

1 **Mendelian randomization analyses suggest a role for cholesterol in the development of**
2 **endometrial cancer**

3
4 Pik-Fang Kho^{1,2}, Frederic Amant³, Daniela Annibaldi³, Katie Ashton⁴⁻⁶, John Attia^{4,7}, Paul L.
5 Auer^{8,9}, Matthias W. Beckmann¹⁰, Amanda Black¹¹, Louise Brinton¹¹, Daniel D. Buchanan¹²⁻
6 ¹⁵, Stephen J. Chanock¹⁶, Chu Chen¹⁷, Maxine M. Chen¹⁸, Timothy H.T. Cheng¹⁹, Linda S.
7 Cook^{20,21}, Marta Crous-Bous^{18,22}, Kamila Czene²³, Immaculata De Vivo^{18,22}, Joe Dennis²⁴,
8 Thilo Dörk²⁵, Sean C. Dowdy²⁶, Alison M. Dunning²⁷, Matthias Dürst²⁸, Douglas F.
9 Easton^{24,27}, Arif B. Ekici²⁹, Peter A. Fasching^{10,30}, Brooke L. Fridley³¹, Christine M.
10 Friedenreich²¹, Montserrat García-Closas¹⁶, Mia M. Gaudet³², Graham G. Giles^{13,33,34}, Ellen
11 L. Goode³⁵, Maggie Gorman¹⁹, Christopher A. Haiman³⁶, Per Hall^{23,37}, Susan E.
12 Hankinson^{22,38}, Alexander Hein¹⁰, Peter Hillemanns²⁵, Shirley Hodgson³⁹, Erling A.
13 Hoivik^{40,41}, Elizabeth G. Holliday^{4,7}, David J. Hunter^{18,42,43}, Angela Jones¹⁹, Peter Kraft^{18,42},
14 Camilla Krakstad^{40,41}, Diether Lambrechts^{44,45}, Loic Le Marchand⁴⁶, Xiaolin Liang⁴⁷, Annika
15 Lindblom^{48,49}, Jolanta Lissowska⁵⁰, Jirong Long⁵¹, Lingeng Lu⁵², Anthony M. Magliocco⁵³,
16 Lynn Martin⁵⁴, Mark McEvoy⁷, Roger L. Milne^{13,33,34}, Miriam Mints⁵⁵, Rami Nassir⁵⁶,
17 Geoffrey Otton⁵⁷, Claire Palles¹⁹, Loreall Pooler³⁶, Tony Proietto⁵⁷, Timothy R. Rebbeck^{58,59},
18 Stefan P. Renner⁶⁰, Harvey A. Risch⁵², Matthias Rübner⁶⁰, Ingo Runnebaum²⁸, Carlotta
19 Sacerdote^{61,62}, Gloria E. Sarto⁶³, Fredrick Schumacher⁶⁴, Rodney J. Scott^{4,6,65}, V. Wendy
20 Setiawan³⁶, Mitul Shah²⁷, Xin Sheng³⁶, Xiao-Ou Shu⁵¹, Melissa C. Southey^{12,33,34}, Emma
21 Tham^{48,66}, Ian Tomlinson^{19,54}, Jone Trovik^{40,41}, Constance Turman¹⁸, Jonathan P. Tyrer²⁷,
22 David Van Den Berg³⁶, Zhaoming Wang¹¹, Nicolas Wentzensen¹¹, Lucy Xia³⁶, Yong-Bing
23 Xiang⁶⁷, Hannah P. Yang¹¹, Herbert Yu⁴⁶, Wei Zheng⁵¹, Penelope M. Webb⁶⁸, Deborah J.
24 Thompson²⁴, Amanda B. Spurdle¹, Dylan M. Glubb^{1#}, Tracy A. O'Mara^{1#*}

25
26 ¹ Department of Genetics and Computational Biology, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research
27 Institute, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia.

28 ² School of Biomedical Science, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane,
29 Queensland, Australia.

30 ³ Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Division of Gynecologic Oncology, University
31 Hospitals KU Leuven, University of Leuven, Leuven, Belgium.

32 ⁴ Hunter Medical Research Institute, John Hunter Hospital, Newcastle, New South Wales,
33 Australia.

34 ⁵ Centre for Information Based Medicine, University of Newcastle, Callaghan, New South
35 Wales, Australia.

36 ⁶ Discipline of Medical Genetics, School of Biomedical Sciences and Pharmacy, Faculty of
37 Health, University of Newcastle, Callaghan, New South Wales, Australia.

38 ⁷ Centre for Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Medicine and Public Health,
39 University of Newcastle, Callaghan, New South Wales, Australia.

40 ⁸ Cancer Prevention Program, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA, USA.

41 ⁹ Zilber School of Public Health, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Milwaukee, WI, USA.

42 ¹⁰ Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Comprehensive Cancer Center ER-EMN,
43 University Hospital Erlangen, Friedrich-Alexander-University Erlangen-Nuremberg,
44 Erlangen, Germany.

45 ¹¹ Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD,
46 USA.

47 ¹² Department of Clinical Pathology, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria,
48 Australia.

49 ¹³ Centre for Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Melbourne School of Population and Global
50 Health, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia.

- 51 ¹⁴ Genomic Medicine and Family Cancer Clinic, Royal Melbourne Hospital, Parkville,
52 Victoria, Australia.
- 53 ¹⁵ University of Melbourne Centre for Cancer Research, Victorian Comprehensive Cancer
54 Centre, Parkville, Victoria, Australia.
- 55 ¹⁶ Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, National
56 Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Services, Bethesda, MD, USA.
- 57 ¹⁷ Epidemiology Program, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA, USA.
- 58 ¹⁸ Department of Epidemiology, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA,
59 USA.
- 60 ¹⁹ Wellcome Trust Centre for Human Genetics and Oxford NIHR Biomedical Research
61 Centre, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK.
- 62 ²⁰ University of New Mexico Health Sciences Center, University of New Mexico,
63 Albuquerque, NM, USA.
- 64 ²¹ Department of Cancer Epidemiology and Prevention Research, Alberta Health Services,
65 Calgary, AB, Canada.
- 66 ²² Channing Division of Network Medicine, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's
67 Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA.
- 68 ²³ Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm,
69 Sweden.
- 70 ²⁴ Centre for Cancer Genetic Epidemiology, Department of Public Health and Primary Care,
71 University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK.
- 72 ²⁵ Gynaecology Research Unit, Hannover Medical School, Hannover, Germany.
- 73 ²⁶ Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Division of Gynecologic Oncology, Mayo
74 Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA.
- 75 ²⁷ Centre for Cancer Genetic Epidemiology, Department of Oncology, University of
76 Cambridge, Cambridge, UK.
- 77 ²⁸ Department of Gynaecology, Jena University Hospital - Friedrich Schiller University, Jena,
78 Germany.
- 79 ²⁹ Institute of Human Genetics, University Hospital Erlangen, Friedrich-Alexander University
80 Erlangen-Nuremberg, Comprehensive Cancer Center Erlangen-EMN, Erlangen, Germany.
- 81 ³⁰ David Geffen School of Medicine, Department of Medicine Division of Hematology and
82 Oncology, University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA.
- 83 ³¹ Department of Biostatistics, Kansas University Medical Center, Kansas City, KS, USA.
- 84 ³² Behavioral and Epidemiology Research Group, American Cancer Society, Atlanta, GA,
85 USA.
- 86 ³³ Cancer Epidemiology Division, Cancer Council Victoria, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia.
- 87 ³⁴ Precision Medicine, School of Clinical Sciences at Monash Health, Monash University,
88 Clayton, Victoria, Australia.
- 89 ³⁵ Department of Health Science Research, Division of Epidemiology, Mayo Clinic,
90 Rochester, MN, USA.
- 91 ³⁶ Department of Preventive Medicine, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern
92 California, Los Angeles, CA, USA.
- 93 ³⁷ Department of Oncology, Södersjukhuset, Stockholm, Sweden.
- 94 ³⁸ Department of Biostatistics & Epidemiology, University of Massachusetts, Amherst,
95 Amherst, MA, USA.
- 96 ³⁹ Department of Clinical Genetics, St George's, University of London, London, UK.
- 97 ⁴⁰ Centre for Cancer Biomarkers CCBIO, Department of Clinical Science, University of
98 Bergen, Bergen, Norway.
- 99 ⁴¹ Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen,
100 Norway.

