

The 20th English in Southeast Asia (ESEA) Conference National Institute of Education - Nanyang Technological University Singapore



AN ASSESSMENT OF VOCABULARY KNOWLEDGE OF VIETNAMESE EFL LEARNERS

Duy Van Vu & Nhung Cam Nguyen vuvan.duy@kuleuven.be





OUTLINE

- Introduction
- Literature review
- Methodology
- Results
- Discussion
- Conclusion



INTRODUCTION



- By the end of high-school Vietnamese students should master 2,500 words (MOET, 2018).
- 6,000 word families and beyond 14,000 word families required to comprehend 95% and 98% respectively of high-school graduation exam papers between 2015 and 2018 (Vu, 2019).
- —> Do Vietnamese high-school students meet these lexical demands?



THIS STUDY

- Focus: the vocabulary knowledge of Vietnamese high school students.
- Purposes:
 - To find out if the students meet the lexical demands set by the MOET (MOET, 2018) and high-school graduation exams (Vu, 2019).
 - To find out whether vocabulary knowledge of students in rural areas differs from that of students in urban areas.
 - To find out whether male and female students differ in their vocabulary knowledge.



LITERATURE REVIEW



IMPORTANCE OF VOCABULARY KNOWLEDGE

- Vocabulary is vital in L2 learning (Nation, 2013; Webb & Nation, 2017).
- Vocabulary is positively correlated to L2 skills (Milton, 2013).
- More vocabulary —> better language achievement (Read, 2000); more vocabulary —> better marks (Laufer et al., 2004); more vocabulary —> fewer errors (Meara, 1984).



ROLE OF SOCIOECONOMIC STATUS

- Students' socio-economic status can affect their language learning outcomes:
 - Their parents' education (Nikolov, 2009; PISA, 2003).
 - Their attendance at different kinds of schools and levels of extracurricular exposure to L2 (Muñoz, 2008).
 - Their goal setting behaviour (Gayton, 2010; Lamb, 2012).
 - Their motivation, self-regulation, motivated behaviour (= effort & persistence), learning autonomy, and self-related beliefs (Benson, 2007; Fan, 2011; Gayton, 2010; Kormos & Kiddle, 2013; Lamb, 2012).
 - Their available resources (Hu, 2003).
- Socio-economic status can affect early vocabulary development (Hoff, 2006; Rowe & Goldin-Meadow, 2009) —> Unknown if this is also the case for L2 learners?



ROLE OF GENDER

- In evolutionary terms, women are better at language than men (Kolb & Whishaw, 2001; Mindner, 2008).
- Gender was found to be an important factor in early vocabulary growth (Huttenlocher et al., 1991).



GENDER AND VOCABULARY KNOWLEDGE

- Mixed findings on gender differences in vocabulary knowledge and learning:
 - In terms of vocabulary knowledge: female > male (Catalan, 2010; Fontecha, 2010; Gu, 2002), male > female (Boyle, 1987; Scarcella & Zimmerman, 1998), male = female (Prados, 2010).
 - In terms of vocabulary learning: female > male (Nyikos, 1990), male = female (Grace, 2000; Maghsodi, 2010).





Photo credit: Clipartwiki , retrieved from: <a href="https://www.clipartwiki.com/iclip/JwobT_transparent-question-mark-clipart-transparent-question-que



GENDER AND VOCABULARY KNOWLEDGE

- Gender differences have been found to exist in:
 - Motivation: females > males (Fontecha, 2010; Kissau, 2006; MacIntyre et al., 2002; Mori & Gobel, 2006)
 - Attitudes: females > males (Batters, 1986; Henry and Apelgren, 2008)
 - Gender stereotyping (Fontecha, 2010; Schmenk, 2004)
 - Vocabulary learning strategies: females > males (Gu, 2002; Catalan, 2003)
 - Declarative memory: females > males (Halpern, 2000;
 Maitland et al., 2004; Hartshorne and Ullman, 2006; Ullman et al., 2008)
 - Reading: females > males (Chavez, 2001).



RESEARCH QUESTIONS

- How much vocabulary knowledge do Vietnamese highschool students have?
- Is there any difference in vocabulary knowledge of Vietnamese students in rural and urban areas?
- Is there any difference in vocabulary knowledge of Vietnamese male and female students?



METHODOLOGY



PARTICIPANTS

• 500 Vietnamese 12th graders (aged 18)

| | Number (N=500) | Years of learning English | Difference in years of learning English | |
|--------|----------------|------------------------------|---|--|
| Origin | Urban = 230 | M = 10.82 SD = 1.71 | Significant (Mann-Whitney U test: <i>U</i> = 17733, <i>p</i> | |
| | Rural = 270 | M = 9.45 SD = 0.84 | < .001) | |
| Sex | Female = 322 | M = 10.17 SD = 1.59 | Non-significant (Mann-Whitney U test: <i>U</i> = 27779.5, | |
| | Male = 178 | M = 9.92 SD = 1.23 | p = .56 | |

Table 1: Background information of the participants



MATERIAL

- Vocabulary Levels Test (Schmitt, Schmitt, Clapham, 2001)
 - 2000 word level
 - 3000 word level
 - Academic vocabulary
 - 5000 word level
 - 10000 word level



DATA ANALYSIS

- Correct answer = 1, Incorrect answer = 0.
- SPSS:
 - RQ1: Reports (Case Summaries)
 - RQ2 & RQ3: Non-parametric statistical tests (data not normally distributed).



