Olefins from Biobased Sugar Alcohols via Selective, Ru-mediated reaction in Catalytic Phosphonium Ionic Liquids

Maxime Stalpaert^{†,§}, Kwinten Janssens^{†,§}, Carlos Marquez[†], Mickaël Henrion[†], Aram L. Bugaev[‡], Alexander V. Soldatov[‡] & Dirk De Vos^{†*}

⁺ Centre for Membrane separations, Adsorption, Catalysis and Spectroscopy for Sustainable Solutions (cMACS), Department of Microbial and Molecular Systems (M2S); KU Leuven, Celestijnenlaan 200F, post box 2454, 3001 Leuven (Belgium).

[‡] The Smart Materials Research Center, Southern Federal University, Sladkova 178/24, 344090 Rostov-on-Don, Russia

ABSTRACT: Hydrodeoxygenation (HDO) of sugar alcohols could be a valuable reaction for the renewable production of alkenes. However, reports on deoxygenation of longer polyols (e.g. erythritol, xylitol, sorbitol) to mono-alkenes are scarce, especially when using H_2 as reducing agent. Here we design a conceptually different catalyst system for polyol conversion to olefins, containing a selective Ru hydrogenation function (e.g. RuBr₃) in an ionic liquid that dehydrates alcohols (tetrabutylphosphonium bromide, Bu_4PBr). This system performs HDO of erythritol to butenes in yields up to 69%. Strikingly, overreduction to less valuable butane is effectively suppressed. We show that *in situ* formed CO is crucial in the formation and stabilization of the active and selective catalyst. The actual hydrogenation catalyst is identified as a Ru carbonyl bromide species, as demonstrated by FTIR and XANES. Finally, we show that this HDO also works for more economically relevant polyols: glycerol, xylitol and sorbitol, leading to propene, pentenes and hexenes as main products respectively. **KEYWORDS:** *homogeneous catalysis, hydrodeoxygenation, biomass, Ruthenium, ionic liquids, CO*

Introduction:

Sugar alcohols are abundantly accessible bio-based compounds and, as a result, they are very promising as renewable resources.¹⁻³ Glycerol is an important (> 10 wt%) side product from biodiesel production,^{4,5} while erythritol can be formed fermentatively from glucose, xylose or glycerol.⁶⁻⁸ Xylitol and sorbitol are produced by hydrogenation of xylose and glucose respectively using Ni or Ru catalysts.¹⁻³ Furthermore, also the direct production of these sugar alcohols from (hemi)cellulose is viable.^{9,10} While sugar alcohols themselves have applications, e.g. as sweeteners,' selective deoxygenation greatly expands their potential. Different deoxygenation methods have been reported, mainly to alkanes¹¹⁻¹³ and shorter chain polyols or alcohols¹⁴. Some transformations to olefins (of the same chain length) have also been reported, especially for the production of propene from glycerol.¹⁵⁻²⁴ Transformations of higher polyols to unsaturated hydrocarbons on the other hand are much more rare. One interesting tool for such deoxygenations is the deoxydehydration (DODH) reaction, typically catalyzed by Re, Mo or V, which removes OHgroups pairwise from vicinal diols in a reverse cycloaddition. Transformation of erythritol to butadiene over Re- or Mo-based DODH catalysts has been performed with reducing agents such as hydroaromatics,²⁵ alcohols,²⁶⁻²⁸ triphenylphosphine,^{27,29,30} or Na2SO₃,³¹ Use of H₂ is possible with a sophisticated ReOx-Au/CeO₂ catalyst; the DODH of erythritol with this system forms mainly butadiene, while butenes are formed as a minor side product (3%).³² In addition, also DODH of xylitol to 1,3-pentadiene³³ and of sorbitol to 1,3,5-hexatriene²⁶ have been reported, using formic acid and 3-pentanol as reducing agent, respectively. Only one non-DODH deoxygenation of higher sugar alcohols to olefins has been reported: a transformation of sorbitol to hexenes using silanes (R₃SiH or R₂SiH₂) as reducing agents, entailing significant cost and waste co-production.³⁴ Summarizing, the number of reports on deoxygenation of polyols to mono-olefins is limited, especially if H_2 is to be used, which is the preferred industrial reducing agent. Nevertheless, such a process would be very valuable, since biosourced higher olefins are in high demand as non-fossil drop-in building blocks.

Conversion of a polyol to an olefin implies a combination of dehydration and reduction reactions. Dehydration of a vicinal diol motive leads, via enol-to-keto tautomerism, to carbonyl compounds (Figure 1 b). We hypothesized that if a fast dehydration were combined with exclusive hydrogenation of C=O bonds, even in the presence of C=C bonds, the overall sequence may result in the stepwise deoxygenation to an olefin, as a kinetically stable end product. In designing a catalytic system, we first selected tetraalkylphosphonium halogenides as performant dehydration media. We previously showed that in particular tetrabutylphosphonium bromide (Bu₄PBr), together with an acid cocatalyst, forms a stable and recyclable catalytic system for dehydrations,³⁵⁻³⁶ e.g. of 1,4-butanediol to 1,3-butadiene. These reactions proceed via H⁺-assisted nucleophilic substitution of –OH by Br⁻, followed by HBr elimination and C=C formation (Figure 1 a). To allow for full deoxygenation of compounds with more than one hydroxy group per two carbons, as in the desired sugar alcohol to olefin route, Bu₄PBr needs to be combined with a hydrogenation catalyst with very high C=O over C=C selectivity. Such a hydrodeoxygenation (HDO) would be formally similar to, but mechanistically completely different from the classical DODH reaction, and would be a conceptually new complement to DODH.

a) Previous work: dehydration in tetrabutylphosphonium bromide (Bu₄PBr)



b) Aim of this report: combine Bu₄PBr catalysis with metal catalyzed carbonyl hydrogenation



Figure 1. Summary of previous work and aim of this report.

