

15. Khorrami M, Tabatabaiefar MA, Khorram E, et al. Homozygous TFG gene variants expanding the mutational and clinical spectrum of hereditary spastic paraplegia 57 and a review of literature. *J Hum Genet* 2021;66(10):973–981.
16. Blauwendraat C, Nalls MA, Singleton AB. The genetic architecture of Parkinson's disease. *Lancet Neurol* 2020;19(2):170–178.

*Plasticity and Resistance to Therapies, Lille, France*⁵*Department of Neurology, AZ Sint-Lucas, Bruges, Belgium*⁶*Department of Neurology, University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven, Belgium*⁷*Laboratory for Parkinson Research, Department of Neurosciences, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium*⁸*University of Lille, Inserm, CHU Lille, Department of Toxicology and Genopathies, UF Neurobiology, Lille, France*

Supporting Data

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article at the publisher's web-site.

Functional Analyses of Two Novel LRRK2 Pathogenic Variants in Familial Parkinson's Disease

Ilda Coku, MSc,¹ Eugénie Mutez, MD, PhD,^{1,2} Sabiha Eddarkaoui, MSc,¹ Sébastien Carrier, MSc,¹ Antoine Marchand, MSc,¹ Claire Deldycke, MSc,¹ Liesel Goveas, MSc,¹ Guillaume Baille, MD, PhD,² Mélissa Tir, MD,³ Romain Magnez, MSc,⁴ Xavier Thuru, PhD,⁴ Gaëlle Vermeersch, MD,⁵ Wim Vandenberghe, MD, PhD,^{6,7} Luc Buée, PhD,¹ Luc Defebvre, MD, PhD,^{1,2} Bernard Sablonnière, MD, PhD,^{1,8} Marie-Christine Chartier-Harlin, PhD,^{1†*} Jean-Marc Taymans, PhD,^{1†*} and Vincent Huin, MD, PhD^{1,8*}

¹University of Lille, Inserm, CHU Lille, U1172—LiNCog (JPARC)—Lille Neuroscience & Cognition, Lille, France ²University of Lille, Inserm, CHU Lille, Expert Center for Parkinson's Disease, Lille, France ³Department of Neurology and Expert Center for Parkinson's Disease, Amiens University Hospital, CHU Amiens-Picardie, Amiens, France ⁴University of Lille, CNRS, Inserm, CHU Lille, UMR9020-U1277—CANTHER—Cancer Heterogeneity

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Correspondence to: Dr. Vincent Huin, Lille Neuroscience & Cognition, Bâtiment Biserte, 1 Place de Verdun, F-59045, Lille, France; E-mail: vincent.huin@inserm.fr
Dr. Marie-Christine, CHARTIER-HARLIN Lille Neuroscience & Cognition, Bâtiment Biserte, 1 Place de Verdun, F-59045, Lille, France; E-mail: marie-christine.chartier-harlin@inserm.fr
Dr. Jean-Marc, TAYMANS Lille Neuroscience & Cognition, Bâtiment Biserte, 1 Place de Verdun, F-59045, Lille, France. E-mail: jean-marc.taymans@inserm.fr

[†]Marie-Christine Chartier-Harlin and Jean-Marc Taymans contributed equally to this work.

Received: 3 December 2021; Revised: 20 April 2022; Accepted: 13 May 2022

Published online 16 June 2022 in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com). DOI: 10.1002/mds.29124

ABSTRACT: Background: Pathogenic variants in the *LRRK2* gene are a common monogenic cause of Parkinson's disease. However, only seven variants have been confirmed to be pathogenic.

Objectives: We identified two novel *LRRK2* variants (H230R and A1440P) and performed functional testing.

Methods: We transiently expressed wild-type, the two new variants, or two known pathogenic mutants (G2019S and R1441G) in HEK-293 T cells, with or without *LRRK2* kinase inhibitor treatment. We characterized the phosphorylation and kinase activity of the mutants by western blotting. Thermal shift assays were performed to determine the folding and stability of the *LRRK2* proteins.

Results: The two variants were found in two large families and segregate with the disease. They display altered *LRRK2* phosphorylation and kinase activity.

