Learning to swim in a sea of wavelets

Adhemar Bultheel

Report TW 202. November 1993

Department of Computing Science, K.U. Leuven

Abstract

We give some introductory notes about wavelets, motivating and deriving the basic relations that are used in this context. These notes should be considered as in introduction to the literature. They are intended to be used as lecture notes. Therefore several exercises are provided that should be made to assimilate the material. We try to give answers to the questions: What are wavelets? What is their relation to Fourier analysis? Where do the scaling function and the wavelet function come from? Why can they be useful? What is a wavelet transform? Where and how are they applied?

Keywords : wavelet analysis, Fourier analysis.
AMS(MOS) Classification : Primary : 42-01

Learning to swim in a sea of wavelets

Adhemar Bultheel

November 9, 1993

Abstract

We give some introductory notes about wavelets, motivating and deriving the basic relations that are used in this context. These notes should be considered as in introduction to the literature. They are intended to be used as lecture notes. Therefore several exercises are provided that should be made to assimilate the material. We try to give answers to the questions: What are wavelets? What is their relation to Fourier analysis? Where do the scaling function and the wavelet function come from? Why can they be useful? What is a wavelet transform? Where and how are they applied?

1 History

Some ideas related to wavelets already existed at the beginning of the century, but the real development came only in the mid-eighties.

Besides a paper by Frazier and Jawerth (1985) [110], wavelets were in the initial stage developed in France, the so called "French school" lead by J. Morlet, A. Grossmann and Y. Meyer.

Wavelets, or "Ondelettes" as they are called in French were used at the beginning of the eighties by J. Morlet, a geophysicist, as a tool for signal analysis for seismic data. The numerical success of this application prompted A. Grossmann (a theoretical physicist) and J. Morlet to make a theoretical study of the wavelet transforms (1984) [122, 126]. In 1985, Y. Meyer, an harmonic analyst, pointed out the strong connection with the existing analysis techniques of singular integral operators.

Ingrid Daubechies became involved in 1986 and this started an interaction between signal analysis and the mathematical aspects of dilations and translations [77, 84].

Also Stephane Mallat became involved when he noticed the connection with multiresolution analysis [175].

A major breakthrough was provided in 1988 when Daubechies managed to construct a family of orthonormal wavelets with compact support [78], a result inspired by the work of Meyer and Mallat in the field of multiresolution analysis. Since then mathematicians, physicists and applied scientists became more and more excited about the ideas. See for example [71, 141, 43].

2 Introduction

Let δ denote the Dirac delta function, then one knows that

$$f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(u)\delta(x-u)du$$

One could say that $f(x)$ is decomposed with respect to an orthonormal basis $\{\delta(x-u)\}_{u\in\mathbb{R}}$ of L_2. The basis functions are extremely local in the x-domain, but in the frequency domain, its Fourier transform is supported on the whole real axis: $\mathcal{F}\delta(x-u) = e^{-i\xi u}/\sqrt{2\pi}$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. This is an extreme situation.

At the other extreme, we may consider the basis functions $\{e^{ix\nu}\}_{\nu\in\mathbb{R}}$ as a basis for L_2, giving an "expansion" of $f(x)$ as

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(\nu) e^{i\nu x} d\nu$$

where $\hat{f}$ denotes the Fourier transform of f. Now the basis functions are each associated with just one frequency since $\mathcal{F}e^{i\nu x} = \delta(\xi - \nu)/\sqrt{2\pi}$, however in the x-domain, they are now supported on the whole of $\mathbb{R}$.

The most interesting wavelets are somewhere in between these two extremes. They have compact support in both the x- and the frequency domain. They become really interesting if on top of these properties they can also be turned into an orthogonal set of basis functions.

Let us explain the analogy with Fourier analysis. Let $f \in L^2_{2\pi}$ be a function (signal) which is supposed to be 2π-periodically extended to $\mathbb{R}$. The fact that $\int_0^{2\pi} |f(x)|^2 dx < \infty$ can be interpreted as the signal having finite energy. Such a function has a Fourier expansion (a summation with no boundaries will always be supposed to range over $\mathbb{Z}$)

$$f(x) = \sum_n a_n e^{inx}$$

with Fourier coefficients

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(x) e^{-inx} dx =: (f(x), e^{inx}).$$

The basis functions $\{w_n(x) = e^{inx} : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ form an orthonormal set: $(w_m, w_n) = \delta_{nm}$. All the functions w_n are obtained as *dilations* of the same function $w(x) = e^{ix}$. This means that $w_n(x) = w(nx)$. Thus Fourier analysis learns that every $f \in L^2_{2\pi}$ can be written as a linear combination of integer dilations of one basic function $w(x) = e^{ix}$. For large (small) n the function w_n corresponds to a high (low) frequency.

Now for $L^2 = L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Since $f \in L^2$ satisfies $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^2 dx < \infty$, it is clear that every $f \in L^2$ should decay to zero at ∞. The sinusoidal wave functions $w_n(x) = e^{inx}$ do not belong to L^2. We need "wavelets" with compact support. Suppose $\psi(x)$ is the L^2 analog of the basic function $w(x) = e^{ix}$. Since it has to have compact support, we can hope to generate L^2 by shifting it (e.g. over all integer values). Thus we have to include all functions $\psi_{0k}(x) = \psi(x - k)$, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. This is not sufficient because it would give only a fixed frequency band since all these ψ_{0k} contain all the same frequencies. We shall also have to consider dilations of these functions, i.e., we divide the frequency range into octaves, which for computational efficiency are often chosen to be powers of 2. So we shall consider the functions $\psi(2^j x - k)$. This is a 2-parameter set of functions. In L^2, it easily seen that $\|\psi(2^j x - k)\|^2 = 2^{-j}\|\psi\|^2$. Hence, the functions

$$\psi_{nk}(x) = 2^{n/2}\psi(2^n x - k)$$

will have unit length if $\|\psi\| = 1$. An important problem will be to choose ψ such that they also form an orthonormal set, i.e., such that in L^2 with inner product $\langle f, g\rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\overline{g}(x)dx$,

$$\langle \psi_{jk}, \psi_{lm}\rangle = \delta_{jl}\delta_{km}$$

so that $f \in L^2$ will have the expansion

$$f(x) = \sum_{j,k} c_{jk}\psi_{jk}(x) \quad \text{with} \quad c_{jk} = \langle f, \psi_{jk}\rangle .$$

We could now consider a continuous extension to this. Instead of the two discrete parameters n and k, consider a family of functions, depending on two continuous parameters a and b, which are all connected with one single function that is scaled and shifted.

$$\psi_{a,b}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|a|}}\psi(\frac{x-b}{a}), \quad a \neq 0, \quad b \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.1}$$

with $\psi \in L_2 = L_2(\mathbb{R})$

As an example, take

$$\psi(x) = (1 - x^2)e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} \quad \text{(Mexican hat)} \tag{2.2}$$

Note that $\psi(x)$ is the second derivative of $\exp(\frac{1}{2}x^2)$. Hence we know from Fourier analysis that

$$\hat{\psi}(\xi) = \xi^2 e^{-\frac{1}{2}\xi^2}$$

The parameter b gives the *position* of the wavelet, while the *dilation* or *scaling* parameter a governs its *frequency*.

Exercise 2.1 Draw $\psi_{a,b}(x)$ when ψ is the Mexican hat function (2.2) for different values of a and b.

Observe that varying b just shifts $\psi_{a,b}(x)$ along the x-axis while a small shrinks the picture to a narrow one (high frequency) while a large spreads out the picture (low frequency). ◇

Where in the discrete case of the basis ψ_{nk}, one obtained a series expansion, one will get in the continuous case $\psi_{a,b}$ a continuous sum, i.e., an integral wavelet transform. So one defines the *continuous wavelet transform* of f as

$$F(a,b) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)\overline{\psi_{a,b}(x)}dx = \langle f, \psi_{a,b} \rangle, \quad f \in L_2$$

When setting $a = 2^{-j}$ and $b = 2^{-j}k$, one is back in the discrete case.

For the inverse transform to exist, one should require that ψ satisfies

$$C_\psi = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|\hat{\psi}(\xi)|^2}{|\xi|} d\xi < \infty \tag{2.3}$$

where $\hat{\psi}$ is the Fourier transform of ψ:

$$\hat{\psi}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(x)e^{-ix\xi}dx \leftrightarrow \psi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\psi}(\xi)e^{ix\xi}d\xi$$

The condition (2.3) is necessary for the inverse transformation to exist (see (2.4) below).
Note that $C_\psi < \infty$ implies $\hat{\psi}(0) = 0 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(x)dx$. Thus ψ should change sign (to have a mean value 0). Note that for the Mexican hat function, $C_\psi = 2\pi$.

Now the inverse transform is given by

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{C_\psi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(a,b)\psi_{a,b}(x)db \right] \frac{da}{a^2} \tag{2.4}$$

In what follows, we shall be interested in the case where $b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a > 0$ (only the values $2^j > 0$ in the discrete version). The restrictive condition (2.3) then has to be replaced by

$$\frac{1}{2}C_\psi = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{|\hat{\psi}(\xi)|^2}{\xi} d\xi < \infty$$

with reconstruction formula

$$f(x) = \frac{2}{C_\psi} \int_0^{\infty} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(a,b)\psi_{a,b}(x)db \right] \frac{da}{a^2}.$$

More about continuous wavelet transformations and their interpretation as short time Fourier transforms can be found in for example [123, 204].

3 Discrete Wavelet transform (DWT)

For practical situations it is most important to have a (fast) discrete version of the previous transforms: the DWT. Like in FFT, one gets a fast version of discrete Fourier transforms (DFT). In general, the data vector has length 2^n and the DWT (just like the DFT) transforms this into another vector of the same length by multiplication with a $2^n \times 2^n$ matrix. This transform can be made fast because the transformation is represented as a product of sparse elementary matrices. Moreover, the transformation matrix is orthogonal, so that its inverse is just equal to its transpose.

For the DFT this matrix is made orthogonal by choosing the unit vectors as basis in the "time" domain and the sines/cosines as basis in the transform domain. The sines/cosines basis functions correspond to one specific frequency, but they have infinite support. Splines or finite elements are another choice of basis functions with compact support, however they are not orthogonal to their translates. However spline wavelets do exist (we shall not discuss them here, See e.g., [42]). They are not orthogonal to translates but fast algorithms do exist. Wavelets allow to continue these different properties. They are not as smooth as splines, they do not contain one frequency, but spread it out a bit, however, they do have compact support, and most importantly, they can be made orthogonal. Moreover there exist fast algorithms to perform the transformation.

4 Multiresolution analysis

For an excellent treatment of multiresolution analysis, see [130]. The subsequent notes are based on this paper.

This analysis consists in breaking up L_2 in a sequence of nested subspaces V_j with

$$\cdots \subset V_{-2} \subset V_{-1} \subset V_0 \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \cdots$$

so that $\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} V_j$ is dense in L_2 and $\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} V_n = \{0\}$. Moreover one should have

$$\begin{array}{lll} f(x) \in V_n & \Leftrightarrow & f(2x) \in V_{n+1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ f(x) \in V_0 & \Leftrightarrow & f(x-k) \in V_0, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z} \end{array}$$

and there should exist a function ϕ, such that $\{\phi(x-n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ forms an orthonormal basis of V_0.

Exercise 4.1 Suppose P_n is the projection of L_2 onto V_n. Check that the previous conditions can be reformulated in terms of these projections as

$$\begin{array}{l} P_n P_m = P_m P_n = P_n, \quad m \geq n \\ \lim\limits_{n\to-\infty} P_n f = 0, \quad \lim\limits_{n\to\infty} P_n f = f, \quad f \in L_2 \\ P_{n+1} = D_{\frac{1}{2}} P_n D_2 \end{array}$$

with D_a a dilation operator: $(D_a f)(x) = |a|^{-1/2} f(x/a)$. ◇

Example 4.1 (Box functions)

The V_n are the spaces of L_2 functions generated by the basis consisting of the functions which are piecewise constant on the intervals $[2^{-n}k, 2^{-n}(k+1)[$. Thus if χ_{nk} are the characteristic functions for these intervals, then

$$V_n = \text{span}\{\chi_{nk} : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

Exercise 4.2 Prove that this forms indeed a multiresolution, where we may choose $\phi(x)$ to be the characteristic function of the unit interval $[0,1[$. Moreover show that P_n is defined by

$$(P_n f)(x) = 2^n \int_{2^{-n}k}^{2^{-n}(k+1)} f(y)dy \quad , \quad x \in [2^{-n}k, 2^{-n}(k+1)[$$

and that the functions $\phi_{0k}(x) = \phi(x-k)$ form an orthogonal basis for the space V_0. ◇

5 The father function or scaling function

The function ϕ is called the *father function* or *scaling function*. Denote its shifted versions as $\phi_{0n} = \phi(x-n)$, then any $f \in V_0$ can be written as

$$f = \sum_n a_n \phi_{0n}, \quad (a_n) \in \ell^2.$$

Now, since $\phi \in V_0 \subset V_1$, also $\phi(\frac{x}{2}) \in V_0$. Thus we may also expand this function in V_0:

$$\phi(\frac{x}{2}) = \sum_n c_n \phi(x-n), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}$$

In other words, the father function satisfies the *dilation equation*

$$\boxed{\phi(x) = \sum_n c_n \phi(2x-n), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.}$$

To avoid trivialities we look for a solution with $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x)dx \neq 0$. Suppose we normalize ϕ so that $\sqrt{2\pi}\hat{\phi}(0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x)dx = 1$, then

$$2\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x)dx = \sum_n c_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(2x-n)d(2x-n),$$

thus

$$\boxed{\sum_n c_n = 2}$$

Suppose we can solve the dilation equation for some choice of the coefficients c_n, then we may consider the functions

$$\boxed{\phi_{nk}(x) = 2^{n/2}\phi(2^n x - k), \quad n,k \in \mathbb{Z}} \tag{5.1}$$

Note that $V_n = \text{span}\{\phi_{nk} : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

6 Solution of the dilation equation

Let us first look at some example solutions of the equation

$$\phi(x) = \sum_k c_k \phi(2x-k), \quad \sum_k c_k = 2$$

Example 6.1 Set $c_0 = 2$ and all other $c_k = 0$. Then a solution is $\phi = \delta$, the Dirac delta function, since indeed $\delta(x) = 2\delta(2x)$. This example shows that a solution is not always a smooth function. Also $\phi = 0$ is a solution but $\hat{\phi}(0) = 0$! The Dirac function is an extreme solution and doesn't have all the properties a usual scaling function for a wavelet will have. So it is not always considered to correspond to a wavelet.

Example 6.2 Set $c_0 = c_1 = 1$. The solution is the *box function*

$$\phi(x) = \chi_{[0,1[}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \leq x < 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The proof can be checked easily on a picture. See figure 1. This example results in the Haar basis.




Figure 1: The box function and the dilation equation.

Example 6.3 For $c_1 = 1$, $c_0 = c_2 = \frac{1}{2}$, the solution is the ***hat function***

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} x, & 0 \le x \le 1 \\ 2 - x, & 1 \le x \le 2 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Exercise 6.1 Prove again by a picture that the hat function satisfies the dilation equation. ◇

There are several general construction methods.

CONSTRUCTION 1: (By iteration)
One way to find $\phi(x)$ is by iterating $\phi_j(x) = \sum_k c_k \phi_{j-1}(2x - k)$, for example with $\phi_0 =$ the box function $\chi_{[0,1[}$.
For $c_0 = 2$, the box function gets taller and thinner, so it goes to the Dirac function.
For $c_0 = c_1 = 1$, the box remains invariant $\phi_j = \phi_0$, $j \ge 0$.
For $c_1 = 1$, $c_0 = c_2 = \frac{1}{2}$, the hat function appears as $j \to \infty$.