Results and discussion

Identification of a catalyst for selective olefin production

Erythritol was chosen as a model sugar alcohol, as we expected it to lead to a moderately complex product distribution relative to sugar alcohols of shorter and longer chain length. Reactions were performed in conditions that were previously demonstrated conducive for dehydration (200°C, 3.4 eq. Bu₄PBr, 5 mol% HBr, 4h),^{35,36} in the presence of 2 mol% metal catalyst, 40 bar H₂ and a dodecane phase for product extraction. Full conversion of erythritol is reached in these conditions, like in most reactions of this paper. In the absence of a metal catalyst the main product is 2,3-butanedione, formed via two successive dehydrations (Figure 2). In line with established insights in the Bu₄PBr-HBr system,³⁵ this confirms that the dehydration typically starts by nucleophilic substitution with Br⁻ at the sterically more accessible terminal –CH₂OH group, followed by HBr loss and ketone formation at C2.

To further convert these carbonyl compounds, we focused on homogeneous Ru hydrogenation catalysts, since some examples of selective, Ru-catalyzed hydrogenations of C=O groups to alcohols are known, for example from Noyori's seminal work; note however that these reactions proceed in vastly different conditions.^{37–39} Addition of several Ru(II) and Ru(III) complexes leads to good butene yields (1-butene, *cis-* and *trans-2-*butene), while Ru₃(CO)₁₂, with Ru in the zerovalent state, performs poorly. Note that, in contrast to the DODH reaction, butenes rather than the expected butadiene are formed, resulting in the first high-yielding HDO of erythritol to butenes. The simple Ru salts RuCl₃ and RuBr₃ lead to surprisingly high butene yield and selectivity. Complexes with electron-rich ligands, like RuCl₂(PPh₃)₃ and Ru(acac)₃ lead to increased overreduction to butane (acac = 2,4-pentanedionate). By contrast, [RuCl₂(CO)₃]₂ and [RuBr₂(CO)₃]₂, the latter synthesized following a literature procedure,⁴⁰ lead to 57 and 67% yields of butenes respectively, without any overreduction to butane, suggesting a positive effect of the electron withdrawing ligand CO. In these high-yielding reactions, a good mass balance is achieved, because aldol condensation of carbonyl intermediates is largely suppressed by faster hydrogenation (Figure S1). A reaction without substrate for 48 h confirmed that the butenes indeed originate from erythritol, rather than from breakdown of the tetrabutylphosphonium cation. Furthermore, H₂ is needed as reducing agent, as butenes or butanone are not

formed in its absence (Figure 2), ruling out transfer hydrogenation of alcohol substrates or intermediates as main reduction mechanism. Finally, other homogeneous or heterogeneous noble metal catalysts were tested, e.g. based on Pt or Pd; most lead to butanone as the main product, and butene yields are very low or null (Figure S2).



Figure 2. Identification of best metal catalyst for hydrodeoxygenation of erythritol in tetrabutylphosphonium bromide. Conditions: 0.5 mmol erythritol, 1.7 mmol Bu₄PBr, 0.01 mmol Ru (2 mol%), 0.025 mmol HBr, 1 mL dodecane, 200 °C, 40 bar H₂, 4 h. Hydroxy compounds = 1,4-anhydroerythritol and 3,4-hydroxybutanone; acac = acetylacetonate; PPh₃ = triphenylphosphine. * 40 bar N₂.



Figure 3. Time profile of erythritol reaction with RuBr₃ as a catalyst. Conditions: 0.5 mmol erythritol, 1.7 mmol Bu_4PBr , 0.005 mmol RuBr₃ (1 mol%), 0.0125 mmol HBr, 1 ml dodecane, 210°C, 40 bar H_2 .

The reaction with RuBr₃, as a simple and effective Ru precursor, was subjected to a brief parametric optimization (temperature, pressure, type and amount of IL and acid) at a lower catalyst loading of 1 mol% (Figure S4-8 and Table S1). In the conditions identified (3.4 eq. Bu_4PBr , 1 mol% RuBr₃, 2.5 mol% HBr, 210 °C and 40 bar H₂), the formation of products over time was followed (Figure 3). The initial turnover frequency (TOF) of the Ru catalyst for hydrogenation/reduction is quite high, at 376 h⁻¹ (counting two and three hydrogenations/reductions respectively per molecule of butanone and butene formed). At first, butanone accumulates, reaching a 48% yield after 1 h, but it is gradually converted to butenes, leading to a 51% yield after 5 h. This accumulation implies that dicarbonyls, such as butanedione, and hydroxycarbonyl compounds are hydrogenated quickly, while the hydrogenation of butanone is a kinetically slow step. Possibly, a second functional group, like in butanedione, is required to bring the C=O group close to the Ru centre; a similar substrate directed hydrogenation has been described in other ketone hydrogenations catalyzed by homogeneous Ru.⁴¹