- 101 ⁴² Program in Genetic Epidemiology and Statistical Genetics, Harvard T.H. Chan School of
102 Public Health, Boston, MA, USA.
- 103 ⁴³ Nuffield Department of Population Health, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK.
- 104 ⁴⁴ VIB Center for Cancer Biology, Leuven, Belgium.
- 105 ⁴⁵ Laboratory for Translational Genetics, Department of Human Genetics, University of
106 Leuven, Leuven, Belgium.
- 107 ⁴⁶ Epidemiology Program, University of Hawaii Cancer Center, Honolulu, HI, USA.
- 108 ⁴⁷ Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center,
109 New York, NY, USA.
- 110 ⁴⁸ Department of Molecular Medicine and Surgery, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm,
111 Sweden.
- 112 ⁴⁹ Department of Clinical Genetics, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden.
- 113 ⁵⁰ Department of Cancer Epidemiology and Prevention, M. Sklodowska-Curie Cancer Center,
114 Oncology Institute, Warsaw, Poland.
- 115 ⁵¹ Division of Epidemiology, Department of Medicine, Vanderbilt Epidemiology Center,
116 Vanderbilt-Ingram Cancer Center, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Nashville, TN,
117 USA.
- 118 ⁵² Chronic Disease Epidemiology, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA.
- 119 ⁵³ Department of Anatomic Pathology, Moffitt Cancer Center & Research Institute, Tampa,
120 FL, USA.
- 121 ⁵⁴ Institute of Cancer and Genomic Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK.
- 122 ⁵⁵ Department of Women's and Children's Health, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden.
- 123 ⁵⁶ Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Medicine, University of California Davis,
124 Davis, CA, USA.
- 125 ⁵⁷ School of Medicine and Public Health, University of Newcastle, Callaghan, New South
126 Wales, Australia.
- 127 ⁵⁸ Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA.
- 128 ⁵⁹ Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA, USA.
- 129 ⁶⁰ Department of Gynaecology and Obstetrics, University Hospital Erlangen, Friedrich-
130 Alexander University Erlangen-Nuremberg, Comprehensive Cancer Center Erlangen-EMN,
131 Erlangen, Germany.
- 132 ⁶¹ Center for Cancer Prevention (CPO-Peimonte), Turin, Italy.
- 133 ⁶² Human Genetics Foundation (HuGeF), Turino, Italy.
- 134 ⁶³ Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, School of Medicine and Public Health,
135 University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, USA.
- 136 ⁶⁴ Department of Population and Quantitative Health Sciences, Case Western Reserve
137 University, Cleveland, OH, USA.
- 138 ⁶⁵ Division of Molecular Medicine, Pathology North, John Hunter Hospital, Newcastle, New
139 South Wales, Australia.
- 140 ⁶⁶ Clinical Genetics, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden.
- 141 ⁶⁷ State Key Laboratory of Oncogene and Related Genes & Department of Epidemiology,
142 Shanghai Cancer Institute, Renji Hospital, Shanghai Jiaotong University School of Medicine,
143 Shanghai, China.
- 144 ⁶⁸ Population Health Department, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane,
145 Queensland, Australia.

146
147 #These authors contributed equally to this work
148

149 **Corresponding Author**

150 Dr Tracy O'Mara, PhD, Molecular Cancer Epidemiology Group, QIMR Berghofer Medical
151 Research Institute, 300 Herston Road, Brisbane QLD Australia 4006. Phone: +61 7 3362
152 0389. Email: Tracy.OMara@qimrberghofer.edu.au

153

154 **Short title**

155 The effects of genetically predicted blood lipid levels on endometrial cancer risk

156

157 **Keywords**

158 Mendelian randomization, endometrial cancer risk, LDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol,
159 triglycerides

160

161 **Article Category**

162 Cancer Epidemiology

163

164 **Novelty and Impact Statement**

165 This is the first study to use Mendelian randomization analysis to explore the relationship
166 between blood lipid levels and risk of endometrial cancer and its subtypes. Genetically
167 predicted lower LDL cholesterol levels or higher HDL cholesterol levels were associated
168 with increased non-endometrioid endometrial cancer risk. Further work is required to
169 elucidate the biology underlying these associations. These results indicate that cholesterol
170 levels could be considered risk factors for endometrial cancer, and studies are required to
171 assess the clinical significance of this association.

172

173 **Abbreviations**

174 BMI: body mass index

175 CI: confidence interval
176 GSMR: Generalised Summary-data based Mendelian Randomisation
177 GWAS: genome-wide association study
178 HDL: high-density lipoprotein
179 HEIDI: Heterogeneity in Dependent Instruments
180 LD: linkage disequilibrium
181 LDL: low-density lipoprotein
182 mtCOJO: multi-trait-based conditional and joint analysis
183 OR: odds ratio

184

185 **Abstract**

186 Blood lipids have been associated with the development of a range of cancers, including
187 breast, lung and colorectal cancer. For endometrial cancer, observational studies have
188 reported inconsistent associations between blood lipids and cancer risk. To reduce biases
189 from unmeasured confounding, we performed a bidirectional, two-sample Mendelian
190 randomization analysis to investigate the relationship between levels of three blood lipids
191 (low-density lipoprotein (LDL) and high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, and
192 triglycerides) and endometrial cancer risk. Genetic variants associated with each of these
193 blood lipid levels ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) were identified as instrumental variables, and assessed using
194 genome-wide association study data from the Endometrial Cancer Association Consortium
195 (12,906 cases and 108,979 controls) and the Global Lipids Genetic Consortium (n=188,578).
196 Mendelian randomization analyses found genetically raised LDL cholesterol levels to be
197 associated with lower risks of endometrial cancer of all histologies combined, and of
198 endometrioid and non-endometrioid subtypes. Conversely, higher genetically predicted HDL

199 cholesterol levels were associated with increased risk of non-endometrioid endometrial
200 cancer. After accounting for the potential confounding role of obesity (as measured by
201 genetic variants associated with body mass index), the association between genetically
202 predicted increased LDL cholesterol levels and lower endometrial cancer risk remained
203 significant, especially for non-endometrioid endometrial cancer. There was no evidence to
204 support a role for triglycerides in endometrial cancer development. Our study supports a role
205 for LDL and HDL cholesterol in the development of non-endometrioid endometrial cancer.
206 Further studies are required to understand the mechanisms underlying these findings.