Exercise 6.2 Check this experimentally on a graphical display (e.g. using GNUPLOT or MATLAB or your own favorite plotting program). ◇

Exercise 6.3 Try the same with $c_0 = c_3 = \frac{1}{4}$, $c_1 = c_2 = \frac{3}{4}$. The solution is

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} x^2, & 0 \le x \le 1 \\ 2 - 2x^2 + 6x - 3, & 1 \le x \le 2 \\ (3 - x)^2, & 2 \le x \le 3 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{(quadratic spline)}$$

◇

Exercise 6.4 Try also $c_0 = c_4 = \frac{1}{8}$, $c_1 = c_3 = \frac{1}{2}$, $c_2 = \frac{3}{4}$. The solution is the cubic B-spline. ◇

Exercise 6.5 Try $c_0 = \frac{1}{4}(1 + \sqrt{3})$, $c_1 = \frac{1}{4}(3 + \sqrt{3})$, $c_2 = \frac{1}{4}(3 - \sqrt{3})$, $c_3 = \frac{1}{4}(1 - \sqrt{3})$. The solution is the function D_4 (Daubechies). ◇

CONSTRUCTION 2: (By Fourier analysis).
Defining the Fourier transform

$$\hat{\phi}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x) e^{-ix\xi} dx,$$

the dilation equation gives

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\phi}(\xi) &= \sum_n \frac{c_n}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(2x-n) e^{ix\xi} dx = \frac{H(\frac{1}{2}\xi)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(y) e^{-iy\xi/2} dy \\ &= H(\tfrac{1}{2}\xi)\hat{\phi}(\tfrac{1}{2}\xi)\end{aligned}$$

where $H(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_n c_n e^{-in\xi}$. Note that $H(0) = 1$. Iterating the above result and using $\hat{\phi}(0) = 1/\sqrt{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\phi(x)dx = 1/\sqrt{2\pi}$, we find

$$\hat{\phi}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} H(2^{-j}\xi).$$

It can be shown rigorously that this infinite product makes indeed sense but we shall not do this here.

Example 6.4 $c_0 = 2$, then $H(\xi) = 1$, $\hat{\phi}(\xi) = 1/\sqrt{2\pi}$ and this is indeed the Fourier transform of the Dirac function.

Example 6.5 $c_0 = 1 = c_1$ (box function). The product of the H-functions ($H(\xi) = (1+e^{-\xi})/2$) is a geometric series.

$$H(\tfrac{1}{2}\xi)H(\tfrac{1}{4}\xi) = \frac{1}{4}(1+e^{-i\xi/2})(1+e^{-i\xi/4}) = \frac{1-e^{-i\xi}}{4(1-e^{-i\xi/4})}.$$

The product of N such functions is

$$\prod_{k=1}^{N} H(2^{-k}\xi) = \frac{1-e^{-i\xi}}{2^N(1-e^{-i\xi/2^N})}$$

which for $N \to \infty$ approaches

$$\sqrt{2\pi}\hat{\phi}(\xi) = \frac{1-e^{-i\xi}}{i\xi} = \int_0^1 e^{i\xi x} dx = \sqrt{2\pi}\mathcal{F}\chi_{[0,1[}$$

and this identifies $\hat{\phi}$ as the Fourier transform of the box function.

The Fourier analysis approach now gives easily the following examples (check this!)

Example 6.6 The hat function comes from squaring the previous $H(\xi)$, hence squaring $\prod_1^{\infty} H(2^{-j}\xi)$.

Example 6.7 The cubic spline comes from squaring again.

CONSTRUCTION 3: (Recursion)
Suppose $\phi(x)$ is known at integer values $x = k$. Then the dilation equation defines $\phi(x)$ at half integers $x = k/2$. Repeating this process yields $\phi(x)$ at all dyadic points $x = k/2^j$. This is a fast program.

Example 6.8 For D_4, we can find starting values at $\phi(1)$ and $\phi(2)$ as follows. We shall show in the next Theorem that supp $\phi(x) \subset [0,3]$. It can also be shown that at the boundaries, $\phi(0) = \phi(3) = 0$, so that of all values $\phi(k)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, only $\phi(1)$ and $\phi(2)$ are nonzero. Hence, the dilation equation gives

$$\begin{array}{rcl}\phi(1) &=& c_1\phi(1) + c_0\phi(2) \\ \phi(2) &=& c_3\phi(1) + c_2\phi(2)\end{array} \equiv \begin{bmatrix}\phi(1)\\ \phi(2)\end{bmatrix} = C\begin{bmatrix}\phi(1)\\ \phi(2)\end{bmatrix}$$

Thus $[\phi(1) \quad \phi(2)]^T$ is an eigenvector for the matrix $C = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 & c_0 \\ c_3 & c_2 \end{bmatrix}$. Its eigenvalues are $\lambda = 1$ and $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$. For $\lambda = 1$, the eigenvector is $\phi(1) = cc_0$, $\phi(2) = cc_3$. The constant c is chosen to normalize the vector. As we shall see later in Lemma 9.3, the values of $\phi(k)$ should sum up to 1. Hence $c = (c_0 + c_3)^{-1}$. This eventually gives the required function values to start with. The next values at $1/2$ and $3/2$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\frac{1}{2}) &= c_0\phi(1) \\ \phi(\frac{3}{2}) &= c_2\phi(1) + c_1\phi(2) \end{aligned}$$

etcetera.

Exercise 6.6 Use construction 3 for D_4, by implementation in e.g. MATLAB. ◇

We saw from the examples that they all had compact support. One can show in general

Theorem 6.1 *If* $\phi(x) = \sum_n c_n\phi(2x - n)$ *with* $c_n = 0$ *for* $n < N^-$ *and* $n > N^+$, *then* $\text{supp}(\phi) \subset [N^-, N^+]$. *(The support is the smallest closed interval, outside of which the function is zero.)*

Proof. We use construction 1 : Let $\phi_0 = \chi_{[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}[}$ and iterate

$$\phi_j(x) = \sum_n c_n\phi_{j-1}(2x - n)$$

Denote $\text{supp}(\phi_j) = [N_j^-, N_j^+]$, then

$$N_j^- = \frac{1}{2}(N_{j-1}^- + N^-) \quad , \quad N_j^+ = \frac{1}{2}(N_{j-1}^+ + N^+)$$

with

$$N_0^- = -\frac{1}{2} \quad , \quad N_0^+ = \frac{1}{2}$$

Exercise 6.7 Prove that $\lim_{j\to\infty} N_j^- = N^-$ and $\lim_{j\to\infty} N_0^+ = N^+$. ◇

This proves the theorem. □

7 Interpretation of multiresolution

We have seen that a basis for V_0 is given by translates of the father function ϕ. The reciprocal of the translation distance is called the *resolution* of this basis. One could say that the resolution gives the number of basis functions per unit length. Let us set by definition the resolution of V_0 to be 1. The projection $P_0 f$ gives an approximation of f at resolution 1. The projection $P_j f$ of f onto V_j gives the approximation of f at resolution 2^j.

The higher j, the higher the resolution, i.e., the more basis functions per unit length. This means that we look at the signal in more detail. Compare the continuous expression (2.1) with the discrete analog (5.1). We could say that by choosing $a = 2^{-j}$, we choose a certain magnification for the microscope we use to look at the signal. The smaller a, the larger the magnification is, thus the more detail we want to catch. Thus if we slide our signal under our microscope it should be with small steps for a large magnification and with coarser steps for a low magnification. The parameter b in (2.1) which governs the position should therefore be chosen proportional to a: small $a \Rightarrow$ large magnification $\Rightarrow$ small steps $\Rightarrow$ small b. Thus choosing $b = ak$ is a natural thing to do. If we thus

discretize a and b as $a = 2^{-j}$ and $b = ak$, then $\phi_{jk}(x)$ looks like a discretized version of $\psi_{a,b}$. This is somewhat misleading however because the father function ϕ does *not* give the wavelets as we have introduced them before. Recall that we required that $\int \psi(x)dx = 0$. For the father function, it holds that $\int \phi(x)dx = 1$. What will really give the wavelets is the mother function ψ to be studied in the next section. Like the father ϕ generated orthonormal basis functions for the V_j, the mother function will generate an orthonormal basis for the orthocomplements W_j of the V_j.

When we consider f as a (time) signal, then the approximation at resolution 2^{j-1} is a *blurred* version of the signal approximation at resolution 2^j. Its difference $P_j f - P_{j-1} f$ is the detail signal and belongs to the orthogonal complement W_{j-1} of V_{j-1} in V_j. In the next section we shall study these orthocomplements and construct orthonormal bases for the W_j.

It is possible to construct approximants for f at different scales. Suppose we know $f_j = P_j f \in V_j$ at resolution 2^j, then it is possible to construct the approximant $f_{j-1} = P_{j-1} f \in V_{j-1}$ from this because $V_{j-1} \subset V_j$. The function f_{j-1} contains only half the number of coefficients per unit length as f_j (it is a blurred version). This forms the basis of wavelet expansions as given later. For more details see section 13.

8 The mother function

We know that in multiresolution analysis

$$V_n \subset V_{n+1}.$$

Suppose W_n is the orthogonal complement of V_n in V_{n+1}:

$$V_{n+1} = V_n \oplus W_n.$$

Thus

$$V_0 \oplus \sum_{k=0}^{n} W_k = \bigoplus_{-\infty}^{n} W_k = V_{n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \bigoplus_{-\infty}^{\infty} W_k = L_2.$$

Now consider the function

$$\psi(x) = \sum_n (-1)^n \bar{c}_{1-n} \phi(2x - n) \in V_1.$$

It can be proved that (see section 10 below)

$$\psi_{0k}(x) = \psi(x - k)$$

forms an orthonormal basis for W_0 and that more generally, the *wavelets*

$$\boxed{\psi_{nk}(x) = 2^{n/2} \psi(2^n x - k) \quad , \quad n, k \in \mathbb{Z}}$$

are such that $\{\psi_{nk} : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ forms an orthonormal basis for W_n.

The function $\psi(x)$ is called the *mother function* or the *wavelet* (function). The mother functions for the previous examples can now be considered. One can easily check the following examples (do it !).

Example 8.1 For the box function ($c_0 = c_1 = 1$)

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \le x < 1/2 \\ -1, & 1/2 \le x < 1 \end{cases}$$

Figure 2 gives a plot of this wavelet. It is called the Haar wavelet.




Figure 2: The Haar wavelet.

Example 8.2 The hat function ($c_0 = c_2 = \frac{1}{2}, c_1 = 1$) leads to

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} -1/2 - x, & -1/2 \le x \le 0 \\ 3x - 1/2, & 0 \le x \le 1/2 \\ 5/2 - 3x, & 1/2 \le x \le 1 \\ x - 3/2, & 1 \le x \le 3/2 \end{cases}$$

The wavelet is plotted in figure 3.




Figure 3: The wavelet for the hat function.

Note: Not everyone agrees to call the ψ of example 8.2 a wavelet (the scaling function ϕ is not orthogonal to its integer translates. The corresponding function ψ happens to be orthogonal to its integer translates, but most importantly, the $\psi(x-k)$ are not orthogonal to the $\phi(x-l)$. Check these statements!).

Example 8.3 For Daubechies D_4, $\psi(x)$ is plotted in figure 13.

In general, when

$$c_n = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad n < N^- \quad \text{and} \quad n > N^+,$$

one can show that

$$\text{supp}(\psi) \subset \left[\frac{1}{2}(1 - N^+ + N^-), \frac{1}{2}(1 + N^+ - N^-)\right].$$

This follows from

$$\psi(x) = \sum_n (-1)^n \bar{c}_{1-n} \phi(2x - n)$$

and the fact that $\text{supp}(\phi) \subset [N^-, N^+]$.

Exercise 8.1 Prove this. ◇

Let us develop the analysis for ψ more exactly. It will give answers to questions such as: Where does the defining relation of the ψ come from? Do the ψ_{nk} for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ form indeed an orthonormal basis for the W_n? etc. We shall do this in section 10. First we need more properties of the father function, which we shall derive in the next section.

9 More properties of the scaling function

We know that

$$\hat{\phi}(2\xi) = H(\xi)\hat{\phi}(\xi), \quad H(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_k c_k e^{-ik\xi} \in L^2_{2\pi}.$$

We shall prove

Theorem 9.1 *The Fourier transform of the father function satisfies*

$$\boxed{\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{\phi}(\xi + 2k\pi)|^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi}.} \tag{9.1}$$

Proof. Use the fact that $\phi(x-k)$ forms an orthonormal basis in V_0, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} e^{-im\xi} d\xi = \delta_{0m} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(x)\overline{\phi}(x-m)dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-im\xi}|\hat{\phi}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\
&= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{k2\pi}^{(k+1)2\pi} e^{-im\xi}|\hat{\phi}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\
&= \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-im\xi}\Big(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{\phi}(\xi + 2k\pi)|^2\Big) d\xi.
\end{aligned}$$

The second line is because the Fourier transform defines an isomorphism, the last line because the Fourier transform is continuous. This proves (9.1). □

This has the following consequence.

Corollary 9.2 *The function $H(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_k c_k e^{-ik\xi}$ satisfies*

$$\boxed{|H(\xi)|^2 + |H(\xi+\pi)|^2 = 1} \tag{9.2}$$

Proof. Recall $\hat{\phi}(2\xi) = H(\xi)\hat{\phi}(\xi)$ so that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} = \sum_k |\hat{\phi}(\xi + 2k\pi)|^2 = \sum_k |\hat{\phi}(2\xi + 2k\pi)|^2 = \sum_k |H(\xi + k\pi)|^2 |\hat{\phi}(\xi + k\pi)|^2.$$

Because H is 2π-periodic,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2\pi} &= \sum_{k \text{ even}} + \sum_{k \text{ odd}} \\
&= |H(\xi)|^2 \sum_k |\hat{\phi}(\xi + 2k\pi)|^2 + |H(\xi+\pi)|^2 \sum_k |\hat{\phi}(\xi + (2k+1)\pi)|^2 \\
&= |H(\xi)|^2 \sum_k |\hat{\phi}(\xi + 2k\pi)|^2 + |H(\xi+\pi)|^2 \sum_k |\hat{\phi}(\xi + \pi + 2k\pi)|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence (9.2) follows. □

Note that the hat function ($c_0 = c_2 = 1$, $c_1 = \frac{1}{2}$) does not satisfy this relation (check it).

Exercise 9.1 Prove that in terms of the c_k this is transformed into

$$\boxed{\sum_n c_{n-2k}\bar{c}_{n-2\ell} = 2\delta_{k\ell}.} \tag{9.3}$$

◇

Because $\hat{\phi}(0) = H(0)\hat{\phi}(0)$ and $\hat{\phi}(0) \neq 0$, $H(0) = 1$ and because $|H(\pi)|^2 = 1 - |H(0)|^2 = 0$, we get

$$\boxed{H(\pi) = 0.} \tag{9.4}$$

Exercise 9.2 Prove that in terms of the c_k this becomes

$$\boxed{\sum_n (-1)^n c_n = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad 1 = \sum_k c_{2k} = \sum_k c_{2k+1}.} \tag{9.5}$$

◇

Note: The orthogonality of ϕ to its integer translates implies (9.2) and (9.4) and hence also (9.3) and (9.5), but the converse is not true. The conditions (9.2) and (9.4) are necessary for this orthogonality but not sufficient.

Exercise 9.3 The choice $c_0 = c_3 = 1$, $c_1 = c_2 = 0$ defines a ϕ which is not orthogonal to its translates, yet these coefficients satisfy (9.3) and (9.5). Check this. ◇

We show another interesting property:

Lemma 9.3 *We have*

$$\boxed{\sum_k \phi(x-k) = \sum_k \phi(k) = 1.}$$

Proof. Set $w(x) = \sum_k \phi(x-k)$ then using the dilation equation we find

$$\begin{aligned}
w(x) &= \sum_k \sum_n c_n \phi(2x - 2k - n) \\
&= \sum_k \Big(\sum_{n \text{ even}} c_n \phi(2x - (2k+n)) + \sum_{n \text{ odd}} c_n (2x - (2k+n)) \Big) \\
&= \sum_k \Big(\sum_\ell c_{2\ell} \phi(2x - 2(k+\ell)) + \sum_\ell c_{2\ell+1} \phi(2x - 2(k+\ell) - 1) \Big) \\
&= \sum_t \Big(\sum_\ell c_{2\ell} \phi(2x - 2t) + \sum_\ell c_{2\ell+1} \phi(2x - 2t - 1) \Big) \\
&= \sum_t \phi(2x - 2t) \Big(\sum_\ell c_{2\ell} \Big) + \sum_t \phi(2x - 2t - 1) \Big(\sum_\ell c_{2\ell+1} \Big) \\
&= \sum_t \phi(2x - t) = w(2x)
\end{aligned}$$

Take the Fourier transform of $w(x) = w(2x)$ to get

$$\hat{w}(\xi) = 2\hat{w}(2\xi).$$

This is a dilation equation with $c_0 = 2$ and has a solution $\hat{w} = \delta$ the Dirac delta function (and $\hat{w} = 0$, but this is excluded because $\int \phi(x)dx = 1$). This means that w has to be constant. Hence $\sum_k \phi(x-k) = c$, a constant and thus independent of x, so it equals $\sum_k \phi(k)$. Now integrate $\sum_k \phi(x-k) = c$ over $[0,1]$ then

$$c = \sum_k \int_0^1 \phi(x-k)dx = \sum_k \int_{x-k}^{x-k+1} \phi(x)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(x)dx = 1.$$

Hence $c = 1$. □

10 Existence of the wavelet

Now let us prove the existence of the mother (wavelet) function.