Role of CO

With the hydrogenation of butanone having been identified as the slow step, this reaction was studied separately, with either [RuBr₂(CO)₃]₂ or RuBr₃, in otherwise identical conditions (3.4 eq. Bu₄PBr, 1 mol% Ru, 5 mol% HBr, 210 °C, 40 bar H₂). Using [RuBr₂(CO)₃]₂, butanone is efficiently transformed to butenes with > 90 % selectivity, but with RuBr₃, hardly any butenes are formed (Table 1, entries 1,2). The hydrogenation activity nevertheless can be restored with 0.125 bar of CO in the atmosphere, corresponding to an 20:1 CO to Ru ratio (Table 1, entry 3). Higher CO partial pressures clearly slow down the reduction of butanone to butenes (Table 1, entries 4,5). This evidences that excessive coordination of Ru by CO must be avoided in order to obtain the most active hydrogenation catalyst. Since dosing low partial pressures of CO is non-trivial when H₂ is simultaneously present at high pressure (40 bar), it was decided to add small amounts of formaldehyde (20 mol%; 20:1 HCHO to Ru ratio) as an alternative source of CO. Formaldehyde can indeed be dehydrogenated in situ to form CO.⁴² As expected, HCHO, in combination with RuBr₃ generates an active catalyst for butanone to conversion to butenes, while the corresponding alcohol, methanol, is incapable to do so (Table 1, entries 6,7). Generally, aldehydes are easily decarbonylated, and any aldehyde therefore is a potential in situ source of CO. Thus, the aldehyde 3-oxobutanal, when used as an additive in the RuBr₃ catalyzed reduction of butanone, facilitates butanone reduction to butenes, while 2,3-butanedione does not (Table 1, entries 8-9). When the reaction is started from 2,3-butanedione as the substrate (Table 1, entry 10), in the absence of added CO, RuBr₃ again fails to form selectively butenes. Although full conversion was reached, poor chemoselectivity to butenes was observed in combination with a poor mass balance resulting from significant aldol condensation. This proves that also for the easier reduction of 2,3-butanedione, a Ru carbonyl compound, rather than simple RuBr₃ is the desired catalyst. Since 3-oxobutanal is a minor product of the acid-catalyzed double dehydration of erythritol, it becomes clear that the reaction starting from erythritol could produce autogenous CO. This explains why acceptable hydrogenation activity and butene yields were found even with simple RuBr₃, in the absence of any added CO or HCHO (Figures 2 and 3).

Entry	Catalyst	Additive	mol percentage (%)			Conversion
			Butane	Butenes	Butanone	(%)
1	$[RuBr_2(CO)_3]_2$	None	0	76	16	84
2	RuBr ₃	None	1	1	56	44
3	RuBr ₃	0.125 bar CO	0	50	44	56
4	RuBr ₃	0.5 bar CO	0	29	63	37
5	RuBr ₃	1 bar CO	0	26	64	36
6	RuBr ₃	Formaldehyde	3	72	13	87
7	RuBr ₃	Methanol	1	2	66	34
8	RuBr ₃	3-Oxobutanal ^{a,b}	2	57	30	70
9	RuBr ₃	Butanedione ^a	3	4	70	30
10 ^c	RuBr ₃	None	7	14	13	99

Fable 1. Influence of CO, formaldeh	yde and other additives on	reduction of the butanone	intermediate.

Conditions: 0.5 mmol butanone, 1.7 mmol Bu4PBr, 0.005 mmol Ru (1 mol%), 0.025 mmol HBr, 0.1 mmol additive, 1 mL dodecane, 40 bar H2, 2 h, 210°C. ^a Since these additives also form products such as butenes and butanone in these conditions, the expected yield of these products from 0.1 mmol additive is subtracted; ^b Aldehyde in dimethyl acetal form; ^c reaction with 0.5 mmol 2,3-butanedione.

Besides promoting the Ru-catalyzed hydrogenation of ketone intermediates, the presence of CO also appears to suppress the overreduction of butenes to butane. This is illustrated by data for the RuBr₃-catalyzed conversion of erythritol (Table 2). Addition of HCHO (as a CO precursor) to reactions with 2 or 5 mol% RuBr₃ fully suppresses overreduction of butenes to butane (Table 2, entries 4 vs. 3, and 6 vs. 5). With 5 mol% Ru, the butene yield even increases to 66-69% (Table 2, entries 6,7). Strikingly, a clear visual difference is observed between reactions with overreduction and those without; a grey IL is formed in the former case, suggesting metallic Ru, while in the latter case a yellow IL is obtained (Figure S1). FTIR analysis of the reactor headspace confirmed formation of CO, part of which most likely ligates to the Ru catalyst, thus stabilizing it towards reduction and aggregation as zerovalent metal. Importantly, FTIR analysis also detected CO in the reactions without added formaldehyde. This confirms that CO is also formed from a reaction intermediate, most likely an aldehyde like 3-oxobutanal, as Ru catalysts are well-known for decarbonylation of aldehydes.⁴³⁻⁴⁵ The formation of propene as a side product (Figure 2) is consistent with *in situ* decarbonylation of 3-oxobutanal to form acetone, followed by HDO of acetone by ketone reduction and dehydration.

Entry	mo1% Pu	НСНО	Yield (%)			
Entry	III0170 Ku		Butane	Butenes	Butanone	
1	1	-	0	43	18	
2	1	+	0	27	25	
3	2	-	3	46	17	
4	2	+	0	55	14	
5	5	-	4	52	13	
6	5	+	0	66	1	
7	5*	+	0	69	1	

Table 2. Influence of formaldehyde on the RuBr₃-catalyzed HDO of erythritol.

Conditions: 0.5 mmol erythritol, 1.7 mmol Bu4PBr, 0.025 mmol HBr, 1 mL dodecane, 40 bar H2, 2 h, 210°C. When HCHO was added, it was added at a constant HCHO : Ru ratio of 16. *reaction with 0.05 mmol HBr.



