. The Copenhagen Sarcopenia Study: lean mass, strength, power, and physical function in a Danish cohort aged 20–93 years. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle*. 2019;10(6):1316–29.
- Wang DXM, Yao J, Zirek Y, Reijnierse EM, Maier AB. Muscle mass, strength, and physical performance predicting activities of daily living: a meta-analysis. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle*. 2020;11(1):3–25.
- 3. Warren JM, Ekelund U, Besson H, Mezzani A, Geladas N, Vanhees L. Assessment of physical activity – a review of methodologies with reference to epidemiological research: a report of the exercise physiology section of the European Association of Cardiovascular Prevention and Rehabilitation. *Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil*. 2010;17(2):127–39.
- 4. Strain T, Fitzsimons C, Kelly P, Mutrie N. The forgotten guidelines: cross-sectional analysis of participation in muscle strengthening and balance & co-ordination activities by adults and older adults in Scotland. *BMC Public Health*. 2016;16(1):1108.
- Batsis JA, Germain CM, Vásquez E, Zbehlik AJ, Bartels SJ. Physical activity predicts higher physical function in older adults: the Osteoarthritis Initiative. *J Phys Act Health*. 2016;13(1):6–16.
- 6. Adachi T, Kamiya K, Kono Y, et al. Predicting the future need of walking device or assistance by moderate to vigorous physical activity: a 2-year prospective study of women aged 75 years and above. *Biomed Res Int.* 2018;2018:1340479.
- 7. Yerrakalva D, Hajna S, Wijndaele K, et al. Bidirectional associations of accelerometerassessed physical activity and sedentary time with physical function among older English adults: the EPIC-Norfolk cohort study. *Eur J Ageing*. 2022;19(4):1507–17.

- 8. Wang M, Wu F, Callisaya ML, Jones G, Winzenberg TM. Longitudinal associations of objectively measured physical activity and sedentary time with leg muscle strength, balance and falls in middle-aged women. *Eur J Sport Sci.* 2023;23(11):2240-50.
- Löppönen A, Karavirta L, Portegijs E, et al. Association between free-living sit-to-stand transition characteristics, and lower-extremity performance, fear of falling, and stair negotiation difficulties among community-dwelling 75 to 85-year-old adults. *J Genrontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.* 2022;77(8):1644-53.
- Löppönen A, Delecluse C, Suorsa K, et al. Association of sit-to-stand capacity and freeliving performance using thigh-worn accelerometers among 60-90-year-old adults. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2023;55(9):1525-32.
- 11. Löppönen A, Karavirta L, Portegijs E, et al. Day-to-day variability and year-to-year reproducibility of accelerometer-measured free-living sit-to-stand transitions volume and intensity among community-dwelling older adults. *Sensors (Basel)*. 2021;21(18):6068.
- 12. Bohannon RW. Daily sit-to-stands performed by adults: a systematic review. *J Phys Ther Sci.* 2015;27(3):939–42.
- Rantanen T, Saajanaho M, Karavirta L, et al. Active aging resilience and external support as modifiers of the disablement outcome: AGNES cohort study protocol. *BMC Public Health.* 2018;18(1):565.
- 14. Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. "Mini-mental state". A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *J Psychiatr Res.* 1975;12(3):189–98.
- Rantanen T, Era P, Heikkinen E. Physical activity and the changes in maximal isometric strength in men and women from the age of 75 to 80 years. J Am Geriatr Soc. 1997;45(12):1439–45.

- 16. Guralnik JM, Simonsick EM, Ferrucci L, et al. A short physical performance battery assessing lower extremity function: association with self-reported disability and prediction of mortality and nursing home admission. *J Gerontol*. 1994;49(2):M85–94.
- Guralnik JM, Ferrucci L, Simonsick EM, Salive ME, Wallace RB. Lower-extremity function in persons over the age of 70 years as a predictor of subsequent disability. *N Engl J Med.* 1995;332(9):556–62.
- Kwon S, Perera S, Pahor M, et al. What is a meaningful change in physical performance? Findings from a clinical trial in older adults (the LIFE-P study). *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2009;13(6):538–44.
- Olsen C, Bergland A. Reliability of the Norwegian version of the short physical performance battery in older people with and without dementia. *BMC Geriatr*. 2017;17(1):124.
- Perera S, Mody S, Woodman R, Studenski S. Meaningful change and responsiveness in common physical performance measures in older adults. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2006;54(5):743–9.
- 21. Vatcheva KP, Lee M, McCormick JB, Rahbar MH. Multicollinearity in regression analyses conducted in epidemiologic studies. *Epidemiology (Sunnyvale)*. 2016;6(2):227.
- 22. Core Development Team R. A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. *R Foundation for Statistical Computing*. 2021;2:https://www.R-project.org.
- SPSS. IBM Corp. released 2021. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 28.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp. 2021;