We know that any $f \in V_0$ can be written as

$$f(x) = \sum_k a_k \phi(x-k)$$

with $(a_k) \in \ell^2$ since the series should converge in L_2. Taking Fourier transforms, this gives

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \sum_k a_k e^{-ik\xi} \hat{\phi}(\xi) = A_f(\xi)\hat{\phi}(\xi), \quad A_f(\xi) = \sum_k a_k e^{-ik\xi}.$$

Clearly $A_f(\xi) \in L^2_{2\pi}$, i.e. $A_f(\xi)$ is 2π-periodic and

$$\|A_f(\xi)\|^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |A_f(\xi)|^2 d\xi = \|(a_k)\|^2 < \infty.$$

Thus $f \in V_0 \Leftrightarrow \hat{f} = A_f\hat{\phi}$ with $A_f \in L^2_{2\pi}$.

Theorem 10.1 *There exists a function $\psi \in W_0$ such that $\{\psi(x-k)\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ forms an orthonormal basis for W_0.*

Proof. If V is a subspace of L_2, then $\hat{V}$ will denote the subspace of L_2 containing all the Fourier transforms of V.
By the Fourier isomorphism:

$$V_{-1} \oplus W_{-1} = V_0 \Leftrightarrow \hat{V}_{-1} \oplus \hat{W}_{-1} = \hat{V}_0$$

We know that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{V}_0 &= \{A\hat{\phi} : A \in L^2_{2\pi}\} \\ \hat{V}_{-1} &= \{A(2\cdot)\hat{\phi}(2\cdot) : A \in L^2_{2\pi}\}. \end{aligned}$$

By $\hat{\phi}(2\xi) = H(\xi)\hat{\phi}(\xi)$, we get

$$\hat{V}_{-1} = \{A(2\cdot)H(\cdot)\hat{\phi}(\cdot) : A \in L^2_{2\pi}\}. \tag{10.1}$$

Define the operator (we show below that it is unitary)

$$S : \hat{V}_0 \to L^2_{2\pi} : A\hat{\phi} \mapsto A$$

(note $S\hat{V}_0 = L^2_{2\pi}$). Instead of computing $\hat{W}_{-1}$ directly, we compute $S(\hat{W}_{-1})$ first, i.e. the orthogonal complement of $S(\hat{V}_{-1})$ in $L^2_{2\pi}$.

Lemma 10.2 *S is a unitary operator.*

Proof. It holds for any $f \in V_0$ that $\hat{f} = A\hat{\phi}$ and that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{f}\|^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |A_f(\xi)|^2 |\hat{\phi}(\xi)|^2 d\xi = \sum_k \int_{k2\pi}^{(k+1)2\pi} |A_f(\xi)|^2 |\hat{\phi}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} |A_f(\xi)|^2 (\sum_k |\hat{\phi}(\xi + 2k\pi)|^2) d\xi = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} |A_f(\xi)|^2 d\xi = \|A_f\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

From (10.1) :

$$S(\hat{V}_{-1}) = \{A(2\cdot)H(\cdot) : A \in L^2_{2\pi}\}$$

Let $F \in L^2_{2\pi}$ be in the orthogonal complement of $S(\hat{V}_{-1})$, then

$$\int_0^{2\pi} A(2\xi)H(\xi)\overline{F}(\xi)d\xi = 0 \quad , \quad \forall A \in L^2_{2\pi}.$$

Thus

$$\int_0^{\pi} A(2\xi)[H(\xi)\overline{F}(\xi) + H(\xi+\pi)\overline{F}(\xi+\pi)]d\xi = 0 \quad , \quad \forall A \in L^2_{2\pi}$$

which implies

$$H(\xi)\overline{F}(\xi) + H(\xi+\pi)\overline{F}(\xi+\pi) = 0 \quad , \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}$$

This means that in $\mathbb{C}^2$ the vector $\vec{h} = [H(\xi)\ H(\xi+\pi)]$ is orthogonal to the vector $\vec{f} = [F(\xi)\ F(\xi+\pi)]$:

$$\vec{h}\vec{f}^H = 0, \qquad \vec{h}\vec{h}^H = 1,$$

^{H} means complex conjugate transpose. It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} F(\xi) &= \overline{H}(\xi+\pi) \\ F(\xi+\pi) &= -\overline{H}(\xi) \end{aligned}$$

is a solution. More generally any solution is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} F(\xi) &= -\beta(\xi)\overline{H}(\xi+\pi) \\ F(\xi+\pi) &= \beta(\xi)\overline{H}(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

For convenience, we choose $\beta(\xi) = \alpha(\xi)e^{-i\xi}$, because then

$$\begin{aligned} F(\xi) &= -\alpha(\xi)e^{-i\xi}\overline{H}(\xi+\pi) \\ F(\xi+\pi) &= \alpha(\xi)e^{-i\xi}\overline{H}(\xi) \end{aligned}$$

implies that $\alpha(\xi)$ is π-periodic. Such a function can be written in terms of $e^{i2k\xi}$. Thus we may choose

$$f_k(\xi) = -\sqrt{2}e^{-i\xi}\overline{H}(\xi+\pi)e^{2ki\xi} \quad , \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

as a set of functions in $S(\hat{W}_{-1})$ that generates the whole space. These functions form an orthonormal basis because $(f_k, f_\ell) = (f_{k-\ell}, f_0)$ and using $|H(\xi+\pi)|^2 + |H(\xi)|^2 = 1$ and noting that $e^{2ik\xi}$ is π-periodic, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (f_k, f_0) &= \frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} e^{2ik\xi}|H(\xi+\pi)|^2 d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^{\pi} e^{2ik\xi}[|H(\xi+\pi)|^2 + |H(\xi)|^2]d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^{\pi} e^{2ik\xi}d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} e^{ik\eta}d\eta \\ &= \delta_{k0} \end{aligned}$$

Taking the S^{-1} transform we find that

$$\hat{\psi}_{-1,k}(\xi) = -\sqrt{2}e^{-i\xi}\overline{H}(\xi+\pi)\hat{\phi}(\xi)e^{2ki\xi} \quad , \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

is an orthonormal basis for $\hat{W}_{-1}$.

Choosing a function $\psi \in W_0$ with Fourier transform $\hat{\psi}$ satisfying

$$\hat{\psi}(2\xi) = -e^{-i\xi}\overline{H}(\xi+\pi)\hat{\phi}(\xi)$$

we just found that $\sqrt{2}\hat{\psi}(2\xi)e^{2ki\xi}$ forms an orthonormal basis for $\hat{W}_{-1}$. Taking the inverse Fourier transform reveals that $\psi_{-1,k}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\psi(\frac{x}{2}-k)$ forms an orthonormal basis for W_{-1}. Moreover, after rescaling, we find that $\psi_{0k}(x) = \psi(x-k)$ is an orthonormal basis for W_0.

This conludes the proof of Theorem 10.1. □

Because $e^{i\xi}\hat{\psi}(2\xi)$ is the Fourier transform of $\frac{1}{2}\psi(\frac{x+1}{2})$ and

$$\overline{H}(\xi+\pi)\hat{\phi}(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_k (-1)^{k+1}\overline{c}_k e^{ik\xi}\hat{\phi}(\xi)$$

we get, after taking Fourier transforms

$$\frac{1}{2}\psi(\frac{x+1}{2}) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_k (-1)^{k+1}\overline{c}_k\phi(x+k)$$

or

$$\boxed{\psi(x) = \sum_k (-1)^k \overline{c}_{1-k}\phi(2x-k).} \tag{10.2}$$

It is not difficult to accept that

$$\{2^{n/2}\psi(2^n x - k) \quad , \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

gives an orthonormal basis for W_n, and after taking the limit $L^2 = \bigoplus_n W_n$, we find that

$$\{\psi_{nk}(x) = 2^{n/2}\psi(2^n x - k) : k, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

forms an orthonormal wavelet basis for L_2.

Exercise 10.1 If $H(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_n c_n e^{-in\xi}$ and $G(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_n d_n e^{-in\xi}$, $d_n = (-1)^n\overline{c}_{1-n}$, then prove that

$$\hat{\psi}(2\xi) = G(\xi)\hat{\phi}(\xi) \quad \text{and} \quad G(\xi) = -e^{i\xi}\overline{H}(\xi+\pi).$$

◇

Exercise 10.2 Prove that with

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} H(\xi) & H(\xi+\pi) \\ G(\xi) & G(\xi+\pi) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} H(\xi) & -e^{-i\xi}\overline{G}(\xi) \\ G(\xi) & -e^{-i\xi}\overline{H}(\xi) \end{bmatrix}$$

it holds that $MM^H = I$, thus that $|H(\xi)|^2 + |G(\xi)|^2 = 1$ and $G(\xi)\overline{H}(\xi) = H(\xi)\overline{G}(\xi) = 0$. ◇

11 Interpretation of the condition on the c_k

The condition $\sum_n c_n\overline{c}_{n-2k} = 2\delta_{k0}$ was derived from the orthogonality of the $\phi(x-k)$ and it implies the orthogonality of the $\psi(x-k)$.

Exercise 11.1 Check for the examples we have seen whether this condition is satisfied. The box function and D_4 are the only ones which are orthogonal to their translates and so are the corresponding mother functions. ◇

The box function was the first known (orthogonal) scaling father function. Excluding the delta function, we find that none of the other examples (except D_4) satisfies the above condition and hence none of them is guaranteed to generate wavelets orthogonal to their translates.

The condition $\sum_n (-1)^n c_n = 0$ ensured that $H(\pi) = 0$. This is an example of a set of more general conditions which require $H(\pi)$ to have a zero of order $p-1$. This would give

$$\sum_n (-1)^n n^k c_n = 0 \quad , \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1$$

Exercise 11.2 Show that for the box function $p = 1$, for the hat function $p = 2$ and for D_4, $p = 2$. The quadratic spline has $p = 3$, the cubic spline $p = 4$. ◇

It can be shown that this condition has to do with the degree of the polynomials that can be represented exactly with the corresponding (wavelet) functions. We give the following theorem without proof (see [215]).

Theorem 11.1 *If* $H^{(k)}(\pi) = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1$, *then*

1. *The polynomials* $1, x, \ldots, x^{p-1}$ *are linear combinations of the translates* $\phi(x-k)$.
2. *Smooth functions can be approximated with error* $O(h^p)$ *by combinations at scale* $h = 2^{-j}$:

$$\exists c, \exists a_k : \|f - \sum_k a_k \phi(2^j x - k)\| \leq C 2^{-jp} \|f^{(p)}\|, \quad f \text{ smooth}$$

3. *The first* p *moments of* $\psi(x)$ *are zero:*

$$\int x^m \psi(x) dx = 0 \quad , \quad m = 0, \ldots, p-1$$

4. *The wavelet coefficients* $c_j = \int f(x)\psi(2^j x)dx$ *decay like* $c_j \leq C 2^{-jp}$.

The previous exercise thus shows that the splines are best in approximating, but ... they are not orthogonal! The wavelet D_4 is as good as the linear spline and it is orthogonal. Of course a sine/cosine system is also good in approximation and it is orthogonal, but they do not have compact support as D_4 has.

12 Wavelet expansion and filtering

We want to come to algorithms for wavelet decomposition and wavelet reconstruction. What do we mean by that? Let f_n be in V_n. Because $V_n = V_{n-1} \oplus W_{n-1}$, we can decompose f_n uniquely as

$$f_n = f_{n-1} + g_{n-1} \quad \text{with} \quad f_{n-1} \in V_{n-1}, g_{n-1} \in W_{n-1}.$$

If we repeat this, then

$$f_n = g_{n-1} + g_{n-2} + \cdots + g_{n-m} + f_{n-m}, \quad f_j \in V_j, g_j \in W_j.$$

The integer m is large enough when f_{n-m} is sufficiently "blurred".

Now suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} f_j(x) &= \sum_k p_{jk} \phi_{jk}(x), \quad p_j = (p_{jk}) \in \ell^2 \\ g_j(x) &= \sum_k q_{jk} \psi_{jk}(x), \quad q_j = (q_{jk}) \in \ell^2 \end{aligned}$$



Figure 4: The decomposition scheme.




Figure 5: The reconstruction scheme.

The decomposition algorithm will decompose p_n into p_{n-1} and q_{n-1}, then p_{n-1} again into p_{n-2} and q_{n-2} etc. like in figure 4. When we want to reconstruct the p_n, the algorithm should perform operations represented schematically in figure 5. The purpose of this section is to find p_{n-1} and q_{n-1} from p_n (decomposition) and to recover p_n from p_{n-1} and q_{n-1} (reconstruction).

We recall

$$\hat{\phi}(2\xi) = H(\xi)\hat{\phi}(\xi), \qquad H(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_k c_k e^{-ik\xi}$$

$$\hat{\psi}(2\xi) = G(\xi)\hat{\phi}(\xi), \qquad G(\xi) = -e^{-i\xi}\overline{H}(\xi+\pi) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_k d_k e^{-ik\xi}$$

with $d_k = (-1)^k \bar{c}_{1-k}$.

$$\phi_{nk}(x) = 2^{n/2}\phi(2^n x - k), \quad \psi_{nk} = 2^{n/2}\psi(2^n x - k)$$

The projection P_n on V_n and Q_n on W_n are given by

$$P_n f = \sum_k p_{nk}(f)\phi_{nk}, \qquad p_{nk}(f) = \langle f, \phi_{nk}\rangle$$

$$Q_n f = \sum_k q_{nk}(f)\psi_{nk}, \qquad q_{nk}(f) = \langle f, \psi_{nk}\rangle$$

We want to relate p_{nk} and q_{nk} to $p_{n+1,k}$.

Exercise 12.1 Prove that from

$$\phi(\frac{x}{2}) = \sum_k c_k \phi(x-k)$$

it follows that

$$\phi_{nk}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_l c_{l-2k}\phi_{n+1,l}(x).$$

◇

From their definitions, it thus follows that

$$p_{nk} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_l \bar{c}_{l-2k} p_{n+1,l}$$

Now define a *filter* $\mathcal{H} : \ell^2 \to \ell^2$, $a = (a_k) \mapsto \mathcal{H}a$ with

$$(\mathcal{H}a)_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_l \bar{c}_{l-2k} a_l.$$

Then, setting $p_n = (p_{nk})$, it is clear that

$$p_n = \mathcal{H} p_{n+1}.$$

Now we do a similar thing for the q_{nk} : Define the filter $\mathcal{G} : \ell^2 \to \ell^2$, $a \mapsto \mathcal{G}a$ with

$$(\mathcal{G}a)_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_l \overline{d}_{l-2k} a_l.$$

Exercise 12.2 Prove that

$$\psi_{nk} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_l d_{l-2k} \phi_{n+1,l}$$

so that with the previous definition of $\mathcal{G}$

$$q_n = \mathcal{G} p_{n+1}$$

◇

Exercise 12.3 Show that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi_{nl}, \phi_{n+1,k} \rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} c_{k-2l} \\ \langle \psi_{nl}, \phi_{n+1,k} \rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} d_{k-2l} \end{aligned}$$

◇

If $\mathcal{F}$ is an operator (filter) on ℓ^2, then the *adjoint* $\mathcal{F}^* : \ell^2 \to \ell^2$ is defined by

$$\langle \mathcal{F}a, b \rangle = \langle a, \mathcal{F}^* b \rangle$$

Exercise 12.4 Prove that the adjoints of $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{H}^* a)_k &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_l c_{k-2l} a_l \\ (\mathcal{G}^* a)_k &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_l d_{k-2l} a_l \end{aligned}$$

◇

We now express p_{n+1} in terms of p_n and q_n.

$$\begin{aligned} p_{n+1,k} &= \langle f, \phi_{n+1,k} \rangle \\ &= \langle P_{n+1} f, \phi_{n+1,k} \rangle \\ &= \langle P_n f + Q_n f, \phi_{n+1,k} \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sum_l p_{nl} \phi_{nl}, \phi_{n+1,k} \right\rangle + \left\langle \sum_l q_{nl} \psi_{nl}, \phi_{n+1,l} \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_l c_{k-2l} p_{nl} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_l d_{k-2l} q_{nl} \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$p_{n+1} = \mathcal{H}^* p_n + \mathcal{G}^* q_n.$$



Figure 6: The operations in the decomposition and reconstruction schemes.