207

208 **Introduction**

209 Endometrial cancer primarily affects postmenopausal women and approximately 382,000
210 cases were diagnosed in 2018¹. Risk factors for endometrial cancer include: family history of
211 endometrial cancer²; increasing age, obesity (e.g. high body mass index (BMI) and low
212 physical activity), unopposed estrogen exposure (e.g. early age of menarche, late age of
213 menopause, nulliparity, hormone replacement therapy without progesterone and tamoxifen
214 use)^{3,4}; and fasting insulin levels⁵. Despite the advances that have been made in identifying
215 endometrial cancer risk factors, endometrial cancer incidence is still rising⁶.

216 Obesity is the strongest risk factor for endometrial cancer, with up to ~60% increased risk per
217 5 kg/m² higher BMI⁷. However, the mechanism(s) by which higher BMI predisposes to
218 endometrial cancer are not well understood. Adipose tissue is an important site for the
219 synthesis of estrogen (another endometrial cancer risk factor), especially after menopause, via
220 the conversion of androgens to estrogens by aromatase⁸. BMI also has a complex relationship
221 with blood lipid levels, with Mendelian randomization analyses finding bidirectional
222 associations between levels of low-density lipoprotein (LDL) and high-density lipoprotein

223 (HDL) cholesterol, triglycerides and BMI⁹. Moreover, cholesterol has been suggested to play
224 a role in cancer development by inducing chronic inflammation¹⁰⁻¹².

225 Blood lipid levels have been suggested to contribute to pathogenesis of endometrial cancer.
226 As hypertriglyceridemia and hyper-LDL cholesterolemia are common in endometrial cancer
227 survivors¹³, case-control studies assessing changes in blood lipid levels at/after endometrial
228 cancer diagnosis are susceptible to reverse causation bias¹⁴⁻¹⁶. Observational studies
229 conducted to examine the association between pre-diagnostic blood lipid levels and
230 endometrial cancer risk¹⁷⁻²³ reported significant positive associations from only three studies
231 assessing blood triglycerides level and endometrial cancer risk^{18,19,23}. Inconsistent findings
232 from observational studies could be due to small study populations^{17,20} and a lack of
233 adjustment for obesity^{18,22}. Further, the use of non-fasting blood lipid levels in observational
234 studies could also contribute to the variation in published findings^{17-19,21-23}. Several studies
235 have assessed the association of blood lipids with endometrial cancer by subtype^{15,19,21,23}, but
236 only one has assessed the pre-diagnostic blood lipid levels. This study reported increased
237 triglycerides levels to be associated with the risk of both type 1 and 2 endometrial cancers²³.
238 However, this study did not adjust for obesity, and used non-fasting blood lipid levels. As
239 obesity and blood lipid levels are interrelated⁹, it has been difficult for observational studies
240 to disentangle the effects of blood lipid levels on endometrial cancer risk. Thus, the
241 relationship between blood lipids and endometrial cancer remains unclear from the existing
242 evidence.

243 Mendelian randomization is an instrumental variable analysis that assesses the effects of
244 exposures using genetic predictors as instrumental variables²⁴. Mendelian randomization uses
245 the principle that the alleles of genetic variants which predict higher levels of an exposure of
246 interest are naturally randomized to individuals at meiosis, a process somewhat comparable

247 to the random assignment of participants to an exposure in a randomized controlled trial.
248 Thus, associations between genetic variants and the outcome (and hence between the
249 exposure and the outcome) will not be vulnerable to reverse causation because disease
250 develops after meiosis. Provided that the selected genetic variants are associated with the
251 outcome only via their effects on the exposure of interest (i.e. not via pleiotropic effects on
252 other traits which could independently alter disease risk), effect estimates generated by
253 Mendelian randomization analyses should also be less vulnerable to the influence of
254 confounders²⁴.

255 In the current study, we employed a two-sample Mendelian randomization framework to
256 assess the relationships between levels of three blood lipids (LDL and HDL cholesterol, and
257 triglycerides) and the risk of endometrial cancer using genome-wide association study
258 (GWAS) data from the Endometrial Cancer Association Consortium (ECAC) and Global
259 Lipids Genetic Consortium (GLGC).

260

261 **Materials and Methods**

262 ***GWAS datasets***

263 In this study, we assessed three major blood lipids: LDL and HDL cholesterol, and
264 triglycerides. Summary statistics from GWAS for the three blood lipids in 188,577
265 individuals of predominantly European ancestry were obtained from the Global Lipid
266 Genetics Consortium²⁵ (<http://csg.sph.umich.edu/willer/public/lipids2013/>). A detailed
267 description of the GLGC study has been previously published²⁵. Briefly, blood lipid levels
268 were measured more than eight hours after fasting in most GLGC studies. For each genetic
269 variant association with blood lipid levels, association estimates were expressed in standard
270 deviation (SD) per copy of the effect allele.

271 Endometrial cancer risk estimates were obtained from the largest published meta-GWAS to
272 date, conducted by ECAC in 12,906 endometrial cancer cases and 108,979 controls, all of
273 European ancestry²⁶. In a secondary analysis, we investigated relationships between the three
274 blood lipids and endometrial cancer subtypes using ECAC meta-GWAS results restricted to
275 cases with either endometrioid histology (8,758 cases), or non-endometrioid histology (1,230
276 cases)²⁶. Histological subtypes of endometrial cancer were confirmed based on pathology
277 reports, and detailed study descriptions have previously been reported^{26,27}. The association
278 estimates were expressed in log(OR) per copy of the effect allele.

279 ***Instrumental variable selection***

280 Independent, genome-wide significant genetic variants ($r^2 < 0.05$, $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) that were
281 associated with each type of blood lipid were chosen as instrumental variables. Genetic
282 variants with ambiguous strand codification (A/T or C/G) and minor allele frequency more
283 than 0.42 were removed. We compared the allele frequencies between the GLGC and ECAC
284 datasets, and a UKB10K reference panel (a random subset of 10,000 unrelated participants
285 from UK Biobank cohort; <https://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/>), and genetic variants with a large
286 allele frequency difference (> 0.2) were also excluded.

287 ***Bidirectional Mendelian randomization analysis***

288 We employed bidirectional Generalised Summary-data based Mendelian Randomisation
289 (GSMR) analysis²⁸ to explore the relationship between the three blood lipids and endometrial
290 cancer. As Mendelian randomization estimates may be confounded by including pleiotropic
291 variants, we implemented the built-in Heterogeneity in Dependent Instruments (HEIDI)
292 outlier test²⁸ with a P-value threshold of 0.01 to detect and filter heterogeneous variants that
293 are likely pleiotropic. Remaining variants not excluded by HEIDI outlier test were used as
294 non-pleiotropic instrumental variables.

295 Results with a Bonferroni-adjusted $P < 0.05/3 = 0.017$, correcting for the three blood lipid
296 traits tested, were considered statistically significant. When blood lipid levels were treated as
297 the exposure trait, the resulting effect estimates were expressed as odds ratios (OR) and 95%
298 confidence intervals (CI) for endometrial cancer risk per SD increment in genetically
299 predicted blood lipid level. When endometrial cancer risk was treated as the exposure trait,
300 the resulting estimates represent the SD change for blood lipid level per SD increase in the
301 genetic liability to endometrial cancer. Analyses were performed using default settings in the
302 GSMR extension in GCTA (version 1.92)²⁸, using the UKB10K reference panel to estimate
303 linkage disequilibrium (LD) between variants. For comparison, we also performed inverse
304 variance weighted (IVW) and MR-Egger regression Mendelian randomization analyses using
305 MR-Base²⁹.