Figure 4. HDO of erythritol at 180°C, after catalyst pretreatment with formaldehyde at 210°C. General conditions: 0.5 mmol erythritol, 1.7 mmol Bu_4PBr , 0.025 mmol HBr, 1 mL dodecane, 40 bar H_2 . * = reaction without pretreatment.

The previous reactions demonstrated that a limited amount of CO is needed to create the active hydrogenation catalyst, to prevent reduction of the catalyst to metal, and thus to decrease the overreduction to butane. However, if too much CO is present, either formed autogenously from erythritol, or from added HCHO, it has a negative impact on the reaction, especially at low RuBr₃ contents. This is clear from the HDO of erythritol with 1 mol% RuBr₃. with or without added HCHO (Table 2, entries 1 and 2). Therefore, it would be advantageous to perform the hydrodeoxygenation at an optimal, constant concentration of CO, without generating too much CO by decarbonylation of 3-oxobutanal. The latter is enabled by performing reactions at 180°C, where decarbonylation is very slow, as evidenced by a negligible yield of propene (Figure S5). In an even more advantageous procedure, a catalyst pretreatment step is performed, in which the RuBr₃ catalyst is activated at 210° C in Bu₄PBr in the presence of formaldehyde but in the absence of erythritol; next reaction is started at 180 °C, with addition of erythritol. The yield of butenes clearly increased by including a catalyst pretreatment step, to 55% with 2 mol% RuBr₃ and even to 65% with 3 mol% RuBr₃, while still completely avoiding any overreduction to butane (Figure 4). Furthermore, the constant (minimal) concentration of CO in the reaction medium results in catalyst stability, as evidenced by successful catalyst recycling tests (Figure S14). The catalyst could be recycled up to 5 times before the butene yield decreased, presumably due to slower dehydration. The initial activity and selectivity of the system were regained after drying under vacuum.

Catalyst characterization

The catalytic results indicate that CO, either formed from a reaction intermediate or added externally, plays an important role in the system. Most likely, CO binds to Ru and influences the catalyst as a ligand. We investigated this hypothesis by analyzing the Ru-containing IL after reaction starting from 1 mol% RuBr₃ by FTIR spectroscopy. The IL solidifies quickly upon cooling (melting point around 100°C) allowing to trap catalytically relevant species in the IL. The carbonyl stretching region of the FTIR spectrum (Figure 5) shows two medium-strength vibrations at 2112 and 2028 cm⁻¹ and one weaker band at 1951 cm⁻¹, characteristic of axial and radial CO vibrations in Ru-carbonyl compounds.⁴⁶ This is highly similar to the bands obtained for [RuBr₂(CO)₃]₂ dissolved in Bu₄PBr, indicating the formation of a similar Ru species. In addition, also the Ru catalyst pretreated with HCHO in Bu₄PBr correlates well



with $[RuBr_2(CO)_3]_2$. Hence, the catalyst pretreatment is a fast and facile step to circumvent the disadvantages of $[RuBr_2(CO)_3]_2$ synthesis.

Figure 5. Characterization of Ru in tetrabutylphosphonium bromide (Bu_4PBr). a) Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectrum; b) Zoom on carbonyl region of FTIR spectrum; c) X-ray absorption near edge structure (XANES) spectra of high concentration commercial Ru complexes: RuBr₃ in Bu₄PBr (red) and [RuCl₂(CO)₃]₂ in Bu₄PBr (green) and the low concentration Ru catalyst in Bu₄PBr after reaction (blue); d) Fourier-transformed phase-uncorrected EXAFS spectra.

In addition, X-ray absorption near edge structure (XANES) and extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) data were collected on a sample obtained in the same conditions for the catalyst and commercially available Ru complexes ([RuCl₂(CO)₃]₂ and RuBr₃ dissolved in Bu₄PBr). XANES spectra (Figure 5c) evidence that the catalyst obtained after reaction is clearly distinct from the parent RuBr₃, sharing similar spectral features with Ru halide carbonyl complexes. Furthermore, the FT-EXAFS of the catalyst is characterized by three peaks as in the Ru halide carbonyl complex (Figure 5d), which indicates a similar local surrounding of Ru ions in both samples. Due to low Ru concentration in the catalyst Figure 5d shows only a qualitative comparison using k^1 -weighted spectra in 1.7-9.0 Å⁻¹ region. Quantitative fitting of the commercial sample in extended *k*-region confirms the expected Ru coordination (Figure 5to-12). Summarizing, FTIR and EXAFS characterization demonstrate that the RuBr₃ precursor is transformed to a Ru(II) bromide carbonyl species in the reaction conditions.

Study of putative intermediates and reaction network

Next, we mapped the reaction network (Figure 6), by reacting a series of putative intermediates on the route from erythritol to butenes, with addition of formaldehyde for non-aldehyde substrates. Two products of double dehydration of erythritol, butanedione and 3-oxobutanal, the former being the main product in the absence of hydrogenation, lead to good yields of butenes, while the other C4 dicarbonyl, succinaldehyde, does not (Table 3, entries 2-4). In addition, the enhanced C3 yields from 3-oxobutanal confirm its susceptibility to decarbonylation. The hydrogenation products of butanedione and 3-oxobutanal are two hydroxyketones (4-hydroxybutanone, 3-

hydroxybutanone; Table 3, entries 5-6), which in turn react smoothly to butenes in up to 65 % yield. From these compounds, butanediols can be formed, which lead to even higher butene yields (> 85%; entries 7-8). Alternatively, the hydroxyketones can be dehydrated to methyl vinyl ketone (MVK, entry 9), which leads to somewhat lower butene yields and mass balance, possibly because of polymerization. Next to butanone, 1-buten-3-ol and 2-buten-1-ol (entries 11-12) are the most likely dehydration products of the diols. Remarkably, these allylic alcohols react selectively to butenes as well, without butane or butadiene formation. This implies that besides carbonyl hydrogenation, also hydrogenolysis of allylic alcohols or bromides is effected by the Ru catalyst. In particular allylic bromides are highly susceptible to hydrogenolysis (Figure S13).⁴⁷ Finally, it should be noted that butanone is the main side product from most substrates in Figure 6, again confirming that butanone hydrogenation is the slowest step, possibly because it cannot operate via substrate-directed hydrogenation.