Remark 1 : The previous decomposition corresponds to a change of basis in V_{n+1} :

$$\{\phi_{n+1,k}, k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \to \{\phi_{n,k}, k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{\psi_{n,k}, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Remark 2 : If $F = [f_{ij}]$ is the matrix for $\mathcal{F} : \ell^2 \to \ell^2$, i.e.

$$(\mathcal{F}a)_k = \sum_l f_{kl} a_l,$$

then the matrix of $\mathcal{F}^*$ is given by F^H.

Exercise 12.5 Use the conditions on the c_k we have found before to find that $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}^* = \mathcal{G}\mathcal{G}^* = \mathcal{I}$ (the indentity) and $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{G}^* = \mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}^* = \mathcal{O}$ (the zero operator). Somewhat more difficult is to show that $\mathcal{H}^*\mathcal{H} + \mathcal{G}^*\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{I}$. Thus with $\mathcal{K}^* = [\mathcal{H}^* \;\; \mathcal{G}^*]$, we have $\mathcal{K}\mathcal{K}^* = \mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{K}^*\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{I}$. $\diamond$

The results of this section can be reflected in the decomposition and reconstruction scheme as in figure 6

13 Fast Discrete Wavelet transform

For practical computations, we work with discrete data vectors of length $M = 2^K$. We also suppose that we work with orthogonal compactly supported wavelets, i.e. $c_k = 0$ for $k < 0$ and $k \geq 2N$ and that the coefficients c_k are real.
We want to invert the relation

$$p_{n+1} = [\mathcal{H}^* \;\; \mathcal{G}^*] \begin{bmatrix} p_n \\ q_n \end{bmatrix}$$

which is done by

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{H} \\ \mathcal{G} \end{bmatrix} p_{n+1} = \begin{bmatrix} p_n \\ q_n \end{bmatrix}$$

In general the matrices corresponding to $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ are infinite dimensional e.g. for $c_k \neq 0, k = 0, 1, 2, 3$

$$H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \ddots & & & & & & & \\ c_0 & c_1 & c_2 & c_3 & & & & \\ & & \boxed{c_0} & c_1 & c_2 & c_3 & & \\ & & & & c_0 & c_1 & c_2 & c_3 \\ & & & & & & \ddots & \end{bmatrix}, G = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \ddots & & & & & & & \\ \cdots & c_1 & c_0 & & & & & \\ & c_3 & -c_2 & \boxed{c_1} & -c_0 & & & \\ & & & c_3 & -c_2 & c_1 & -c_0 & \\ & & & & & & \ddots & \end{bmatrix}$$

For finite dimensional data, we shall have to truncate them. So for $M = 2^K$ we make H, G of dimension $2^{K-1} \times 2^K$. E.g., for $K = 3$ and $N = 2$

$$H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} c_0 & c_1 & c_2 & c_3 & & & & \\ & & c_0 & c_1 & c_2 & c_3 & & \\ & & & & c_0 & c_1 & c_2 & c_3 \\ & & & & & & c_0 & c_1 \end{bmatrix}; G = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} c_3 & -c_2 & c_1 & -c_0 & & & & \\ & & c_3 & -c_2 & c_1 & -c_0 & & \\ & & & & c_3 & -c_2 & c_1 & -c_0 \\ & & & & & & c_3 & -c_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

However this will cause some edge effects (orthogonality is lost). Therefore we suppose that the data are periodically extended, which amounts to reenter cyclically the data in H that were chopped off (a similar technique is used in FFT). So we use instead of the previous H and G for $K = 3$ and $N = 2$ the matrices

$$H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} c_0 & c_1 & c_2 & c_3 & & & & \\ & & c_0 & c_1 & c_2 & c_3 & & \\ & & & & c_0 & c_1 & c_2 & c_3 \\ c_2 & c_3 & & & & & c_0 & c_1 \end{bmatrix}; G = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} c_3 & -c_2 & c_1 & -c_0 & & & & \\ & & c_3 & -c_2 & c_1 & -c_0 & & \\ & & & & c_3 & -c_2 & c_1 & -c_0 \\ c_1 & -c_0 & & & & & c_3 & -c_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

G, H is "orthogonal" again in the sense $HH^T = I$, $GG^T = I$ and $HG^T = GH^T = 0$. Thus, with $K^T = [H^T \;\; G^T]$: $KK^T = K^TK = I$.
Suppose we have a data vector p of length 2^K. We can write it in terms of 2^K basis functions

$$p = \sum_{k=0}^{2^K-1} p_{k0}\phi_{0k}$$

with $\phi_{0k}(x) = \phi(x-k)$ with coefficients p_{k0}

$$p_{k0} = \langle p, \phi_{0k} \rangle .$$

The inner product, which used to be $\langle f, g \rangle = \int f(x)\overline{g}(x)dx$ will for the discrete case be transformed into $\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_k f(k)\overline{g}(k)$. Hence, for a data vector $p = (p_k)$ with $k = 0, 1, \ldots, 2^K - 1$, we get

$$\langle p, \phi_{0l} \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{2^K-1} p_k \phi_{0l}(k).$$

The expansion thus has to be understood as

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_0 \\ p_1 \\ \vdots \\ p_{2^K-1} \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{k=0}^{2^K-1} p_{k0} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{0k}(0) \\ \phi_{0k}(1) \\ \vdots \\ \phi_{0k}(2^K-1) \end{bmatrix}$$

E.g., consider $p = [9\ 1\ 2\ 0]^T$ and use for ϕ the box function i.e., $K = 2$. Note that $\phi_{0l}(k) = \delta_{kl}$ and thus $p_{k0} = \langle p, \phi_{0k} \rangle = p_k$.

$$p = 9 \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{00}(0) \\ \phi_{00}(1) \\ \phi_{00}(2) \\ \phi_{00}(3) \end{bmatrix} + 1 \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{01}(0) \\ \phi_{01}(1) \\ \phi_{01}(2) \\ \phi_{01}(3) \end{bmatrix} + 2 \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{02}(0) \\ \phi_{02}(1) \\ \phi_{02}(2) \\ \phi_{02}(3) \end{bmatrix} + 0 \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{03}(0) \\ \phi_{03}(1) \\ \phi_{03}(2) \\ \phi_{03}(3) \end{bmatrix}.$$

We can also expand it in terms of

$$\phi_{-1,k} \text{ and } \psi_{-1,k} \quad , \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, 2^{K-1} - 1$$

with coefficients $p_1 = (p_{k1})$ and $q_1 = (q_{k1})$ given by

$$p_{k1} = \langle p, \phi_{-1,k} \rangle \quad , \quad q_{k1} = \langle p, \psi_{-1,k} \rangle .$$

We could directly compute them by evaluating the inner products. However, by our previous analysis, we can also find them as

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_1 \\ q_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} H_K \\ G_K \end{bmatrix} p_0.$$

For our previous example,

$$H_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} c_0 & c_1 & & \\ & & c_0 & c_1 \end{bmatrix}; \quad G_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} c_1 & -c_0 & & \\ & & c_1 & -c_0 \end{bmatrix}$$

so that

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_{01} \\ p_{11} \\ q_{01} \\ q_{11} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & & \\ & & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 2 \\ 8 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

and we can check that this gives indeed the correct decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} p &= \frac{10}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{-1,0}(0) \\ \phi_{-1,0}(1) \\ \phi_{-1,0}(2) \\ \phi_{-1,0}(3) \end{bmatrix} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{-1,1}(0) \\ \phi_{-1,1}(1) \\ \phi_{-1,1}(2) \\ \phi_{-1,1}(3) \end{bmatrix} + \frac{8}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \psi_{-1,0}(0) \\ \psi_{-1,0}(1) \\ \psi_{-1,0}(2) \\ \psi_{-1,0}(3) \end{bmatrix} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \psi_{-1,1}(0) \\ \psi_{-1,1}(1) \\ \psi_{-1,1}(2) \\ \psi_{-1,1}(3) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{10}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{2}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{8}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{2}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} ! \end{aligned}$$

The first and second term, are the components of p along $\phi_{-1,0}$ and $\phi_{-1,1}$. Together they form the part of p that is in V_{-1}. This can again be partitioned and written in terms of

$$\phi_{-2,k} \text{ and } \psi_{-2,k} \quad , \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, 2^{K-2} - 1$$

which in our example is

$$\phi_{-2,0} \text{ and } \psi_{-2,0} \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{coefficients } q_{02} \text{ and } q_{02}.$$

This is for our example given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_{02} \\ q_{02} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} H_1 \\ G_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_{01} \\ p_{11} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} c_0 & c_1 \\ c_1 & -c_0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_{01} \\ p_{11} \end{bmatrix}$$

thus, explicitly

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_{02} \\ q_{02} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

and indeed

$$\begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 5 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 6 \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{-2,0}(0) \\ \phi_{-2,0}(1) \\ \phi_{-2,0}(2) \\ \phi_{-2,0}(3) \end{bmatrix} + 4 \begin{bmatrix} \psi_{-2,0}(0) \\ \psi_{-2,0}(1) \\ \psi_{-2,0}(2) \\ \psi_{-2,0}(3) \end{bmatrix} = \frac{6}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{4}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

is equal to the sum of the first two terms in the previous decomposition. Thus we have written p as

$$\begin{aligned} p &= 6\phi_{-2,0} + 4\psi_{-2,0} + \frac{8}{\sqrt{2}}\psi_{-1,0} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{2}}\psi_{-1,1} \\ &= 6 \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1/2 \\ 1/2 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix} + 4 \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1/2 \\ -1/2 \\ -1/2 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{8}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1/\sqrt{2} \\ -1/\sqrt{2} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1/\sqrt{2} \\ -1/\sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Note that in this simple example we didn't need the wrap around of the H and G matrix.
The two transformations together give the result $[p_{02}\ q_{02}\ q_{01}\ q_{11}]^T$ in terms of $[p_{00}\ p_{10}\ p_{20}\ p_{30}]^T$ as

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_{02} \\ q_{02} \\ q_{01} \\ q_{11} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & & \\ 1 & -1 & & \\ & & \sqrt{2} & \\ & & & \sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & & \\ & & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_{00} \\ p_{10} \\ p_{20} \\ p_{30} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ \sqrt{2} & -\sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2} & -\sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 4 \\ 8/\sqrt{2} \\ 2/\sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

The filters (matrices) G and H are often intertwined to have a matrix like e.g.

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} c_0 & c_1 & c_2 & c_3 & & & & \\ c_3 & -c_2 & c_1 & -c_0 & & & & \\ & & c_0 & c_1 & c_2 & c_3 & & \\ & & c_3 & -c_2 & c_1 & -c_0 & & \\ & & & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & & & & c_0 & c_1 & c_2 & c_3 \\ & & & & & & c_3 & -c_2 & c_1 & -c_0 \\ c_2 & c_3 & & & & & & & c_0 & c_1 \\ c_1 & -c_0 & & & & & & & c_3 & -c_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

and the factors $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ are included in the coefficients.
If this matrix has to be orthogonal, then, multiplying it with its transpose should give the identity.
This results in

$$\begin{cases} c_0^2 + c_1^2 + c_2^2 + c_3^2 = 1 \\ c_2 c_0 + c_3 c_1 = 0 \end{cases}$$

If we require in addition the approximation to be of order 2.
Then

$$\begin{cases} c_0 - c_1 + c_2 - c_3 = 0 & (H(\pi) = 0) \\ 0c_0 - c_1 + 2c_2 - 3c_3 = 0 & (H'(\pi) = 0) \end{cases}$$

A solution of these 4 equation is given by

$$c_0 = \frac{1+\sqrt{3}}{4\sqrt{2}}, \quad c_1 = \frac{3+\sqrt{3}}{4\sqrt{2}}$$
$$c_2 = \frac{3-\sqrt{3}}{4\sqrt{2}}, \quad c_3 = \frac{1-\sqrt{3}}{4\sqrt{2}}$$

which is Daubechies D_4.
When similarly introducing 6 coefficients $c_0, \ldots, c_5$, the orthogonality requirement gives 3 conditions, so that we can require the order to be 3 giving 3 more conditions.
A solution is given by D_6:

$$c_0 = \frac{(1+\sqrt{10}+\sqrt{5+2\sqrt{10}})}{16\sqrt{2}}, \quad c_1 = \frac{5+\sqrt{10}+3\sqrt{5+2\sqrt{10}}}{16\sqrt{2}}$$
$$c_2 = \frac{10-2\sqrt{10}+2\sqrt{5+2\sqrt{10}}}{16\sqrt{2}}, \quad c_3 = \frac{10-2\sqrt{10}+2\sqrt{5+2\sqrt{10}}}{16\sqrt{2}}$$
$$c_4 = \frac{5+\sqrt{10}-3\sqrt{5+2\sqrt{10}}}{16\sqrt{2}}, \quad c_5 = \frac{1+\sqrt{10}+\sqrt{5+2\sqrt{10}}}{16\sqrt{2}}$$

Exercise 13.1 Write down the 6 conditions for this case and check this solution. ◇


If the matrix is written in this intertwined form, after each transformation, one needs a permutation. Thus e.g.

$$
\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccc}
p_{0,0} & & p_{0,1} & & p_{0,1} & & p_{0,2} & & p_{0,2} & & p_{0,3} & & p_{0,3} & \xrightarrow{T_1} & p_{0,4} \\
p_{1,0} & & q_{0,1} & & p_{1,1} & & q_{0,2} & & p_{1,2} & \xrightarrow{T_2} & q_{0,3} & \xrightarrow{\text{Perm}} & p_{1,3} & & q_{0,4} \\
p_{2,0} & & p_{1,1} & & p_{2,1} & & p_{1,2} & & p_{2,2} & & p_{1,3} & & q_{0,2} & & \\
p_{3,0} & & q_{1,1} & & p_{3,1} & \xrightarrow{T_3} & q_{1,2} & \xrightarrow{\text{Perm}} & p_{3,2} & & q_{1,3} & & q_{1,2} & & \\
p_{4,0} & & p_{2,1} & & p_{4,1} & & p_{2,2} & & q_{0,2} & & & & & & \\
p_{5,0} & & q_{2,1} & & p_{5,1} & & q_{2,2} & & q_{1,2} & & & & & & \\
p_{6,0} & & p_{3,1} & & p_{6,1} & & p_{3,2} & & q_{2,2} & & & & & & \\
p_{7,0} & \xrightarrow{T_4} & q_{3,1} & \xrightarrow{\text{Perm}} & p_{7,1} & & q_{3,2} & & q_{3,2} & & & & & & \\
p_{8,0} & & p_{4,1} & & q_{0,1} & & & & & & & & & & \\
p_{9,0} & & q_{4,1} & & q_{1,1} & & & & & & & & & & \\
p_{10,0} & & p_{5,1} & & q_{2,1} & & & & & & & & & & \\
p_{11,0} & & q_{5,1} & & q_{3,1} & & & & & & & & & & \\
p_{12,0} & & p_{6,1} & & q_{4,1} & & & & & & & & & & \\
p_{13,0} & & q_{6,1} & & q_{5,1} & & & & & & & & & & \\
p_{14,0} & & p_{7,1} & & q_{6,1} & & & & & & & & & & \\
p_{15,0} & & q_{7,1} & & q_{7,1} & & & & & & & & & &
\end{array}
$$

The p-coefficients at a certain level give the coarse (smooth, large scale) information about the data vector while the q-coefficients give the more detailed information (small scale).

FORTRAN programs for this transform can be found in [195].

In signal processing literature, this technique is known as subband coding. This means that for the analysis, the signal p_{n+1} is filtered by both the filters $\mathcal{H}$ (lowpass filter) and $\mathcal{G}$ (passband filter). The results p_n and q_n are both subsampled ($\downarrow 2$) this means that only the samples with an even index are kept, the rest is thrown away. In the reconstruction, the signals p_n and q_n are first upsampled ($\uparrow 2$) which means that a zero is introduced between 2 consecutive samples and the upsampled signals are filtered by $\mathcal{G}^*$ and $\mathcal{H}^*$ and the result is added to give p_{n+1}.



14 Truncated wavelet approximation

A most interesting aspect of DWT is that in many applications, most of the coefficients of the DWT are very small and therefore we can set them equal to zero and yet retain the most important information.

The following example is similar to the one given in [195].

Consider a function like in fig. 7, sampled at 1024 equidistant points. The function values are integers generated by a shifted and rescaled $\log|x|$ function. Taking the DWT gives 1024 coefficients corresponding to certain wavelets. We rounded the wavelet coefficients to integer values between 0 and 255. Only 7% of these coefficients is not rounded to zero and 5% is larger than 1.