306 *Conditional Mendelian randomization Analysis*

307 Since obesity could affect associations between blood lipid levels and endometrial cancer⁹,
308 we additionally performed conditional Mendelian randomization analysis. GWAS summary
309 statistics for the lipid of interest were conditioned for the effect of genetically predicted BMI
310 using results from the largest GWAS of BMI to date³⁰. Conditional analyses were performed
311 using multi-trait-based conditional and joint analysis (mtCOJO) in the GCTA software
312 package (version 1.92)²⁸ and adjusted estimates were then reanalysed by GSMR.

313

314 **Results**

315 After removal of potential pleiotropic variants, 140 LDL cholesterol, 163 HDL cholesterol
316 and 104 triglyceride independent genome-wide significant variants were considered as
317 instrumental variables (**Supplementary Tables 1-3**). These instrumental variables were used
318 by GSMR to estimate the effect of blood lipids on endometrial cancer risk of all histologies

319 combined (results presented in **Table 1** and **Figure 1**). GSMR analysis indicated that
320 genetically raised LDL cholesterol levels were associated with reduced risk of all endometrial
321 cancer histologies combined (OR per SD increase in LDL cholesterol level = 0.88;
322 95% CI = 0.83-0.93; $P = 7.26 \times 10^{-6}$). Consistent with the divergent roles of LDL and HDL
323 cholesterol³¹, GSMR analysis provided evidence that increased HDL cholesterol levels may
324 be associated with increased risk of all endometrial cancer histologies combined (OR 1.07;
325 95% CI = 1.00-1.14; $P = 0.037$). Secondary analysis assessing the relationships between
326 blood lipid levels and endometrial cancer subtypes found genetically predicted higher LDL
327 cholesterol levels were associated with lower risk of both endometrioid and non-
328 endometrioid endometrial cancer (**Table 1**). Conversely, genetically predicted higher HDL
329 cholesterol levels showed suggestive evidence of association with higher risk of non-
330 endometrioid endometrial cancer only (**Table 1**). No significant effects were observed for
331 triglycerides on endometrial cancer overall, or its subtypes (**Table 1**). Bidirectional GSMR
332 analysis provided evidence for a unidirectional association e.g. genetically elevated LDL
333 cholesterol level may affect endometrial cancer risk, while genetic liability to endometrial
334 cancer does not appear to affect LDL cholesterol levels (**Table 2**).

335 To reduce the influence of obesity on the associations between blood lipid levels and
336 endometrial cancer risk, we performed Mendelian randomization analysis conditioning on
337 genetically predicted BMI. Results are presented in **Table 3** and **Supplementary Figure 1**.
338 After controlling for the influence of genetically predicted BMI, the association between
339 genetically predicted LDL cholesterol levels and risk of all histologies combined and non-
340 endometrioid endometrial cancer remained; whereas, the effect of LDL cholesterol level on
341 endometrioid endometrial cancer risk was attenuated and no longer significant (OR 0.93,
342 95% CI 0.87-1.01; $P = 0.07$). Conditioning on genetically predicted BMI had minimal impact

343 on the risk estimates for HDL and endometrial cancer, but associations did not pass the
344 Bonferroni-correction threshold, reflecting the decreased power for these analyses.

345 Results from IVW and MR-Egger analyses were consistent with our GSMR results
346 (**Supplementary Tables 4 and 5**). None of the MR-Egger intercepts were significantly
347 different from zero ($P>0.05$), except for the relationship between genetically predicted HDL
348 cholesterol and non-endometrioid endometrial cancer, suggesting pleiotropy may have biased
349 IVW results of HDL cholesterol and non-endometrioid endometrial cancer. However, the
350 MR-Egger regression slope of HDL cholesterol and non-endometrioid endometrial cancer
351 remained statistically significant after accounting for potential pleiotropy, supporting a
352 relationship between HDL cholesterol and endometrial cancer risk (**Supplementary Tables 4
353 and 5**).

354

355 **Discussion**

356 To our knowledge, this is the first Mendelian randomization study to assess the effects of
357 genetically predicted blood lipid levels on endometrial cancer risk. While genetically
358 increased LDL cholesterol had a protective effect on endometrial cancer, especially non-
359 endometrioid endometrial cancer, results suggest that genetically increased HDL cholesterol
360 may have an adverse effect on non-endometrioid endometrial cancer risk. The opposing
361 findings for LDL and HDL cholesterol are consistent with their opposing roles. For example,
362 LDL delivers cholesterol to peripheral tissues, whereas HDL removes cholesterol from these
363 tissues and transports it to the liver³¹. We found no evidence of a causal link between
364 triglycerides and endometrial cancer, in contrast to three observational studies that have
365 reported positive associations^{18,19,23}. However, as previously noted, none of these studies
366 assessed fasting blood triglycerides and one did not control for the effect of obesity¹⁸.

367 Mendelian randomization analysis has previously illustrated the complex interrelationship
368 between BMI and blood lipid levels⁹. We therefore performed conditional Mendelian
369 randomization analysis to investigate the influence of genetically predicted BMI on
370 associations between LDL/HDL cholesterol and endometrial cancer risk. Comparison of the
371 LDL/HDL cholesterol association estimates, before and after adjusting for genetically
372 predicted BMI, did not support a role for BMI in the associations with endometrial cancer of
373 non-endometrioid and combined histologies. In contrast, the LDL cholesterol association
374 with endometrioid endometrial cancer was weaker with wider confidence intervals after
375 including genetically predicted BMI as covariate. While a modest protective effect of LDL
376 cholesterol for the endometrioid subtype of endometrial cancer cannot be excluded, this
377 finding indicated that LDL cholesterol is likely to lie in the same causal pathway as obesity, a
378 hypothesis consistent with results from previous genetic studies. Indeed, somewhat
379 surprisingly, previous Mendelian randomization analyses have demonstrated a bidirectional
380 relationship between LDL cholesterol and BMI with one study reporting that increased LDL
381 cholesterol levels were associated with reduced BMI⁹ and, another reporting that increased
382 BMI was associated with reduced LDL cholesterol levels³². Using Mendelian randomization
383 analyses, we have previously found increased BMI to be associated with increased
384 endometrioid endometrial cancer risk^{26,33}. Measured LDL cholesterol levels have also been
385 found to diminish with increasing BMI in overweight individuals³⁴; whereas, in the same
386 study, LDL cholesterol levels were only positively correlated with BMI in lean individuals.
387 These findings indicate that the inverse relationship between LDL cholesterol and
388 endometrioid endometrial cancer, a disease primarily affecting overweight individuals³³, may
389 be related to high BMI. Thus, we hypothesise that obesity is likely to be the mediator of the
390 effect of LDL cholesterol on endometrioid endometrial cancer risk (i.e. \uparrow LDL \rightarrow \downarrow BMI \rightarrow
391 \downarrow Endometrioid Endometrial Cancer risk) (**Figure 2**). Moreover, as obesity is a stronger risk

392 factor for endometrioid than for non-endometrioid endometrial cancer²⁶, it is perhaps not
393 surprising that after adjusting for genetically predicted BMI we only observed an attenuation
394 of the effect of LDL cholesterol on endometrioid endometrial cancer risk.