- 24. Martien S, Delecluse C, Boen F, et al. Is knee extension strength a better predictor of functional performance than handgrip strength among older adults in three different settings? *Arch Gerontol Geriatr*. 2015;60(2):252–8.
- 25. Rantanen T, Avlund K, Suominen H, Schroll M, Frändin K, Pertti E. Muscle strength as a predictor of onset of ADL dependence in people aged 75 years. *Aging Clin Exp Res*. 2002;14(3 Suppl):10–5.
- 26. Jämsä T, Ahola R, Korpelainen R. Measurement of osteogenic exercise how to interpret accelerometric data? *Front Physiol*. 2011;2:73.
- 27. Belavý DL, Quittner MJ, Ridgers N, Ling Y, Connell D, Rantalainen T. Running exercise strengthens the intervertebral disc. *Sci Rep.* 2017;7:45975.
- Baroni MP, Jacob MFA, Rios WR, et al. The state of the art in telerehabilitation for musculoskeletal conditions. *Arch Physioth*. 2023;13(1):1.
- 29. Schütz N, Knobel SEJ, Botros A, et al. A systems approach towards remote healthmonitoring in older adults: introducing a zero-interaction digital exhaust. *NPJ Digit Med*. 2022;5(1):116.
- Van Ancum JM, van Schooten KS, Jonkman NH, et al. Gait speed assessed by a 4-m walk test is not representative of daily-life gait speed in community-dwelling adults. *Maturitas*. 2019;121:28–34.
- 31. Tikkanen O, Sipilä S, Kuula A-S, Pesola A, Haakana P, Finni T. Muscle activity during daily life in the older people. *Aging Clin Exp Res*. 2016;28(4):713–20.
- 32. Pedersen ESL, Danquah IH, Petersen CB, Tolstrup JS. Intra-individual variability in dayto-day and month-to-month measurements of physical activity and sedentary behaviour at work and in leisure-time among Danish adults. *BMC Public Health*. 2016;16(1):1222.

FIGURE LEGEND

Figure 1. Flow chart of the study. *Note*. Decline over 4 years FU = decline in SPPB total score was at least two points (=> 2) over 4-year follow- up (BL to FU). No decline over 4 years FU = decline in SPPB total score was at less than 1 point (<= 1) over 4-year follow-up (BL to FU). STS = Sit-To-Stand, BL = Baseline, FU = Follow-Up, SPPB = Short Physical Performance Battery.

SUPPLEMENTARY DIGITAL CONTENT

SDC 1: Supplemental Digital Content 1.docx

SDC 2: STSFollowup_Alopponen_SupplementaryFigure4.pdf

Figure 1





		NO, decline	YES, decline	1 8
	All $(N = 340)$	over 4 y FU (n = 255) over 4 y FU (n = 85)		p-value"
Female, n (%)	60.3 %	55.3 %	75.3 %	
Age [years]	78.0 (3.2)	77.9 (3.1)	78.6 (3.4)	.147
Weight [kg]	74.5 (13.1)	74.1 (12.7)	75.7 (14.2)	.660
Height [cm]	164.2 (8.8)	165.0 (8.8)	161.9 (8.5)	.003
MMSE [points]	27.7 (2.2)	27.7 (2.2)	27.6 (2.0)	.240
Number of diseases [n]	3.0 (1.8)	2.7 (1.7)	3.7 (1.9)	.000
Short Physical Performance Battery [points]	10.5 (1.7)	10.6 (1.6)	10.4 (1.9)	.534
Lab-assessed 5xSTS total time [s]	12.3 (3.7)	12.2 (3.6)	12.4 (4.1)	.857
Lab-assessed maximal isometric knee strength [N]	354.7 (112.9)	369.7 (112.5)	309.8 (102.0)	.000
Free-living STS peak angular velocity [deg/s]	102.7 (21.3)	105.0 (20.3)	95.9 (22.8)	.000
Free-living STS mean angular velocity [deg/s]	57.8 (8.8)	58.2 (8.4)	56.3 (9.9)	.091
Free-living no of STS [no/d]	44.7 (17.0)	45.4 (16.6)	42.6 (18.1)	.251

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of study participants (mean & standard deviation (SD)).

Note. FU = Follow-Up, MMSE = Mini-Mental State Examination, <math>STS = sit-to-stand, N = newton. ^aIndependent-Samples Mann-Whitney U Test. Bold font indicates statistical significance (p < .05).

Table 2. Predictor for lower extremity physical functioning decline in the 4-year-follow-up.

	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
Variables	OR (95 % CI)	OR (95 % CI)	OR (95 % CI)
Free-living STS peak angular velocity [20 deg/s]	0.63 (0.49 - 0.83)	0.70 (0.52 - 0.92)	0.72 (0.54 - 0.96)
Sex		2.17 (1.23 - 3.84)	1.28 (0.63 - 2.61)
Age [years]		1.06 (0.98 – 1.15)	1.05 (0.96 – 1.13)
Baseline SPPB [points]		1.03 (0.88 - 1.20)	1.09 (0.92 - 1.28)
Lab-assessed maximal isometric knee strength [50 N]			0.82 (0.69 - 0.97)
Free-living STS mean angular velocity [10 deg/s]	0.77 (0.58 - 1.03)	0.86 (0.63 – 1.17)	0.90 (0.66 - 1.22)
Sex		2.39 (1.36 - 4.21)	1.37 (0.68 – 2.76)
Age [years]		1.07 (0.99 – 1.16)	1.05 (0.97 - 1.14)
Baseline SPPB [points]		0.99 (0.85 - 1.15)	1.05 (0.90 - 1.23)
Lab-assessed maximal isometric knee strength [50 N]			$0.81 \ (0.69 - 0.95)$
Free-living no of STS [10 no/d]	0.91 (0.78 - 1.05)	0.97 (0.83 – 1.14)	0.96 (0.82 – 1.13)
Sex		2.45 (1.39 - 4.31)	1.35 (0.66 – 2.74)
Age [years]		1.07 (0.99 – 1.16)	1.05 (0.97 – 1.14)