Figure 7: Function with cusp

They are plotted on a logarihmic scale on the second graph of fig. 7. Only those wavelets whose support contains the cusp of the given function will really contribute. Thus it already gives a good approximation if we select only those 5% wavelets (coefficient + *position* has to be stored!)

Exercise 14.1 How many bits does one need to store the position? Conclude that in comparison with storage for the coefficients, the storage needed for position is neglectible. ◇

Note that the cusp is at about one third of the interval [1,1024]. The nonzero wavelet coefficients are located at about one third of the intervals [513,1024], [257,512], [129,256], [65,128], etc. Also near the edges ↓ at 512, 256, 128,..., one gets nonzero coefficients (that is due to the edge effect).




Figure 8: Edge effect

In general compact (hence unsmooth) wavelets are better for lower accuracy approximation and for functions with discontinuous (typical for edge detection in image processing) while smooth (hence noncompact) wavelets are better for high numerical accuracy.

Another example is the DWT of the sine sunction given in fig. 9.




Figure 9: DWT of sine

15 Biorthogonal wavelets

The orthogonality condition imposed rather strong conditions on the definition of the wavelet. Therefore weaker forms exist, like e.g., biorthogonal wavelets. Then some dual functions for ϕ and ψ are defined

$$\tilde{\phi} = \sum_k \tilde{c}_k \tilde{\phi}(2x-k) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\psi} = \sum_k \tilde{d}_k \tilde{\phi}(2x-k)$$

or

$$\hat{\tilde{\phi}}(2\xi) = \tilde{H}(\xi)\hat{\tilde{\phi}}(\xi) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\tilde{\psi}}(2\xi) = \tilde{G}(\xi)\hat{\tilde{\phi}}(\xi)$$

with

$$\tilde{H}(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_k \tilde{c}_k e^{-ik\xi} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{G}(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_k \tilde{d}_k e^{-ik\xi}.$$

It is now required that the folowing biorthogonality relations hold

$$\left\langle \phi_{nk}, \tilde{\phi}_{nl} \right\rangle = \delta_{kl}, \ n,k,l \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad \left\langle \psi_{ik}, \tilde{\psi}_{jl} \right\rangle = \delta_{ij}\delta_{kl}, \ i,j,k,l \in \mathbb{Z}$$

These conditions lead to

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{H}(\xi) & \tilde{H}(\xi+\pi) \\ \tilde{G}(\xi) & \tilde{G}(\xi+\pi) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H(\xi) & H(\xi+\pi) \\ G(\xi) & G(\xi+\pi) \end{bmatrix}^* = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The projection operators P_j on V_j and Q_j on W_j are given by

$$P_j f(x) = \sum_k \left\langle f, \tilde{\phi}_{jk} \right\rangle \phi_{jk}(x) = \sum_k p_{jk}\phi_{jk}(x) \quad \text{and} \quad Q_j f(x) = \sum_k \left\langle f, \tilde{\psi}_{jk} \right\rangle \psi_{jk}(x) = \sum_k q_{jk}\psi_{jk}(x)$$

Analysis and reconstruction formulas are

$$p_{n+1} = [\mathcal{H}^* \ \ \mathcal{G}^*] \begin{bmatrix} p_n \\ q_n \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} p_n \\ q_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\mathcal{H}} \\ \tilde{\mathcal{G}} \end{bmatrix} p_{n+1}$$

16 Algorithms

The formulas we have seen in the previous section can be easily put into an algorithm. The following algorithms can be found in [150]. We suppose that all the coefficients are real and that c_k and $\tilde{c}_k$ are nonzero for $-L \le k \le L$ and that the $\tilde{d}_k$ and d_k are nonzero for $-M \le k \le M$. Moreover, suppose that $L = 2L'+1$ and $M = 2M'+1$ are odd. The "signal" is given as a vector of 2^K coefficients $p_{n,k}$, $k = 0, \ldots, 2^K - 1$.

The analysis is the result of the following DWT algorithm.

for $n = K-1(-1)0$
  for $k = 0(1)2^n - 1$

$$p_{nk} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{i=-L}^{L} \tilde{c}_i p_{n+1,(i+2k) \bmod 2^{n+1}}$$

$$q_{nk} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{i=-M}^{M} \tilde{d}_i p_{n+1,(i+2k) \bmod 2^{n+1}}$$

  endfor
endfor

The inverse DWT is given by the following reconstruction algorithm

for $n = 1(1)K$
  for $k = 0(1)2^n - 1$
    if k even **then**

$$p_{nk} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{i=-L'}^{L'} c_{2i} p_{n-1,(k/2-i) \bmod 2^{n-1}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{i=-M'}^{M'} d_{2i} q_{n-1,(k/2-i) \bmod 2^{n-1}}$$

    else k odd

$$p_{nk} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{i=-L'-1}^{L'} c_{2i+1} p_{n-1,((k-1)/2-i) \bmod 2^{n-1}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{i=-M'-1}^{M'} d_{2i+1} q_{n-1,((k-1)/2-i) \bmod 2^{n-1}}$$

    endif
  endfor
endfor

17 Multidimensional DWT

A wavelet transform of a d-dimensional vector is most easily obtained by transforming the array sequentially on its first index (for all values of its other indices), then on the second etc. Each transformation corresponds to a multiplication with an orthogonal matrix. By associativity of the matrix product, the result is independent of the order in which the indices are chosen.
The situation is identical to multidimensional FFT. For a two-dimensional array (a square image say), this corresponds to a subdivision of the vector into regions like in fig. 10 A. This gives rise to




Figure 10: Different subdivisions of square

standard bases to span the spaces of the multiresolution

$$V_k = \text{span}\{\phi_{kn}(x)\phi_{km}(y) : m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Exercise 17.1 Could you find the bases that span the orthocomplements W_k? ◇

Setting by definition

$$(f \otimes g)(x, y) = f(x)g(y),$$

we get an expansion of the form

$$f(x, y) = \sum_{i,l} \sum_{j,k} \langle f, \psi_{il} \otimes \psi_{jk} \rangle \, (\psi_{il} \otimes \psi_{jk})(x, y).$$

This corresponds to taking a 1-dimensional wavelet transform in x and y independently. Note that this gives a different resolution in x- and y-direction: In the x-direction the scaling is 2^{-i} while in the y-direction the scaling is 2^{-j}.

There is however another possibility where we subdivide the square into regions like in fig. 10 B. At each stage, only the lower left quarter is further subdivided. This case gives subspaces V_n in the multiresolution which, as before, are spanned by

$$V_k = \text{span}\{\phi_{kn}(x)\phi_{km}(y) : m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \quad \text{(left lower squares)}$$

but the orthocomplements are spanned by mixtures of basis functions which are now easy to describe:

$$W_k = \text{span}\{\phi_{kn}(x)\psi_{km}(y), \psi_{kn}(x)\phi_{km}(y), \psi_{kn}(x)\psi_{km}(y) : m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

The first set are for the right bottom quarters, the second set for the left top quarters and the last one for the right top quarters.

Note that there is now only one scaling 2^{-k} for both x- and y-direction.

It is the latter approach we shall follow in the next section.

18 Image compression

In this application, we are concerned with a two dimensional multiresolution problem. This can be reconstructed using products of functions defining the 1-dimensional multiresolution in x and y. We now have

$$\begin{aligned} V_{k+1} &= V_{k+1}^{(x)} \otimes V_{k+1}^{(y)} \\ &= (V_k^{(x)} \oplus W_k^{(x)}) \otimes (V_k^{(y)} \oplus W_k^{(y)}) \\ &= (V_k^{(x)} \otimes V_k^{(y)}) \oplus (V_k^{(x)} \otimes W_k^{(y)}) \oplus (W_k^{(x)} \otimes V_k^{(y)}) \oplus (W_k^{(x)} \otimes W_k^{(y)}) \end{aligned}$$

The different resolution spaces are

$$V_k = \text{span}\{\phi_{kn}(x)\phi_{km}(y) : m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

and the orthocomplements are

$$W_k = \text{span}\{\phi_{kn}(x)\psi_{km}(y), \psi_{kn}(x)\phi_{km}(y), \psi_{kn}(x)\psi_{km}(y) : m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

The projectors are

$$P_k f = \sum_{m,n} p_{mn}^k \phi_{km}(x)\phi_{kn}(y)$$

and

$$Q_k f = \sum_{m,n} [q_{mn}^{(x)k}\psi_{km}(x)\phi_{kn}(y) + q_{mn}^{(y)k}\phi_{km}(x)\psi_{kn}(y) + q_{mn}^{(xy)k}\psi_{km}(x)\psi_{kn}(y)].$$

The coefficients are found by the recursions

$$\begin{aligned} p^{k+1} &= H_x H_y p^k \\ q^{(x)k+1} &= G_x H_y p^k \\ q^{(y)k+1} &= H_x G_y p^k \\ q^{(xy)k+1} &= G_x G_y p^k. \end{aligned}$$

An index x means that the operator acts on the first index, an index y means that the operator acts on the second index, e.g.

$$\left.\begin{array}{l}(H_x a)_{nm} = \sum_k c_{k-2n} a_{km} \\ (G_y p)_{km} = \sum_l d_{l-2m} p_{kl}\end{array}\right\} \Rightarrow (H_x G_y p)_{nm} = \sum_{k,l} c_{k-2n} d_{l-2m} p_{kl}$$

As for the 1-dimensional case, the p^{k+1} give the coarser information, while the q-vectors give the small scale information. For example, a high value in $q^{(y)k+1}$ indicates horizontal edges and $q^{(xy)k+1}$ indicates corners.
The reconstruction algorithm uses

$$p^{k-1} = H_x^* H_y^* p^k + G_x^* H_y^* q^{(x)k} + H_x^* G_y^* q^{(y)k} + G_x^* G_y^* q^{(xy)k}$$

19 Solution of linear systems

To solve the problem $Ax = b$, with wavelet transform, the basic idea is to think of the matrix A (supposed to be very large) as a digital image. Suppose, it compresses well under 2-dimensional wavelet transform, i.e., a large fraction of its wavelet coefficients are small (i.e. negligible), then under the wavelet transform, it becomes a sparse system. Let W be the 1-dimensional wavelet transform matrix and set

$$\tilde{A} = WAW^T \quad , \quad \tilde{b} = Wb$$

then solve the sparse system $\tilde{A}\tilde{x} = \tilde{b}$ and finally find x as $x = W^T\tilde{x}$.

An example for which this technique is particularly useful is to solve an integral equation

$$\int A(x,y) f(x) dx = g(y)$$

where $A(x,y)$ is nearly singular near the diagonal $x = y$, but is very smooth away from the diagonal. A discretization gives a matrix which may e.g. look like

$$A_{ij} = \begin{cases} -1, & i = j \\ |i-j|^{-1/2}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

For more details see for example [35].

Acknowledgment

We are indebted to Wim Sweldens for his valuable suggestions to improve the manuscript. He also provided the LaTeX description and data for Figure 13.
The bibliography is mainly a compilation that is available by ftp by the time of the compilation of this text. In the same directory also other bibliographies van be found. To retrieve it, connect to

`maxwell.math.scarolina.edu`

and look for

`/pub/wavelet/references/*.ref`.

The computations were done with the FORTRAN routines described in [195].

Appendices

A Fourier transforms

The Fourier transform of a function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is given by

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ix\xi} f(x) dx$$

and the inverse Fourier transform is

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ix\xi} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi.$$

The Placherel formula is

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi$$

and the Parseval relation is

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\overline{g(x)} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(\xi)\overline{\hat{g}(\xi)} d\xi$$

The Fourier transform is an isometric isomorphism from $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ into $L^2(\mathbb{R})$

L^2_P is the space of P-periodic functions for which

$$\int_0^P |f(x)|^2 dx < \infty.$$

The Fourier transform for functions in $L^2_{2\pi}$ is

$$\hat{f}_k = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-ik\theta} f(\theta) d\theta, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

The inverse transform is

$$f(\theta) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}_k e^{ik\theta}.$$

The Fourier transform is an isometric isomorphism from $L^2_{2\pi}$ into $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$

$$\|f\|^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(\theta)|^2 d\theta = \|\hat{f}\|^2 = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{f}_k|^2$$

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(\theta)\overline{g(\theta)} d\theta = \langle \hat{f}, \hat{g} \rangle = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}_k \bar{\hat{g}}_k.$$

B A collection of formulas

$$\phi(x) = \sum_n c_n \phi(2x - n), \qquad \psi(x) = \sum_n d_n \phi(2x - n)$$

$$\phi_{nk}(x) = 2^{n/2} \phi(2^n x - k), \qquad \psi_{nk}(x) = 2^{n/2} \psi(2^n x - k)$$

$$\hat{\phi}(2\xi) = H(\xi)\hat{\phi}(\xi), \qquad H(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_n c_n e^{-in\xi}$$

$$\hat{\psi}(2\xi) = G(\xi)\hat{\phi}(\xi), \qquad G(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_n d_n e^{-in\xi}$$

$$\hat{\phi}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} H(2^{-n}\xi)$$

$$\langle \phi_{nk}, \phi_{nl} \rangle = \delta_{kl}, \qquad \langle \psi_{ik}, \psi_{jl} \rangle = \delta_{ij}\delta_{kl}, \qquad \langle \phi_{nk}, \psi_{nj} \rangle = 0$$

$$K = [H(\xi) \;\; G(\xi)] \quad \Rightarrow \quad KK^* = 1, \qquad K^*K = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\sum_n c_{2n} = \sum_n c_{2n+1} = 1, \qquad \sum_n c_n = 2, \qquad \sum_n (-1)^n c_n = 0$$

$$\sum c_{n-2k}\bar{c}_{n-2l} = 2\delta_{kl}$$

$$G(\xi) = -e^{-i\xi}\overline{H}(\xi + \pi), \qquad d_n = (-1)^n \bar{c}_{1-n}$$

$$\sum_n \phi(x - n) = 1, \qquad \sum_n |\hat{\phi}(\xi + 2n\pi)|^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi}$$

$$H(\pi) = 0, \quad H(0) = 1; \qquad G(\pi) = 1, \quad G(0) = 0$$

$$(\mathcal{H}a)_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_l \bar{c}_{l-2k} a_l, \qquad (\mathcal{G}a)_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_l \bar{d}_{l-2k} a_l,$$

$$P_n f = \sum_k p_{nk}\phi_{nk}, \qquad Q_n f = \sum_k q_{nk}\psi_{nk}$$

$$\mathcal{K}^* = [\mathcal{H}^* \;\; \mathcal{G}^*] \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{K}^*\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{I}, \qquad \mathcal{K}\mathcal{K}^* = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{I} & \mathcal{O} \\ \mathcal{O} & \mathcal{I} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_n \\ q_n \end{bmatrix} = \mathcal{K} p_{n+1}, \qquad p_{n+1} = \mathcal{K}^* \begin{bmatrix} p_n \\ q_n \end{bmatrix}$$

C Hints and solutions of some exercises

Exercise 2.1: The function and two of its dilations are plotted in figure 11. ◇




Figure 11: The Mexican hat function and two of its translated dilations

Exercise 4.1: The first relation follows from $V_n \subset V_{n+1}$.
The second one corresponds to $\bigcap_n V_n = \{0\}$ and $\bigcup_n V_n$ dense in L_2.
The third one corresponds to $f(x) \in V_n \Leftrightarrow f(2x) \in V_{n+1}$. ◇

Exercise 4.2: Let χ be the characteristic function for the interval $[0, 1[$, then χ_{nk} in example 4.1 are equal to $\chi_{nk}(x) = \chi(2^n x - k)$. Clearly the functions χ_{nk} form an orthogonal basis for V_n. The norm is $\|\chi_{nk}\|a = 2^{-n/2}$. Thus $P_n f$ can be written as an orthonormal expansion

$$(P_n f)(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_k \chi_{nk}(x)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} a_k &= \frac{1}{\|\chi_{nk}\|^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\chi_{nk}(x)dx \\ &= 2^n \int_{2^{-n}k}^{2^{-n}(k+1)} f(x)dx \end{aligned}$$

which gives the solution proposed. ◇

Exercise 6.1: See the figure 12. ◇




Figure 12: The hat function and the corresponding wavelet

Exercise 6.5: The result is plotted in the first part of figure 13. ◇

Exercise 6.7: An easy induction shows that

$$N_j^- = 2^{-j}N_0^- + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{2^j}\right) N^-,$$

which converges for $j \to \infty$ to N^-. A similar argument proves the assertion about N^+. ◇

Exercise 8.1: First note that $\operatorname{supp}\phi \subset [N^-, N^+]$ implies that $\operatorname{supp}\phi(2x-n)$ as a function of x is $[(N^-+n)/2, (N^++n)/2]$. On the other hand c_{1-n} is only nonzero for $n \in [1-N^+, 1-N^-]$. Therefore $\operatorname{supp}\psi$ is exactly as stated. ◇

Exercise 9.1: Note that (9.2) means that

$$\frac{1}{4}\sum_{k,l} c_k\bar{c}_l e^{-i(k-l)\xi} + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{k,l} c_k\bar{c}_l(-1)^{k-l}e^{-i(k-l)\xi} = 1.$$

Hence the odd terms drop out and we get

$$\sum_{k-l \text{ even}} c_k\bar{c}_l e^{-i(k-l)\xi} = \sum_t C_t e^{-2it\xi} = 2$$

with

$$C_t = \sum_{k-l=2t} c_k\bar{c}_l.$$

This has to be true for all ξ, so that $C_t = 2\delta_{t0}$. Thus $\sum_n c_n\bar{c}_{n-2t} = 2\delta_{t0}$. This is of course equivalent with the statement to be proved. The complex conjugate drops out if the c_k are real. ◇

Exercise 9.2: This is just by filling in

$$H(\pi) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_n (-1)^n c_n = 0$$




Figure 13: The Daubechies scaling function and wavelet.