RESULTS



RQ1: How much vocabulary knowledge do Vietnamese high-school students have?



| | Lowest | Highest | Mean | SD | % above 26/30* |
|--------|--------|---------|------|----|----------------|
| Part 1 | 1 | 30 | 14 | 9 | 14% |
| Part 2 | 0 | 29 | 10 | 8 | 4.4% |
| Part 3 | 0 | 30 | 9 | 8 | 4.6% |
| Part 4 | 0 | 30 | 6 | 6 | 0.8% |
| Part 5 | 0 | 30 | 4 | 4 | 0.4% |
| Total | 1 | 142 | 43 | 30 | |

Table 2: Vietnamese high school students' scores on the VLT.



^{*} Threshold for mastery proposed by Schmitt et al. (2001)

- Only a very small number of students mastered 2000 word level (14%), 3000 word level (4.4.%), academic vocabulary (4.6%), 5000 word level (0.8%), and 10000 word level (0.4%).
- 2.2% of students (= 11) scored 0 for all vocabulary sections.
- Students' vocabulary knowledge tended to decrease when the word level increased.



RQ2: Is there any difference in vocabulary knowledge of Vietnamese students in rural and urban areas?



| | Rural (N=270) M(SD) | Urban (N=230) M(SD) | Difference (Mann-Whitney) |
|--------|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Part 1 | 9(7) | 19(8) | <i>U</i> = 12022.5 <i>p</i> < .001 |
| Part 2 | 7(6) | 14(8) | <i>U</i> = 13454.5 <i>p</i> < .001 |
| Part 3 | 6(5) | 14(9) | <i>U</i> = 13464.5 <i>p</i> < .001 |
| Part 4 | 5(4) | 8(6) | <i>U</i> = 22089 <i>p</i> < .001 |
| Part 5 | 4(3) | 3(4) | U = 29041.5 $p = .21$ |
| Total | 30(22) | 59(30) | <i>U</i> = 13106.5 <i>p</i> < .001 |

Table 3: Differences in VLT scores between students in urban and rural areas.



RQ2: Is there any difference in vocabulary knowledge of Vietnamese male and female students?



| | Male (N=178) M(SD) | Female (N=322) M(SD) | Difference (Mann-Whitney) |
|--------|--------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Part 1 | 12(9) | 15(9) | <i>U</i> = 22672.5 <i>p</i> < .001 |
| Part 2 | 9(7) | 11(8) | <i>U</i> = 24588.5 <i>p</i> = .008 |
| Part 3 | 8(7) | 10(9) | <i>U</i> = 24366.5 <i>p</i> = .005 |
| Part 4 | 6(5) | 6(6) | U = 28099 $p = .72$ |
| Part 5 | 4(3) | 3(4) | <i>U</i> = 24545 <i>p</i> = .007 |
| Total | 38(27) | 46(31) | U = 23992 $p = .003$ |

Table 4: Differences between male and female students' scores on the VLT.



DISCUSSION



Vocabulary knowledge of Vietnamese high school students

- The majority of students did not meet the lexical demands set by the MOET (MOET, 2018) or in high-school graduation exams (Vu, 2019).
- The results were very worrying, considering their number of years of learning English of students.



| Total no. Students | Mean | Mode | Scores <1 | Scores <5 |
|-----------------------|------|------|--------------|--------------------|
| 814779 | 3.91 | 3.00 | 2189 | 637335 (78.22%) |

Table 6: Record low English scores of Vietnamese high-school students on the national high-school graduation exam in 2018 (VnExpress, 2018)



Urban vs. rural students

- Urban students had significantly better knowledge than rural students (except at 10,000 word level).
- Possible reasons:
 - Exposures to English: urban students had significantly more years of learning English than rural students (Table 1).
 - Parental guidance: urban parents often have tighter control over their children's study and attach more importance to English.
 - Goal setting (Lamb, 2012): urban students may set bigger goals (e.g. studying abroad, landing jobs in foreign-owned companies etc.) than rural students.
 - Motivation (Kormos & Kiddle, 2013; Lamb, 2012): urban students may see more need to use English at school, in their daily life, or in future jobs whereas rural students might not see much chance to use English.
 - Available resources (Hu, 2010; Lamb, 2012): urban students may have better access to the Internet, technology, books, and well-qualified teachers than rural students.



