



# Digital Humanities 2017

## Conference Abstracts

McGill University & Université de Montréal

Montréal, Canada

August 8 – 11, 2017

not always expressed in physical form, is always the work of many hands.

## Conclusions and Next Steps

In their foundational essay on historical fabrication, Devon Elliott et al. observe that “working with actual, physical *stuff* offers the historian new opportunities to explore the interactions of people and things” (2012). In this project, we have sought to extend these opportunities for exploration to include the interactions of people with data, as well as with their visual display. Our project underscores the foundational role of interpretation in designing and perceiving visualizations; and shows how interaction is crucial to the interpretive process. It also points to future modes of visualization, not yet imagined, that might better emphasize embodied ways of knowing. In terms of next steps, for the website, we plan to think through what a more scholarly version of the site, with room for more explanatory text, might look like. For the physicalization, we are continuing to implement the touch interface. From there, we will focus on the aesthetic aspects of the rug, exploring options for light-diffusing fabrics to frame the LEDs, and light-blocking materials to create the grid-lines.

## Bibliography

- Bogost, I.** (2007) *Persuasive Games: The Expressive Power of Videogames*. (2007). MIT Press, Cambridge.
- Card, S.K., Mackinlay, J., and Shneiderman, B.,** eds. (1999). *Readings in Information Visualization: Using Vision to Think*, 1st Edition, Morgan Kaufmann, New York.
- Drucker, J.** (2011). Humanities Approaches to Graphical Display. *Digital Humanities Quarterly* 5(1). Web
- Dunne, T and Raby, F.** (2013). *Speculative Everything: Design, Fiction, and Social Dreaming*. MIT Press, Cambridge.
- Elliott, D., MacDougall, R. and Turkel, W. J.** (2012). New Old Things: Fabrication, physical computing, and experiment in historical practice, *Canadian Journal of Communication*, 37(1). Web.
- Foster, C., Pramer, E., Klein, L.** (2016). Repairing William Playfair: Digital Fabrication, Design Theory, and the Long History of Data Visualization. In *Digital Humanities 2016: Conference Abstracts*. Jagiellonian University & Pedagogical University, Kraków, pp. 513-516.
- Peabody, E.** (1856). *Chronological history of the United States, arranged with plates on Bem's principle*. Sheldon, Blakeman, New York.
- Peabody, E.** (1850). *The Polish-American System of Chronology: Reproduced, with some modifications, from General Bem's Franco-Polish method*. G.P. Putnam, New York.
- Posner, M.** (2016). What's Next: The Radical, Unrealized Potential of Digital Humanities. In *Debates in the Digital Humanities 2016*, ed. Matthew K. Gold and Lauren F. Klein. University of Minnesota Press, Minneapolis. Web.
- Rhoda, B.** (1999). *Elizabeth Palmer Peabody: A Reformer on her Own Terms*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge.
- Sayers, J.** (2015). Why Fabricate?, *Scholarly and Research Communication*, 6(3), n.p.
- White, A.** (2011). *The Elements of Graphic Design, 2nd ed.* Allworth Press, New York.

- Yi, J.S., Kang, Y., Stasko, J.T., and Jacko, J.A.** (2007). Toward a deeper understanding of the role of interaction in information visualization. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics* 13 (6), pp. 1224-1231.

---

## Integrating Humanities and Science: the Scriptospatial Visualization Interface

**Fenella G. France**

frfr@loc.gov

Preservation Research and Testing Division  
Library of Congress, United States of America

**Alberto Campagnolo**

acamp@loc.gov

Preservation Research and Testing Division  
Library of Congress, United States of America

---

## Introduction

Advances in technology and digital access have paved the way for the improved utilization and interpretation of scientific analyses of humanities materials for digital humanities studies. Integrating scientific analyses with humanities and curatorial knowledge (STEM: science, technology, engineering, and math, to STEAM: science, technology, engineering, **art**, and math) is a critical multidisciplinary approach for expanding the full potential of scientific techniques and technological advances, and realigning complementary disciplines that have been artificially segregated. Scientists and curators have exposed hidden and previously unknown contextual information within original source materials, such as changing “subjects to citizens” on the Rough Draft of the Declaration of Independence (Library of Congress, 2010). Hyperspectral imaging provides additional data layers by capturing images of documents in distinct narrow waveband regions of the visible and non-visible spectrum—from ultraviolet through visible to infrared. The cube of captured digital image files contains a wealth of information, but requires significant interpretation to process and analyze the collected data. Scientists, scholars, and students in both art and science disciplines have been collaborating to glean new information from historical manuscripts. The Library spectral imaging program includes a spectral reference database and integration of data from other non-invasive analytical techniques to create a full analytical mapping of heritage documents and objects for non-destructive analyses of collection materials (France, 2016)

