

doi: 10.1093/femsyr/fov053 Advance Access Publication Date: 30 June 2015 Minireview

MINIREVIEW

Looking beyond *Saccharomyces***: the potential of non-conventional yeast species for desirable traits in bioethanol fermentation**

Dorota Radecka^{1,[2,](#page-0-1)[†](#page-0-2)}, Vaskar Mukherjee^{[1](#page-0-0)[,2,](#page-0-1)[3,](#page-0-3)†}, Raquel Quintilla Mateo^{1,2,†}, Marija Stojiljkovic^{[1,](#page-0-0)[2](#page-0-1)}, María R. Foulquié-Moreno^{1,2} and Johan M. Thevelein^{1,[2,](#page-0-1)}*

1Laboratory of Molecular Cell Biology, Institute of Botany and Microbiology, Department of Biology, KU Leuven, Kasteelpark Arenberg 31, B-3001 Leuven-Heverlee, Flanders, Belgium, 2Department of Molecular Microbiology, VIB, Kasteelpark Arenberg 31, B-3001 Leuven-Heverlee, Flanders, Belgium and 3Laboratory for Process Microbial Ecology and Bioinspirational Management, Cluster for Bioengineering Technology (CBeT), Department of Microbial and Molecular Systems (M2S), KU Leuven, Campus De Nayer, B-2860 Sint-Katelijne-Waver, Flanders, Belgium

∗**Corresponding author:** Laboratory of Molecular Cell Biology, Institute of Botany and Microbiology, Department of Biology, KU Leuven, Kasteelpark Arenberg 31, B-3001 Leuven-Heverlee, Flanders, Belgium. Tel +32-16-32.15.07; Fax +32-16-32.19.79; E-mail: johan.thevelein@mmbio.vib-kuleuven.be †These authors contributed equally.

One sentence summary: Some non-conventional yeast species have excellent stress tolerance characteristics for industrial ethanol fermentations. **Editor:** Jens Nielsen

ABSTRACT

Saccharomyces cerevisiae has been used for millennia in the production of food and beverages and is by far the most studied yeast species. Currently, it is also the most used microorganism in the production of first-generation bioethanol from sugar or starch crops. Second-generation bioethanol, on the other hand, is produced from lignocellulosic feedstocks that are pretreated and hydrolyzed to obtain monomeric sugars, mainly D-glucose, D-xylose and L-arabinose. Recently, *S. cerevisiae* recombinant strains capable of fermenting pentose sugars have been generated. However, the pretreatment of the biomass results in hydrolysates with high osmolarity and high concentrations of inhibitors. These compounds negatively influence the fermentation process. Therefore, robust strains with high stress tolerance are required. Up to now, more than 2000 yeast species have been described and some of these could provide a solution to these limitations because of their high tolerance to the most predominant stress conditions present in a second-generation bioethanol reactor. In this review, we will summarize what is known about the non-conventional yeast species showing unusual tolerance to these stresses, namely *Zygosaccharomyces rouxii* (osmotolerance), *Kluyveromyces marxianus* and *Ogataea (Hansenula) polymorpha* (thermotolerance), *Dekkera bruxellensis* (ethanol tolerance), *Pichia kudriavzevii* (furan derivatives tolerance) and *Z. bailii* (acetic acid tolerance).

Keywords: yeasts; non-*Saccharomyces*; phenotype; stress tolerance; bioethanol

Received: 3 May 2015; **Accepted:** 10 June 2015

^C FEMS 2015. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/), which permits non-commercial reproduction and distribution of the work, in any medium, provided the original work is not altered or transformed in any way, and that the work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com **¹**

INTRODUCTION

Yeasts are one of the best-studied microbial groups in nature. More than a thousand unique yeast species have been described in the literature (Boekhout [2005\)](#page-7-0). Many of these species have been associated with human activity, such as the production of fermented beverages, for thousands of years (Sicard and Legras [2011\)](#page-11-0). Taxonomic analyses of the microbiota present in spontaneous alcoholic fermentation processes revealed huge yeast diversity with one yeast species dominating most of the fermentation processes, namely the ascomycetous yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (Pretorius [2000;](#page-10-0) Pando Bedrinana, Querol ˜ Simón and Suárez Valles [2010;](#page-10-1) Meersman *et al.* [2013;](#page-9-0) Bokulich *et al.* [2014;](#page-7-1) Steensels and Verstrepen [2014\)](#page-11-1). This species became the model organism for eukaryotic cell research, providing countless data and allowing enormous expansion of scientific knowledge (Botstein, Chervitz and Cherry [1997\)](#page-7-2). It was the first eukaryotic microbial species of which the whole genome was sequenced (Goffeau *et al.* [1996\)](#page-8-0). The millennia-long evolution conferred *S. cerevisiae* with the ability to proliferate both in aerobic and anaerobic conditions and to accumulate high concentrations of ethanol, which makes it an obvious choice as starter culture for food and beverage fermentations (Querol [2003\)](#page-10-2). However, more recent industrial applications, such as the production of bioethanol, confronts *S. cerevisiae* with new, very specific challenges that differ from those encountered in many food fermentations. They include different environmental stresses and tolerance against cytotoxic inhibitory com-pounds (Palmqvist and Hahn-Hägerdal [2000;](#page-10-3) Almeida, Modig and Petersson [2007;](#page-7-3) Basso, Basso and Rocha [2011;](#page-7-4) Taylor *et al.* [2012\)](#page-11-2). On top of that, there is strong pressure to improve the economic viability of second generation bioethanol production and this is bringing us to the limits of what *S. cerevisiae* can offer in terms of fermentation performance in lignocellulose hydrolysates. This motivated researchers to explore alternatives beyond the conventional *Saccharomyces* species.

Non-conventional yeasts present a huge, yet barely exploited, resource of yeast biodiversity. Many of these nonconventional yeast species exhibit industrially relevant traits such as the ability to utilize complex substrates as nutrients, extreme tolerance against stress and fermentation inhibitors. They developed specific mechanisms to survive under extreme environmental conditions. The evolution of most of these species was independent of that of *S. cerevisiae* (Souciet *et al.* [2009\)](#page-11-3) and therefore, it is widely speculated that most of these species possess novel and unique mechanisms that are not present in the model yeast. To date, most of them have been characterized as spoilage yeast due to their frequent isolation from contaminated foods and beverages (Kubaczka and Ge [1999;](#page-8-1) Martorell *et al.* [2007;](#page-9-1) Dujon [2010\)](#page-8-2). However, the next-generation sequencing technology and the advanced molecular engineering tools offer the possibility to reveal the underlying molecular basis of the superior stress tolerance of these nonconventional yeast species. In this review, we describe the phenotypic landscape of some of these non-conventional yeasts that are extremely tolerant to stresses commonly encountered during first- and second-generation bioethanol production, namely osmotic stress, ethanol stress, thermal stress and different fermentation inhibitor stresses. Additionally, we also discussed the available tools for genetic modification of these species. Together, our review provides an overview of the potential industrial application of these non-conventional yeast species.

Yeast cells are exposed to osmotic stress during industrial fermentation. Especially, the implementation of very high gravity (VHG) fermentation with initial sugar concentrations above 300 g L⁻¹ necessitates the introduction of osmotolerant yeasts (Watanabe *et al.* [2010;](#page-11-4) Puligundla *et al.* [2011;](#page-10-4) Tao *et al.* [2012;](#page-11-5) Pais *et al.* [2013\)](#page-9-2). Therefore, yeast's ability to sustain growth in high sugar or salt environments has been a trait of interest for decades. Molecular mechanisms responsible for osmotolerance in *S. cerevisiae* have been broadly described in previous studies (Mager and Varela [1993;](#page-9-3) Albertyn *et al.* [1994;](#page-7-5) Garay-Arroyo and Covarrubias [1999;](#page-8-3) Davis [2000;](#page-7-6) Hohmann [2002;](#page-8-4) Erasmus, Vandermerwe and Vanvuuren [2003;](#page-8-5) Wojda [2003\)](#page-11-6). While *S. cerevisiae* has remained the model organism to investigate the molecular basis of this trait, researchers identified extremely osmotolerant nonconventional yeasts, such as *Zygosaccharomyces rouxii* (Kubaczka and Ge [1999;](#page-8-1) Kinclová, Potier and Sychrová [2001;](#page-8-6) Martorell *et al.* [2007;](#page-9-1) Leandro *et al.* [2011\)](#page-9-4). Below we describe the physiological characteristics and the industrial potential of *Z. rouxii*.

Zygosaccharomyces rouxii

Zygosaccharomyces rouxii is a haploid yeast belonging to the hemiascomycetous yeast phylum. It is recognized as one of the most osmotolerant and halotoreant species, being able to grow up to 90% (w/v) of sugar concentrations (Martorell *et al.* [2007\)](#page-9-1), in contrast to *S. cerevisae* that remains viable only up to 50% (w/v) of sugar (Restaino *et al.* [1983;](#page-10-5) Mukherjee *et al.* [2014\)](#page-9-5), and able to grow in up to 3 M NaCl (Iwaki *et al.* [1998\)](#page-8-7), whereas halotolerant mutants of *S. cerevisiae* survive only up to 2 M NaCl (Gaxiola, Corona and Zinker [1996\)](#page-8-8). *Zygosaccharomyces rouxii* has been described as a spoilage yeast because of its frequent isolation from contaminated sugar- or salt-rich foods and beverages (Restaino *et al.* [1983;](#page-10-5) Martorell *et al.* [2007\)](#page-9-1). Nevertheless, it has also been used for vinegar and soy sauce production, where it plays an important role in flavor formation (Hamada *et al.* [1991\)](#page-8-9). Researchers identified a close phylogenetic relationship between *S. cerevisiae* and *Z. rouxii.* The divergence of this two species has occurred nearly 100 million years ago prior to the whole genome duplication event (Souciet *et al.* [2009;](#page-11-3) Dashko *et al.* [2014\)](#page-7-7).

Substantial efforts have been made to develop molecular tools for *Z. rouxii* genome modification (Table [1\)](#page-2-0) (Pribylova and Sychrova [2003;](#page-10-6) Pribylova, de Montigny and Sychrova [2007\)](#page-10-7). In addition to that, the Génolevures Consortium made public the sequence of *Z. rouxii* CBS 732 type strain (Souciet *et al.* [2009\)](#page-11-3), consisting of seven chromosomes with a total size of 9.8 Mb. This solved the controversy concerning the number of chromosomes and the genome size of this strain, either seven chromosomes with a total size of 12.8 Mb (Sychrova *et al.* [2000\)](#page-11-7) or six chromosomes with 12.7 Mb (Solieri *et al.* [2008\)](#page-11-8). However, sequencing data obtained from several other *Z. rouxii* isolates suggests high genomic diversity among the strains of this species (Souciet *et al.* [2009\)](#page-11-3).