◇

Exercise 11.2: This is trivial to check. ◇

Exercise 12.1: We note that

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{nk}(x) &= 2^{n/2}\phi(2^n x - k)\\ &= 2^{n/2}\sum_i c_i \phi(2^{n+1}x - 2k - i)\\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_i c_i 2^{(n+1)/2}\phi(2^{n+1}x - (2k+i))\\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_l c_{l-2k}\phi_{n+1,l}(x)\end{aligned}$$

◇

Exercise 12.2: This is along the same lines as the previous one

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{nk}(x) &= 2^{n/2}\psi(2^n x - k)\\ &= 2^{n/2}\sum_i d_i \phi(2^{n+1}x - 2k - i)\\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_i d_i 2^{(n+1)/2}\phi(2^{n+1}x - (2k+i))\\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_l d_{l-2k}\phi_{n+1,l}(x)\end{aligned}$$

◇

Exercise 12.3: Also this one is trivial. For example from

$$\phi_{nl}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_t c_{t-2l}\phi_{n+1,t}(x)$$

we find that

$$\langle \phi_{nl}, \phi_{n+1,k}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}c_{k-2l}.$$

◇

Exercise 12.4: The matrix of the adjoint operator is the Hermitian conjugate of the matrix of the operator. ◇

Exercise 12.5: This follows directly from the matrix representations of the operators. ◇

D Example of image compression

We consider a 256×256 matrix of gray scales from 0–255 (8 bits), which produces the picture of Madonna below.


We use the D_4 discrete wavelet transform in 2 dimesnions.

For $p = 0(0.1)1$, we delete all the DWT coefficients that are less than $p\%$ of the maximal coefficient. It turns out that we then use only $r\%$ of the total number of coefficients, i.e., $(100-r)\%$ is set equal to zero. This corresponds to a compression factor $C = 100/r$. To get an idea of the approximation error, we compute the approximation error $E = \|P - A\|_F/\|P\|_F$ where P is the

original picture and A is the approximation and $\|\cdot\|_F$ is the Frobenius norm ($\|A\|_F = (\sum_{ij} a_{ij}^2)^{1/2}$). The result is given in the table below.

p	C	r	E
0.0	1.00	100.00	0.00
0.1	4.42	22.62	0.06
0.2	15.93	6.27	0.09
0.3	30.18	3.31	0.11
0.4	43.98	2.27	0.12
0.5	58.57	1.71	0.13
0.6	71.39	1.40	0.13
0.7	85.67	1.17	0.14
0.8	100.67	0.99	0.14
0.9	118.08	0.85	0.15
1.0	135.40	0.74	0.16


In the graph below we plotted these data.



It is possible to see what compession factor can be obtained for a given tolerance in the relative error.

The pictures below give the approximating picture corresponding to these values of $p = 0(0.1)1$.







Below are the results of the picture where all wavelet coefficients smaller tan 1 percent of the largest are deleted when the wavelet used is D_4, D_{12} and D_{20} respectively. The compression factors are 135, 146 and 145 respectively. Note that for D_{20} the picture is smoother than for D_4. This smoother situation is closer to what would be obtained by a Discrete Fourier Transform.



Another example is provided by the following checkerboard. Its DWT is given besides the original.



Below we give the reconstructed picture, on the first row for D_4 and on the second row for D_{20} when the relative percent p of deleted wavelet coefficients (as in the previous example) is respectively 1%, 5% and 20%.




E Example of a matrix

Consider the example of the matrix given in section 19, i.e., a 256×256 matrix with entries

$$A_{ij} = \begin{cases} 255, & i = j \\ 255/\sqrt{|i-j|}, & i \neq j \end{cases}$$

The DWT is computed with D_4 wavelets and the picture is given below.



The darker the pixels, the larger the wavelet coefficients. Black means a value larger than 0.03, and white means value of 0.

References

[1] E.H. Adelson, E. Simoncelli, and R. Hingorani. Orthogonal pyramid transforms for image coding. In *Visual Communications and Image Processing II*, number 845 in SPIE, pages 50–58, 1987.

[2] V. Adolfsson. L^2 integrability of second order derivatives for Poisson's equation in non–smooth domains. Technical Report 1990:10, Industrial Mathematics Initiative, Department of Mathematics, The University of South Carolina, 1990.

[3] V. Adolfsson, M. Goldberg, B. Jawerth, and H. Lennerstad. Localized Galerkin estimates for boundary integral equations on Lipschitz domains. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 23(5):1356–1374, 1993.

[4] V. Adolfsson, B. Jawerth, and R. Torres. Singular integral equations, spaces of homogeneous type and boundary elements in non–smooth domains. Technical Report 1991:5, Industrial Mathematics Initiative, Department of Mathematics, The University of South Carolina, 1991.

[5] A.N. Akansu and R.A. Haddad. *Multiresolution signal decomposition: transforms, subbands and wavelets.* Academic Press/Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, 1992.

[6] A.N. Akansu, R.A. Haddad, and H. Caglar. The binomial QMF-wavelet transform for multiresolution signal decomposition. *IEEE Trans. Signal Proc.*, 42(1):13–19, 1993.

[7] A. Aldroubi and M. Unser. Families of multiresolution and wavelet spaces with optimal properties. To appear in Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization.

[8] A. Aldroubi and M. Unser. Sampling procedures in function spaces and asymptotic equivalence with Shannon's sampling theory. NCRR report 145/91, NIH, Bethesda, Maryland.

[9] J.A.H. Alkemade and P.L. Vermeer. Multiresolution and time-frequency representations of signals. Technical Report 89–47, Faculty of Technical Mathematics and Informatics, Delft University of Technology, 1989.

[10] B. Alpert, G. Beylkin, R. Coifman, and V. Rokhlin. Wavelet-like bases for the fast solution of second-kind integral equations. *SIAM J. Sci. Comp.*, 14(1):159–184, 1993.

[11] B.K. Alpert. Wavelets and other bases for fast numerical linear algebra. In C.K. Chui, editor, *Wavelets: A Tutorial in Theory and Applications*, pages 181–216. Academic Press, 1992.

[12] L. Andersson, N. Hall, B. Jawerth, and G. Peters. Wavelets on closed subsets of the real line. In L.L. Schumacher and G. Webb, editors, *Topics in the Theory and Applications of Wavelets*. Academic Press, To be published.

[13] L. Andersson, B. Jawerth, and M. Mitrea. The Cauchy singular integral operator and Clifford wavelets. In J.J. Benedetto and M. Frazier, editors, *Wavelets: mathematics and applications*, chapter 15. CRC Press, 1993.

[14] M. Antinini, M. Barlaud, P. Mathieu, and I. Daubechies. Image coding using vector quantization in the wavelet transform domain. In *Proc. 1990 IEEE Intl. Conf. Acoust. Speech, Signal Proc.*, pages 2297–2300. Albuquerque, NM, April 2-6, 1990, IEEE Computer Society Press, 1990.

[15] P. Aucher and Ph. Tchamitchian. Ondelettes et conjecture de Kato. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, I(313):63–66, 1991.

[16] P. Aucher and Ph. Tchamitchian. Conjecture de Kato sur les ouverts de $\mathbb{R}$. *Revista Mat. Iberoamericana*, 8(2):149–199, 1992.

[17] P. Aucher and Ph. Tchamitchian. fill in the title. In L.L. Schumacher and G. Webb, editors, *Topics in the Theory and Applications of Wavelets*. Academic Press, To be published.

[18] P. Auscher. Toute base d'ondelettes régulières de $l(\mathbb{R})$ est issue d'une analyse multi-résolution régulière. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, I(315):1227–1230, 1992.

[19] P. Auscher. Wavelets with boundary conditions on the interval. In C.K. Chui, editor, *Wavelets: A Tutorial in Theory and Applications*, pages 217–236. Academic Press, 1992.

[20] P. Auscher. All regular wavelet basis for $L_2(\mathbb{R})$ come from a regular multi-resolution analysis. *Comptes Rendus de l'Academie des Sciences Serie I Mathematiqu*, 315(12):1227–1230, 1993.

[21] P. Auscher. Compactly supported wavelets and boundary conditions. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 111(1):29–44, 1993.

[22] P. Auscher. Ondelettes à support compact et conditions aux limites. *J. Functional Anal.*, 111:29–43, 1993.

[23] P. Auscher. Remarks on the local Fourier bases. In J.J. Benedetto and M. Frazier, editors, *Wavelets: mathematics and applications*, chapter 5. CRC Press, 1993.

[24] P. Auscher, G. Weiss, and V. Wickerhauser. Local sine and cosine bases of Coifman and Meyer and the construction of smooth wavelets. In C.K. Chui, editor, *Wavelets: A Tutorial in Theory and Applications*, pages 237–256. Academic Press, 1992.

[25] E. Bacry, S.G. Mallat, and G. Papanicolaou. A wavelet based space-time adaptive numerical method for partial differential equations. Technical Report 591, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, 1991.

[26] M. Basseville, A. Benveniste, and A.S. Willsky. Multiscale autoregressive processes, part I: Schur-Levinson parametrizations. *IEEE Trans. on Signal Process.*, 40(8):1915–1934, 1992.

[27] G. Battle. A block spin construction of ondelettes. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 110:601–615, 1987.

[28] J. Benedetto and M. Frazier, editors. *Wavelets: Mathematics and Applications.* CRC Press, to appear in 1993.

[29] J.J. Benedetto. Frame decompositions, sampling, and uncertainty principle inequalities. In J.J. Benedetto and M. Frazier, editors, *Wavelets: mathematics and applications*, chapter 7. CRC Press, 1993.

[30] J.J. Benedetto and F. Walnut. Gabor frames for L^2 and related spaces. In J.J. Benedetto and M. Frazier, editors, *Wavelets: mathematics and applications*, chapter 3. CRC Press, 1993.

[31] A. Benveniste. Multiscale signal processing: From QMF to wavelets. In E.F. Deprettere and A.-J. van der Veen, editors, *Algorithms and Parallel VLSI Architectures Volume A: Tutorials*, pages 71–96. Elsevier Science Publishers, 1991.

[32] M.A. Berger. Random affine iterated function systems: curve generation and wavelets. *SIAM Review*, 34(3):361–385, 1992.

[33] G. Beylkin. On the representation of operators in bases of compactly supported wavelets. *SIAM J. Num. Anal.*, 29(6):1716–1740, 1992.

[34] G. Beylkin. On wavelet-based algorithms for solving differential equations. In J.J. Benedetto and M. Frazier, editors, *Wavelets: mathematics and applications*, chapter 12. CRC Press, 1993.

[35] G. Beylkin, R. Coifman, and V. Rokhlin. Fast wavelet transforms and numerical algorithms I. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 44:141–183, 1991.

[36] G. Beylkin, R. Coifman, and V. Rokhlin. Wavelets in numerical analysis. In M.B. Ruskai, G. Beylkin, R. Coifman, I. Daubechies, S.G. Mallat, Y. Meyer, and L. Raphael, editors, *Wavelets and their Applications*, pages 181–210. Jones and Bartlett, 1992.

[37] W.L. Briggs and V.E. Henson. Wavelets and multigrid. *SIAM J. on Scientific Computing*, 14(2):506–, 1993.

[38] Zhiqiang Cai and E. Weinan. Hierarchical method for elliptic problems using wavelets. Preprint Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York University, 1990?

[39] A. Cavaretta, W. Dahmen, and C. Micchelli. Subdivision for Computer Aided Geometric Design. *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.*, 93, 1991.

[40] A.K. Chan, C.K. Chui, J.Z. Wang, Q.Lui, and J.Zha. Introduction to B-wavelets and applications to signal processing. Technical Report CAT 245, Center for Approximation Theory, Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University, 1991.

[41] C. Chui and E. Quak. Wavelets on a bounded interval. In D. Braess and L.L. Schumaker, editors, *Numerical Methods of Approximation Theory*, pages 1–24. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1992.

[42] C.K. Chui. *An Introduction to Wavelets.* Academic Press, 1992.

[43] C.K. Chui, editor. *Wavelets: A Tutorial in Theory and Applications.* Academic Press, 1992.

[44] C.K. Chui and Wang J, Z. An analysis of cardinal spline-wavelets. *J. Approximation Theory*, 72(1):54–69, 1993.

[45] C.K. Chui and C. Li. Non-orthogonal wavelet packets. Technical Report CAT Report #261, Center for Approximation Theory, Texas A&M University, 1991.

[46] C.K. Chui and X.L. Shi. Inequalities of Paley-Littlewood type for frames and wavelets. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 24(1):263–, 1993.

[47] C.K. Chui, J. Stöckler, and J.D. Ward. Compactly supported box-spline wavelets. Technical Report CAT 230, Center for Approximation Theory, Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University, 1991.

[48] C.K. Chui and J.Z. Wang. On compactly supported spline wavelets and a duality principle. To appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.

[49] C.K. Chui and J.Z. Wang. An overview of wavelets. Technical Report CAT 223, Center for Approximation Theory, Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University, 1990.

[50] C.K. Chui and J.Z. Wang. A cardinal spline approach to wavelets. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 113:785–793, 1991.

[51] C.K. Chui and J.Z. Wang. A general framework of compactly supported splines and wavelets. *J. Approx. Th.*, 71(3):263–304, 1992.

[52] Z. Ciesielski. Constructive function theory and spline systems. *Studia Math.*, 52:277–302, 1973.

[53] A. Cohen. Construction de bases d' ondelettes Hölderiennes. *Revista Matematica Iberoamericana*, 6(3/4), 1990.

[54] A. Cohen. Biorthogonal wavelets. In C. K. Chui, editor, *Wavelets: A Tutorial in Theory and Applications*. Academic Press, 1992.

[55] A. Cohen and I. Daubechies. A stability criterion for biorthogonal wavelets bases and their related subband coding scheme. Preprint.

[56] A. Cohen and I. Daubechies. Non-separable bidimensional wavelet bases. Preprint AT&T Bell Laboratories, New Jersey, 1991.

[57] A. Cohen and I. Daubechies. On the instability of arbitrary biorthogonal wavelet packets. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 1993.

[58] A. Cohen and I. Daubechies. Orthonormal bases of compactly supported wavelets, 3: better frequency resolution. *SIAM J. on Mathematical Analysis*, 24(2):520–527, 1993.

[59] A. Cohen, I. Daubechies, and J.C. Feauveau. Biorthogonal bases of compactly supported wavelets. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 45(5):485–560, 1992.

[60] A. Cohen, I. Daubechies, B. Jawerth, and P. Vial. Multiresolution analysis, wavelets and fast algorithms on an interval. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, I(316):417–421, 1993.

[61] A. Cohen and J.-M. Schlenker. Compactly supported bidimensional wavelet bases with hexagonal symmetry. *Constructive Approx.*, 9(2):209–236, 1993.

[62] R. Coifman and Y. Meyer. Remarques sur l'analyse de Fourier à fenêtre. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, I(312):259–261, 1991.

[63] R.R. Coifman. A real variable characterization of H^p. *Studia Math*, 51, 1974.

[64] R.R. Coifman. Wavelet analysis and signal processing. In L. Auslander et al., editors, *Signal Processing, I: signal processing theory*, volume 22 of *IMA*. Springer-Verlag, 1990.

[65] R.R. Coifman and Y. Meyer. Orthonormal wave packet bases. Preprint.