 Baseline SPPB [points]
 0.98 (0.84 - 1.13)
 1.05 (0.90 - 1.23)

 Lab-assessed maximal isometric knee strength [50 N]
 0.80 (0.68 - 0.95)

Note. OR = Odds Ratio, CI = Confidential interval, bold font indicates statistical significance (p < .05).

Model 1: Unadjusted model.

Model 2: Adjusted for baseline age, sex, SPPB total points.

Model 3: Adjusted for baseline age, sex, SPPB total points and maximal isometric knee extension strength.

		NO, decline	YES, decline
	All $(N = 340)$	over 4 y FU	over 4 y FU
		(n = 255)	(n = 85)
Total points			
Baseline	10.5 ± 1.7	10.6 ± 1.6	10.4 ± 1.9
Follow-up	9.8 ± 2.3	10.7 ± 1.5	7.3 ±2.3
Absolute difference	0.7	-0.1	3.1
p-value ^a	< .001	.619	< .001
Balance points			
Baseline	$3.8\pm\!0.5$	3.8 ± 0.5	3.7 ±0.7
Follow-up	3.6 ± 0.8	3.9 ±0.5	3.0 ± 1.1
Absolute difference	0.2	0.0	0.7
p-value ^a	< .001	.401	< .001
Walking speed points			
Baseline	3.7 ± 0.6	3.8 ±0.6	3.6 ± 0.7
Follow-up	3.4 ± 0.9	3.7 ±0.6	2.7 ± 1.1
Absolute difference	0.3	0.1	0.9
p-value ^a	< .001	.331	<.001
5xSTS points			
Baseline	3.0 ±1.1	3.0 ± 1.1	3.1 ±1.2
Follow-up	2.8 ±1.2	3.2 ± 0.9	1.6 ± 1.0
Absolute difference	0.2	-0.2	1.5
p-value ^a	.003	.161	< .001

Supplemental table 1. Comparison of Short Physical Performance Battery components (subtests) between physical functioning decline and non-decline groups.

Note. FU = Follow-up, STS = Sit-To-Stand. ^a Independent-Samples Mann-Whitney U Test

Supplemental table 2. Spearman's rank correlation coefficient between variables.

	Lab-assessed isometric knee strength [N]	Free-living STS peak angular velocity [deg/s]	Free-living STS mean angular velocity [deg/s]
Free-living STS peak angular velocity [deg/s]	0.32**		
Free-living STS mean angular velocity [deg/s]	0.25**	0.77**	
Free-living number of STS transitions [no/d]	0.16**	0.55**	0.52**

Note. STS = sit-to-stand, N = newton. ** p < .01.

	AGNES Baseline (N = 479)	Included (n = 340)	Lost to follow-up $(n = 139)$	p-value ^a
Female, n (%)	59.9 %	60.3 %	59.0 %	
Age [years]	78.3 (3.4)	78.0 (3.2)	79.0 (3.8)	.030
Weight [kg]	73.9 (13.3)	74.5 (13.1)	72.4 (13.8)	.036
Height [cm]	163.9 (8.8)	164.2 (8.8)	163.0 (8.9)	.166
MMSE [points]	27.4 (2.7)	27.6 (2.6)	26.7 (2.9)	< .001
Number of diseases [n]	3.1 (1.9)	3.0 (1.8)	3.4 (2.0)	.052
Short Physical Performance Battery [points]	10.3 (1.9)	10.5 (1.7)	9.8 (2.3)	< .001
Lab-assessed 5xSTS total time [s]	12.3 (4.1)	12.1 (3.9)	12.9 (4.4)	.026
Lab-assessed maximal isometric knee strength [N]	342.0 (118.1)	354.7 (112.9)	311.0 (125.2)	<.001
Free-living STS peak angular velocity [deg/s]	101.5 (20.9)	102.7 (21.3)	98.6 (19.8)	.108
Free-living STS mean angular velocity [deg/s]	57.5 (8.9)	57.8 (8.8)	56.9 (9.3)	.384
Free-living no of STS [no/d]	43.7 (16.9)	44.7 (17.0)	41.3 (16.7)	.053

Supplemental table 3. Comparison between included and lost to follow-up participants in this follow-up study (mean & standard deviation (SD)).

Note. MMSE = Mini-Mental State Examination, STS = sit-to-stand, N = newton. ^aIndependent-Samples Mann-Whitney U Test. Bold font indicates statistical significance (p < .05).

Supplementary Figure 4