Using *S. cerevisiae* as model yeast, it has been established that plasma membrane transport systems are involved in the response to elevated salt conditions. Moreover, it has been found that all yeast species with plasma membrane antiporters possess at least one antiporter with broad specificity for both Na⁺ and K^+ (or their analogues Li^+ and Rb^+) (Potier, Sychrova and Kinclova [2001;](#page-10-8) Papouskova and Sychrova [2006;](#page-10-9) Velkova and Sychrova [2006\)](#page-11-9). Initially, *Z. rouxii* was considered to be an exception and was believed to possess only one type of plasma membrane antiporter, ZrSod2-22p, capable of Na⁺ (Li⁺) extrusion.

Species	Glucose	Salt	Temp	Ethanol	5-HMF	Acetic acid	Tools for genetic manipulation	Sequenced strains and accession number
Zygosaccharomyces rouxii	$90\% (w/v)$	3M NaCl					(a) Efficient homologous recombination (b) Modified yeast-based plasmids	CBS 732 - NCBI 012990
Kluyveromyces marxianus			52° C				Non-Homologous End Joining-mediated integrative transformation	DMB1-NCBI BBIL00000000 CBS 6556
Ogataea polymorpha			50° C				(a) Expression vectors with different inducible and constitutive promoters	CBS4732 (CCY38-22-2; ATCC34438, NRRL-Y-5445), NCYC495 (CBS1976; ATAA14754, NRLL-Y-1798) and DL-1 (NRRL-Y-7560; ATCC26012
							(b) Large rage of selectable markers (c) Non-homologous and homologous recombination	
Dekkera bruxellensis			$>35^{\circ}$ C	10-16%			Modified transformation protocols	AWRI1499- AHIQ0100000 CBS2499-NCBI SRR065689
Issatchenkia orientalis	48% (w/v)	0,85M NaCl	$<$ 45 $\rm ^{\circ}$ C		$7 g L^{-1}$			M12- ALNQ00000000
Zygosaccharomyces bailii	60% (w/v)		40° C			$24 g L^{-1}$	Homologous recombination	CBS 680 - ISA1307

Table 1. Overview of available genetic tools and summary of stress resistance of all discussed species.

However, Sychrova *et al.* [\(2000\)](#page-11-7) refuted this assumption and reported that the isolation of ZrNha1, which based on sequence homology with *S. cerevisae* Nha1, could represent a *Z. rouxii* antiporter with broad substrate specificity (Pribylova, Papouskova and Sychrova [2008\)](#page-10-10). Experimental data have shown that ZrNha1 is indeed capable of efficient K^+ and moderate Na⁺ transport, thus playing a more complex role in *Z. rouxii* physiology. Moreover, ZrSod2–22 antiporter was confirmed to only transport Na⁺, which indicates that it plays a role in cell detoxification. Based on their observations, Pribylova, Papouskova and Sychrova [\(2008\)](#page-10-10) concluded that *S. cerevisae* and *Z. rouxii* have different strategies to deal with salt stress.

Investigations to identify the mechanisms behind the extraordinary resistance to high sugar concentrations of *Z. rouxii* have resulted in the identification of two plasma membrane sugar transporters: ZrFfz1 and ZrFfz2. Interestingly, they are displaying different substrate preference, as ZrFfz1 is showing high specificity for fructose transport, while ZrFfz2 is mostly involved in glucose transport. Moreover, both ZrFfz1 and ZrFfz2 are phylogenetically distant from other known fungal sugar transporters, which might be one of the reasons for the unique resistance to high sugar conditions (Leandro *et al.* [2011\)](#page-9-4).

Moreover, *Z. rouxii* can survive a wide range of pH at a high glucose concentration (Tokuoka [1993\)](#page-11-10). However, low pH resistance combined with high glucose concentration is dependent on the pH-reducing agent. For instance, *Z. rouxii* is more sensitive to citric acid, commonly used as food preservative, than to HCl (pH 3–5 vs pH 1.5, respectively) (Restaino *et al.* [1983;](#page-10-5) Tokuoka [1993;](#page-11-10) Membré, Kubaczka and Chéné [1999\)](#page-9-6). Interestingly, when 0.5% acetic acid was combined with a high salt concentration (18%), the growth of *Z. rouxii* was significantly inhibited, probably due to the reduction of proton expulsive activity (Kusumegi, Yoshida and Tomiyama [1998\)](#page-9-7).

The unusual phenotype of *Z. rouxii* makes it an interesting candidate for industrial application, such as already done for soy sauce fermentation (Schoondermark-stolk *et al.* [2002\)](#page-11-11). It is also used as dry starter for miso fermentation (Sujaya *et al.* [2003\)](#page-11-12).

THERMOTOLERANCE

Thermotolerance is a highly desirable trait for fermenting microorganisms used in fuel ethanol production. Efficient bioethanol production requires high-temperature conditions (approximately 50◦C) for the enzymatic saccharification of the

biomass prior to fermentation (Tabka *et al.* [2006\)](#page-11-13). Moreover, high-temperature fermentation lowers cooling costs, particularly in tropical countries where average day-time temperatures are usually high throughout the year (Anderson, Mcneil and Watson [1986\)](#page-7-8). It is also believed that high-temperature fermentation conditions decrease the risk of contamination. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is the most broadly used microorganism in current fuel ethanol production processes. However, its limited temperature tolerance (optimum range for fermentation: 25– 37◦C) increases the cost of ethanol production (Nonklang *et al.* [2008;](#page-9-8) Abdel-Banat *et al.* [2010\)](#page-6-0). In order to reach efficient fermentation in high-temperature conditions, it is necessary to obtain a thermotolerant microorganism that cannot only survive elevated temperatures but also produces efficiently ethanol at high temperature (Limtong, Sringiew and Yongmanitchai [2007\)](#page-9-9). This explains the high interest in understanding the molecular mechanisms enabling certain non-conventional yeast species of producing and accumulating ethanol under high thermal stress.

Kluyveromyces marxianus

Kluyveromyces marxianus is well known for its extreme thermotolerance. It has been reported to grow at 47◦C (Limtong, Sringiew and Yongmanitchai [2007\)](#page-9-9), 49◦C and even up to 52◦C (Banat, Nigam and Marchant [1992\)](#page-7-9) and to produce ethanol at temperatures above 40◦ (Kourkoutas *et al.* [2002;](#page-8-10) Limtong, Sringiew and Yongmanitchai [2007;](#page-9-9) Nonklang *et al.* [2008\)](#page-9-8). *Kluyveromyces marxianus* is not only thermotolerant but also offers additional benefits including a high growth rate and the ability to utilize a wide variety of industrially relevant substrates such as sugar cane, corn silage juice, molasses and whey powder. It has also been used for recombinant protein (Nonklang *et al.* [2008\)](#page-9-8) and industrial enzyme production, such as inulinase (Rouwenhorst *et al.* [1988\)](#page-10-11) and β-galactosidase (Martins *et al.* [2002\)](#page-9-10).

Kluyveromyces marxianus was first described in 1888 by E.C. Hansen, being named *S. marxianus*. Numerous strains have been isolated since, mostly from cheese and other dairy products. Strains of the *Kluyveromyces* genus have the ability to mate and produce fertile hybrids, both intraspecies and interspecies hybrids. Frequent isolation of these hybrids leads to difficulties in identifying a distinct species. This problem has been addressed by DNA reassociation studies (Fuson, Presley and Phaff [1987;](#page-8-11) Martini *et al.* [1987;](#page-9-11) Llorente [2000\)](#page-9-12). *Kluyveromyces marxianus* shows a high intraspecies polymorphism with a common species-specific pattern (Belloch *et al.* [1998\)](#page-7-10). The strain *K. marxianus* CBS 6556 (KCTC 17555 = ATCC 26548) has been sequenced and a genome size of 10.9 Mb has been estimated (Jeong *et al.* [2012\)](#page-8-12).

The metabolism of *K. marxianus* has been described as respirofermentative. Interestingly, *K. marxianus* along with its sister species *K. lactis* is traditionally classified as 'Crabtree negative' yeasts. It has been suggested that such a contradiction might be due to highly divergent phenotypes among isolates (Lane *et al.* [2011\)](#page-9-13). In contrast to *S. cerevisiae*, *K. marxianus* is able to utilize xylose, xylitol, cellobiose, lactose and arabinose both on solid and liquid media (Nonklang *et al.* [2008\)](#page-9-8). It has a demonstrated ability to ferment glucose between 30 and 45◦C. At 30◦C, it achieved similar levels of ethanol yield and glucose consumption as *S. cerevisiae* while at 45◦C, *S. cerevisiae* was unable to ferment (Nonklang *et al.* [2008\)](#page-9-8). Previous reports described the temperature tolerance range of several *K. marxianus* isolates, with most able to grow at 42◦C and only few up to 48◦C (Nonklang *et al.* [2008;](#page-9-8) Abdel-Banat *et al.* [2010;](#page-6-0) Lane *et al.* [2011;](#page-9-13) Hu *et al.* [2012\)](#page-8-13)

The mechanisms behind this extreme temperature tolerance are unknown up to date. In the last decade, the availability of tools for genetic modification of *K. marxianus* is increasing (Table [1\)](#page-2-0) (Kegel *et al.* [2006;](#page-8-14) Pecota, Rajgarhia and Da Silva [2007;](#page-10-12) Nonklang *et al.* [2008;](#page-9-8) Abdel-Banat *et al.* [2010;](#page-6-0) Lee *et al.* [2013;](#page-9-14) Yarimizu *et al.* [2013;](#page-12-0) Hoshida *et al.* [2014\)](#page-8-15), which will bring new opportunities to uncover the molecular basic of this unique phenotype.

Ogataea polymorpha

*Ogataea polymorpha (*syn. *Hansenula polymorpha* and *Pichia angusta*) together with *K. marxianus* one of the two yeast species having strains is able to grow at temperatures higher than 50◦C (Shin, Hong and Bae [1996;](#page-11-14) Péter et al. [2007\)](#page-10-13). It is also one of the few yeast species designated as methylotrophic since it can utilize methanol as sole carbon and energy source (Ogata, Nishikawa and Ohsugi [1969;](#page-9-15) Kurtzman [2011;](#page-8-16) Yurimoto, Oku and Sakai [2011\)](#page-12-1).

The mechanism behind this high-temperature tolerance is not yet elucidated. It is known that, similarly to other fungi, *O. polymorpha* accumulates trehalose and expresses heat shock proteins (Hsps) under heat shock conditions (Reinders *et al.* [1999;](#page-10-14) Guerra *et al.* [2005\)](#page-8-17), although this response is suppressed under hypoxia unlike *S. cerevisiae*. After heat shock, *O. polymorpha* experiences a cell cycle arrest that is longer than in *S. cerevisiae* (Guerra *et al.* [2005\)](#page-8-17). Moreover, in contrast to *S. cerevisiae*, *TPS1* gene deletion, which encodes the initial enzyme of trehalose synthesis, does not cause lack of growth in glucose-containing media. However, *tps1* A mutants are more sensitive to heat shock than wild-type strains (Reinders *et al.* [1999\)](#page-10-14).