Entry	Substrate	Yield (%)						
Lincity		Butane	Butenes	Butanone	Propene	Furan	THF	
1	Erythritol ^a	0	43	18	3	6	0	
2	Butanedione	0	58	11	1	0	0	
3	3-Oxobutanal ^{a,b}	5	37	20	7	0	0	
4	Succinaldehyde ^{a,b}	2	4	0	3	0	59	
5	3-Hydroxy-2-butanone	1	55	17	0	0	0	
6	4-Hydroxy-2-butanone	0	65	14	0	0	0	
7	1,3-Butanediol	0	97	1	1	0	0	
8	2,3-Butanediol	0	85	10	0	0	0	
9	Methylvinylketone	0	43	18	0	0	0	
10	Butanone	3	72	13	0	0	0	
11	1-Buten-3-ol	0	83	4	0	0	0	
12	2-Buten-1-ol	0	90	0	1	0	0	
13	2-Butanol	4	89	0	0	0	0	

Table 3. Reactions of potential intermediates in the hydrodeoxygenation of erythritol to butenes.

Conditions: 0.5 mmol substrate, 0.1 mmol formaldehyde, 1.7 mmol Bu_4PBr , 0.025 mmol HBr, 0.005 mmol $RuBr_3$ (1 mol%), 1 mL dodecane, 210 °C, 40 bar H_2 , 2 h. ^a No formaldehyde added, ^b Aldehyde in dimethyl acetal form.



Figure 6. Reaction network for hydrodeoxygenation of erythritol to butenes. For clarity, the bromide substituted and enol intermediates are not shown.

Based on these results, the reaction network in Figure 6 is proposed, showing the most likely pathways. Since dehydration is fast, dicarbonyls are the first products. Butanedione and 3-oxobutanal rather than succinaldehyde are formed, because the bromide substitution occurs preferably on the less sterically hindered outer hydroxy groups. Despite the various reaction types comprised in the network, all reactions eventually converge towards highly selective butene formation. Butenes rather than butadiene are formed for two reasons: first, dehydration of 2,3-butanediol does not lead to butadiene, but rather to butanone,³⁵ and hence to butenes; secondly, allylic

alcohols/bromides are hydrogenolyzed rather than dehydrated or dehydrobrominated. The formed butenes isomerize, through hydrobromination and dehydrobromination until the thermodynamic equilibrium is reached. A more detailed explanation on the proposed reactions network is available in the Supporting Information.

Expanding the scope: glycerol, xylitol and sorbitol

As a proof of concept, we investigated hydrodeoxygenation of glycerol, xylitol and sorbitol. The hydrodeoxygenation of glycerol to propene is a particularly interesting reaction, since (crude) glycerol can be cheaply obtained from biomass, and propene is a highly important industrial chemical. Without catalyst pretreatment with HCHO, only a 22% yield of propene is formed, and significant overreduction to propane occurs (< 50% selectivity)(Figure 7 a). After pretreatment, the yield strongly increased leading to 57% propene yield after 1 h and 66 % after 2 h at 210°C.











Figure 7. Yield of products in hydrodeoxygenation of different sugar alcohols with $RuBr_3$ in Bu_4PBr . a) HDO of glycerol; b) HDO of xylitol ($180^{\circ}C$); c) Plausible mechanism for formation of cyclopentene; d) HDO of sorbitol ($3 \mod 8 uBr_3$, $0.24 \mod 6 \mod 8 uBr_3$, $0.26 \mod 8$

Also for xylitol and sorbitol, reactions without pretreatment led to poor results even after long reaction times. In the reaction of xylitol, only 5% pentenes were formed after 16 h, significant overreduction occurred, and large amounts of side products were formed (Figure 7 b). After pretreatment, linear pentenes are the main product from xylitol, but also some cyclopentene is formed. This product could be formed through a series of reactions in which the carbocycle is either formed through intramolecular aldol condensation, or through rearrangement of furfuryl alcohol (Figure 7 c).⁴⁸ After 16 h, 37% linear pentenes and 3% cyclopentene are formed, without overreduction to pentane. Increasing the amount of RuBr₃ to 3 mol% further improves the yield to 44% linear pentenes and 3% cyclopentene. Carboxylic acids and butenes are also produced (Figure S15). While there is still room for improvement, good yields of pentenes are obtained, in a reaction that has not been reported heretofore.