Digital imaging capabilities allow researchers to characterize pigments and inks on the document, retrieve hidden

and lost text, and illuminate production and creation methods. The range of data captured allows greater access to the information available from fragile historic documents, including the 1507 Waldseemüller World Map and the 1513 Ptolemy *Geographia*, where investigations revealed links to the same original printing location (France, 2016). *Scriptospatial* (a term originally coined by Toth and France to refer to the viewing of associated imaging and materiality data linked on an image of a historic document) refers to applying a spatial information system approach to documents, creating an interactive interface for scholars and scientists to interact with the object and the data. Scriptospatial representations of digital data from documents utilize an accurate coordinate system that links scientific and scholarly analyses to the creation of a new digital cultural object (DCO), allowing inferences to be drawn to generate new knowledge. This approach to viewing digital cultural materials in multiple layers applies an archaeological approach toward uncovering and interconnecting information strata of historic and modern documents. Scriptospatial mapping of documents with an accurate coordinate system allows the layering of scientific and scholarly analyses to the DCO. This allows inferences to be drawn to generate new knowledge through analysis of the data linked to spatial points (or areas). This approach to viewing the DCO applies a GIS methodology toward uncovering and interconnecting information layers of cultural heritage artefacts, just as in the case of archaeological strata. Utilizing an object-oriented approach in conjunction with the spatial data layers allows the mapping of spatial and temporal data with increasing complexity. Examining and explaining the physical, spectral and chemical properties of these historic materials permit scientists and scholars to link these scientific analyses to other data about the creation of the object.

Digital spectral imaging of cultural heritage objects at the Library of Congress has capitalized on over a decade of research and development into not only spectral imaging and processing, but also the development of standard spectral image products (France et al, 2010). Advances over the past decade by an experienced team have led to an advanced capability to study cultural heritage objects with a robust spectral imaging system that provides large-format, high quality images and standardized data output using advanced commercial off-the-shelf components (Christens-Barry et al, 2009).

Developing an object-oriented approach to data access and sharing requires integration of spectral imaging with data from other sources in a variety of formats. This requires effective spatial metadata to allow linkages to specific locations within the images. This is necessary not only to register locations on the same section of a manuscript leaf in various spectral bands, but also to link other images and transcriptions with the spectral images. Based on geospatial mapping and layering of data used to identify points on satellite images, the same technologies, work processes and skills can be applied to spectral images of manuscripts:

A camera collecting images over a manuscript is similar to a satellite collecting geospatial data over the Earth. Using technologies developed for “geospatial” systems to link each point on the globe with images from earth resource satellites and data collected from other instruments, spectral imaging can link the “scriptospatial data” from each point on a manuscript with images from various imaging and scientific devices. This provides a standardized method to support links between images and data from the same object location.

With multiple data entries for samples, precisely defining the specific point where the sample or scientific data collection takes place is critical in comparing data from different research types or objects. For samples taken from a larger, non-uniform, heterogeneous object such as a manuscript, textile or painting, the spatial location of the sample point on the object must be defined to be able to integrate the data from various research tools. Spatial metadata elements will allow linkages to specific locations on an object, potentially within images of the objects. Scriptospatial data can serve as an interface for scientific dialogue in “one shared layer,” linking data from various sources for in-depth studies and analyses of a specific research topic or object.

In many current research databases, the metadata elements for spatial location are not provided to capture detailed data on where an instrument collects data, or a sample is taken. This is not an issue for uniform, homogeneous samples of paints, pigments, media or other samples, but is critical for samples taken from a heterogeneous object like a painting, manuscript or textile. By defining a Cartesian coordinate system on an object or image of an object, as well as the degree of precision required, specific sample points on an object can be defined. This allows integration with other images of the same object and scientific samples from the same point.

In its Scriptospatial Visualization Initiative, the Library of Congress PRTD has capitalized on developments with geospatial systems to apply Thermopylae “i-spatial” support and Google Map tiling and data formatting to the integration of large and complex visual scriptospatial datasets populated with scientific data from various instruments, research topics or objects. This provides data access in “one shared layer” of scientific data. This is an important first step in capitalizing on the three decades of technology development by the GIS community to advance preservation science and cultural heritage data sharing and research. The additional unique component will be the layering of scholarly research interpretations and publications, enabling ease of access to a rich resource of data directly linked to the original object. This will reduce the challenges faced with searching through data that is not well catalogued, or yet searchable without this expanded document interpretation and linking of scholarly knowledge.