Because of its temperature tolerance and its ability to ferment xylose and cellobiose to ethanol, *O. polymorpha* has been suggested as a potential microorganism to be used in simultaneous saccharification and fermentation (SSF) (Ryabova, Chmil and Sibirny [2003\)](#page-11-15). Nevertheless, the ethanol yield is not high enough for economic feasibility. In order to address this problem, a combination of metabolic engineering and classical selection is currently used to improve ethanol production of *O. polymorpha* (Ishchuk *et al.* [2008,](#page-8-18) [2009;](#page-8-19) Kurylenko *et al.* [2014\)](#page-8-20). The best-performing strain accumulated 10 g L^{-1} of ethanol at 45◦C in semi-aerobic conditions (Kurylenko *et al.* [2014\)](#page-8-20).

Currently, *O. polymorpha* is a preferred host for recombinant protein production since it has strong methanol-inducible promoters (Hartner and Glieder [2006\)](#page-8-21), secretes proteins efficiently (van Dijk *et al.* [2000\)](#page-11-16) and produces less hyperglycosylated proteins compared to *S. cerevisiae* (Kim *et al.* [2013\)](#page-8-22). In addition to its application in industry, *O. polymorpha* is also used as a model system in fundamental research; especially in the study of methanol metabolism (van Zutphen *et al.* [2010\)](#page-11-17), peroxisome biogenesis and degradation (Veenhuis *et al.* [1992\)](#page-11-18), and nitrate transport and assimilation (Avila *et al.* [1995;](#page-7-11) Siverio [2002\)](#page-11-19).

Because of its relevance in the industry and in basic research, genetic tools have been developed (Table [1\)](#page-2-0) (Saraya *et al.* [2012\)](#page-11-20). Several strains of this species have been sequenced (Table [1\)](#page-2-0) (Ramezani-Rad *et al.* [2003;](#page-10-15) Ravin *et al.* [2013\)](#page-10-16). The genome of the strain DL-1 showed about 10% sequence divergence to the other two strains (Hanson, Byrne and Wolfe [2014\)](#page-8-23). This difference in sequence supports the previous reclassification of the DL-1 in a separate species named *O. parapolymorpha* (James and Stratford [2011\)](#page-8-24).

ETHANOL TOLERANCE

Saccharomyces cerevisiae has been well described as the most ethanol-tolerant yeast species. Approximately 100 million and, thereby, accumulate ethanol. Most of the non-conventional yeast species did not undergo these molecular events along their course of evolution and they lack efficient fermentation performance under industrial conditions. However, several individual studies identified strains of non-conventional yeast species, such as *Dekkera bruxellensis, Pichia kudriavzevii, Schizo saccharomycespombe, Torulaspora delbrueckii* and *Wickerhamomyces anomala*, with promising fermentative features and similar ethanol tolerance levels as those of *S. cerevisiae* (Galafassi *et al.* [2011;](#page-8-25) Zha *et al.* [2013;](#page-12-2) Mukherjee *et al.* [2014;](#page-9-5) Ruyters *et al.* [2015\)](#page-11-21). Among these species, *D. bruxellensis* has been described as one of the most promising alternative yeasts in terms of ethanol tolerance and production. Both *S. cerevisiae* and *D. bruxellensis* share at least some molecular features responsible for this trait: duplication of its alcohol dehydrogenase-encoding ADH genes (reviewed in Piskur and Langkjaer [2004;](#page-10-17) Piškur et al. [2006\)](#page-10-18) and promoter rewiring where the cis-regulatory motif (AATTTT) was absent in the respirationassociated genes and present at the conserved position in the rapid growth-associated genes (<mark>Rozpędowska *e*t a</mark>l. 2011). Some essential characteristics of *D. bruxellensis* that could be mined for industrial application are discussed below.

Dekkera bruxellensis

Dekkera (anomorph *Brettanomyces*) yeasts are often isolated from similar niches as *Saccharomyces* yeasts e.g. beer, wine and cider and are generally considered as spoilage yeasts due to their contribution towards increasing phenolic off flavors in beer and wine (Piškur et al. [2012;](#page-10-20) Echeverrigaray et al. [2013\)](#page-8-26). However, secondary fermentation with the same species brings a characteristic flavor profile for certain specialty beers, such as lambic beers (reviewed by Schifferdecker *et al.* [2014\)](#page-11-22).

During the course of evolution, the *Dekkera* lineage separated from that of the *Saccharomyces* clade over 200 million years ago (Procházka *et al.* [2010;](#page-10-21) Rozpędowska *et al.* 2011). Nevertheless, the *Dekkera* clade demonstrates unusual resemblance with *S. cerevisiae* in terms of physiological traits. Interestingly, both are facultative anaerobic and crabtree positive, petite positive (i.e. able to produce offspring without mitochondrial DNA), tolerant to ethanol, able to produce and accumulate high levels of ethanol and able to grow in acidic environments <mark>(Rozpędowska</mark> *et al.* [2011;](#page-10-19) Piškur *et al.* [2012\)](#page-10-20). These two lineages shared similar niches containing large amounts of sugars and finally acquired similar traits independently. Therefore, the study of Rozpędowska *et al. (2011) proposed that a parallel evolution* took place. Wijsman *et al.* [\(1984\)](#page-11-23) reported a complex pattern of substrate consumption and metabolite production profile for *D. bruxellensis*. Firstly, glucose is dissimilated to ethanol and acetic acid, then most of the ethanol is oxidized to acetic acid and finally the acetic acid produced in the previous phases is converted to CO₂ and water during the lag phase.

The presence of *D. bruxellensis* in wine fermentation clearly indicates a high ethanol tolerance of this species (Renouf *et al.* [2006;](#page-10-22) Piškur et al. [2012;](#page-10-20) Echeverrigaray et al. [2013\)](#page-8-26). Strains isolated from wine fermentation showed ethanol tolerance between 10 and 16% (v/v) (Echeverrigaray *et al.* [2013\)](#page-8-26). Moreover, several studies reported that the ethanol yield of *D. bruxellensis* in batch culture under anaerobic conditions is comparable to that of *S. cerevisiae* and its close relatives (Galafassi *et al.* [2011;](#page-8-25) Rozp [edowska](#page-10-19) *et al.* 2011). *Dekkera bruxellensis* demonstrated an ability to 'compete' with *S. cerevisiae* and emerged as the dominant ethanol-producing microbe in industrial ethanol plants (de Souza Liberal *et al.* [2007;](#page-7-12) Passoth, Blomqvist and Schnürer [2007\)](#page-10-23) presumably because of its advantage over *S. cerevisiae* in nitrate assimilation during industrial fermentation processes (de Barros Pita *et al.* [2011\)](#page-7-13). Whole genome sequencing and analysis confirmed the enrichment in transporters, enzymes associated with nitrogen and lipid metabolism and along with oxidoreductase enzymes, which could explain its ability to survive in high ethanol environments as well as in anaerobic conditions when the regeneration of NAD(P)+ is impaired (Woolfit *et al.* [2007;](#page-11-24) Curtin *et al.* [2012\)](#page-7-14).

Dekkera bruxellensis accumulates acetic acid, being reported as more acetic acid tolerant than S. cerevisiae (Rozpędowska et al. [2011\)](#page-10-19). However, it is rather thermosensitive, with 30°C being its optimal temperature for biomass production and being thermosensitive already at 35◦C (Brandam *et al.* [2008;](#page-7-15) Taillandier *et al.* [2014\)](#page-11-25). In addition, Aguilar-Uscanga *et al.* [\(2011\)](#page-6-1) investigated the effect of different initial glucose concentrations on growth and ethanol production and noticed that up to 93 g L^{-1} the growth and ethanol production rate remains optimal.

Unlike *S. cerevisiae*, genetic modification of *D. bruxellensis* is difficult. Making simple hybrids and performing basic transformation is still a major hurdle with this species because of its extremely complex genome. Major progress was reported by Miklenić *et al.* [\(2013\)](#page-9-16). In this study, a modified LiAc/PEG electroporation method was used for transformation of *D. bruxellensis*, with a transformation efficiency ranging from 0.6 to 20 transformants/ μ g. In addition, the newest sequencing technology provides a robust platform to investigate further the genomic organization of this species and identify the causative genes or mutations that are responsible for superior traits. There is huge intraspecies karyotype polymorphism (from 4 to at least 9) and, moreover, it could not be described as haploid or diploid due to the high frequency of polymorphic sites (approximately 1%) in its genome (Hellborg and Piskur [2009\)](#page-8-27). The same study also estimated the genome size of *D. bruxellensis* in the range of 20–30 Mb by pulsed-field electrophoresis of several European strains. However, the *de novo* assembly of the *D. bruxellensis* AWRI1499 genome under the assumption of a diploid strain yielded a 12.7 Mb assembly (Curtin *et al.* [2012\)](#page-7-14). To date, also the strain CBS2499 has been sequenced and the genome is publicly available (Table [1\)](#page-2-0) (Piškur et al. [2012\)](#page-10-20). Furthermore, short read sequences of three other *D. bruxellensis* strains have recently become publicly available, namely VIB X9085 ST05.12/22 (Crauwels *et al.* [2014\)](#page-7-16) and AWRI1608 and AWRI1613 (Borneman *et al.* [2014\)](#page-7-17).

FURAN DERIVATIVE TOLERANCE

The hydrolysate obtained from second-generation biomass and used for bioethanol production is highly complex. A number of byproducts, cytotoxic to yeast, are released during pretreatment (reviewed in Taylor *et al.* [2012\)](#page-11-2). The composition and concentration of these inhibitory compounds greatly vary depending on the nature of the feedstock and pretreatment method (Zha, Muilwijk and Coulier [2012\)](#page-12-3). During pretreatment and enzymatic hydrolysis, the hemicellulose fraction of the biomass is decomposed into different hexose sugars such as Dglucose, D-galactose, D-mannose and D-rhamnose, as well as pentose sugars, including D-xylose and L-arabinose (reviewed by Palmqvist and Hahn-Hägerdal [2000;](#page-10-3) Almeida, Modig and Petersson [2007\)](#page-7-3). The cellulose fraction hydrolyzes to glucose. At high temperature and pressure, hexose and pentose sugars are degraded to hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF) and furfural, respectively, due to dehydration (Jing and Lü [2008\)](#page-8-28). HMF and

furfural are known to have damaging effects on RNA, DNA, proteins and membranes even at low concentrations (Janzowski *et al.* [2000;](#page-8-29) Lin, Qiao and Yuan [2009\)](#page-9-17). Detoxification of these inhibitory compounds is highly expensive and, therefore, furantolerant yeast strains are more practical to improve industrial second-generation bioethanol fermentation performance. Researchers invested considerable efforts to reveal the molecular basis of superior HMF tolerance in *S. cerevisiae*. It has been suggested that at least three MAPK-signalling pathways have a role in mediating HMF tolerance in *S. cerevisiae*, especially the cell-wall integrity pathway, and the phosphatidylinositol signalling pathways (Zhou *et al.* [2014\)](#page-12-4). Moreover, the disruption of *SIZ1*, a gene encoding an E3 SUMO-protein ligase, confers a significant increase in furfural tolerance in comparison to other previously reported metabolic engineering strategies in *S. cerevisiae* (Xiao and Zhao [2014\)](#page-12-5). However, so far little has been revealed regarding the molecular basis of superior furan tolerance of certain non-conventional yeast species, namely *W. anomalus, P. kudriavzevii, Candida stellata, C. ethanolica, P. fermentans* and *Z. bailii* (Mukherjee *et al.*, unpublished observations). Among these species, *P. kudriavzevii* has been reported to withstand more than 7gL−¹ of 5-HMF (Ruyters *et al.* [2015\)](#page-11-21) and is often studied for hightemperature industrial bioethanol fermentation (Dhaliwal *et al.* [2011;](#page-8-30) Kwon *et al.* [2011;](#page-9-18) Isono *et al.* [2012;](#page-8-31) Oberoi *et al.* [2012\)](#page-9-19). Therefore, in this review we discuss the physiological characteristics and the industrially relevant properties of *P. kudriavzevii*.