Conclusions: We identified two novel *LRRK2* variants which segregate with the disease. The results of functional testing lead us to propose these two variants as novel causative mutations for familial Parkinson's disease. © 2022 The Authors. *Movement Disorders* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of International Parkinson and Movement Disorder Society

Key Words: Parkinson's disease; *LRRK2*; mutation; kinase; genetics

Introduction

Parkinson's disease (PD) is a neurodegenerative disorder characterized by the selective loss of dopaminergic neurons from the substantia nigra pars compacta associated with Lewy bodies rich in aggregated alpha-synuclein and lipids in surviving neurons.¹ Most cases are sporadic. However PD can be concentrated in certain families and/or have an early-onset (≤ 45 years). It can be caused by a monogenic form of the disease explaining $< 10\%$ of familial cases and a still lower frequency of apparently sporadic cases.^{2–4}

Pathogenic variants in the leucine-rich repeat kinase 2 (*LRRK2*) gene are among the most common genetic causes of familial and sporadic PD. Indeed, the G2019S pathogenic variant is the most frequent, with its

prevalence reaching up to 29% in Ashkenazi Jewish and 37% in North African Berber populations.⁵ More than 80 rare coding sequence variants in *LRRK2* have been reported to be linked to PD thus far,⁶ but only seven (ie, N1437H, R1441G, R1441C, R1441H, Y1699C, G2019S, and I2020T) (Fig. 1A) have been confirmed to be pathogenic and responsible for PD with a Mendelian inheritance.⁵ To date, all pathogenic variants are located in the kinase or Roc-COR domains.

The major hypothesis to explain the pathophysiology of *LRRK2* pathogenic variants in PD is a gain of function that induces an increase in kinase activity and hyperphosphorylation of the substrate proteins.^{7,8} Altered autophosphorylation of serine 1292⁹ and more significantly the increased Rab proteins phosphorylation have been observed in *LRRK2* pathogenic variants in cellulo and are indicators of kinase activity.⁸ Other biomarkers of *LRRK2* activity are the phosphorylation by other upstream kinases (i.e., hetero-phosphorylation) of a cluster of phosphorylation sites located between the ANK and LRR domains, including serines 935 and 910. These phosphorylations are reported to affect *LRRK2* properties, such as *LRRK2* complex formation, subcellular localization, and binding with 14-3-3 protein,^{10,11} but do not correlate with *LRRK2* kinase activity.

Here, we identified two novel *LRRK2* variants: H230R in the armadillo domain and A1440P in the ROC domain. We tested the kinase activity of these new variants in cellulo and assessed their thermal stability to demonstrate their pathogenicity.

Patients and Methods

Subjects

Two families displaying PD with an autosomal-dominant inheritance pattern were screened during targeted next-generation sequencing of PD genes in a diagnostic setting (Fig. 1B,C). We enrolled and sampled five affected patients (Fig. 1B). Patients underwent a detailed clinical evaluation in the department of Neurology and Expert Center for Parkinson's disease at the Lille, Amiens, Bruges, or Leuven Hospitals. Clinical diagnoses were reviewed according to the international diagnostic criteria for PD.¹² Extensive genetic analyses were performed to eliminate other genetic diseases (Supplementary material). All individuals gave their written informed consent. The study was conducted according to the French ethics regulations (Lille Ethics Committee, Protocole Convergence, CPP/2008/009).

Functional Testing

Briefly, we used a previously described plasmid construct^{13,14} for the wild-type (WT) human *LRRK2* (pLV-CSJ-3FLAG-LRRK2-WT) as a template to

introduce the two novel variants (H230R and A1440P) and two known pathogenic variants (G2019S and R1441G) as positive controls. We transiently expressed WT, or the *LRRK2* mutants, in HEK-293 T (human embryonic kidney cells that express the SV40 large T antigen) cells, with and without *LRRK2* kinase inhibitor.¹⁵ We performed western blot to assess *LRRK2* hetero- and auto-phosphorylation, and to characterize the phosphorylation of a known *LRRK2* substrate, RAB10 at threonine 73. Lastly, we purified the *LRRK2* proteins and performed thermal shift assay.

Additional methods are described in the Supplementary material.