Finally, we performed the challenging reaction of sorbitol using 3 mol% RuBr₃, and at longer reaction times. A new feature of this reaction is the formation of a recalcitrant cyclic ether, isosorbide (Figure 7 d). Increasing the reaction time and/or temperature allows to overcome this issue, leading to higher hexene yields. Both linear and cyclic hexenes, mainly 1-methylcyclopentene, are formed. A total hexene yield of 25% is reached at 200°C after 8 h. Further increasing the temperature does not result in higher yields. The formation of 1-methylcyclopentene probably occurs similarly as described for xylitol to cyclopentene.⁴⁹ Interestingly, this is the first reported formation of cyclic hexenes from sorbitol. Linear hexenes on the other hand have been formed from sorbitol, but only using expensive silanes as the reducing agent.³⁴ Again, no overreduction to hexane was observed indicating the high selectivity of the catalytic system. Furthermore, like in the reaction of xylitol, hexanoic acid and hexeneoic acids are formed from sorbitol.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we have shown that the catalytic dehydration system HBr/Bu₄PBr can be combined with a Ru hydrogenation catalyst to perform selective hydrodeoxygenation of erythritol to butenes, avoiding formation of butane. Several homogeneous Ru catalysts lead to good butene yields, but, surprisingly, the best results are obtained with the simple salt RuBr₃. CO, which can be added at the start, or formed during reaction, plays a crucial role in formation of the active and selective species. We successfully circumvented the use of this toxic gas, as well as the cumbersome synthesis of the [RuBr₂CO₃]₂, by implementing a more elegant pretreatment step using HCHO as indirect CO-source. The catalytic species was proven to be a homogeneous Ru(II) carbonyl bromide species by FTIR and EXAFS. In addition, this catalyst also catalyzes hydrodeoxygenation of glycerol to propene in good yields, and the first hydrodeoxygenation of xylitol to pentenes and of sorbitol to hexenes.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

* E-mail: dirk.devos@kuleuven.be

Author Contributions

§ These authors contributed equally

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting information.

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website.

Experimental procedures, parameter screening, X-ray absorption spectra, mass spectra of most important products (PDF).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

M.S. thanks Fonds Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (FWO) for his doctoral fellowship. K.J. is grateful to KU Leuven for funding through the C₃ project 18/020. EXAFS studies were funded by the President's Grant of Russian Federation (Project MK-2554.2019.2 to A.L.B.). We thank Stef Haesen for experimental work. D.D.V. acknowledges the IWT and FWO for research project funding, the Flemish government for long-term structural funding through Methusalem, and EoS (Biofact) for financial support.

REFERENCES

- (1) Rapaille, A.; Goosens, J.; Heume, M. Sugar Alcohols. In *Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry*; Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co: Weinheim, Germany, 2012; pp 1–37.
- (2) Gallezot, P. Conversion of Biomass to Selected Chemical Products. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2012, 41 (4), 1538–1558. https://doi.org/10.1039/c1cs15147a.
- (3) Corma Canos, A.; Iborra, S.; Velty, A. Chemical Routes for the Transformation of Biomass into Chemicals. *Chem. Rev.* 2007, 107 (6), 2411–2502. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr050989d.
- (4) Ayoub, M.; Abdullah, A. Z. Critical Review on the Current Scenario and Significance of Crude Glycerol Resulting from Biodiesel Industry towards More Sustainable Renewable Energy Industry. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 2012, *16* (5), 2671–2686. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2012.01.054.
- (5) Johnson, D. T.; Taconi, K. A. The Glycerin Glut: Options for the Value-Added Conversion of Crude Glycerol Resulting from Biodiesel Production. *Environ. Prog.* 2007, 26 (4), 338–348. https://doi.org/10.1002/ep.10225.
- (6) Moon, H. J.; Jeya, M.; Kim, I. W.; Lee, J. K. Biotechnological Production of Erythritol and Its Applications. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 2010, 86 (4), 1017–1025. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-010-2496-4.