Pichia kudriavzevii

Pichia kudriavzevii (syn *Issatchenkia orientalis*) has been isolated from a variety of niches, including sourdough (Meroth, Hammes and Hertel [2003\)](#page-9-20), cocoa bean fermentation (Dandi *et al.* [2009\)](#page-7-18), mango pulp peel compost (Dandi, Dandi and Chaudhari [2013\)](#page-7-19), Champús-a Colombian cereal-based beverage (Osorio-Cadavid *et al.* [2008\)](#page-9-21), fermented butter, Tanzanian fermented togwa, fermented pineapple juice (Chan *et al.* [2012\)](#page-7-20), soil (Mukherjee *et al.* [2014\)](#page-9-5), sugar cane juice (Dhaliwal *et al.* [2011;](#page-8-30) Oberoi *et al.* [2012\)](#page-9-19), cornstalk, sweet sorghum stalk and rice straw (Kwon *et al.* [2011\)](#page-9-18). This indicates the ability of *P. kudriavzevii* to grow on complex substrates. The study of Oberoi *et al.* [\(2012\)](#page-9-19) confirmed that *P. kudriavzevii* can grow on glucose, sucrose, fructose and mannose but it only weakly assimilates galactose. However, it does not metabolize sugars like maltose, xylose, arabinose, cellobiose, raffinose or trehalose. A recent study described *P. kudriavzevii* as a crabtree-negative yeast species (Schnierda *et al.* [2014\)](#page-11-26).

Several studies revealed the extremely robust physiology of *P. kudriavzevii*. Its tolerance to furan derivatives has been reported in two studies. The result of Kwon *et al.* [\(2011\)](#page-9-18) showed that *P. kudriavzevii* is highly tolerant to up to 3 g L−¹ furfural, it displays sensitivity from 5 g L−¹ and gets completely inhibited at 7 g L−1. The same study reported that it was able to tolerate up to 5 g L^{-1} of 5-HMF without any major growth inhibition but was completely inhibited by 7 g L^{-1} of 5-HMF. However, the study of Ruyters *et al.* [\(2015\)](#page-11-21) showed that for certain strains the tolerance limit can exceed 7 g L−¹ of 5-HMF. *Pichia kudriavzevii* is also tolerant to several other fermentation inhibitory compounds relevant to second-generation bioethanol production. For example, it tolerates concentrations of acetic acid of up to 8–10 g L−¹ (Oberoi *et al.* [2012;](#page-9-19) Dandi, Dandi and Chaudhari [2013\)](#page-7-19). It has also been reported to be tolerant to up to 2 g L−¹ of formic acid (Dandi, Dandi and Chaudhari [2013\)](#page-7-19) and between 1.8 and 2 g L⁻¹ of vanillin (Kwon et al. [2011;](#page-9-18) Dandi, Dandi and Chaudhari [2013\)](#page-7-19). This species has also been characterized for tolerance to several other environmental stress factors that are relevant to

bioethanol production. For example, it has often been identified as a thermotolerant, ethanologenic yeast species (Dhaliwal *et al.* [2011;](#page-8-30) Kwon *et al.* [2011;](#page-9-18) Isono *et al.* [2012;](#page-8-31) Oberoi *et al.* [2012\)](#page-9-19). It is more efficient than *S. cerevisiae* in ethanol production at temperatures higher than 35◦C and can ferment at up to 45◦C (Oberoi *et al.* [2012\)](#page-9-19). *Pichia kudriavzevii* can grow at extremely low pH conditions (down to pH 2) (Daniel *et al.* [2009;](#page-7-18) Kitagawa and Tokuhiro [2010\)](#page-8-32). Moreover, it achieves 20% more ethanol yield compared to *S. cerevisiae* under low pH conditions (pH 4) (Oberoi *et al.* [2012\)](#page-9-19). The same study also identified the salt and sugar tolerance of this species and reported that *P. kudriavzevii* can tolerate 5% (w/v) of NaCl (0.85M) and 40% (w/v) of glucose. This agrees with the results of Ruyters *et al.* [\(2015\)](#page-11-21), which confirmed the ability of a soil isolate of *P. kudriavzevii* to grow at 48% (w/v) of glucose. This species has also been evaluated for ethanol tolerance and can tolerate up to 12% (v/v) (Ruyters *et al.* [2015\)](#page-11-21) or 15% (v/v) (Daniel *et al.* [2009\)](#page-7-18) ethanol.

The tools and technologies for genome engineering of *P. kudriavzevii* are extremely limited. Only recently, the draft genome of *P. kudriavzevii* (M12 strain) has been determined and annotated in order to exploit the full potential of this multitolerant species by understanding the genetic organization and metabolic pathways (Chan *et al.* [2012\)](#page-7-20). The genome sequence has been deposited at DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank (Table [1\)](#page-2-0). To date, the only attempt of genome engineering was performed by Kitagawa *et al.* [\(2010\)](#page-8-32). This study successfully constructed a β-glucosidase expression system in the *P. kudriavzevii* MF-121 strain for efficient conversion of cellobiose to ethanol.

ACETIC ACID TOLERANCE

Tolerance to weak acids is crucial for industrial yeast strains used in second-generation bioethanol production. During acid pretreatment of lignocellulose raw material, acetic acid is the most abundant weak acid generated, with a concentration ranging between 5 and 10 g L−¹ (Martinez *et al.* [2001;](#page-9-22) Qian *et al.* [2006;](#page-10-24) Villarreal *et al.* [2006;](#page-11-27) Chandel *et al.* [2007\)](#page-7-21). It is produced when the acetyl groups of the hemicellulose are released during pretreatment. Weak acids are known to have a cytotoxic effect. They enter the yeast cells in the non-dissociated form by passive diffusion through the plasma membrane and possibly also through the Fps1 aquaglyceroporin channel (Mollapour and Piper [2007\)](#page-9-23). Once inside the cell, acetic acid dissociates into acetate and a proton due to the neutral pH of the cytoplasm. The protons accumulate and acidify the cytosol causing detrimental effects on cell metabolism (Arneborg, Jespersen and Jakobsen [2000;](#page-7-22) Brett *et al.* [2005\)](#page-7-23), such as the inhibition of the glycolytic enzymes (Pampulha and Loureiro-Dias [1990\)](#page-10-25) and the NADH dehydrogenase (Ding *et al.* [2009\)](#page-8-33). Subsequently, the low intracellular pH inhibits yeast growth, prolongs lag phase and reduces ethanol production (Limtong *et al.* [2000;](#page-9-24) Cantarella *et al.* [2004\)](#page-7-24). Therefore, the development of robust weak acid-tolerant strains is of primary importance for industrial bioethanol fermentations.

In the food industry, acetic acid is commonly used as a preservative since it inhibits the growth of yeasts and molds (Lambert and Stratford [1999\)](#page-9-25). Nevertheless, there are yeasts that can grow in those harsh conditions. This is the case for *Z. bailli*, which is the most acetic acid-tolerant species currently described (Lindberg *et al.* [2013\)](#page-9-26).

Zygosaccharomyces bailii

Zygosaccharomyces bailii is often reported in association with food spoilage due to its resistance to weak acid preservatives

(Martorell *et al.* [2007;](#page-9-1) James and Stratford [2011;](#page-8-24) Stratford *et al.* [2013\)](#page-11-28) and ability to grow at pH 2 (Praphailong and Fleet [1997\)](#page-10-26). In addition, it can adapt to high temperatures and sugar concentrations with maximum reported growth in 60% (w/v) glucose at 40◦C (Pitt and Hocking [2009\)](#page-10-27). This species demonstrates a much higher tolerance to acetic acid than *S. cerevisiae*. At a concentration of 24 g L⁻¹ acetic acid, the reduction in μ max (maximum specific growth rate) in *Z. bailii* is comparable to the one in *S. cerevisiae* at a concentration of 9 g L−¹ acetic acid (pH 5) (Lindberg *et al.* [2013\)](#page-9-26).

Zygosaccharomyces bailii is a Crabtree-positive yeast and it is described as fructophilic (Pina *et al.* [2004\)](#page-10-28). The efficiency of ethanol fermentation with *Z. bailii* under aerobic conditions is dependent on the available carbon source. In glucose cultures, ethanol is produced at a lower rate, while in fructose a higher rate and higher yield were reported (Merico *et al.* [2003\)](#page-9-27). It can grow anaerobically in complex media but not in simple defined media, even when they are supplemented with unsaturated fatty acids and sterols (Rodrigues *et al.* [2001\)](#page-10-29). The high tolerance of *Z. bailii* to acetic acid has been related to different mechanisms. One of them is the ability to simultaneously consume acetic acid and glucose (Sousa, Rodrigues and Crte-real [1998\)](#page-11-29). On the contrary, active acetic acid transport is repressed by glucose in *S. cerevisiae* (Cassio, Leao and van Uden [1987\)](#page-7-25). Nevertheless, some commercial *S. cerevisiae* wine strains are able to consume simultaneously both substrates (Vilela-Moura *et al.* [2008\)](#page-11-30). Other mechanisms proposed are the ability of *Z. bailii* to maintain proper intracellular pH (Prudêncio, Sansonetty and Côrte-Real [1998\)](#page-10-30) and to rearrange its lipid composition (Lindberg *et al.* [2013\)](#page-9-26) in the presence of acetic acid. The basal level of complex sphingolipids was significantly higher in *Z. bailii* than in *S. cerevisiae*, further emphasizing the proposed link between lipid saturation, high sphingolipid levels and acetic acid tolerance.

Because of the properties described above, *Z. bailii* was suggested as a suitable host for the production of heterologous proteins and organic acids (Branduardi *et al.* [2004;](#page-7-26) Vigentini *et al.* [2005;](#page-11-31) Dato *et al.* [2010\)](#page-7-27). Moreover, inulinases naturally produced by *Z. bailii* can hydrolyze inuline rich media resulting in glucose and fructose syrups, which can be further used in bioethanol production and biodesulfurization processes (Paixão et al. [2013\)](#page-10-31).