Results

Genetic Analyses

Next-generation sequencing revealed two missense variants in *LRRK2* (NM_198578.3): c.689A>G, p.(His230Arg) in exon 6 in family 1 (Fig. 1B,D) and c.4318G>C, p.(Ala1440Pro) in exon 31 in family 2 (Fig. 1C,E). There were no pathogenic variants in the other PD genes. These variants were absent from databases of healthy individuals (gnomAD v3.1.1).¹⁶ The variant A1440P is located in a mutational hotspot in the Roc domain and multiple prediction tools (DANN, MutationTaster, FATHMM, GERP++, LRT, MetaLR, MetaSVM, PROVEAN, SIFT, Polyphen 2, MutationAssessor, and the Rare Exome Variant Ensemble Learner score) favored a deleterious effect. Segregation analyses showed the variant A1440P to be heterozygous in two affected cousins of the proband and the variant H230R to be heterozygous in the proband's second cousin affected with PD. Mutation prediction tools provided contradictory results. Co-segregation analyses in these two families provided a moderate level of evidence of pathogenicity.¹⁷ Details of clinical phenotypes and results from mutation prediction tools are provided in Supplementary data.

Kinase Activity

We first studied the phosphorylation of *LRRK2* by other kinases at serine 910 and 935 (Fig. 2A–D). In HEK-293 T cells transiently expressing WT or mutant forms of *LRRK2*, WB analyses showed a higher phosphorylation rate for G2019S than for WT at serine 910 (1.6-fold increase, $P = 0.005$) and serine 935 (2.1-fold increase, $P = 0.016$), whereas the R1441G mutant showed 2.5-fold lower phosphorylation at serine 910 ($P = 0.009$), as expected,^{11,18} and a nonsignificant decrease in phosphorylation at serine 935 ($P = 0.215$). The H230R mutant showed a slight but nonsignificant increase in phosphorylation at serine 910 ($P = 0.561$) and a 2.4-fold increase at serine 935 ($P = 0.003$), whereas the mutant A1440P showed 3.5-fold decreased




FIG. 1. Nature and position of two novel *LRRK2* pathogenic variants. (A) Schematic linear representation of *LRRK2* protein. The two novel pathogenic variants are indicated in bold above the protein and the seven known pathogenic mutants are indicated below the protein. Each domain of *LRRK2* is named: ARM, armadillo repeats; ANK, ankyrin repeats; LRR, leucine-rich repeats; ROC, Ras of complex proteins GTPase; COR, C-terminal of ROC; KIN, kinase; WD40, WD40 repeats. (B) Family tree of family 1, with the *LRRK2* H230R variant. (C) Family tree of family 2, with the *LRRK2* A1440P variant. The probands are denoted by a black arrow. Filled black symbols denote clinically affected members and open symbols indicate unaffected individuals. / = deceased, * = genotyped carrier, (*) = obligate carrier. The numbers under each individual correspond to the age of onset of PD. Family pedigrees have been anonymized for confidentiality. (D, E) Electropherograms of heterozygous pathogenic variants of *LRRK2* for NM_198578.3: c.689A>G, p.(His230Arg) (D) and NM_198578.3:c.4318G>C, p.(Ala1440Pro) (E).




FIG. 2. Comparison of phosphorylation sites in Parkinson's disease (PD)-associated mutants. Representative western blots and quantification of LRRK2 phosphorylation at serines 910 (**A**, **B**), 935 (**C**, **D**), and 1292 (**E**, **F**) in WT and LRRK2 mutants. Representative western blot (**G**) and quantification of RAB10 phosphorylation at threonine 73 (**H**) for the WT and LRRK2 mutants. Error bars indicate the standard deviation of replicates ($n = 3$). kDa = kilodalton. * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$, **** $P < 0.0001$.

phosphorylation at serine 910 ($P = 0.003$) and a slight but nonsignificant decrease in phosphorylation at serine 935 ($P = 0.319$).

We then studied the phosphorylation of serine 1292 (Fig. 2E-F), which is an indicator of *LRRK2* autophosphorylation and kinase activity. As expected, we observed higher phosphorylation of serine 1292 for the mutant G2019S (6.6-fold increase, $P < 0.0001$).⁹ R1441G showed no difference relative to WT ($P = 0.997$). The mutant H230R showed 2.8-fold greater phosphorylation at serine 1292 ($P = 0.013$), whereas A1440P showed no difference relative to WT ($P = 0.999$).