395 It is intriguing that our results indicated that, independent of obesity, decreased LDL
396 cholesterol level is inversely associated with risk of non-endometrioid endometrial cancer.
397 While both endometrioid and non-endometrioid endometrial cancer share many other risk
398 factors³⁵, recent Mendelian randomization analyses have found that obesity and age at
399 menarche are risk factors of endometrioid endometrial cancer only²⁶. Given the rare nature of
400 non-endometrioid histologies (~10% of all endometrial cancer cases), the tumorigenic
401 mechanisms for these histological subtypes remain largely unknown^{35,36}. Thus, further studies
402 are required to explore how higher LDL cholesterol levels could protect against non-
403 endometrioid endometrial cancer development.

404 As shown in **Table 1**, the association between HDL cholesterol and endometrial cancer
405 appears to be largely driven by the non-endometrioid histological subtype. Despite not
406 passing a Bonferroni statistical significance threshold, there was no substantial change in the
407 association estimate before and after conditioning on BMI, suggesting HDL cholesterol may
408 also affect non-endometrioid endometrial cancer risk independently of obesity. The wide
409 confidence intervals suggest that future studies with more non-endometrioid endometrial
410 cancer cases are required to further dissect any effect.

411 The conflicting findings regarding the relationships between blood lipids and endometrial
412 cancer risk in observational studies may be due to small sample sizes, varying timing of
413 blood collection (e.g. fasting or non-fasting, and pre- or post- endometrial cancer diagnosis),
414 and varying control for confounding factors. Findings presented in the current study, through
415 the application of bidirectional Mendelian randomization which is less vulnerable to reverse

416 causation and confounding, have helped to clarify the effects of blood lipids on endometrial
417 cancer risk. Consistent with our findings, other Mendelian randomization studies have
418 observed a positive association between HDL cholesterol and breast cancer risk³⁷⁻³⁹, and an
419 inverse association between LDL cholesterol and lung cancer risk⁴⁰. Similarly, a time-to-
420 event Mendelian randomization using data from five longitudinal cohort studies reported
421 increased LDL cholesterol level to be associated with reduced cancer risk (all reported cancer
422 types combined)⁴¹.

423 The potential mechanisms underlying the effects of decreased LDL and increased HDL
424 cholesterol on cancer risk are unclear as reports of the effects of cholesterol in the literature
425 are conflicting. However, oxidised LDL has been shown to be cytotoxic to cancer cells⁴² and
426 can inhibit angiogenesis^{43,44}, a key oncogenic process. Furthermore, given the prevalence of
427 type 2 diabetes in endometrial cancer patients, it is noteworthy that HDL cholesterol from
428 diabetic patients, which is often glycosylated or oxidised, promotes cancer cell proliferation,
429 migration and invasion in vitro⁴⁵ and metastasis in vivo⁴⁶.

430 The validity of Mendelian randomization analysis lies upon the satisfaction of the assumption
431 that the effect of the instrumental variables on the outcome is only mediated through their
432 influence on the measured exposure (i.e. no horizontal pleiotropy). One caveat of our study is
433 that we do not have complete information of all confounding factors, and thus we did not
434 have the ability to evaluate or adjust for unmeasured confounders in the Mendelian
435 randomization analysis. Despite the lack of information on confounding factors, we also
436 performed several Mendelian randomization analyses that are more robust to unmeasured
437 confounding (i.e. HEIDI test in GSMR analysis removes variants which show evidence of
438 horizontal pleiotropy, and MR-Egger analysis allows instrumental variables to be
439 pleiotropic). We observed consistent results across different Mendelian randomization

440 analyses, and this suggests that residual confounding may have negligible impact on our
441 results. The two-sample Mendelian randomization framework allowed us to incorporate data
442 from two very large independent GWAS datasets to bolster power and yield more precise
443 association estimates. However, we were restricted to summary-level GWAS data, and thus,
444 could not perform more refined analyses (e.g. stratification analysis by BMI).

445 This Mendelian randomization study provides evidence that increased LDL cholesterol and
446 decreased HDL cholesterol, independent of obesity, may reduce the risk of endometrial
447 cancer. This effect was particularly apparent for the non-endometrioid endometrial cancer
448 subtype, which typically has a more aggressive phenotype and results in poorer prognosis.
449 Although further work is required to elucidate the biological rationale underlying this
450 association, these results suggest low LDL cholesterol levels and high HDL cholesterol levels
451 should be considered as potential risk factors for endometrial cancer.

452

453 **Acknowledgements**

454

455 This work was conducted using the UK Biobank Resource (application number 25331).

456

457 The authors thank the many individuals who participated in the Endometrial Cancer
458 Association Consortium studies and the numerous institutions and their staff who supported
459 recruitment.

460

461 The iCOGS and OncoArray endometrial cancer analysis were supported by NHMRC project
462 grants [ID#1031333 & ID#1109286] Funding for the iCOGS infrastructure came from: the
463 European Community's Seventh Framework Programme under grant agreement no 223175
464 [HEALTH-F2-2009-223175] [COGS], Cancer Research UK [C1287/A10118, C1287/A
465 10710, C12292/A11174, C1281/A12014, C5047/A8384, C5047/A15007, C5047/A10692,
466 C8197/A16565], the National Institutes of Health [CA128978] and Post-Cancer GWAS
467 initiative [1U19 CA148537, 1U19 CA148065 and 1U19 CA148112 - the GAME-ON
468 initiative], the Department of Defence [W81XWH-10-1-0341], the Canadian Institutes of
469 Health Research [CIHR] for the CIHR Team in Familial Risks of Breast Cancer, Komen
470 Foundation for the Cure, the Breast Cancer Research Foundation, and the Ovarian Cancer
471 Research Fund. OncoArray genotyping of ECAC cases was performed with the generous
472 assistance of the Ovarian Cancer Association Consortium (OCAC). We particularly thank the
473 efforts of Cathy Phelan. The OCAC OncoArray genotyping project was funded through

474 grants from the US National Institutes of Health (CA1X01HG007491-01, U19-CA148112,
475 R01-CA149429 and R01-CA058598); Canadian Institutes of Health Research (MOP-86727);
476 and the Ovarian Cancer Research Fund. CIDR genotyping for the Oncoarray was conducted
477 under contract 268201200008I. OncoArray genotyping of the BCAC controls was funded by
478 Genome Canada Grant GPH-129344, NIH Grant U19 CA148065, and Cancer UK Grant
479 C1287/A16563.