Most of the genetic tools available for *S. cerevisiae* could be optimized for *Z. bailii* (Table [1\)](#page-2-0) (Mollapour and Piper [2001;](#page-9-28) Branduardi, Dato and Porro [2014\)](#page-7-28). Furthermore, *Z. bailii* is a diploid yeast (Mollapour and Piper [2001;](#page-9-28) Rodrigues *et al.* [2004\)](#page-10-32) and one of the major challenges for its genetic modification is the impossibility to obtain stable *Z. bailii* haploid strains (Branduardi, Dato and Porro [2014\)](#page-7-28). Recently, the strain CBS 680 (Galeote *et al.* [2013\)](#page-8-34) and a hybrid strain (ISA1307) (Table [1\)](#page-2-0) (Mira *et al.* [2014\)](#page-9-29) have been sequenced. The neotype strain CBS 680 does not show some of the common traits of the species and, therefore, CBS 685 (NCYC 563) and NCYC 1766 have been suggested as better representatives (James and Stratford [2011\)](#page-8-24).

CONCLUSIONS

Saccharomyces cerevisiae is one of the best known currently used industrial species. However, to be used in secondgeneration bioethanol production, it still has to overcome a variety of stresses present during the process that damage cell metabolism and consequently reduce the ethanol yield and the fermentation rate. Despite the efforts made in engineering *S. cerevisiae* to mitigate these detrimental effects, *S. cerevisiae* unfortunately still has its limitations. On the other hand, less studied yeasts, known as non-conventional yeast species, present better tolerance to some of these stresses and could potentially be used as model organisms to study the molecular basis of these tolerances in order to further develop *S. cerevisiae*. One of the examples is high osmotic stress, especially in VHG bioethanol fermentation. A species that can cope with this environment much better than *S. cerevisiae* is *Z. rouxii*, which is able to grow in salt concentrations of 3 M NaCl and sugar concentrations up to 90% due to its unique plasma membrane sugar transporters (Leandro *et al.* [2011\)](#page-9-4). The other essential trait for efficient bioethanol production is the tolerance to temperatures of up to 50◦C. This is important in SSF in which the enzymes active in the hydrolysis have an optimal temperature of 55◦C (Olofsson, Bertilsson and Lidén [2008\)](#page-9-30). This temperature is quite distant from the optimal fermentation temperature of *S. cerevisiae*, which ranges between 25◦C and 37◦C (Nonklang *et al.* [2008;](#page-9-8) Abdel-Banat *et al.* [2010\)](#page-6-0). However, engineered strains from the species *K. marxianus* and *O. polymorpha* have been reported to ferment xylose at 45◦C, but with an ethanol yield which is still far from industrially profitable (Kurylenko *et al.* [2014\)](#page-8-20).

Presence of inhibitors such as acetic acid and furan derivatives in the second-generation bioethanol hydrolysate is another impediment towards efficient ethanol fermentation by *S. cerevisiae*. The yeast species so far described to be the most acetic acid tolerant is *Z. bailii.* It can grow at a concentration as high as 24 g L−¹ while *S. cerevisiae* shows a comparable growth at a concentration of 9 g L−¹ (Lindberg *et al.* [2013\)](#page-9-26). Regarding furan derivatives resistance, *P. kudriavzevii* seems to be tolerant to concentrations of 5-HMF as high as 7 g L^{-1} . Finally, the tolerance to ethanol is a crucial limiting factor in bioethanol production. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* seems to be the most resistant species to this stress but some research groups have reported that *D. bruxellensis* is also an ethanol-tolerant yeast since it can handle concentrations ranging from 10 from 16% (v/v). The genomes of all these non-conventional yeasts have been sequenced and for most of them a range of genetic tools is currently available. Nevertheless, the molecular mechanisms underlying the tolerance of these species to these stress conditions remain poorly investigated for all of them.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Mekonnen Demeke for the graphical abstract picture of spruce lignocellulose hydrolysate.

FUNDING

Original research from our group referred to in this review has been supported by SBO grants (IWT 90043 and IWT 140044) from IWT-Flanders, the EC 7th Framework program (CORNU-COPIA project), IOF-Knowledge platform (IKP/10/002 ZKC 1836) and BOF-Program financing (project NATAR) to JMT.

Conflict of interest. None declared.

REFERENCES

- Abdel-Banat BMA, Hoshida H, Ano A, *et al.* High-temperature fermentation: how can processes for ethanol production at high temperatures become superior to the traditional process using mesophilic yeast? *Appl Microbiol Biot* 2010;**85**: 861–7.
- Aguilar-Uscanga MG, Garcia-Alvarado Y, Gomez-Rodriguez J, *et al.* Modelling the growth and ethanol production of

Brettanomyces bruxellensis at different glucose concentrations. *Lett Appl Microbiol* 2011;**53**:141–9. [http://www.ncbi.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21575020) [nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21575020](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21575020) (11 November 2014, date last accessed).

- Albertyn J, Hohmann S, Thevelein JM, *et al.* GPD1, which encodes glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, is essential for growth under osmotic stress in Saccharomyces cerevisiae, and its expression is regulated by the high-osmolarity glycerol response pathway. *Mol Cell Biol* 1994;**14**:4135–44.
- Almeida RM, Modig T, Petersson A. Increased tolerance and conversion of inhibitors in lignocellulosic hydrolysates by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *J Chem Technol Biot* 2007;**82**: 340–9.
- Anderson PJ, Mcneil K, Watson K. High-efficiency carbohydrate fermentation to high-efficiency carbohydrate fermentation to ethanol at temperatures above 40◦C by *Kluyveromyces marxianus* var. *marxianus* isolated from Sugar Mills. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1986;**51**:1314–20.
- Arneborg N, Jespersen L, Jakobsen M. Individual cells of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and Zygosaccharomyces exhibit different short-term intracellular pH responses to acetic acid. *Arch Microbiol* 2000;**174**:125–8. <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s002030000185> (26 June 2015, date last accessed).
- Avila J, Pérez MD, Brito N, et al. Cloning and disruption of the YNR1 gene encoding the nitrate reductase apoenzyme of the yeast *Hansenula polymorpha*. *FEBS Lett* 1995;**366**:137–42.
- Banat IM, Nigam P, Marchant R. Isolation of thermotolerant, fermentative yeasts growing at 52◦C and producing ethanol at 45◦C and 50◦C. *World J Microb Biot* 1992;**8**:259–63.
- Basso LC, Basso TO, Rocha SN. Ethanol Production in Brazil: The Industrial Process and Its Impact on Yeast Fermentation. In: dos Santos Bernardes MA (ed). *Biofuel production—recent developments and prospects*. InTech 2011, 1530, DOI: 10.5772/17047.
- Belloch C, Barrio E, García MD, *et al.* Inter- and intraspecific chromosome pattern variation in the yeast genus *Kluyveromyces*. *Yeast* 1998;**14**:1341–54.
- Boekhout T. Gut feeling for yeasts. *Nature* 2005;**434**:449–51.
- Bokulich NA, Thorngate JH, Richardson PM, *et al.* Microbial biogeography of wine grapes is conditioned by cultivar, vintage, and climate. *P Natl Acad Sci USA* 2014;**111**:E139–48. [http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=](http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3890796&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract) [3890796&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract](http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3890796&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract) (28 April 2014, date last accessed).
- Borneman AR, Zeppel R, Chambers PJ, *et al.* Insights into the *Dekkera bruxellensis* genomic landscape: comparative genomics reveals variations in ploidy and nutrient utilisation potential amongst wine isolates. *PLoS Genet* 2014;**10**:e1004161. [http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=](http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3923673&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract) [3923673&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract](http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3923673&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract) (5 November 2014, date last accessed).
- Botstein D, Chervitz SA, Cherry JM. Yeast as a model organism. *Science* 1997;**277**:1259–60.
- Brandam C, Castro-Martínez C, Délia ML, et al. Effect of temperature on *Brettanomyces bruxellensis*: metabolic and kinetic aspects. *Can J Microbiol* 2008;**54**:11–8.
- Branduardi P, Dato L, Porro D. Molecular tools and protocols for engineering the acid-tolerant yeast *Zygosaccharomyces bailii* as a potential cell factory. *Method Mol Biol* 2014;**1152**:63–85.
- Branduardi P, Valli M, Brambilla L, *et al.* The yeast *Zygosaccharomyces bailii*: a new host for heterologous protein production, secretion and for metabolic engineering applications. *FEMS Yeast Res* 2004;**4**:493–504.
- Brett CL, Tukaye DN, Mukherjee S, *et al.* The yeast endosomal Na+(K+)/H+ exchanger Nhx1 regulates cellular pH to control vesicle trafficking. *Mol Biol Cell* 2005;**16**: 1396–405.
- Cantarella M, Cantarella L, Gallifuoco A, *et al.* Effect of inhibitors released during steam-explosion treatment of poplar wood on subsequent enzymatic hydrolysis and SSF. *Biotechnol Prog* 2004;**20**:200–6.
- Cassio F, Leao C, van Uden N. Transport of lactate and other short-chain monocarboxylates in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Appl Environ Microb* 1987;**53**:509–13.
- Chan GF, Gan HM, Ling HL, *et al.* Genome sequence of Pichia kudriavzevii M12, a potential producer of bioethanol and phytase. *Eukaryot Cell* 2012;**11**:1300–1. [http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=](http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3485917&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract) [3485917&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract](http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3485917&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract) (7 November 2014, date last accessed).
- Chandel AK, Kapoor RK, Singh A, *et al.* Detoxification of sugarcane bagasse hydrolysate improves ethanol production by *Candida shehatae* NCIM 3501. *Bioresource Technol* 2007;**98**: 1947–50.
- Crauwels S, Zhu B, Steensels J, *et al.* Assessing genetic diversity among Brettanomyces yeasts by DNA fingerprinting and whole-genome sequencing. *Appl Environ Microb* 2014;**80**:4398–413. [http://www.ncbi.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24814796) [nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24814796](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24814796) (5 November 2014, date last accessed).
- Curtin CD, Borneman AR, Chambers PJ, *et al.* Denovo assembly and analysis of the heterozygous triploid genome of the wine spoilage yeast *Dekkera bruxellensis* AWRI1499. *PLoS One* 2012;**7**:e33840. [http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=](http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3314683&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract) [3314683&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract](http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3314683&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract) (11 November 2014, date last accessed).
- Dandi ND, Dandi BN, Chaudhari AB. Bioprospecting of thermoand osmo-tolerant fungi from mango pulp-peel compost for bioethanol production. *Anton Leeuw* 2013;**103**:723–36. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23180376> (7 November 2014, date last accessed).
- Daniel H-M, Vrancken G, Takrama JF, *et al.* Yeast diversity of Ghanaian cocoa bean heap fermentations. *FEMS Yeast Res* 2009;**9**:774–83. [http://www.ncbi.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19473277) [nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19473277](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19473277) (14 October 2014, date last accessed).
- Dashko S, Zhou N, Compagno C, *et al.* Why, when, and how did yeast evolve alcoholic fermentation? *FEMS Yeast Res* 2014;**14**:826–32. [http://www.ncbi.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24824836) [nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24824836](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24824836) (25 October 2014, date last accessed).
- Dato L, Branduardi P, Passolunghi S, *et al.* Advances in molecular tools for the use of *Zygosaccharomyces bailii* as host for biotechnological productions and construction of the first auxotrophic mutant. *FEMS Yeast Res* 2010;**10**: 894–908.
- Davis D. Osmotic pressure of fungal compatible osmolytes. *Mycol Res* 2000;**104**:800–4.
- De Barros Pita W, Leite FCB, de Souza Liberal AT, *et al.* The ability to use nitrate confers advantage to *Dekkera bruxellensis* over *S. cerevisiae* and can explain its adaptation to industrial fermentation processes. *Anton Leeuw* 2011;**100**:99–107. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21350883> (10 March 2015, date last accessed).
- De Souza Liberal AT, Basílio ACM, do Monte Resende A, *et al.* Identification of *Dekkera bruxellensis* as a major

contaminant yeast in continuous fuel ethanol fermentation. *J Appl Microbiol* 2007;**102**:538–47. [http://www.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17241360) [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17241360](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17241360) (9 November 2014, date last accessed).