[66] R.R. Coifman, Y. Meyer, S. Quake, and M.V. Wickerhauser. Signal processing and compression with wave packets. in Proceedings of the conference on Wavelets, Marseille, 1989.

[67] R.R. Coifman, Y. Meyer, and V. Wickerhauser. Size properties of wavelet packets. In M.B. Ruskai, G. Beylkin, R. Coifman, I. Daubechies, S.G. Mallat, Y. Meyer, and L. Raphael, editors, *Wavelets and their Applications*, pages 453–470. Jones and Bartlett, 1992.

[68] R.R. Coifman and V. Wickerhauser. Wavelets and adapted waveform analysis. In J.J. Benedetto and M. Frazier, editors, *Wavelets: mathematics and applications*, chapter 10. CRC Press, 1993.

[69] D. Colella and C. Heil. The characterization of continuous four-coefficient scaling functions and wavelets. *IEEE Trans. on Inf. Theory*, 38(2), 1992.

[70] D. Colella and C. Heil. Characterizations of scaling functions: I. continuous solutions. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 15, To be published.

[71] J.M. Combes, A. Grossmann, and Ph. Tchamitchian, editors. *Wavelets: Time-Frequency Methods and Phase Space.* Inverse problems and theoretical imaging. Springer-Verlag, 1989. Proc. Intl. Conf. Marseille, France, Dec. 14-18, 1987.

[72] S. Dahlke and A. Kunoth. Biorthogonal wavelets and multigrid. Technical Report A 21-93, Freie Universität Berlin, 1993. to appear in: Proceedings of the 9th GAMM-Seminar "Adaptive Methods: Algorithms, Theory and Applications", W.Hackbusch, G.Wittum (eds.), NNFM series, Vieweg.

[73] S. Dahlke and I. Weinreich. Wavelet bases adapted to pseudo-differential operators. Technical report, RWTH Aachen, 1992.

[74] S. Dahlke and I. Weinreich. Wavelet-Galerkin-methods: An adapted biorthogonal wavelet basis. *Constructive Approx.*, 9(2):237–262, 1993.

[75] W. Dahmen and A. Kunoth. Multilevel preconditioning. *Revista Mat. Iberoamericana*, 63(2):315–344, 1992.

[76] W. Dahmen and C.A. Micchelli. Using the refinement equation for evaluating integrals of wavelets. *SIAM J. Num. Anal.*, 30(2):507–537, 1993.

[77] I. Daubechies. Discrete sets of coherent states and their use in signal analysis. In *Differential Equations and Mathematical Physiscs*, volume 1285 of *LNM*, pages 73–82. Springer-Verlag, 1987.

[78] I. Daubechies. Orthonormal bases of compactly supported wavelets. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 41:909–996, 1988.

[79] I. Daubechies. Orthonormal bases of wavelets with finite support–connection with discrete filters. In J.M. Combes, A. Grossmann, and Ph. Tchamitchian, editors, *Wavelets: Time-Frequency Methods and Phase Space*, Inverse problems and theoretical imaging, pages 38–66. Springer-Verlag, 1989. Proc. Intl. Conf. Marseille, France, Dec. 14-18, 1987.

[80] I. Daubechies. The wavelet transform, time–frequency localization and signal analysis. *IEEE Trans. Information Th.*, 36(5):961–1005, 1990.

[81] I. Daubechies. Orthonormal bases of compactly supported wavelets II. variations on a theme. Preprint AT&T Bell Laboratories, New Jersey, 1991.

[82] I. Daubechies. *Ten lectures on wavelets*, volume 61 of *CBMS/NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics*. SIAM, 1992.

[83] I. Daubechies. Orthonormal bases of compactly supported wavelets, 2: variations on a theme. *SIAM J. on Mathematical Analysis*, 24(2):499–519, 1993.

[84] I. Daubechies, A. Grossmann, and Y. Meyer. Painless nonorthogonal expansions. *J. Math. Phys.*, 27(5):1271–1283, 1986.

[85] I. Daubechies and J. C. Lagarias. Two-scale difference equations I. Existence and global regularity of solutions. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 22(5):1388–1410, 1991.

[86] I. Daubechies and J.C. Lagarias. Sets of matrices all infinite products of which converge. *Lin. Alg. Appl.*, 161:227–263, 1992.

[87] I. Daubechies and J.C. Lagarias. Two-scale difference equations II. Local regularity, infinite products of matrices and fractals. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 23(4):1031–1079, 1992.

[88] J.G. Daugman. Complete 2-D Gabor transforms by neural network for image analysis and compression. *IEEE Trans. Acoustics, Speech, Signal Proc.*, 36(7):1169–1179, 1988.

[89] G. David, editor. *Wavelets and Singular Integrals on Curves and Surfaces*, volume 1465 of *LNM*. Springer-Verlag, 1991.

[90] C. de Boor, R.A. DeVore, and A. Ron. On the construction of multivariate (pre)wavelets. *Constructive Approx.*, 9(2):123–166, 1993.

[91] B. Deng. *Biorthogonal wavelet packets*. PhD thesis, Department of Mathematics, University of South Carolina, 1993.

[92] B. Deng, B. Jawerth, G. Peters, and W. Sweldens. Wavelet probing for segmentation based image compression. To be presented at *Mathematical Imaging: Wavelet Applications in Signal and Image Processing, SPIE, San Diego, July 1993.*

[93] G. Deslauriers and S. Dubuc. Interpolation dyadique. In *Fractals, Dimensions non-entières et applications*, Paris, 1987. Masson.

[94] G. Deslauriers and S. Dubuc. Symmetric iterative interpolation processes. *Constructive Approx.*, 5(1):49–68, 1989.

[95] R.A. DeVore, B. Jawerth, and B.J. Lucier. Data compression using wavelets: Error, smoothness, and quantization. In J.A. Storer and J.H. Reif, editors, *Proceedings Data Compression Conference, Snowbird Utah*, pages 186–195. IEEE Computer Society, 1991.

[96] R.A. DeVore, B. Jawerth, and B.J. Lucier. Image compression through wavelet transform coding. 1992.

[97] R.A. DeVore, B. Jawerth, and B.J. Lucier. Surface compression. *Comp. Aid. Geom. Des.*, 9(3):219–239, 1992.

[98] R.A. DeVore, B. Jawerth, and V. Popov. Compression of wavelet decompositions. *Amer. J. Math.*, 114:737–785, 1992.

[99] R.A. DeVore and B.J. Lucier. Wavelets. *Acta Numerica*, 1:1–56, 1992.

[100] D.L. Donoho. Interpolating wavelet transforms. Preprint Department of Statistics, Stanford University, 1992.

[101] D.L. Donoho and I.M. Johnstone. Adapting to unknown smoothness by wavelet shrinkage. Preprint Department of Statistics, Stanford University, 1992.

[102] D.L. Donoho and I.M. Johnstone. Ideal spatial adaptation via wavelet shrinkage. Preprint Department of Statistics, Stanford University, 1992.

[103] D.L. Donoho and I.M. Johnstone. New minimax theorems, thresholding, and adaptation. Preprint Department of Statistics, Stanford University, 1992.

[104] S. Durand. Convergence of cascade algorithms introduced by i. daubechies. Preprint, Ceremade Univ. Paris Dauphine.

[105] T. Eirola. Sobolev characterization of solutions of dilation equations. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 23(4):1015–1030, 1992.

[106] X. Fang and E. Séré. Adaptive multiple folding local trigonometric transforms and wavelet packets. preprint.

[107] H. Feichtinger and K. Gröchenig. Theory and practice of irregular sampling. In J.J. Benedetto and M. Frazier, editors, *Wavelets: mathematics and applications*, chapter 8. CRC Press, 1993.

[108] G. Fix and G. Strang. Fourier analysis of the finite element method in Ritz-Galerkin theory. *Stud. Appl. Math*, 48:265–273, 1969.

[109] P. Franklin. A set of continuous orthogonal functions. *Math. Ann*, 100:522–529, 1928.

[110] M. Frazier and B. Jawerth. Decomposition of Besov spaces. *Indiana University Mathematics Journal*, 34(4):777–799, 1985.

[111] M. Frazier and B. Jawerth. The ϕ-transform and applications to distribution spaces. In M. Cwikel et al., editor, *Function Spaces and Applications*, number 1302 in Springer Lecture Notes in Math, pages 223–246, 1988.

[112] M. Frazier and B. Jawerth. A discrete transform and decompositions of distribution spaces. *J. Func. Anal*, 93:34–170, 1990.

[113] M. Frazier, B. Jawerth, and G. Weiss. *Littlewood-Paley theory and the study of function spaces.* Number 79 in Regional Conference Series in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1991.

[114] M. Frazier and A. Kumar. An introduction to the orthonormal wavelet transform on discrete sets. In J.J. Benedetto and M. Frazier, editors, *Wavelets: mathematics and applications*, chapter 2. CRC Press, 1993.

[115] M. Frazier and R. Torres. The sampling theorem, ϕ-transform and Shannon wavelets for $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{T}$, and $\mathbb{Z}_N$. In J.J. Benedetto and M. Frazier, editors, *Wavelets: mathematics and applications*, chapter 6. CRC Press, 1993.

[116] J.S. Geronimo and D. Hardin. Fractal interpolation surfaces and a related 2-D multiresolution analysis.

[117] J.S. Geronimo, D. Hardin, and P.R. Massopust. Fractal surfaces, multiresolution analyses and wavelet transforms. To appear in proc. of the Nato Advanced Research Workshop "Shape in Picture", 7–11 september 1992.

[118] N. Getz. A fast periodic wavelet transform. Technical Report UCB/ERL M92/138, Electronics Research Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, 1992.

[119] R. Glowinski, W. Lawton, M. Ravechol, and E. Tenenbaum. Wavelet solution of linear and nonlinear elliptic parabolic and hyperbolic problems in one space dimension. Technical Report AD 890527.1.1, Aware Inc., 1989.

[120] E.C. Gootman and M.V. Wickerhauser. Elementary wavelets. Preprint Department of Mathematics, Univerity of Georgia, ftp from ceres@math.yale.edu, 1991.

[121] R.A. Gopinath and C.S. Burrus. On the moments of the scaling function. In *Proceedings of the ISCAS-92*. San Diego, 1992.

[122] A. Grossman and J. Morlet. Decompostion of Hardy functions into square integrable wavelets of constant shape. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 15(4):723–736, 1984.

[123] A. Grossmann, R. Kronland-Martinet, and J. Morlet. Reading and understanding continuous wavelet transforms. In J.M. Combes, A. Grossmann, and Ph. Tchamitchian, editors, *Wavelets: Time-Frequency Methods and Phase Space*, Inverse problems and theoretical imaging, pages 2–20. Springer-Verlag, 1989. Proc. Intl. Conf. Marseille, France, Dec. 14-18, 1987.

[124] A. Grossmann and J. Morlet. Decompostion of Hardy functions into square integrable wavelets of constant shape. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 15(4):723–736, 1984.

[125] A. Grossmann and J. Morlet. Decomposition of functions into wavelets of constant shape, and related transforms. In L. Streit, editor, *Mathematics and Physics, Lectures on Recent Results*, Singapore, 1985. World Scientific Publishing.

[126] A. Grossmann, J. Morlet, and T. Paul. Transforms associated to square integrable group representations I. General results. *J. Math. Phys.*, 26(10):2473–2479, 1985.

[127] A. Grossmann, J. Morlet, and T. Paul. Transforms associated to square integrable group representations II. Examples. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré*, 45:293–309, 1986.

[128] A. Haar. Zur Theorie der orthogonalen Funktionen-Systeme. *Math. Ann.*, 69:331–371, 1910.

[129] D.P. Hardin, B. Kessler, and P.R. Massopust. Multiresolution analyses based on fractal functions. *J. Approx. Th.*, 71(1):104–120, 1992.

[130] H. Heijmans. Discrete wavelets and multiresolution analysis, 1992. Manuscript, presented at the CWI wavelet course, Amsterdam, November 25–28, 1991.

[131] C. Heil. Some stability properties of wavelets and scaling functions. Preprint Department of Mathematics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

[132] C. Heil and D. Colella. Dilation equations and the smoothness of compactly supported wavelets. In J.J. Benedetto and M. Frazier, editors, *Wavelets: mathematics and applications*, chapter 4. CRC Press, 1993.

[133] C. Heil and G. Strang. Continuity of the joint spectral radius: applications to wavelets. In A. Bojanczyk and G. Cybenko, editors, *Linear Algebra for Signal Processing*, IMA Vol. Math. Appl., New York. Springer.

[134] C. Heil and D. F. Walnut. Continuous and discrete wavelet transforms. *SIAM Review*, 31(4):628–666, 1989.

[135] P.W. Hemker and F. Plantevin. Wavelet bases adapted to inhomogeneous cases. In T.H. Koornwinder, editor, *Wavelets: an elementary treatment of theory and applications*. World Scientific, 1993.

[136] F. Heurtaux, F. Planchon, and V. Wickerhauser. Scale decomposition in Burgers' equation. In J.J. Benedetto and M. Frazier, editors, *Wavelets: mathematics and applications*, chapter 14. CRC Press, 1993.

[137] M. Holschneider. Wavelet analysis on the circle. *J. Math. Phys.*, 31(1):39–44, 1990.

[138] C. Houdré. Wavelets, probability, and statistics: Some bridges. In J.J. Benedetto and M. Frazier, editors, *Wavelets: mathematics and applications*, chapter 9. CRC Press, 1993.

[139] T. L. Huntsberger and B.A. Huntsberger. Hypercube algorithm for image decomposition and analysis in the wavelet representation. In *The Fifth Distributed Memory Computing Conference, Charleston*, pages 171–175. The University of South Carolina, IEEE Computer Society Press, 1990.

[140] T.L. Huntsberger, B. Jawerth, S. Lopresto, G. Peters, and A. Tirumalai. Wavelets on closed sets and image processing. In preparation.

[141] IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, Special issue on wavelet transform and multiresolution signal analysis, January 1992.

[142] S. Jaffard. Wavelet methods for fast resolution of elliptic problems. *SIAM J. Num. Anal.*, 29(4), 1992.

[143] S. Jaffard. Wavelets and nonlinear analysis. In J.J. Benedetto and M. Frazier, editors, *Wavelets: mathematics and applications*, chapter 13. CRC Press, 1993.

[144] S. Jaffard and Ph. Laurencot. Orthonormal wavelets, analysis of operators, and applicatios to numerical analysis. In C.K. Chui, editor, *Wavelets: A Tutorial in Theory and Applications*, pages 543–601. Academic Press, 1992.

[145] S. Jaffard and Y. Meyer. Bases d'ondelettes dans des ouverts de $\mathbb{R}^n$. *Journ. Math. Pures Appl.*, 68(1):95–108, 1989.

[146] A.J.E.M. Janssen. The Zak transform and sampling theorems for wavelet subspaces. Preprint Philips Research Laboratories, Eindhoven, The Netherlands.

[147] A.J.E.M. Janssen. The Zak transform: a signal transform for sampled time-continuous signals. *Philips J. Res.*, 43:23–69, 1988.

[148] B. Jawerth. On Besov spaces. Technical Report 1, Lund, 1977.

[149] B. Jawerth, C.-C. Hsiao, B. Lucier, and Xiangming Yu. Near optimal compression of orthonormal wavelet expansions. In J.J. Benedetto and M. Frazier, editors, *Wavelets: mathematics and applications*, chapter 11. CRC Press, 1993.

[150] B. Jawerth and W. Sweldens. An overview of wavelet based multiresolution analyses. Technical Report 1993:1, Industrial Mathematics Initiative, Department of Mathematics, University of South Carolina, 1993. Submitted to SIAM Review.

[151] B. Jawerth and W. Sweldens. Wavelet multiresolution analyses for the fast solution of boundary value ordinary differential equations. Technical Report 1993:3, Industrial Mathematics Initiative, Department of Mathematics, University of South Carolina, 1993. To appear in proceedings of the Sixth Copper Mountain Conference on Multigrid Methods, NASA CP.

[152] R.-Q. Jia and C.A. Micchelli. Using the refinement equations for the construction of pre-wavelets II: Powers of two. In *Curves and Surfaces*. Academic Press, New York, 1991.

[153] R.-Q. Jia and C.A. Micchelli. Using the refinement equations for the construction of pre-wavelets V: Extensibility of trigonometric polynomials. *Computing*, 48(1):61–72, 1992.

[154] R.-Q. Jia and J. Wang. Orthogonality and stability associated with wavelet decomposition. Technical report, Department of Mathematics, University of Oregon, Eugene, 1991.