480
481 Stage 1 and stage 2 case genotyping was supported by the NHMRC [ID#552402,
482 ID#1031333]. Control data were generated by the Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium
483 (WTCCC), and a full list of the investigators who contributed to the generation of the data is
484 available from the WTCCC website. We acknowledge use of DNA from the British 1958
485 Birth Cohort collection, funded by the Medical Research Council grant G0000934 and the
486 Wellcome Trust grant 068545/Z/02 - funding for this project was provided by the Wellcome
487 Trust under award 085475. NSECG was supported by the EU FP7 CHIBCHA grant,
488 Wellcome Trust Centre for Human Genetics Core Grant 090532/Z/09Z, and CORGI was
489 funded by Cancer Research UK. We thank Nick Martin, Dale Nyholt and Anjali Henders for
490 access to GWAS data from QIMR Controls. Recruitment of the QIMR controls was
491 supported by the NHMRC. The University of Newcastle, the Gladys M Brawn Senior
492 Research Fellowship scheme, The Vincent Fairfax Family Foundation, the Hunter Medical
493 Research Institute and the Hunter Area Pathology Service all contributed towards the costs of
494 establishing the Hunter Community Study. The WHI program is funded by the National
495 Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, the US National Institutes of Health and the US Department
496 of Health and Human Services (HHSN268201100046C, HHSN268201100001C,
497 HHSN268201100002C, HHSN268201100003C, HHSN268201100004C and
498 HHSN271201100004C). This work was also funded by NCI U19 CA148065-01. This
499 research has been conducted using the UK Biobank Resource under applications 5122 and
500 9797.

501
502 ANECS recruitment was supported by project grants from the NHMRC [ID#339435], The
503 Cancer Council Queensland [ID#4196615] and Cancer Council Tasmania [ID#403031 and
504 ID#457636]. SEARCH recruitment was funded by a programme grant from Cancer Research
505 UK [C490/A10124]. The Bavarian Endometrial Cancer Study (BECS) was partly funded by
506 the ELAN fund of the University of Erlangen. The Hannover-Jena Endometrial Cancer Study
507 was partly supported by the Rudolf Bartling Foundation. The Leuven Endometrium Study
508 (LES) was supported by the Verelst Foundation for endometrial cancer. The Mayo
509 Endometrial Cancer Study (MECS) and Mayo controls (MAY) were supported by grants
510 from the National Cancer Institute of United States Public Health Service [R01 CA122443,
511 P30 CA15083, P50 CA136393, and GAME-ON the NCI Cancer Post-GWAS Initiative U19
512 CA148112], the Fred C and Katherine B Andersen Foundation, the Mayo Foundation, and
513 the Ovarian Cancer Research Fund with support of the Smith family, in memory of Kathryn
514 Sladek Smith. MoMaTEC received financial support from a Helse Vest Grant, the University
515 of Bergen, Melzer Foundation, The Norwegian Cancer Society (Harald Andersens legat), The
516 Research Council of Norway and Haukeland University Hospital. The Newcastle
517 Endometrial Cancer Study (NECS) acknowledges contributions from the University of
518 Newcastle, The NBN Children's Cancer Research Group, Ms Jennie Thomas and the Hunter
519 Medical Research Institute. RENDOCAS was supported through the regional agreement on
520 medical training and clinical research (ALF) between Stockholm County Council and
521 Karolinska Institutet [numbers: 20110222, 20110483, 20110141 and DF 07015], The
522 Swedish Labor Market Insurance [number 100069] and The Swedish Cancer Society
523 [number 11 0439]. The Cancer Hormone Replacement Epidemiology in Sweden Study

524 (CAHRES, formerly called The Singapore and Swedish Breast/Endometrial Cancer Study;
525 SASBAC) was supported by funding from the Agency for Science, Technology and Research
526 of Singapore (A*STAR), the US National Institutes of Health and the Susan G. Komen
527 Breast Cancer Foundation.

528
529 The Nurses' Health Study (NHS) is supported by the NCI, NIH Grants Number UM1
530 CA186107, P01 CA087969, R01 CA49449, 1R01 CA134958, and 2R01 CA082838. The
531 authors thank the participants and staff of the Nurses' Health Study for their valuable
532 contributions as well as the following state cancer registries for their help: AL, AZ, AR, CA,
533 CO, CT, DE, FL, GA, ID, IL, IN, IA, KY, LA, ME, MD, MA, MI, NE, NH, NJ, NY, NC,
534 ND, OH, OK, OR, PA, RI, SC, TN, TX, VA, WA, WY. The authors assume full
535 responsibility for analyses and interpretation of these data. The authors also thank Channing
536 Division of Network Medicine, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital
537 and Harvard Medical School. Finally, the authors also acknowledge Pati Soule and Hardeep
538 Ranu for their laboratory assistance. The Connecticut Endometrial Cancer Study was
539 supported by NCI, NIH Grant Number RO1CA98346. The Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research
540 Center (FHCRC) is supported by NCI, NIH Grant Number NIH RO1 CA105212, RO1 CA
541 87538, RO1 CA75977, RO3 CA80636, NO1 HD23166, R35 CA39779, KO5 CA92002 and
542 funds from the Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center. The Multiethnic Cohort Study
543 (MEC) is supported by the NCI, NHI Grants Number CA54281, CA128008 and 2R01
544 CA082838. The California Teachers Study (CTS) is supported by NCI, NIH Grant Number
545 2R01 CA082838, R01 CA91019 and R01 CA77398, and contract 97-10500 from the
546 California Breast Cancer Research Fund. The Polish Endometrial Cancer Study (PECS) is
547 supported by the Intramural Research Program of the NCI. The Prostate, Lung, Colorectal,
548 and Ovarian Cancer Screening Trial (PLCO) is supported by the Extramural and the
549 Intramural Research Programs of the NCI.

550
551 Melbourne Collaborative Cohort Study (MCCS) cohort recruitment was funded by VicHealth
552 and Cancer Council Victoria. The MCCS was further augmented by Australian National
553 Health and Medical Research Council grants 209057, 396414 and 1074383 and by
554 infrastructure provided by Cancer Council Victoria. Cases and their vital status were
555 ascertained through the Victorian Cancer Registry and the Australian Institute of Health and
556 Welfare, including the National Death Index and the Australian Cancer Database.

557

558 **Conflict of Interest Statement**

559 P.A.F. reports personal fees from Novartis, grants from Biontech, personal fees from Roche,
560 personal fees from Pfizer, personal fees from Daiichi-Sankyo, personal fees from Astra
561 Zeneca, personal fees from Eisai, personal fees from Merck Sharp & Dohme, grants from
562 Cepheid, personal fees from Lilly, personal fees from Pierre Fabre, personal fees from Seattle
563 Genetics, during the conduct of the study. D.J.T. is an employee of Genomics plc. The

564 research described in this article was completed before her employment at Genomics plc. All
565 other authors declare no potential conflicts of interest.

566

567 **Data accessibility**

568 Only publicly available data were used in this study, and data sources and handling of these
569 data are described in the Materials and Methods. Further details are available from the
570 corresponding author upon request.

571

572 **Ethics approval**

573 This work used published summary-level GWAS meta-analysis results, and thus ethical
574 approval was not required.

575

576 **Funding**

577 This work was supported by a National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC)
578 Project Grant (APP1109286). PFK is supported by an Australian Government Research
579 Training Program PhD Scholarship and QIMR Berghofer Postgraduate Top-Up Scholarship,
580 TAO'M is supported by an NHMRC Early Career Fellowship (APP1111246), ABS is
581 supported by an NHMRC Senior Research Fellowship (APP1061779).