- Dhaliwal SS, Oberoi HS, Sandhu SK, *et al.* Enhanced ethanol production from sugarcane juice by galactose adaptation of a newly isolated thermotolerant strain of Pichia kudriavzevii. *Bioresource Technol* 2011;**102**:5968–75. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21398115> (7 November 2014, date last accessed).
- Ding J, Huang X, Zhang L, *et al.* Tolerance and stress response to ethanol in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Appl Microbiol Biot* 2009;**85**:253–63. [http://www.ncbi.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19756577) [nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19756577](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19756577) (22 August 2013, date last accessed).
- Dujon B. Yeast evolutionary genomics. *Nat Rev Genet* 2010;**11**:512–24.
- Echeverrigaray S, Randon M, daSilva K, *et al.* Identification and characterization of non-saccharomyces spoilage yeasts isolated from Brazilian wines. *World J Microb Biot* 2013;**29**: 1019–27. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23355138> (7 November 2014, date last accessed).
- Erasmus D, Vandermerwe G, Vanvuuren H. Genome-wide expression analyses: metabolic adaptation to high sugar stress. *FEMS Yeast Res* 2003;**3**:375–99.
- Fuson GB, Presley HL, Phaff HJ. Deoxyribonucleic acid base sequence relatedness among members of the yeast genus Kluyveromyces. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* 1987:**37**:371–9.
- Galafassi S, Merico A, Pizza F, *et al.* Dekkera/Brettanomyces yeasts for ethanol production from renewable sources under oxygen-limited and low-pH conditions. *J Ind Microbiol Biot* 2011;**38**:1079–88. [http://www.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20936422) [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20936422](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20936422) (7 November 2014, date last accessed).
- Galeote V, Bigey F, Devillers H, *et al.* Genome sequence of the food spoilage yeast *Zygosaccharomyces bailii* CLIB 213T. *Genome Announc* 2013;**1**:e00606–13.
- Garay-Arroyo A, Covarrubias AAA. Three genes whose expression is induced by stress in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Yeast* 1999;**15**:879–92.
- Gaxiola R, Corona M, Zinker S. A halotolerant mutant of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *J Bacteriol* 1996;**178**:2978–81.
- Goffeau A, Barrell BG, Bussey H, *et al.* Life with 6000 Genes. *Science (80-)* 1996;**274**:546–67.
- Guerra E, Chye PP, Berardi E, *et al.* Hypoxia abolishes transience of the heat-shock response in the methylotrophic yeast *Hansenula polymorpha*. *Microbiology* 2005;**151**: 805–11.
- Hamada T, Sugishita M, Fukushima Y, *et al.* Continuous production of soy sauce by a bioreactor system. *Process Biochem* 1991;**26**:39–45.
- Hanson SJ, Byrne KP, Wolfe KH. Mating-type switching by chromosomal inversion in methylotrophic yeasts suggests an origin for the three-locus Saccharomyces cerevisiae system. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2014;**111**:E4851–8.
- Hartner FS, Glieder A. Regulation of methanol utilisation pathway genes in yeasts. *Microb Cell Fact* 2006;**5**:39.
- Hellborg L, Piskur J. Complex nature of the genome in a wine spoilage yeast, *Dekkera bruxellensis*. *Eukaryot Cell* 2009;**8**: 1739–49. [http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.](http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2772400&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract) [fcgi?artid=2772400&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract](http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2772400&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract) (5 March 2015, date last accessed).
- Hohmann S. Osmotic stress signaling and osmoadaptation in yeasts. *Microbiol Mol Biol R* 2002;**66**:300–72.
- Hoshida H, Murakami N, Suzuki A, *et al.* Non-homologous end joining-mediated functional marker selection for DNA cloning in the yeast *Kluyveromyces marxianus*. *Yeast* 2014;**31**:29–46.
- Hu N, Yuan B, Sun J, *et al.* Thermotolerant *Kluyveromyces marxianus* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains representing potentials for bioethanol production from Jerusalem artichoke by consolidated bioprocessing. *Appl Microbiol Biot* 2012;**95**: 1359–68.
- Ishchuk OP, Voronovsky AY, Abbas CA, *et al.* Construction of *Hansenula polymorpha* strains with improved thermotolerance. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 2009;**104**:911–9.
- Ishchuk OP, Voronovsky AY, Stasyk OV, *et al.* Overexpression of pyruvate decarboxylase in the yeast *Hansenula polymorpha* results in increased ethanol yield in high-temperature fermentation of xylose. *FEMS Yeast Res* 2008;**8**:1164–74.
- Isono N, Hayakawa H, Usami A, *et al.* A comparative study of ethanol production by *Issatchenkia orientalis* strains under stress conditions. *J Biosci Bioeng* 2012;**113**:76–8. [http://www.](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S138917231100377X) [sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S138917231100377X](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S138917231100377X) (20 February 2014, date last accessed).
- Iwaki T, Higashida Y, Tsuji H, *et al.* Characterization of a second gene (ZSOD22) of Na+/H +antiporter from salt-tolerant yeast *Zygosaccharomyces rouxii* and functional expression of ZSOD2 and ZSOD22 in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Yeast* 1998;**14**: 1167–74.
- James SA, Stratford M. Chapter 84 - Zygosaccharomyces. In: Boekhout CPKWF (ed). *The Yeasts*, 5th edn. London: Elsevier, 2011, 937–47.
- Janzowski C, Glaab V, Samimi E, *et al.* 5-Hydroxymethylfurfural: assessment of mutagenicity, DNA-damaging potential and reactivity towards cellular glutathione. 2000;**38**:801–9.
- Jeong H, Lee D-H, Kim SH, *et al.* Genome sequence of the thermotolerant yeast *Kluyveromyces marxianus* var. marxianus KCTC 17555. *Eukaryot Cell* 2012;**11**:1584–5.
- Jing Q, Lü X. Kinetics of non-catalyzed decomposition of glucose in high-temperature liquid water. *Chinese J Chem Eng* 2008;**16**:890–4.
- Kegel A, Martinez P, Carter SD, *et al.* Genome wide distribution of illegitimate recombination events in *Kluyveromyces lactis*. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2006;**34**:1633–45.
- Kim HR, Kim J, Bai D, *et al.* Microbiological characteristics of wild yeast strain Pichia anomala Y197–13 for brewing *Makgeolli*. *Mycobiology* 2013;**41**:139–44.
- Kinclová O, Potier S, Sychrová H. The Zygosaccharomyces rouxii strain CBS732 contains only one copy of the HOG1 and the SOD2 genes. *J Biotechnol* 2001;**88**:151–8.
- Kitagawa T, Tokuhiro K. Construction of a β -glucosidase expression system using the multistress-tolerant yeast *Issatchenkia orientalis*. *Appl Microbiol Biot* 2010;**87**:1841–53.
- Kourkoutas Y, Dimitropoulou S, Kanellaki M, *et al.* Hightemperature alcoholic fermentation of whey using *Kluyveromyces marxianus* IMB3 yeast immobilized on deligni -^R ed cellulosic material. *Bioresource Technol* 2002;**82**: 177–81.
- Kubaczka M, Ge L De. Combined effects of pH and sugar on growth rate of *Zygosaccharomyces rouxii*, a bakery product spoilage yeast. *Society* 1999;**65**:4921–5.
- Kurtzman CP. A new methanol assimilating yeast, Ogataea parapolymorpha, the ascosporic state of *Candida parapolymorpha*. *Anton Leeuw* 2011;**100**:455–62.
- Kurylenko OO, Ruchala J, Hryniv OB, *et al.* Metabolic engineering and classical selection of the methylotrophic thermotolerant yeast *Hansenula polymorpha* for improvement of

high-temperature xylose alcoholic fermentation. *Microb Cell Fact* 2014;**13**:122.

- Kusumegi K, Yoshida H, Tomiyama S. Inhibitory effects of acetic acid on respiration and growth of *Zygosaccharomyces rouxii*. *J Ferment Bioeng* 1998;**85**:213–7.
- Kwon Y-J, Ma A-Z, Li Q, *et al.* Effect of lignocellulosic inhibitory compounds on growth and ethanol fermentation of newly-isolated thermotolerant *Issatchenkia orientalis*. *Bioresource Technol* 2011;**102**:8099–104. [http://www.](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S096085241100839X) [sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S096085241100839X](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S096085241100839X) (20 February 2014, date last accessed).
- Lambert RJ, Stratford M. Weak-acid preservatives: modelling microbial inhibition and response. *J Appl Microbiol* 1999;**86**: 157–64.
- Lane MM, Burke N, Karreman R, *et al.* Physiological and metabolic diversity in the yeast *Kluyveromyces marxianus*. *Anton Leeuw* 2011;**100**:507–19.
- Leandro MJ, Sychrová H, Prista C, et al. The osmotolerant fructophilic yeast *Zygosaccharomyces rouxii* employs two plasmamembrane fructose uptake systems belonging to a new family of yeast sugar transporters. *Microbiology* 2011;**157**:601–8.
- Lee K-S, Kim J-S, Heo P, *et al.* Characterization of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* promoters for heterologous gene expression in *Kluyveromyces marxianus*. *Appl Microbiol Biot* 2013;**97**:2029–41.
- Limtong S, Sringiew C, Yongmanitchai W. Production of fuel ethanol at high temperature from sugar cane juice by a newly isolated *Kluyveromyces marxianus*. *Bioresource Technol* 2007;**98**:3367–74.
- Limtong S, Sumpradit T, Kitpreechavanich V, *et al.* Effect of acetic acid on growth and ethanol fermentation of xylose fermenting yeast and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Kasetsart J (Natural Sci)* 2000;**34**:64–73.
- Lin F-M, Qiao B, Yuan Y-J. Comparative proteomic analysis of tolerance and adaptation of ethanologenic *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* to furfural, a lignocellulosic inhibitory compound. *Appl Environ Microb* 2009;**75**:3765–76. <http://aem.asm.org/cgi/doi/10.1128/AEM.02594-08> (11 March 2015, date last accessed).
- Lindberg L, Santos AXS, Riezman H, *et al.* Lipidomic profiling of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Zygosaccharomyces bailii* reveals critical changes in lipid composition in response to acetic acid stress. *PLoS One* 2013;**8**:e73936.
- Llorente B. Genomic exploration of the hemiascomycetous yeasts: 12. *Kluyveromyces marxianus* var. marxianus. *FEBS Lett* 2000;**487**:71–5.
- Mager WH, Varela JCS. Osmostress response of the yeast Saccharomyces. *Mol Microbiol* 1993;**10**:253–8.
- Martinez A, Rodriguez ME, Wells ML, *et al.* Detoxification of dilute acid hydrolysates of lignocellulose with lime. *Biotechnol Prog* 2001;**17**:287–93.
- Martini ANNV, Martini A, Vegetale B, *et al.* Taxonomic revision of the yeast genus Kluyveromyces by nuclear deox yribonucleic acid reassociation. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* 1987;**44**: 380–5.
- Martins DBG, de Souza CG, Simões DA, et al. The betagalactosidase activity in *Kluyveromyces marxianus* CBS6556 decreases by high concentrations of galactose. *Curr Microbiol* 2002;**44**:379–82.
- Martorell P, Stratford M, Steels H, *et al.* Physiological characterization of spoilage strains of *Zygosaccharomyces bailii* and *Zygosaccharomyces rouxii* isolated from high sugar environments. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2007;**114**:234–42.
- Meersman E, Steensels J, Mathawan M, *et al.* Detailed analysis of the microbial population in Malaysian

spontaneous cocoa pulp fermentations reveals core and variable microbiota. *PLoS One* 2013;**8**:e81559. [http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=](http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3864809&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract) [3864809&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract](http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3864809&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract) (6 May 2014, date last accessed).