[155] F. Keinert. Biorthogonal wavelets for fast matrix computations. Preprint Department of Mathematics, Iowa State Univ, Submitted *Appl. Comp. Harm. Anal.*, 1992.

[156] T.H. Koornwinder. The continuous wavelet transform. In T.H. Koornwinder, editor, *Wavelets: an elementary treatment of theory and applications*. World Scientific, 1993.

[157] T.H. Koornwinder, editor. *Wavelets: an elementary treatment of theory and applications*. World Scientific, to appear in 93.

[158] A. Kumar, D.R. Fuhrman, M. Frazier, and B. Jawerth. A new transform for time-frequency analysis. *IEEE Trans. on Signal Process.*, 40(7):1697–1707, 1992.

[159] A. Latto, H.L. Resnikoff, and E. Tenenbaum. The evaluation of connection coefficients of compactly supported wavelets. Technical Report AD910708, Aware Inc., 1991.

[160] A. Latto and E. Tenenbaum. Compactly supported wavelets and the numerical solution of Burgers' equation. Technical Report AD 900307, Aware Inc., 1990.

[161] W. Lawton, W. Morrell, E. Tenenbaum, and J. Weiss. The wavelet-Galerkin method for partial differential equations. Technical report, Aware Inc.

[162] W.M. Lawton. Tight frames of compacly supported affine wavelets. *J. Math. Phys.*, 31(8):1898–1901, 1990.

[163] W.M. Lawton. Necessary and sufficient conditions for constructing orthonormal wavelets bases. *J. Math. Phys.*, 32(1):57–61, 1991.

[164] P.-G. Lemarié. Ondelettes a localisation exponentielle. *Journ. Math. Pures Appl.*, 67(3):227–236, 1988.

[165] P.-G. Lemarié, editor. *Les Ondelettes en 1989*, volume 1438 of *LNM*. Springer-Verlag, 1990.

[166] P.-G. Lemarié and Y. Meyer. Ondelettes et bases hilbertiennes. *Revista Mat. Iberoamericana*, 2:1–18, 1986.

[167] A.S. Lewis and G. Knowles. A 64kb/s video codec using the 2-d wavelet transform. In J.A. Storer and J.H. Reif, editors, *Proceedings Data Compression Conference, Showbird Utah*, pages 196–201. IEEE Computer Society, 1991.

[168] W. Light, editor. *Advances in Numerical analysis, II: wavelets, subdivision algorithms and radial basis functions*. Claredon Press, 1991.

[169] R.A. Lorentz and W.R. Madych. Spline wavelets for ordinary differential equations. Preprint Geselschaft für Mathematic und Datenverabeitung, St. Augustin, Germany, 1990?

[170] Y. Maday, V. Perrier, and J.-C. Ravel. Adaptivité dynamique sur bases d'ondelettes pour l'approximation d'équitations aux dérivées partielles. *C. R. Académie des Sciences Paris*, I(312):405–410, 1991.

[171] Y. Maday and J.C. Ravel. Adaptivité par ondelettes: conditions aux limites et dimensions supérieures. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, I(315):85–90, 1992.

[172] S.G. Mallat. Multifrequency channel decompositions of images and wavelet models. *IEEE Trans. Acoustics, Speech, Signal Proc.*, 37(12):2091–2110, 1989.

[173] S.G. Mallat. Multiresolution approximations and wavelet orthonormal bases of $L^2(\mathbf{R})$. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, 315(1):69–87, 1989.

[174] S.G. Mallat. A theory for multiresolution signal decomposition: The wavelet representation. *IEEE Trans. on Patt. Anal. and Mach. Intell.*, 11(7):674–693, 1989.

[175] S.G. Mallat. A theory for multiresolution signal decomposition: The wavelet representation. *IEEE Trans. on Pattern Anal. Machine Intel.*, 11(7):674–693, 1989.

[176] S.G. Mallat. Zero crossings of a wavelet transform. *IEEE Trans. on Inf. Theory*, 37(4):1019–1033, 1991.

[177] S.G. Mallat and W.L. Hwang. Singularity detection and processing with wavelets. *IEEE Trans. Information Th.*, 38(2):617–643, 1992.

[178] S.G. Mallat and S. Zhong. Characterization of signals from multiscale edges. *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Machine Intel.*, 14(7):710–732, 1992.

[179] S.G. Mallat and S. Zhong. Wavelet transform maxima and multiscale edges. In M.B. Ruskai, G. Beylkin, R. Coifman, I. Daubechies, S.G. Mallat, Y. Meyer, and L. Raphael, editors, *Wavelets and their Applications*, pages 67–104. Jones and Bartlett, 1992.

[180] H.S. Malvar. Lapped transforms for efficient transform/subband coding. *IEEE Trans. on Acoust. Signal Speech Process.*, 38:969–978, 1990.

[181] H.S. Malvar and D.H. Staelin. The LOT: Transform coding without blocking effects. *IEEE Trans. on Acoust. Signal Speech Process.*, 37:553–559, 1989.

[182] Y. Meyer. Orthonormal wavelets. In J.M. Combes, A. Grossmann, and Ph. Tchamitchian, editors, *Wavelets: Time-Frequency Methods and Phase Space*, Inverse problems and theoretical imaging, pages 21–37. Springer-Verlag, 1989. Proc. Intl. Conf. Marseille, France, Dec. 14-18, 1987.

[183] Y. Meyer. *Ondelettes et Opérateurs, I: Ondelettes, II: Opérateurs de Calderón-Zygmund, III:* (with R. Coifman), *Opérateurs multilinéaires.* Hermann, Paris, English translation is being prepared by Cambridge University Press, 1990.

[184] Y. Meyer. Ondelettes sur l'intervalle. Technical Report 9020, CEREMADE, Université Paris-Dauphine, To appear in Rev. Mat. Iberoamer., 1991.

[185] Y. Meyer. *Ondelettes et algorithmes concurrents.* Hermann, Paris, 1992.

[186] Y. Meyer, editor. *Wavelets and applications*, volume 20 of *Research Notes in Applied Mathematics.* Springer-Verlag, 1992. Proceedings Intl. Conf. Marseille, May, 1989.

[187] Y. Meyer. *Wavelets: Algorithms and Applications.* SIAM, Philadelphia, 1993. translated and revised by R.D. Ryan.

[188] Y. Meyer, S. Jaffard, and O. Rioul. L'analyse par ondelettes. *Pour la Science*, pages 28–38, September 1987.

[189] C.A. Micchelli. Using the refinement equations for the construction of pre-wavelets. *Numerical Algorithms*, 1(1):75–116, 1991.

[190] C.A. Micchelli, C. Rabut, and F.I. Utretas. Using the refinement equations for the construction of pre-wavelets III: Elliptic splines. *Numerical Algorithms*, 1(1):331–352, 1991.

[191] H. Naparst. Dense target signal processing. *IEEE Trans. Information Th.*, 37(2):317–327, 1991.

[192] P. Oswald. On discrete norm estimates related to multilevel preconditioners in the finite element method. Preprint, 1991.

[193] J. Peetre. *New Thoughts on Besov Spaces.* Duke Univ. Math. Series, Durham, NC, 1976.

[194] D. Pollen. $SU_I(F[z, 1/z])$ for F a subfield of C. *J. Am. Math. Soc.*, 3(3):611–624, 1990.

[195] W.H. Press. Wavelet transforms: a primer. Technical Report 3184, Center for Astrophysics, Department of Physics, Harvard University, 1991.

[196] S. Qian and J. Weiss. Wavelets and the numerical solution of partial differential equations. Technical Report AD920318, Aware Inc., 1992.

[197] S. Qian and J. Weiss. Wavelets and the numerical solution of boundary value problems. *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 6(1):47–52, 1993.

[198] H.L. Resnikoff. Foundations of arithmeticum analysis: Compactly supported wavelets and the wavelet group. Technical Report AD890507.1, Aware Inc., 1989.

[199] H.L. Resnikoff and C.S. Burrus. Relationships between the Fourier transform and the wavelet transform. Technical Report AD900609, Aware Inc., 1990.

[200] A. Rieder. *Approximationseigenschaften der Wavelet-Transformation.* PhD thesis, Fachbereich 3 Mathematik, Technischen Universität Berlin, 1990.

[201] S.D. Riemenschneider and Z. Shen. Wavelets and pre-wavelets in low dimensions. *J. Approx. Th.*, 71(1):18–38, 1992.

[202] S.D. Riemenschneider and Z.W. Shen. Box splines, cardinal series and wavelets. In C.K. Chui, editor, *Approximation Theory and Functional Analysis.* Academic Press, New York, 1991.

[203] O. Rioul. Simple regularity cirteria for subdivision schemes. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 23(6):1544–1576, 1992.

[204] O. Rioul and M. Vetterli. Wavelets and signal processing. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, pages 14–38, October 1991.

[205] R. Rochberg. The use of decomposition theorems in the study of operators. In J.J. Benedetto and M. Frazier, editors, *Wavelets: mathematics and applications*, chapter 16. CRC Press, 1993.

[206] M.B. Ruskai, G. Beylkin, R. Coifman, I. Daubechies, S.G. Mallat, Y. Meyer, and L. Raphael, editors. *Wavelets and their Applications.* Jones and Bartlett, 1992.

[207] N. Saito and G. Beylkin. Multiresolution representations using the autocorrelation functions of compactly supported wavelets. Preprint University of Colorado at Boulder.

[208] L.L. Schumacher and G. Webb, editors. *Topics in the Theory and Applications of Wavelets.* Academic Press, To be published.

[209] Z. Shen. Non-tensor product wavelet packets in $l_2(\mathbb{R}^s)$. Technical Report CMS #93-10, Center for Mathematical Scienes, University of Wisconsin-Madison, 1993.

[210] M.J. Shensa. The discrete wavelet transform, wedding the à trous and Mallat algorithms. *IEEE Trans. Signal Proc.*, 40:2464–2482, 1992.

[211] M.J. Shensa. Wedding the à trous and Mallat algorithms. *IEEE Trans. on Signal Process.*, 40(10):2464–2482, 1992.

[212] E.P. Simoncelli, W.T. Freeman, E.H. ade-sim hin:orthogonal, and D.J. Heeger. Shiftable multiscale transforms. *IEEE Trans. on Inf. Theory*, 38(2):587–607, 1992.

[213] H.-G. Stark. Multiscale analysis, wavelets and texture quality, bericht nr. 41. Technical report, Arbeitsgruppe Technomatik, Fachbereich Mathematik, Universität Kaiserslautern, 1990.

[214] J. Stöckler. Multivariate wavelets. In C.K. Chui, editor, *Wavelets: A Tutorial in Theory and Applications*, pages 325–356. Academic Press, 1992.

[215] G. Strang. Wavelets and dilation equations: A brief introduction. *SIAM Review*, 31(4):614–627, 1989.

[216] G. Strang and G. Fix. A Fourier analysis of the finite element variational method. In *Constructive aspects of Functional Analysis*, Rome, 1973. Edizione Cremonese.

[217] R.S. Strichartz. Construction of orthonormal wavelets. In J.J. Benedetto and M. Frazier, editors, *Wavelets: mathematics and applications*, chapter 1. CRC Press, 1993.

[218] J.O. Strömberg. A modified Franklin system and higher order spline systems on $\mathbb{R}^n$ as unconditional bases for Hardy spaces. In Beckner et al., editor, *Conference on Harmonic Analysis in Honor of Antoni Zygmund*, volume II, pages 475–494, Chicago, 1981.

[219] S. Sullivan. Vector and parallel implementations of the wavelet transform. Technical report, Center for Supercomputing Research and Development, University of Illinois, Urbana, 1991.

[220] W. Sweldens and R. Piessens. Asymptotic error expansions of wavelet approximations of smooth functions II: Generalizations. Technical Report 1993:4, Industrial Mathematics Initiative, Department of Mathematics, University of South Carolina. Submitted.

[221] W. Sweldens and R. Piessens. An introduction to wavelets and efficient quadrature formulae for the calculation of the wavelet decomposition. Technical Report TW159, Department of Computer Science, K.U.Leuven, Celestijnenlaan 200A, B 3001 Leuven, Belgium, 1991.

[222] W. Sweldens and R. Piessens. Asymptotic error expansions of wavelet approximations of smooth functions. Technical Report TW164, Department of Computer Science, K.U.Leuven, Belgium, 1992.

[223] W. Sweldens and R. Piessens. Calculation of the wavelet decomposition using quadrature formulae. *CWI Quarterly*, 5(1):33–52, 1992.

[224] M. Unser and A. Aldroubi. Polynomial splines and wavelets — a signal processing perspective. In C.K. Chui, editor, *Wavelets: A Tutorial in Theory and Applications*, pages 543–601. Academic Press, 1992.

[225] M. Unser, A. Aldroubi, and M. Eden. A family of polynomial spline wavelet transforms. NCRR report 153/90, To be published in Signal Processing.

[226] M. Unser, A. Aldroubi, and M. Eden. A sampling theory for polynomial splines. In *International Symposium on Information Theory and its Applications*, pages 270–273, 1990.

[227] P.P. Vaidyanathan. Theory and design of M-channel maximally decimated quadrature mirror filters with arbitrary M, having perfect reconstruction property. *IEEE Trans. on Acoust. Signal Speech Process.*, 36:476–492, 1987.

[228] P. Verlinden and A. Haegemans. An asymptotic expansion in wavelet analysis and its application to accurate numerical wavelet decomposition. *Numer. Alg.*, 2:287–298.

[229] P.L. Vermeer. Discrete orthonormal wavelets. Technical Report 91–45, Faculty of Technical Mathematics and Infomatics, Delft University of Technology, 1991.

[230] P.L. Vermeer and J.A.H. Alkemade. Multiscale segmentation of well logs. Technical Report 90–30, Faculty of Technical Mathematics and Infomatics, Delft University of Technology, 1990.

[231] M. Vetelli and C. Herley. Wavelets and filter banks: theory and design. *IEEE Trans. Signal Proc.*, 40:2207–2482, 1992.

[232] M. Vetterli. Filter banks allowing perfect reconstruction. *Signal Processing*, 10:219–244, 1986.

[233] L.F. Villemoes. Energy moments in time and frequency for two-scale difference equation solutions and wavelets. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 23(6):1119–1543, 1992.

[234] H. Volkmer. On the regularity of wavelets. *IEEE Trans. on Inf. Theory*, 38:872–876, 1992.

[235] J.L. Walsh. A closed set of normal orthogonal functions. *Amer. J. Math*, 45:5–24, 1923.

[236] G.G. Walter. Approximation of the delta function by wavelets. *J. Approx. Th.*, 71(3):329–343, 1992.

[237] G.G. Walter. Discrete discrete wavelets. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 23(4):1004–1014, 1992.

[238] G.G. Walter. Nonuniform sampling of bandlimited functions of polynomial growth. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 23(4):995–1003, 1992.

[239] G.G. Walter. A sampling theorem for wavelet subspaces. *IEEE Trans. on Inf. Theory*, 38:881–884, 1992.

[240] J. Weiss. The comparison of wavelet galerkin and dealiased-spectral methods. Technical report, Aware Inc.

[241] J. Weiss. Wavelets and the study of two dimensional turbulence. Technical Report AD910628.1, Aware Inc., 1991.

[242] R.O. Wells. Parametrizing smooth compactly supported wavelets. *Transactions of the A.M.S.*, 338(2):919–931, 1993.

[243] M.V. Wickerhauser. Nonstandard matrix multiplication. Preprint Department of Mathematics, Yale Univerity, ftp from ceres@math.yale.edu, 1991.

[244] M.V. Wickerhauser. Acoustic signal compression with wavelet packets. In C.K. Chui, editor, *Wavelets: A Tutorial in Theory and Applications*, pages 679–700. Academic Press, 1992.

[245] M.V. Wickerhauser and R.R. Coifman. Entropy based methods for best basis selection. *IEEE Trans. on Inf. Theory*, 38(2):719–746, 1992.

[246] J.W. Woods and Sean D. O'Neil. Subband coding of images. *IEEE Trans. Acoustics, Speech, Signal Proc.*, 34(5):1278–1288, 1986.

[247] J.-C. Xu and W.-C. Shann. Galerkin-wavelet methods for two-point boundary value problems. *Revista Mat. Iberoamericana*, 63(1):123–142, 1992.

[248] W. Zettler, J. Huffman, and D. C.P. Linden. Applications of compactly supported wavelets to image compression. Technical Report AD 900119, Aware Inc., 1990.

[249] *Aware Wavelet Transform Processor*, 1991.

[250] *The UltraWave Explorer User's Manual*, 1991.