We next characterized the phosphorylation of a known *LRRK2* substrate, RAB10 at threonine 73. We do not observe in HEK-293 T cells a significant endogenous expression of *LRRK2*. Moreover, endogenous Rab10 is not phosphorylated at Thr73 in the absence of *LRRK2* in our cellular model (Fig. 2G, Fig. S1). We observed 3.1-fold higher phosphorylation for G2019S ($P = 0.029$) and 4.8-fold higher phosphorylation for R1441G ($P < 0.0006$). The rate of phosphorylation of RAB10 was approximately 3.1-fold higher for H230R and 3.7-fold higher for A1440P ($P < 0.026$ and $P = 0.007$, respectively) (Fig. 2G,H).

LRRK2 Inhibitor

Finally, we investigated the kinase activity of our mutants using MLI-2, a highly selective *LRRK2* kinase inhibitor, and observed how the inhibition of *LRRK2* protein kinase affects the phosphorylation of *LRRK2* or its substrate RAB10. We compared HEK-293T cells transiently expressing WT or mutant forms of *LRRK2* and treated with 100 nM MLI-2 or 0.01% DMSO for 1 h (Fig. S2). Under all conditions, the cells treated with MLI-2 showed less mean phosphorylation than those treated with DMSO. There was significantly less phosphorylation of serine 910 for G2019S ($P = 0.013$), serine 935 for H230R ($P = 0.003$) and G2019S ($P = 0.032$), and serine 1292 for H230R ($P = 0.042$) and G2019S ($P = 0.006$). Treatment with MLI-2 almost completely suppressed the phosphorylation of RAB10 at threonine 73 under all conditions (Fig. S3).

Discussion

We identified two novel potentially disease-causing variants of the *LRRK2* gene from large autosomal-dominant PD families. Unfortunately, we were unable to test the presence of the two new variants in all affected and unaffected family members. The genotypes of the parents and grandparents were only assumed. Therefore, the segregation analyses were limited despite the size of the families. Our segregation analyses combined with the characteristics of the variants (frequency

in databases, location in the gene, prediction tools) provide sufficient evidence to consider them to be at least “likely pathogenic” for A1440P according to the American College of Medical Genetics (ACMG) guidelines for the classification of genetic variants.¹⁹ Addition of functional analyses, segregation analyses, prediction tools, and the frequency of these variants in databases of healthy individuals reinforce the arguments in favor of a pathogenic effect.

LRRK2 A1440P shows phosphorylation rates at serine 910, 935, and 1292 similar to those of R1441G located at the adjacent position.^{9,11} Conversely, H230R shows a pattern of phosphorylation more similar to that of G2019S.^{9,11} All previously reported pathogenic *LRRK2* mutants show greater phosphorylation of Rab proteins.^{20,21} Similarly, we observed approximately two-fold greater phosphorylation of RAB10 at threonine 73 for both mutants than for WT *LRRK2*. These results are comparable with those of previous studies, suggesting that *LRRK2* kinase activity cannot be uniformly predicted by its autophosphorylation and cellular phosphorylation site status.²²

Limitations of our study are the use of a transient gene expression and the method of detection we used. Our study should be confirmed in other models as inducible stable expression system and/or using other quantification methods such as quantitative multiplexed immunoblot using fluorescently-labeled secondary antibodies or measurement of *LRRK2*-dependent Rab10 phosphorylation in patient-derived peripheral blood neutrophils by immunoblotting as well as by targeted mass spectrometry.²³

Given its location and the similar phosphorylation pattern of the mutated protein, the pathological effects of A1440P are likely to be similar to those of pathogenic variants located in the Roc-COR domain, such as R1441G. However, the pathophysiology and increased kinase activity of the H230R variant, located in the ARM domain, is less obvious. Another reported variant in this domain, A211V, also showed a slight increase in kinase activity.^{24,25} Kishore et al. identified A397T, G472R, and L550W variants but they did not describe the kinase activity of these rare variants.²⁶ Another rare variant, N551K, belonging to a protective haplotype (N551K-R1398H-K1423K) has been reported for PD patients^{27,28} but the mechanisms explaining how this haplotype confers neuroprotection in PD is not clear and it has not been functionally assessed. Only one transcriptomic study in *Drosophila melanogaster* has identified altered pathways associated with N551K, including alterations of the oxidoreductase pathway.²⁹ Structural analysis of full-length human *LRRK2* has shown that the ANK and LRR domains interact with the kinase domain but not the ARM domain, which shows flexibility relative to the rest of the protein.³⁰ Rab proteins