- Membré JM, Kubaczka M, Chéné C. Combined effects of pH and sugar on growth rate of *Zygosaccharomyces rouxii*, a bakery product spoilage yeast. *Appl Environ Microb* 1999;**65**: 4921–5.
- Merico A, Capitanio D, Vigentini I, *et al.* Aerobic sugar metabolism in the spoilage yeast *Zygosaccharomyces bailii*. *FEMS Yeast Res* 2003;**4**:277–83.
- Meroth CB, Hammes WP, Hertel C. Identification and population dynamics of Yeasts in sourdough fermentation processes by PCR-denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis. *Appl Environ Microb* 2003;**69**:7453–61.
- Miklenić M, Štafa A, Bajic A, et al. Genetic transformation of the yeast *Dekkera/Brettanomyces bruxellensis* with nonhomologous DNA. *J Microbiol Biotechnol* 2013;**23**:674–80.
- Mira NP, Münsterkötter M, Dias-Valada F, et al. The genome sequence of the highly acetic acid-tolerant *Zygosaccharomyces bailii*-derived interspecies hybrid strain ISA1307, isolated from a sparkling wine plant. *DNA Res* 2014;**21**:299–313.
- Mollapour M, Piper PW. Targeted gene deletion in *Zygosaccharomyces bailii*. *Yeast* 2001;**18**:173–86.
- Mollapour M, Piper PW. Hog1 Mitogen-activated protein kinase phosphorylation targets the yeast Fps1 aquaglyceroporin for endocytosis, thereby rendering cells resistant to acetic acid. *Mol Cell Biol* 2007;**27**:6446–56.
- Mukherjee V, Steensels J, Lievens B, *et al.* Phenotypic evaluation of natural and industrial Saccharomyces yeasts for different traits desirable in industrial bioethanol production. *Appl Microbiol Biot* 2014;**98**:9483– 98. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25267160> (29 October 2014, date last accessed).
- Nonklang S, Abdel-Banat BMA, Cha-aim K, *et al.* High-temperature ethanol fermentation and transformation with linear DNA in the thermotolerant yeast Kluyveromyces marxianus DMKU3–1042. *Appl Environ Microb* 2008;**74**:7514–21. [http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=](http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2607150&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract) [2607150&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract](http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2607150&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract) (10 September 2013, date last accessed).
- Oberoi HS, Babbar N, Sandhu SK, *et al.* Ethanol production from alkali-treated rice straw via simultaneous saccharification and fermentation using newly isolated thermotolerant *Pichia kudriavzevii* HOP-1. *J Ind Microbiol Biot* 2012;**39**:557–66. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22131104> (7 November 2014, date last accessed).
- Ogata K, Nishikawa H, Ohsugi M. A yeast capable of utilizing methanol. *Agric Biol Chem* 1969;**33**:1519–20.
- Olofsson K, Bertilsson M, Liden G. A short review on SSF—an in- ´ teresting process option for ethanol production from lignocellulosic feedstocks. *Biotechnol Biofuels* 2008;**1**:7.
- Osorio-Cadavid E, Chaves-López C, Tofalo R, et al. Detection and identification of wild yeasts in Champús, a fermented Colombian maize beverage. *Food Microbiol* 2008;**25**:771–7. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18620968> (7 November 2014, date last accessed).
- Pais TM, Foulquié-Moreno MR, Hubmann G, et al. Comparative polygenic analysis of maximal ethanol accumulation capacity and tolerance to high ethanol levels of cell proliferation in yeast. *PLoS Genet* 2013;**9**:e1003548. http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=

3675000&tool = pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract (22 August 2013, date last accessed).