582

583 **References**

- 584 1. Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer
585 statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers
586 in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2018;**68**: 394-424.
- 587 2. Johnatty SE, Tan YY, Buchanan DD, Bowman M, Walters RJ, Obermair A, Quinn
588 MA, Blomfield PB, Brand A, Leung Y, Oehler MK, Group A, et al. Family history of cancer

- 589 predicts endometrial cancer risk independently of Lynch Syndrome: Implications for genetic
590 counselling. *Gynecologic oncology* 2017;**147**: 381-7.
- 591 3. Webb PM. Environmental (nongenetic) factors in gynecological cancers: update
592 and future perspectives. *Future Oncol* 2015;**11**: 295-307.
- 593 4. Morice P, Leary A, Creutzberg C, Abu-Rustum N, Darai E. Endometrial cancer.
594 *Lancet* 2016;**387**: 1094-108.
- 595 5. Nead KT, Sharp SJ, Thompson DJ, Painter JN, Savage DB, Semple RK, Barker A,
596 Australian National Endometrial Cancer Study G, Perry JR, Attia J, Dunning AM, Easton
597 DF, et al. Evidence of a Causal Association Between Insulinemia and Endometrial Cancer: A
598 Mendelian Randomization Analysis. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute* 2015;**107**.
- 599 6. Crosbie E, Morrison J. The emerging epidemic of endometrial cancer: Time to take
600 action. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2014: ED000095.
- 601 7. Aune D, Navarro Rosenblatt DA, Chan DS, Vingeliene S, Abar L, Vieira AR,
602 Greenwood DC, Bandera EV, Norat T. Anthropometric factors and endometrial cancer risk: a
603 systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Annals of*
604 *oncology : official journal of the European Society for Medical Oncology* 2015;**26**: 1635-48.
- 605 8. Kershaw EE, Flier JS. Adipose tissue as an endocrine organ. *The Journal of clinical*
606 *endocrinology and metabolism* 2004;**89**: 2548-56.
- 607 9. Yang XL, Cui ZZ, Zhang H, Wei XT, Feng GJ, Liu L, Liu YZ, Pei YF, Zhang L.
608 Causal link between lipid profile and bone mineral density: A Mendelian randomization
609 study. *Bone* 2019;**127**: 37-43.
- 610 10. Bakiri L, Hamacher R, Grana O, Guio-Carrion A, Campos-Olivas R, Martinez L,
611 Dienes HP, Thomsen MK, Hasenfuss SC, Wagner EF. Liver carcinogenesis by FOS-
612 dependent inflammation and cholesterol dysregulation. *The Journal of experimental medicine*
613 2017;**214**: 1387-409.
- 614 11. Rossin D, Calfapietra S, Sottero B, Poli G, Biasi F. HNE and cholesterol
615 oxidation products in colorectal inflammation and carcinogenesis. *Free radical biology &*
616 *medicine* 2017;**111**: 186-95.
- 617 12. Du Q, Wang Q, Fan H, Wang J, Liu X, Wang H, Wang Y, Hu R. Dietary
618 cholesterol promotes AOM-induced colorectal cancer through activating the NLRP3
619 inflammasome. *Biochemical pharmacology* 2016;**105**: 42-54.
- 620 13. Hirasawa A, Makita K, Akahane T, Yokota M, Yamagami W, Banno K, Susumu
621 N, Aoki D. Hypertriglyceridemia is frequent in endometrial cancer survivors. *Japanese*
622 *journal of clinical oncology* 2013;**43**: 1087-92.
- 623 14. Swanson CA, Potischman N, Barrett RJ, Berman ML, Mortel R, Twiggs LB,
624 Wilbanks GD, Hoover RN, Brinton LA. Endometrial cancer risk in relation to serum lipids
625 and lipoprotein levels. *Cancer epidemiology, biomarkers & prevention : a publication of the*
626 *American Association for Cancer Research, cosponsored by the American Society of*
627 *Preventive Oncology* 1994;**3**: 575-81.
- 628 15. Zhang Y, Liu Z, Yu X, Zhang X, Lu S, Chen X, Lu B. The association between
629 metabolic abnormality and endometrial cancer: a large case-control study in China.
630 *Gynecologic oncology* 2010;**117**: 41-6.
- 631 16. Friedenreich CM, Biel RK, Lau DC, Csizmadi I, Courneya KS, Magliocco AM,
632 Yasui Y, Cook LS. Case-control study of the metabolic syndrome and metabolic risk factors
633 for endometrial cancer. *Cancer epidemiology, biomarkers & prevention : a publication of the*
634 *American Association for Cancer Research, cosponsored by the American Society of*
635 *Preventive Oncology* 2011;**20**: 2384-95.
- 636 17. Lindemann K, Vatten LJ, Ellstrom-Eng M, Eskild A. Serum lipids and
637 endometrial cancer risk: results from the HUNT-II study. *International journal of cancer*
638 2009;**124**: 2938-41.

- 639 18. Seth D, Garmo H, Wigertz A, Holmberg L, Hammar N, Jungner I, Lambe M,
640 Walldius G, Van Hemelrijck M. Lipid profiles and the risk of endometrial cancer in the
641 Swedish AMORIS study. *International journal of molecular epidemiology and genetics*
642 2012;**3**: 122-33.
- 643 19. Bjorge T, Stocks T, Lukanova A, Tretli S, Selmer R, Manjer J, Rapp K, Ulmer H,
644 Almquist M, Concin H, Hallmans G, Jonsson H, et al. Metabolic syndrome and endometrial
645 carcinoma. *American journal of epidemiology* 2010;**171**: 892-902.
- 646 20. Kabat GC, Kim MY, Chlebowski RT, Vitolins MZ, Wassertheil-Smoller S, Rohan
647 TE. Serum lipids and risk of obesity-related cancers in postmenopausal women. *Cancer*
648 *causes & control : CCC* 2018;**29**: 13-24.
- 649 21. Cust AE, Kaaks R, Friedenreich C, Bonnet F, Laville M, Tjønneland A, Olsen A,
650 Overvad K, Jakobsen MU, Chajes V, Clavel-Chapelon F, Boutron-Ruault MC, et al.
651 Metabolic syndrome, plasma lipid, lipoprotein and glucose levels, and endometrial cancer
652 risk in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC). *Endocrine-*
653 *related cancer* 2007;**14**: 755-67.
- 654 22. Fortner RT, Husing A, Kuhn T, Konar M, Overvad K, Tjønneland A, Hansen L,
655 Boutron-Ruault MC, Severi G, Fournier A, Boeing H, Trichopoulos A, et al. Endometrial
656 cancer risk prediction including serum-based biomarkers: results from the EPIC cohort.
657 *International journal of cancer* 2017;**140**: 1317-23.
- 658 23. Trabert B, Wentzensen N, Felix AS, Yang HP, Sherman ME, Brinton LA.
659 Metabolic syndrome and risk of endometrial cancer in the united states: a study in the SEER-
660 medicare linked database. *Cancer epidemiology, biomarkers & prevention : a publication of*
661 *the American Association for Cancer Research, cosponsored by the American Society of*
662 *Preventive Oncology* 2015;**24**: 261-7.
- 663 24. Emdin CA, Khera AV, Kathiresan S. Mendelian Randomization. *JAMA* 2017;**318**:
664 1925-6.
- 665 25. Willer CJ, Schmidt EM, Sengupta S, Peloso GM, Gustafsson S, Kanoni S, Ganna
666 A, Chen J, Buchkovich ML, Mora S, Beckmann JS, Bragg-Gresham JL, et al. Discovery and
667 refinement of loci associated with lipid levels. *Nature genetics* 2013;**45**: 1274-83.
- 668 26. O'Mara TA, Glubb DM, Amant F, Annibali D, Ashton K, Attia J, Auer PL,
669 Beckmann MW, Black A, Bolla MK, Brauch H, Brenner H, et al. Identification of nine new
670 susceptibility loci for endometrial cancer. *Nature communications* 2018;**9**: 3166.
- 671 27. Cheng TH, Thompson DJ, O'Mara TA, Painter JN, Glubb DM, Flach S, Lewis A,
672 French JD, Freeman-Mills L, Church D, Gorman M, Martin L, et al. Five endometrial cancer
673 risk loci identified through genome-wide association analysis. *Nature genetics* 2016;**48**: 667-
674 74.
- 675 28. Zhu Z, Zheng Z, Zhang F, Wu Y, Trzaskowski M, Maier R, Robinson MR,
676 McGrath JJ, Visscher PM, Wray NR, Yang J. Causal associations between risk factors and
677 common diseases inferred from GWAS summary data. *Nature communications* 2018;**9**: 224.
- 678 29. Hemani G, Zheng J, Elsworth B, Wade KH, Haberland V, Baird D, Laurin C,
679 Burgess S, Bowden J, Langdon R, Tan VY, Yarmolinsky J, et al. The MR-Base platform
680 supports systematic causal inference across the human phenome. *eLife* 2018;**7**.
- 681 30. Yengo L, Sidorenko J, Kemper KE, Zheng Z, Wood AR, Weedon MN, Frayling
682 TM, Hirschhorn J, Yang J, Visscher PM, Consortium G. Meta-analysis of genome-wide
683 association studies for height and body mass index in approximately 700000 individuals of
684 European ancestry. *Human molecular genetics* 2018.
- 685 31. Feingold KR, Grunfeld C. Introduction to Lipids and Lipoproteins. In: De Groot
686 LJ, Chrousos G, Dungan K, Feingold KR, Grossman A, Hershman JM, Koch C, Korbonits
687 M, McLachlan R, New M, Purnell J, Rebar R, et al. *Endotexted*. South Dartmouth (MA),
688 2000.