- (7) Jeya, M.; Lee, K. M.; Tiwari, M. K.; Kim, J. S.; Gunasekaran, P.; Kim, S. Y.; Kim, I. W.; Lee, J. K. Isolation of a Novel High Erythritol-Producing Pseudozyma Tsukubaensis and Scale-up of Erythritol Fermentation to Industrial Level. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2009**, *8*3 (2), 225–231. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-009-1871-5.
- (8) Rakicka, M.; Rukowicz, B.; Rywińska, A.; Lazar, Z.; Rymowicz, W. Technology of Efficient Continuous Erythritol Production from Glycerol. J. Clean. Prod. 2016, 139, 905–913. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.08.126.
- (9) Ennaert, T.; Feys, S.; Hendrikx, D.; Jacobs, P. A.; Sels, B. F. Reductive Splitting of Hemicellulose with Stable Ruthenium-Loaded USY Zeolites. *Green Chem.* 2016, *18* (19), 5295–5304. https://doi.org/10.1039/c6gc01439a.
- (10) Deneyer, A.; Peeters, E.; Renders, T.; Van den Bosch, S.; Van Oeckel, N.; Ennaert, T.; Szarvas, T.; Korányi, T. I.; Dusselier, M.; Sels, B. F. Direct Upstream Integration of Biogasoline Production into Current Light Straight Run Naphtha Petrorefinery Processes. *Nat. Energy* 2018, 3 (11), 969–977. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-018-0245-6.
- Huber, G. W.; Iborra, S.; Corma, A. Synthesis of Transportation Fuels from Biomass: Chemistry, Catalysts, and Engineering. Chem. Rev. 2006, 106 (9), 4044–4098. https://doi.org/10.1021/cro68360d.
- (12) Huber, G. W.; Dumesic, J. A. An Overview of Aqueous-Phase Catalytic Processes for Production of Hydrogen and Alkanes in a Biorefinery. *Catal. Today* **2006**, *111* (1–2), 119–132. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2005.10.010.
- (13) Chheda, J. N.; Huber, G. W.; Dumesic, J. A. Liquid-Phase Catalytic Processing of Biomass-Derived Oxygenated Hydrocarbons to Fuels and Chemicals. *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46* (38), 7164–7183. https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.200604274.
- (14) Besson, M.; Gallezot, P.; Pinel, C. Conversion of Biomass into Chemicals over Metal Catalysts. *Chem. Rev.* 2014, 114 (3), 1827–1870. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr4002269.
- (15) Mota, C. J. A.; Gonçalves, V. L. C.; Mellizo, J. E.; Rocco, A. M.; Fadigas, J. C.; Gambetta, R. Green Propene through the Selective Hydrogenolysis of Glycerol over Supported Iron-Molybdenum Catalyst: The Original History. J. Mol. Catal. A Chem. 2016, 422, 158–164. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcata.2015.11.014.
- (16) Zacharopoulou, V.; Vasiliadou, E. S.; Lemonidou, A. A. One-Step Propylene Formation from Bio-Glycerol over Molybdena-Based Catalysts. Green Chem. 2015, 17 (2), 903–912. https://doi.org/10.1039/C4GC01307G.
- (17) Zacharopoulou, V.; Vasiliadou, E. S.; Lemonidou, A. A. Exploring the Reaction Pathways of Bioglycerol Hydrodeoxygenation to Propene over Molybdena-Based Catalysts. *ChemSusChem* **2018**, *u* (1), 264–275. https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201701605.
- (18) Konaka, A.; Tago, T.; Yoshikawa, T.; Shitara, H.; Nakasaka, Y.; Masuda, T. Conversion of Biodiesel-Derived Crude Glycerol into Useful Chemicals over a Zirconia-Iron Oxide Catalyst. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 2013, 52 (44), 15509–15515. https://doi.org/10.1021/ie4006645.
- (19) Yu, L.; Yuan, J.; Zhang, Q.; Liu, Y. M.; He, H. Y.; Fan, K. N.; Cao, Y. Propylene from Renewable Resources: Catalytic Conversion of Glycerol into Propylene. *ChemSusChem* **2014**, *7* (3), 743–747. https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201301041.
- (20) Wu, Z.; Yan, H.; Ge, S.; Gao, J.; Dou, T.; Li, Y.; Yip, A. C. K.; Zhang, M. MoO3 Modified Ni2P/Al2O3 as an Efficient Catalyst for Crude Glycerol to Propylene. *Catal. Commun.* 2017, *92*, 80–85. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catcom.2017.01.009.
- (21) Dethlefsen, J. R.; Lupp, D.; Teshome, A.; Nielsen, L. B.; Fristrup, P. Molybdenum-Catalyzed Conversion of Diols and Biomass-Derived Polyols to Alkenes Using Isopropyl Alcohol as Reductant and Solvent. *ACS Catal.* 2015, 5 (6), 3638–3647. https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.5b00427.
- (22) Thibault, M. E.; Dimondo, D. V.; Jennings, M.; Abdelnur, P. V.; Eberlin, M. N.; Schlaf, M. Cyclopentadienyl and Pentamethylcyclopentadienyl Ruthenium Complexes as Catalysts for the Total Deoxygenation of 1,2-Hexanediol and Glycerol. *Green Chem.* 2011, *13* (2), 357–366. https://doi.org/10.1039/cogc00255k.
- (23) Di Mondo, D.; Ashok, D.; Waldie, F.; Schrier, N.; Morrison, M.; Schlaf, M. Stainless Steel As a Catalyst for the Total