directly interact with LRRK2 via the ARM domain^{31,32} but the H230R variant is not located in the potential Rab-interacting regions of this domain (residues 386–392).³⁰ It has also been suggested that amino acid substitutions of the conserved ARM domain of LRRK2 enhance interactions with FADD and induce apoptosis via caspase-8.³³ Another study reported that LRRK2 interacts with Hsp90 via its ARM domain and then Hsp90 subsequently interacts with the E3 ubiquitin ligase CHIP to decrease LRRK2 CHIP-mediated degradation.³⁴ The ARM domain interacts with RAB7L1 (RAB29),³² a membrane-anchored RAB GTPase that recruits LRRK2 to the trans-Golgi network or lysosomes via the ANK domain and highly stimulates its kinase activity.³²

In conclusion, we have identified two novel *LRRK2* variants, H230R and A1440P, which segregate with the disease in large PD families. We show that H230R and A1440P alter the phosphorylation rates of LRRK2 and its ability to phosphorylate its substrate RAB10. Further studies on these rare potentially disease-causing variants should help us to better understand how LRRK2 dysfunction causes PD and may have implications for future treatment strategies against *LRRK2*-related disorders. ■

Acknowledgments: We are grateful to the patients and family members for their participation in this study.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Funding Information

This work was funded by the University of Lille, the “Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale” (INSERM), the Lille University Hospital, the Association des Aidants et Malades à Corps de Lewy (A2MCL) charity, and the France Alzheimer charity. This work was also supported by grants from the Programs d’Investissements d’Avenir LabEx (excellence laboratory) DISTALZ (Development of Innovative Strategies for a Transdisciplinary approach to ALZheimer’s disease), the ANR (Agence Nationale de Recherche, France) grant ANR-16-CE16-0012-02 MeTDePaDi, grant ANR-20-CE16-0008 Synapark, grant ANR-21-CE16-0003-01 PARK-PEP, Fondation de France (Maladie de Parkinson, R19199EK), France Parkinson (R16008), and The Michael J. Fox Foundation, grant numbers 6709.03, 10255.03, and 12938.04,

and the Protocole Hospitalier de Recherches Cliniques Convergence (CPP/2008/009). ■

Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding authors upon reasonable request.



References

- Goedert M, Spillantini MG, Del Tredici K, Braak H. 100 years of Lewy pathology. *Nat Rev Neurol* 2013;9(1):13–24.
- Klein C, Westenberger A. Genetics of Parkinson’s disease. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med* 2012;2(1):a008888
- Kumar KR, Djarmati-Westenberger A, Grünwald A. Genetics of Parkinson’s disease. *Semin Neurol* 2011;31(5):433–440.
- Trinh J, Farrer M. Advances in the genetics of Parkinson disease. *Nat Rev Neurol* 2013;9(8):445–454.
- Tolosa E, Vila M, Klein C, Rascol O. LRRK2 in Parkinson disease: challenges of clinical trials. *Nat Rev Neurol* 2020;16(2):97–107.
- Goveas L, Mutez E, Chartier-Harlin MC, Taymans JM. Mind the gap: LRRK2 phenotypes in the clinic vs. in patient cells. *Cell* 2021;10(5):981
- Steger M, Tonelli F, Ito G, Davies P, Trost M, Vetter M, et al. Phosphoproteomics reveals that Parkinson’s disease kinase LRRK2 regulates a subset of Rab GTPases. *elife* 2016;5:e12813
- Taylor M, Alessi DR. Advances in elucidating the function of leucine-rich repeat protein kinase-2 in normal cells and Parkinson’s disease. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 2020;63:102–113.
- Sheng Z, Zhang S, Bustos D, Kleinheinz T, Pichon C, Dominguez S, et al. Ser1292 autophosphorylation is an indicator of LRRK2 kinase activity and contributes to the cellular effects of PD mutations. *Sci Transl Med* 2012;4:164ra161
- Blanca Ramírez M, Ordóñez AJL, Fdez E, Madero-Pérez J, Gonnelli A, Drouyer M, et al. GTP binding regulates cellular localization of Parkinson’s disease-associated LRRK2. *Hum Mol Genet* 2017;26(14):2747–2767.
- Nichols RJ, Dzamko N, Morrice NA, Campbell DG, Deak M, Ordureau A, et al. 14-3-3 binding to LRRK2 is disrupted by multiple Parkinson’s disease-associated mutations and regulates cytoplasmic localization. *Biochem J* 2010;430(3):393–404.
- Postuma RB, Berg D, Stern M, Poewe W, Olanow CW, Oertel W, et al. MDS clinical diagnostic criteria for Parkinson’s disease. *Mov Disord* 2015;30(12):1591–1601.
- Drouyer M, Bolliger MF, Lobbstaël E, Van den Haute C, Emanuele M, Lefebvre R, et al. Protein phosphatase 2A holoenzymes regulate leucine-rich repeat kinase 2 phosphorylation and accumulation. *Neurobiol Dis* 2021;157:105426
- Marchand A, Sarchione A, Athanasopoulos PS, Roy HBL, Goveas L, Magnez R, et al. A Phosphosite mutant approach on LRRK2 links phosphorylation and dephosphorylation to protective and deleterious markers, respectively. *Cell* 2022;11(6):1018
- Fell MJ, Mirescu C, Basu K, Cheewatrakoolpong B, DeMong DE, Ellis JM, et al. MLI-2, a potent, selective, and centrally active compound for exploring the therapeutic potential and safety of LRRK2 kinase inhibition. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 2015;355(3):397–409.
- Karczewski KJ, Francioli LC, Tiao G, Cummings BB, Alfoldi J, Wang Q, et al. The mutational constraint spectrum quantified from variation in 141,456 humans. *Nature* 2020;581(7809):434–443.
- Jarvik GP, Browning BL. Consideration of cosegregation in the pathogenicity classification of genomic variants. *Am J Hum Genet* 2016;98(6):1077–1081.
- Sloan M, Alegre-Abarrategui J, Potgieter D, Kaufmann AK, Exley R, Deltheil T, et al. LRRK2 BAC transgenic rats develop