Integrated access to associated source material scientific data adds contextual value, provenance information, and can reveal non-visible information hidden within the



original document. The Scriptospatial approach allows layering of scientific and scholarly or curatorial research data within one location, enhancing the analysis and depth of knowledge off the original document, and creating a more effective interface for interaction with historic materials (France et al, 2010). The innate layering of multiple sources of information of the Shared Canvas Model (Sanderson and Albritton, eds, 2013) onto one view of an object, coupled with the strong annotation capabilities of the Web Annotation Data Model (Sanderson et al, eds, 2016) raises interest in the possible integration of the Scriptospatial model with IIF efforts ([International Image Operability Framework](#)). One of the challenges we face with the current data deluge, is how to effectively access, select and link appropriate data and information. Scriptospatial is a value-added data approach, creating cohesive structured management of multi-disciplinary data. The authors have been engaging with other US, European and United Kingdom colleagues to create a cohesive integrated and collaborative approach to this visualization.

## Bibliography

- Christens-Barry, W. A., Boydston, K., France, F. G., Knox, K. T., Easton, R. L. Jr., and Toth, M. B.** (2009). "Camera System for Multispectral Imaging of Documents." In *SPIE Proceedings Vol. 7249: Sensors, Cameras, and Systems for Industrial/Scientific Applications X*, edited by Erik Bodegom and Valérie Nguyen, 7249:8–10. San Jose (CA). doi:10.1117/12.815374.
- France, F. G.** (2016). "Spectral Imaging: Capturing and Retrieving Information You Didn't Know Your Library Collections Contained." In *What Do We Lose When We Lose a Library? Proceedings of the Conference Held at the KU Leuven 9-11 September 2015*, edited by Lieve Watteuw and Mel Collier, 189–97. Leuven: KU Leuven University Library.
- France, F.G., Emery, D., and Toth, M. B.** (2010). "The Convergence of Information Technology, Data, and Management in a Library Imaging Program." *Library Quarterly*. Special Edition: Digital Convergence: Libraries, Archives, and Museums in the Information Age 80 (1): 33–59.
- International Image Interoperability Framework.** (2016) "IIF | International Image Interoperability Framework." <http://iif.io/> (accessed 01-11-2016).
- Library of Congress.** (2010). "Hyperspectral Imaging by Library of Congress Reveals Change Made by Thomas Jefferson in Original Declaration of Independence Draft." *Press Release*, July 2. <https://www.loc.gov/today/pr/2010/10-161.html> (accessed 01-11-2016).
- Sanderson, R., and Albritton, B., eds.** (2013). "Shared Canvas Data Model 1.0." <http://iif.io/model/shared-canvas/1.0/> (accessed 01-11-2016).
- Sanderson, R., Ciccarese, P., and Young, B, eds.** (2016). "Web Annotation Data Model." <https://www.w3.org/TR/annotation-model/> (accessed 01-11-2016).

---

## Reading the Norton Anthologies: Databases, Canons, and "Careers"

**Erik Fredner**

[fredner@stanford.edu](mailto:fredner@stanford.edu)

Stanford University, United States of America

**David McClure**

[dclure@stanford.edu](mailto:dclure@stanford.edu)

Stanford University, United States of America

**JD Porter**

[jdporter@stanford.edu](mailto:jdporter@stanford.edu)

Stanford University, United States of America

---

What type of canon do the Norton anthologies of literature construct? And how has that canon changed over time? These questions are somewhat unusual for humanists in that their answers could be framed not in a syllogism or thesis, but rather in the forms of the list and the table—and extensive ones at that.

We wanted, first, a way to see who goes in and who goes out of this canon that we so often teach from. In a sense, this project is as much about pedagogy as it is about literary criticism: We have no investment in the Nortons as being representative of "The Canon," but rather see them as a medium through which undergraduate and graduate students of literature encounter major works and begin to formulate their ideas about the literary field. Following John Guillory, the Nortons seem to be one of the primary means by which the cultural capital of literature gets distributed and reinscribed within the university. How, then, has this medium—of texts and canons that inform courses, students, and scholars—changed along with literary criticism over the past half-century?

Our team built a database containing every work and excerpt featured in the Norton Anthologies that we have studied so far, with room to grow for those that remain. This allows us to easily see what we have been thinking of as the "careers" of both authors and individual works over time. How, for instance, have the works selected to represent Milton changed over time? When was Margaret Atwood first added to any Norton Anthology? Which poems represent Langston Hughes in the *Anthology of Poetry*? Are they different from those that represent him in the anthologies of *World, American, or African-American* literature? What proportion of authors in the anthologies are women, and how has that changed over the last fifty years? Which authors have been cut from the anthologies? And which authors or works replaced them?

In order to answer these questions about the people