Deoxygenation of Glycerol and Levulinic Acid in Aqueous Acidic Medium. ACS Catal. 2011, 1 (4), 355–364. https://doi.org/10.1021/cs200053h.

- (24) Yang, W.; Grochowski, M. R.; Sen, A. Selective Reduction of Biomass by Hydriodic Acid and Its in Situ Regeneration from Iodine by Metal/Hydrogen. *ChemSusChem* 2012, 5, 1218–1222. https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201100669.
- (25) Boucher-Jacobs, C.; Nicholas, K. M. Oxo-Rhenium-Catalyzed Deoxydehydration of Polyols with Hydroaromatic Reductants. *Organometallics* **2015**, *34* (10), 1985–1990. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.organomet.5b00226.
- (26) Shiramizu, M.; Toste, F. D. Deoxygenation of Biomass-Derived Feedstocks: Oxorhenium-Catalyzed Deoxydehydration of Sugars and Sugar Alcohols. *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.* 2012, *51* (32), 8082–8086. https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201203877.
- (27) Raju, S.; Jastrzebski, J. T. B. H.; Lutz, M.; Klein Gebbink, R. J. M. Catalytic Deoxydehydration of Diols to Olefins by Using a Bulky Cyclopentadiene-Based Trioxorhenium Catalyst. *ChemSusChem* 2013, 6 (9), 1673–1680. https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201300364.
- (28) Jang, J. H.; Sohn, H.; Camacho-Bunquin, J.; Yang, D.; Park, C. Y.; Delferro, M.; Abu-Omar, M. M. Deoxydehydration of Biomass-Derived Polyols with a Reusable Unsupported Rhenium Nanoparticles Catalyst. ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 2019, 7 (13), 11438– 11447. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.9b01253.
- (29) Cook, G. K.; Andrews, M. A. Toward Nonoxidative Routes to Oxygenated Organics: Stereospecific Deoxydehydration of Diols and Polyols to Alkenes and Allylic Alcohols Catalyzed by the Metal Oxo Complex (C 5 Me 5) ReO 3. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118 (39), 9448–9449. https://doi.org/10.1021/ja9620604.
- (30) Stalpaert, M.; De Vos, D. Stabilizing Effect of Bulky β-Diketones on Homogeneous Mo Catalysts for Deoxydehydration. ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 2018, 6 (9), 12197–12204. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.8b02532.
- (31) Ahmad, I.; Chapman, G.; Nicholas, K. M. Sulfite-Driven, Oxorhenium-Catalyzed Deoxydehydration of Glycols. *Organometallics* **2011**, 30 (10), 2810–2818. https://doi.org/10.1021/0m2001662.
- (32) Tazawa, S.; Ota, N.; Tamura, M.; Nakagawa, Y.; Okumura, K.; Tomishige, K. Deoxydehydration with Molecular Hydrogen over Ceria-Supported Rhenium Catalyst with Gold Promoter. *ACS Catal.* **2016**, *6* (10), 6393–6397. https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.6b01864.
- (33) Sun, R.; Zheng, M.; Li, X.; Pang, J.; Wang, A.; Wang, X.; Zhang, T. Production of Renewable 1,3-Pentadiene from Xylitol via Formic Acid-Mediated Deoxydehydration and Palladium-Catalyzed Deoxygenation Reactions. *Green Chem.* 2017, 19 (3), 638– 642. https://doi.org/10.1039/C6GC02868C.
- (34) Adduci, L. L.; Mclaughlin, M. P.; Bender, T. A.; Becker, J. J.; Gagné, M. R. Metal-Free Deoxygenation of Carbohydrates. *Angew. Commun.* **2014**, *53*, 1646–1649. https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201306864.
- (35) Stalpaert, M.; Cirujano, F. G.; De Vos, D. E. Tetrabutylphosphonium Bromide Catalyzed Dehydration of Diols to Dienes and Its Application in the Biobased Production of Butadiene. *ACS Catal.* 2017, 7 (9), 5802–5809. https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.7b01765.
- (36) Stalpaert, M.; Peeters, N.; De Vos, D. Conversion of Lactide to Acrylic Acid by a Phosphonium Ionic Liquid and Acid Cocatalyst. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2018**, *8* (5), 1468–1474. https://doi.org/10.1039/c7cy02364b.
- (37) Kitamura, M.; Ohkuma, T.; Inoue, S.; Sayo, N.; Kumobayashi, S.; Akutagawa, H.; Ohta, T.; Takaya, H.; Noyori, R. Homogeneous Asymmetric Hydrogenation of Functionalized Ketones. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110 (2), 629–631. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-1981-29350.
- (38) Ohkuma, T.; Ooka, H.; Ikariya, T.; Noyori, R. Preferential Hydrogenation of Aldehydes and Ketones. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117 (41), 10417–10418. https://doi.org/10.1021/ja00146a041.
- (39) Noyori, R.; Ohkuma, T.; Kitamura, M.; Takaya, H.; Sayo, N.; Kumobayashi, H.; Akutagawa, S. Asymmetric Hydrogenation of β-Keto Carboxylic Esters. A Practical, Purely Chemical Access to β-Hydroxy Esters in High Enantiomeric Purity. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109 (19), 5856–5858. https://doi.org/10.1021/ja00253a051.
- (40) Johnson, B. B. F. G.; Johnston, R. D.; Lewis, J. Chemistry of Polynuclear Compounds. Part XV.I Halogenocarbonyl- Ruthenium Compounds. **1969**, No. 792, 792–797.
- (41) Kitamura, M.; Ohkuma, T.; Inoue, S.; Sayo, N.; Kumobayashi, H.; Akutagawa, S.; Ohta, T.; Takaya, H.; Noyori, R. Homogeneous Asymmetric Hydrogenation of Functionalized Ketones. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110* (2), 629–631.
- (42) Chen, C.-A.; Chen, Y.-W. Processes for Preparing Formaldehyde, Glycolaldehyde and Ethylene Glycol, 2015.
- (43) James, R. Catalytic Decarbonylation. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1980, 939 (2), 939–940.
- (44) Sieffert, N.; Réocreux, R.; Lorusso, P.; Cole-Hamilton, D. J.; Bühl, M. On the Importance of Decarbonylation as a Side-Reaction in the Ruthenium-Catalysed Dehydrogenation of Alcohols: A Combined Experimental and Density Functional Study. *Chem. - A Eur. J.* 2014, 20 (14), 4141–4155. https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201303722.
- (45) Verduyckt, J.; Coeck, R.; De Vos, D. E. Ru-Catalyzed Hydrogenation-Decarbonylation of Amino Acids to Bio-Based Primary Amines. ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 2017, 5 (4), 3290–3295. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.6b03140.
- (46) Hoffmann, F. M.; Hoo, Y. S.; Cai, T. H.; White, M. G.; Hrbek, J. Infrared Study of Triruthenium Dodecacarbonyl Interactions with Gold. *Surf. Sci.* 2012, 606 (23–24), 1906–1913. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.susc.2012.07.036.
- (47) Alonso, F.; Beletskaya, I. P.; Yus, M. Metal-Mediated Reductive Hydrodehalogenation of Organic Halides. *Chem. Rev.* 2002, 102 (11), 4009–4091. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr0102967.
- (48) Ulbrich, K.; Kreitmeier, P.; Reiser, O. Microwave- or Microreactor-Assisted Conversion of Furfuryl Alcohols into 4-Hydroxy-2-Cyclopentenones. *Synlett* 2010, 2010 (13), 2037–2040. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0030-1258534.
- (49) Ohyama, J.; Kanao, R.; Ohira, Y.; Satsuma, A. The Effect of Heterogeneous Acid-Base Catalysis on Conversion of 5-Hydroxymethylfurfural into a Cyclopentanone Derivative. *Green Chem.* **2016**, *18* (3), 676–680. https://doi.org/10.1039/c5gc01723h.

Graphical Abstract (Table of contents)