progressive, L-DOPA-responsive motor impairment, and deficits in dopamine circuit function. *Hum Mol Genet* 2016;25(5):951–963.

19. Richards S, Aziz N, Bale S, Bick D, Das S, Gastier-Foster J, et al. Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology. *Genet Med* 2015;17(5):405–423.
20. Kuwahara T, Iwatsubo T. The emerging functions of LRRK2 and Rab GTPases in the endolysosomal system. *Front Neurosci* 2020;14:227
21. Liu Z, Bryant N, Kumaran R, Beilina A, Abeliovich A, Cookson MR, et al. LRRK2 phosphorylates membrane-bound Rabs and is activated by GTP-bound Rab7L1 to promote recruitment to the trans-Golgi network. *Hum Mol Genet* 2018;27(2):385–395.
22. Reynolds A, Doggett EA, Riddle SM, Lebakken CS, Nichols RJ. LRRK2 kinase activity and biology are not uniformly predicted by its autophosphorylation and cellular phosphorylation site status. *Front Mol Neurosci* 2014;7:54. [cited 2021 Aug 4] Available from: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnmol.2014.00054/full>
23. Fan Y, Nirujogi RS, Garrido A, Ruiz-Martínez J, Bergareche-Yarza A, Mondragón-Rezola E, et al. R1441G but not G2019S mutation enhances LRRK2 mediated Rab10 phosphorylation in human peripheral blood neutrophils. *Acta Neuropathol (Berl)* 2021;142(3):475–494.
24. Melachroinou K, Leandrou E, Valkimadi PE, Memou A, Hadjigeorgiou G, Stefanis L, et al. Activation of FADD-dependent neuronal death pathways as a predictor of pathogenicity for LRRK2 mutations. *PLoS One* 2016;11(11):e0166053
25. Xiromerisiou G, Hadjigeorgiou GM, Gourbali V, Johnson J, Papakonstantinou I, Papadimitriou A, et al. Screening for SNCA and LRRK2 mutations in Greek sporadic and autosomal dominant Parkinson's disease: identification of two novel LRRK2 variants. *Eur J Neurol* 2007;14(1):7–11.
26. Kishore A, Ashok Kumar Sreelatha A, Sturm M, von Zweyendorf F, Pihlström L, Raimondi F, et al. Understanding the role of genetic variability in LRRK2 in Indian population: role of genetic variability in LRRK2. *Mov Disord* 2019;34(4):496–505.
27. Ross OA, Soto-Ortolaza AI, Heckman MG, Aasly JO, Abahuni N, Annesi G, et al. LRRK2 exonic variants and susceptibility to Parkinson's disease. *Lancet Neurol* 2011;10(10):898–908.
28. Tan EK, Peng R, Teo YY, Tan LC, Angeles D, Ho P, et al. Multiple LRRK2 variants modulate risk of Parkinson disease: a Chinese multicenter study. *Hum Mutat* 2010;31(5):561–568.
29. Toh J, Chua LL, Ho P, Sandanaraj E, Tang C, Wang H, et al. Identification of targets from LRRK2 rescue phenotypes. *Cell* 2021;10(1):76
30. Myasnikov A, Zhu H, Hixson P, Xie B, Yu K, Pitre A, et al. Structural analysis of the full-length human LRRK2. *Cell* 2021;184(13):3519–3527.e10.
31. McGrath E, Waschbüsch D, Baker BM, Khan AR. LRRK2 binds to the Rab32 subfamily in a GTP-dependent manner *via* its armadillo domain. *Small GTPases* 2021;12(2):133–146.
32. Purlyte E, Dhekne HS, Sarhan AR, Gomez R, Lis P, Wightman M, et al. Rab29 activation of the Parkinson's disease-associated LRRK2 kinase. *EMBO J* 2018;37(1):1–18.
33. Ho CCY, Rideout HJ, Ribe E, Troy CM, Dauer WT. The Parkinson disease protein leucine-rich repeat kinase 2 transduces death signals *via* Fas-associated protein with death domain and caspase-8 in a cellular model of neurodegeneration. *J Neurosci* 2009;29(4):1011–1016.
34. Ding X, Goldberg MS. Regulation of LRRK2 stability by the E3 ubiquitin ligase CHIP. *PLoS One* 2009;4(6):e5949