- 689 32. Holmes MV, Lange LA, Palmer T, Lanktree MB, North KE, Almqvister B,
690 Buxbaum S, Chandrupatla HR, Elbers CC, Guo Y, Hooijer RC, Li J, et al. Causal effects
691 of body mass index on cardiometabolic traits and events: a Mendelian randomization
692 analysis. *American journal of human genetics* 2014;**94**: 198-208.
- 693 33. Painter JN, O'Mara TA, Marquart L, Webb PM, Attia J, Medland SE, Cheng T,
694 Dennis J, Holliday EG, McEvoy M, Scott RJ, Ahmed S, et al. Genetic Risk Score Mendelian
695 Randomization Shows that Obesity Measured as Body Mass Index, but not Waist:Hip Ratio,
696 Is Causal for Endometrial Cancer. *Cancer epidemiology, biomarkers & prevention : a
697 publication of the American Association for Cancer Research, cosponsored by the American
698 Society of Preventive Oncology* 2016;**25**: 1503-10.
- 699 34. Laclaustra M, Lopez-Garcia E, Civeira F, Garcia-Esquinas E, Graciani A,
700 Guallar-Castillon P, Banegas JR, Rodriguez-Artalejo F. LDL Cholesterol Rises With BMI
701 Only in Lean Individuals: Cross-sectional U.S. and Spanish Representative Data. *Diabetes
702 care* 2018;**41**: 2195-201.
- 703 35. Setiawan VW, Yang HP, Pike MC, McCann SE, Yu H, Xiang YB, Wolk A,
704 Wentzensen N, Weiss NS, Webb PM, van den Brandt PA, van de Vijver K, et al. Type I and
705 II endometrial cancers: have they different risk factors? *Journal of clinical oncology : official
706 journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* 2013;**31**: 2607-18.
- 707 36. Yang HP, Wentzensen N, Trabert B, Gierach GL, Felix AS, Gunter MJ,
708 Hollenbeck A, Park Y, Sherman ME, Brinton LA. Endometrial cancer risk factors by 2 main
709 histologic subtypes: the NIH-AARP Diet and Health Study. *American journal of
710 epidemiology* 2013;**177**: 142-51.
- 711 37. Nowak C, Arnlov J. A Mendelian randomization study of the effects of blood
712 lipids on breast cancer risk. *Nature communications* 2018;**9**: 3957.
- 713 38. Johnson KE, Siewert KM, Klarin D, Damrauer SM, Chang K-M, Tsao PS,
714 Assimes TL, Maxwell KN, Voight BF. Assessing a causal relationship between circulating
715 lipids and breast cancer risk: Mendelian randomization study. *bioRxiv* 2019.
- 716 39. Beeghly-Fadiel A, Khankari NK, Delahanty RJ, Shu XO, Lu Y, Schmidt MK,
717 Bolla MK, Michailidou K, Wang Q, Dennis J, Yannoukakos D, Dunning AM, et al. A
718 Mendelian randomization analysis of circulating lipid traits and breast cancer risk.
719 *International journal of epidemiology* 2019.
- 720 40. Carreras-Torres R, Johansson M, Haycock PC, Wade KH, Relton CL, Martin RM,
721 Davey Smith G, Albanes D, Aldrich MC, Andrew A, Arnold SM, Bickeboller H, et al.
722 Obesity, metabolic factors and risk of different histological types of lung cancer: A
723 Mendelian randomization study. *PloS one* 2017;**12**: e0177875.
- 724 41. He L, Culminkaya I, Loika Y, Arbeev KG, Bagley O, Duan M, Yashin AI,
725 Kulminski AM. Causal effects of cardiovascular risk factors on onset of major age-related
726 diseases: A time-to-event Mendelian randomization study. *Experimental gerontology*
727 2018;**107**: 74-86.
- 728 42. Fossel ET, Zanella CL, Fletcher JG, Hui KK. Cell death induced by peroxidized
729 low-density lipoprotein: endopepsin. *Cancer research* 1994;**54**: 1240-8.
- 730 43. Jin F, Hagemann N, Brockmeier U, Schafer ST, Zechariah A, Hermann DM. LDL
731 attenuates VEGF-induced angiogenesis via mechanisms involving VEGFR2 internalization
732 and degradation following endosome-trans-Golgi network trafficking. *Angiogenesis* 2013;**16**:
733 625-37.
- 734 44. Osto E, Matter CM, Kouroedov A, Malinski T, Bachschmid M, Camici GG, Kilic
735 U, Stallmach T, Boren J, Iliceto S, Luscher TF, Cosentino F. c-Jun N-terminal kinase 2
736 deficiency protects against hypercholesterolemia-induced endothelial dysfunction and
737 oxidative stress. *Circulation* 2008;**118**: 2073-80.

738 45. Pikarsky E, Porat RM, Stein I, Abramovitch R, Amit S, Kasem S, Gutkovich-
739 Pyest E, Urieli-Shoval S, Galun E, Ben-Neriah Y. NF-kappaB functions as a tumour
740 promoter in inflammation-associated cancer. *Nature* 2004;**431**: 461-6.

741 46. Pan B, Ren H, He Y, Lv X, Ma Y, Li J, Huang L, Yu B, Kong J, Niu C, Zhang Y,
742 Sun WB, et al. HDL of patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus elevates the capability of
743 promoting breast cancer metastasis. *Clinical cancer research : an official journal of the*
744 *American Association for Cancer Research* 2012;**18**: 1246-56.
745