- Paixão SM, Teixeira PD, Silva TP, et al. Screening of novel yeast inulinases and further application to bioprocesses. *New Biotechnol* 2013;**30**:598–606.
- Palmqvist E, Hahn-Hägerdal B. Fermentation of lignocellulosic hydrolysates. II: inhibitors and mechanisms of inhibition. *Bioresource Technol* 2000;**74**:25–33.
- Pampulha ME, Loureiro-Dias MC. Activity of glycolytic enzymes of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* in the presence of acetic acid. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 1990;**34**:375–80.
- Pando Bedriñana R, Querol Simón A, Suárez Valles B. Genetic and phenotypic diversity of autochthonous cider yeasts in a cellar from Asturias. *Food Microbiol* 2010;**27**:503– 8. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20417399> (6 May 2014, date last accessed).
- Papouskova K, Sychrova H. *Yarrowia lipolytica* possesses two plasma membrane alkali metal cation/H +antiporters with different functions in cell physiology. *FEBS Lett* 2006;**580**:1971–6.
- Passoth V, Blomqvist J, Schnürer J. Dekkera bruxellen*sis* and Lactobacillus vini form a stable ethanolproducing consortium in a commercial alcohol production process. *Appl Environ Microb* 2007;**73**:4354–6. [http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=](http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=1932793&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype = abstract) [1932793&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype = abstract](http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=1932793&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype = abstract) (8 November 2014, date last accessed).
- Pecota DC, Rajgarhia V, Da Silva NA. Sequential gene integration for the engineering of *Kluyveromyces marxianus*. *J Biotechnol* 2007;**127**:408–16.
- Péter G, Tornai-Lehoczki J, Shin K-S, et al. Ogataea thermophila sp. nov., the teleomorph of *Candida thermophila*. *FEMS Yeast Res* 2007;**7**:494–6.
- Pina C, Gonçalves P, Prista C, *et al. Ffz1*, a new transporter specific for fructose from *Zygosaccharomyces bailii*. *Microbiology* 2004;**150**:2429–33.
- Piskur J, Langkjaer RB. Yeast genome sequencing: the power of comparative genomics. *Mol Microbiol* 2004;**53**:381–9. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15228521> (9 March 2015, date last accessed).
- Piškur J, Ling Z, Marcet-Houben M, et al. The genome of wine yeast *Dekkera bruxellensis* provides a tool to explore its food-related properties. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2012;**157**:202–9. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22663979> (7 November 2014, date last accessed).
- Piškur J, Polakova S, Merico A, et al. How did Saccharomyces evolve to become a good brewer? 2006;**22**: $2 - 5$.
- Pitt JI, Hocking AD. *Fungi and Food Spoilage*. 3rd edn. Springer USA, 2009, pp. 519. ISBN-10: 0387922067.
- Potier S, Sychrova H, Kinclova O. The *Candida albicans* Na ‡/ H ‡ antiporter exports potassium and rubidium. 2001;**504**: 11–5.
- Praphailong W, Fleet G. The effect of pH, sodium chloride, sucrose, sorbate and benzoate on the growth of food spoilage yeasts. *Food Microbiol* 1997;**14**:459–68.
- Pretorius ISS. Tailoring wine yeast for the new millennium: novel approaches to the ancient art of winemaking. *Yeast* 2000;**16**:675–729. [http://www.ncbi.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10861899) [nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10861899](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10861899) (26 June 2015, date last accessed).
- Pribylova L, de Montigny J, Sychrova H. Tools for the genetic manipulation of *Zygosaccharomyces rouxii*. *FEMS Yeast Res* 2007;**7**:1285–94.
- Pribylova L, Papouskova K, Sychrova H. The salt tolerant yeast *Zygosaccharomyces rouxii* possesses two plasma-membrane Na+/H+-antiporters (ZrNha1p and ZrSod2-22p) playing different roles in cation homeostasis and cell physiology. *Fungal Genet Biol* 2008;**45**:1439–47.
- Pribylova L, Sychrova H. Efficient transformation of the osmotolerant yeast *Zygosaccharomyces rouxii* by electroporation. *J Microbiol Methods* 2003;**55**:481–4.
- Procházka E, Poláková S, Piskur J, et al. Mitochondrial genome from the facultative anaerobe and petite-positive yeast *Dekkera bruxellensis* contains the NADH dehydrogenase subunit genes. *FEMS Yeast Res* 2010;**10**:545–57. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20528950> (29 October 2014, date last accessed).
- Prudêncio C, Sansonetty F, Côrte-Real M. Flow cytometric assessment of cell structural and functional changes induced by acetic acid in the yeasts *Zygosaccharomyces bailii* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Cytometry* 1998;**31**:307–13.
- Puligundla P, Smogrovicova D, Obulam VSR, *et al.* Very high gravity (VHG) ethanolic brewing and fermentation: a research update. *J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol* 2011;**38**: 1133–44. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21695540> (10 September 2013, date last accessed).
- Qian M, Tian S, Li X, *et al.* Ethanol production from diluteacid softwood hydrolysate by co-culture. *Appl Biochem Biotech* 2006;**134**:273–84.
- Querol A. Adaptive evolution of wine yeast. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2003;**86**:3–10.
- Ramezani-Rad M, Hollenberg CP, Lauber J, *et al.* The *Hansenula polymorpha* (strain CBS4732) genome sequencing and analysis. *FEMS Yeast Res* 2003;**4**:207–15.
- Ravin NV, Eldarov MA, Kadnikov VV, *et al.* Genome sequence and analysis of methylotrophic yeast *Hansenula polymorpha* DL1. *BMC Genomics* 2013;**14**:837.
- Reinders A, Romano I, Wiemken A, *et al.* The thermophilic yeast *Hansenula polymorpha* does not require trehalose synthesis for growth at high temperatures but does for normal acquisition of thermotolerance. *J Bacteriol* 1999;**181**: 4665–8.
- Renouf V, Falcou M, Miot-Sertier C, *et al.* Interactions between Brettanomyces bruxellensis and other yeast species during the initial stages of winemaking. *J Appl Microbiol* 2006;**100**:1208–19. [http://www.ncbi.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16696668) [nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16696668](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16696668) (7 November 2014, date last accessed).
- Restaino L, Bills S, Tscherneff K, *et al.* Growth characteristics of *Saccharomyces rouxii* isolated from chocolate syrup. *Appl Environ Microb* 1983;**45**:1614–21.
- Rodrigues F, Côrte-Real M, Leão C, et al. Oxygen requirements of the food spoilage yeast *Zygosaccharomyces bailii* in synthetic and complex media. *Appl Environ Microb* 2001;**67**:2123–8.
- Rodrigues F, Zeeman A-M, Cardoso H, *et al.* Isolation of an acetyl-CoA synthetase gene (ZbACS2) from *Zygosaccharomyces bailii*. *Yeast* 2004;**21**:325–31.
- Rouwenhorst RJ, Visser LE, Baan AA, *et al.* Production, distribution, and kinetic properties of inulinase in continuous cultures of *Kluyveromyces marxianus* CBS 6556. *Appl Environ Microb* 1988;**54**:1131–7.
- Rozpedowska E, Hellborg L, Ishchuk OP, *et al.* Parallel evolution of the make-accumulate-consume strategy in Saccharomyces and Dekkera yeasts. *Nat Commun* 2011;**2**:302. [http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=](http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3112538&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract) [3112538&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract](http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3112538&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract) (8 November 2014, date last accessed).
- Ruyters S, Mukherjee V, Verstrepen KJ, *et al.* Assessing the potential of wild yeasts for bioethanol production. *J Ind Microbiol Biot* 2015;**42**:39–48. [http://www.ncbi.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25413210) [nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25413210](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25413210) (4 February 2015, date last accessed).
- Ryabova OB, Chmil OM, Sibirny AA. Xylose and cellobiose fermentation to ethanol by the thermotolerant methylotrophic yeast *Hansenula polymorpha*. *FEMS Yeast Res* 2003;**4**: 157–64.
- Saraya R, Krikken AM, Kiel JAKW, *et al.* Novel genetic tools for *Hansenula polymorpha*. *FEMS Yeast Res* 2012;**12**:271–8.
- Schifferdecker AJ, Dashko S, Ishchuk OP, *et al.* The wine and beer yeast *Dekkera bruxellensis*. *Yeast* 2014;**31**:323–32.
- Schnierda T, Bauer FF, Divol B, *et al.* Optimization of carbon and nitrogen medium components for biomass production using non-Saccharomyces wine yeasts. *Lett Appl Microbiol* 2014;**58**:478–85. [http://www.ncbi.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24447289) [nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24447289](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24447289) (15 November 2014, date last accessed).
- Schoondermark-stolk SA, ter Schure EG, Verrips CT, *et al.* Identification of salt-induced genes of *Zygosaccharomyces rouxii* by using *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* GeneFilters-^R . *FEMS Yeast Res* 2002;**2**:525–32.
- Shin K-S, Hong S-D, Bae KS. The significance of ITS-RFLPs and coenzyme Q system in determining taxonomic relationships among Candida species. *J Gen Appl Microbiol* 1996;**42**:481–91.
- Sicard D, Legras J-L. Bread, beer and wine: yeast domestication in the *Saccharomyces sensu* stricto complex. *C R Biol* 2011;**334**:229–36. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21377618> (7 November 2014, date last accessed).
- Siverio JM. Assimilation of nitrate by yeasts. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 2002;**26**:277–84.
- Solieri L, Cassanelli S, Croce MA, *et al.* Genome size and ploidy level: new insights for elucidating relationships in Zygosaccharomyces species. *Fungal Genet Biol* 2008;**45**:1582–90.
- Souciet J-L, Dujon B, Gaillardin C, *et al.* Comparative genomics of protoploid Saccharomycetaceae. *Genome Res* 2009;**19**:1696–709. [http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=](http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2765284&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract) [2765284&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract](http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2765284&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract) (10 March 2015, date last accessed).
- Sousa JM, Rodrigues F, Crte-real M. Mechanisms underlying the transport and intracellular metabolism of acetic acid in the presence of glucose in the yeast *Microbiology* 1998;**144**: 665–70.
- Steensels J, Verstrepen KJ. Taming wild yeast: potential of conventional and nonconventional yeasts in industrial fermentations. *Annu Rev Microbiol* 2014;**68**:61–80. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24773331> (13 October 2014, date last accessed).
- Stratford M, Steels H, Nebe-von-Caron G, *et al.* Extreme resistance to weak-acid preservatives in the spoilage yeast *Zygosaccharomyces bailii*. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2013;**166**: 126–34.
- Sujaya IN, Tamura Y, Tanaka T, *et al.* Development of internal transcribed spacer regions amplification restriction fragment length polymorphism method and its application in monitoring the population of *Zygosaccharomyces rouxii* M2 in miso fermentation. *J Biosci Bioeng* 2003;**96**:438–47.
- Sychrova H, Braun V, Potier S, *et al.* Organization of specific genomic regions of *Zygosaccharomyces rouxii* and *Pichia sorbitophila*: comparison with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Yeast* 2000;**16**:1377–85.
- Tabka MG, Herpoël-Gimbert I, Monod F, et al. Enzymatic saccharification of wheat straw for bioethanol production by a combined cellulase xylanase and feruloyl esterase treatment. *Enzyme Microb Technol* 2006;**39**:897–902.
- Taillandier P, Lai QP, Julien-Ortiz A, *et al.* Interactions between *Torulaspora delbrueckii* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* in wine fermentation: influence of inoculation and nitrogen content. *World J Microb Biot* 2014;**30**:1959–67.
- Tao X, Zheng D, Liu T, *et al.* A novel strategy to construct yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains for very high gravity fermentation. *PLoS One* 2012;**7**:e31235. [http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=](http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3281935&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract) [3281935&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract](http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3281935&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract) (2 July 2013, date last accessed).
- Taylor MP, Mulako I, Tuffin M, *et al.* Understanding physiological responses to pre-treatment inhibitors in ethanologenic fermentations. *Biotechnol J* 2012;**7**:1169– 81. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22331581> (15 July 2013, date last accessed).
- Tokuoka K. Sugar- and salt-tolerant yeasts. *J Appl Bacteriol* 1993;**74**:101–10.
- Van Dijk R, Faber KN, Kiel JAKW, *et al.* The methylotrophic yeast *Hansenula polymorpha*: a versatile cell factory. *Enzyme Microb Technol* 2000;**26**:793–800.
- van Zutphen T, Baerends RJS, Susanna KA, *et al.* Adaptation of *Hansenula polymorpha* to methanol: a transcriptome analysis. *BMC Genomics* 2010;**11**:1.
- Veenhuis M, van der Klei IJ, Titorenko V, *et al. Hansenula polymorpha*: an attractive model organism for molecular studies of peroxisome biogenesis and function. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 1992;**100**:393–403.
- Velkova K, Sychrova H. The *Debaryomyces hansenii* NHA1 gene encodes a plasma membrane alkali-metal-cation antiporter with broad substrate specificity. *Gene* 2006;**369**: 27–34.
- Vigentini I, Brambilla L, Branduardi P, *et al.* Heterologous protein production in *Zygosaccharomyces bailii*: physiological effects and fermentative strategies. *FEMS Yeast Res* 2005;**5**: 647–52.
- Vilela-Moura A, Schuller D, Mendes-Faia A, *et al.* Reduction of volatile acidity of wines by selected yeast strains. *Appl Microbiol Biot* 2008;**80**:881–90.
- Villarreal MLM, Prata AMR, Felipe MGA, *et al.* Detoxification procedures of eucalyptus hemicellulose hydrolysate for xylitol production by *Candida guilliermondii*. *Enzyme Microb Technol* 2006;**40**:17–24.
- Watanabe T, Srichuwong S, Arakane M, *et al.* Selection of stress-tolerant yeasts for simultaneous saccharification and fermentation (SSF) of very high gravity (VHG) potato mash to ethanol. *Bioresource Technol* 2010;**101**:9710–4. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20705456> (5 September 2013, date last accessed).
- Wijsman MR, van Dijken JP, van Kleeff BHA, *et al.* Inhibition of fermentation and growth in batch cultures of the yeast Brettanomyces intermedius upon a shift from aerobic to anaerobic conditions (Custers effect). *Anton Leeuw* 1984;**50**: 183–92.
- Wojda I. Response to high osmotic conditions and elevated temperature in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is controlled by intracellular glycerol and involves coordinate activity of MAP kinase pathways. *Microbiology* 2003;**149**:1193–204.
- Woolfit M, Rozpedowska E, Piskur J, *et al.* Genome survey sequencing of the wine spoilage yeast Dekkera (Brettanomyces) bruxellensis. *Eukaryot Cell* 2007;**6**:721–33.

[http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=](http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=1865652&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract) [1865652&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract](http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=1865652&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract) (5 March 2015, date last accessed).

- Xiao H, Zhao H. Genome-wide RNAi screen reveals the E3 SUMO-protein ligase gene SIZ1 as a novel determinant of furfural tolerance in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Biotechnol Biofuels* 2014;**7**:78. [http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=](http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=4045865&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract) [4045865&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract](http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=4045865&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract) (14 November 2014, date last accessed).
- Yarimizu T, Nonklang S, Nakamura J, *et al.* Identification of auxotrophic mutants of the yeast *Kluyveromyces marxianus* by non-homologous end joining-mediated integrative transformation with genes from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Yeast* 2013;**30**:485–500.
- Yurimoto H, Oku M, Sakai Y. Yeast methylotrophy: metabolism, gene regulation and peroxisome homeostasis. *Int J Microbiol* 2011;**2011**:e101298.
- Zha Y, Hossain AH, Tobola F, *et al.* Pichia anomala 29X: a resistant strain for lignocellulosic biomass hydrolysate fermentation. *FEMS Yeast Res* 2013;**13**:609–17. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23826802> (18 February 2014, date last accessed).
- Zha Y, Muilwijk B, Coulier L. Inhibitory compounds in lignocellulosic biomass hydrolysates during hydrolysate fermentation processes. *J Bioprocess Biotech* 2012;**2**:1–11. [http://www.](http://www.omicsonline.org/2155-9821/2155-9821-2-112.digital/2155-9821-2-112.html) [omicsonline.org/2155-9821/2155-9821-2-112.digital/2155-](http://www.omicsonline.org/2155-9821/2155-9821-2-112.digital/2155-9821-2-112.html) [9821-2-112.html](http://www.omicsonline.org/2155-9821/2155-9821-2-112.digital/2155-9821-2-112.html) (11 September 2013, date last accessed).
- Zhou Q, Liu ZL, Ning K, *et al.* Genomic and transcriptome analyses reveal that MAPK- and phosphatidylinositolsignaling pathways mediate tolerance to 5 hydroxymethyl-2-furaldehyde for industrial yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Sci Rep* 2014;**4**:6556. [http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=](http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=4190571&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract) [4190571&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract](http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=4190571&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract) (14 November 2014, date last accessed).