A 10-Year Community-Based Study of Leucine-Rich Repeat Kinase 2 G2385R Carriers' Conversion to Parkinson's Disease

Pei Wang, MD,¹  Jing Pan, MD, PhD,¹ Qi Luo, MD,² Jie Chen, MD,³ Huidong Tang, MD, PhD,¹ Shengdi Chen, MD, PhD,^{1*}  and Jianfang Ma, MD, PhD^{1*}

¹Department of Neurology and Institute of Neurology, Ruijin Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China ²Department of Pediatric Hematology-Oncology, Xinhua Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China ³Li Chiu Kong Family Sleep Assessment Unit, Department of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

ABSTRACT: Background: The G2385R variant of leucine-rich repeat kinase 2 (LRRK2) is mainly associated with Parkinson's disease (PD) in Asian populations.

Objective: The aim of this study was to investigate the PD conversion rate and clinical characteristics of LRRK2 G2385R nonmanifesting carriers.

Methods: All participants were from the community-based longitudinal cohort of Shanghai Ruijin Hospital. The G2385R carriers and noncarriers were screened by Sanger sequencing and received face-to-face interviews at baseline and follow-up assessments. The Kaplan–Meier method was used to compare the conversion rate of PD. Cox regression models were used to estimate the risk of G2385R variant for PD.

Results: In the combined cohort, 26 (7.9%) people developed PD in 329 carriers versus 9 (2.6%) in 345 noncarriers ($P = 0.0016$). Cox regression model confirmed that the G2385R variant was a strong risk factor for PD in a Chinese population older than 50 years

***Correspondence to:** Dr. Jianfang Ma, Department of Neurology & Institute of Neurology, Ruijin Hospital affiliated to Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Ruijin 2nd Road 197, Shanghai 200025, China; E-mail: mjf10924@rjh.com.cn

Dr. Shengdi Chen, Department of Neurology & Institute of Neurology, Ruijin Hospital affiliated to Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Ruijin 2nd Road 197, Shanghai 200025, China; E-mail: chensd@rjh.com.cn

Pei Wang and Jing Pan contributed equally to this study.

Relevant conflicts of interest/financial disclosures: Nothing to report.

Full financial disclosures and author roles may be found in the online version of this article.

Received: 14 October 2021; **Revised:** 20 April 2022; **Accepted:** 30 May 2022

Published online 22 June 2022 in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com). DOI: 10.1002/mds.29127

Supporting Data

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article at the publisher's web-site.