Male vs. female students

- Female students had significantly better vocabulary knowledge than male students, except at 5,000 word levels (Catalan, 2010; Fontecha, 2010; Gu, 2002).
- Possible reasons:
 - gender stereotyping (Schmenk, 2004): in most language classes or schools in Vietnam, the number of female students outweighs that of male students.
 - motivation (Fontecha, 2010; Kissau, 2006; Mori & Gobel, 2006): female students might be more motivated to learn English than male students.
 - attitudes (Batters, 1986; Henry and Apelgren, 2008): female students might have better attitude toward language learning and hence invest more time and efforts.
 - vocabulary learning strategies (Gu, 2002; Catalan, 2003): Female students
 might employ more vocabulary learning strategies than male students.



CONCLUSION



Conclusion

- Vietnamese high-school students should pay more attention to vocabulary learning.
- Vocabulary should be more adequately addressed in English curricula in Vietnam.
- Vietnamese EFL teachers should dedicate more time and efforts to vocabulary teaching.
- Extensive reading can be one of the solutions (Vu & Peters, 2019).
- More support is required for male students and students from rural areas to boost their vocabulary learning.



- Batters, J. (1986). 'Do boys really think languages are just girl-talk?', *Modern Languages*, 67(2), 75–79.
- Benson, P. (2007). Autonomy in language teaching and learning. *Language Teaching*, 40, 21-40.
- Boyle, J. P. (1987) 'Sex differences in listening vocabulary', *Language Learning*, 37, 2, 273–284.
- Catalán, R. M. J. (2003). Sex differences in L2 vocabulary learning strategies. *International Journal of Applied Linguistics*, *13*(1), 54-77.
- Catalán, R. M. J. (2010). Gender tendencies in EFL across vocabulary tests. In R. M. J. Catalán (Ed.), Gender perspectives on vocabulary in foreign and second languages (pp. 117-138). Palgrave Macmillan, London.
- Chavez, M. (2001). Gender in the language classroom. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Fan, W. (2011). Social influences, school motivation and gender differences: An application of the expectancy-value theory. *Educational Psychology Review, 31,* 157–175.
- Fontecha, A. F. (2010). Gender and motivation in EFL vocabulary production. In R. M. J. Catalán (Ed.), Gender perspectives on vocabulary in foreign and second languages (pp. 93-116). London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Gardner, R. C., Masgoret, A-M., & Tremblay, P. F. (1999). Home background characteristics and second language learning. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology, 18*, 419- 437.
- Gayton, A. (2010). Socioeconomic status and language-learning motivation: To what extent does the former influence the latter. *Scottish Languages Review*, *22*(1), 17-28.
- Grace, C. A. (2000). 'Gender differences: vocabulary retention and access to translations for beginning language learners in CALL', *Modern Language Journal*, *84*(2), 214–224.
- Gu, Y. (2002). Gender, academic major, and vocabulary learning strategies of Chinese EFL learners. *RELC Journal*, 33(1), 35-54.



- Halpern, D. F. (2000). Sex Differences in Cognitive Abilities. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Hartshorne, J. K., & Ullman, M. T. (2006). Why girls say 'holded' more than boys. *Developmental Science*, *9*(1), 21-32.
- Henry, A., & Apelgren, B. M. (2008). Young learners and multilingualism: A study of learner attitudes before and after the introduction of a second foreign language to the curriculum.
 System, 36(4), 607-623.
- Hoff, E. (2006). How social contexts support and shape language development. *Developmental Review*, 26, 55–88.
- Hu, G. (2003). English language teaching in China: Regional differences and contributing factors. Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development, 24, 290–318.
- Huttenlocher, J., Haight, W., Bryk, A., Seltzer, M., & Lyons, T. (1991). Early vocabulary growth: Relation to language input and gender. *Developmental Psychology*, *27*, 236–248.
- Kissau, S. (2006). Gender differences in motivation to learn French. *Canadian Modern Language Review*, 62(3), 401-422.
- Kolb, B., & Whishaw, I. Q. (2001). *An introduction to brain and behavior*. New York: Worth Publishers.
- Kormos, J., & Kiddle, T. (2013). The role of socio-economic factors in motivation to learn English as a foreign language: The case of Chile. *System*, *41*(2), 399-412.
- Lamb, M. (2012). A self-system perspective on young adolescents' motivation to learn English in rural and urban settings. *Language Learning*, *62*, 997-1023.
- Laufer, B., Elder, C., Hill, K. and Congdon, P. (2004) 'Size and strength: Do we need both to measure vocabulary knowledge'. *Language Testing*, 21(2), 202–226.



- MacIntyre, P., Baker, S., Clément, S. and Donovan, L. (2002) 'Sex and age effects on willingness to communicate, anxiety, perceived competence, and L2 motivation among junior high school French immersion students', *Language Learning*, 52, 3, 537–564.
- Maghsodi, M. (2010). Type of task and type of dictionary in incidental vocabulary acquisition. The Asian EFL Journal, 12(1), 8-29.
- Maitland, S. B., Herlitz, A., Nyberg, L., Bäckman, L., & Nilsson, L. G. (2004). Selective sex differences in declarative memory. *Memory & Cognition*, *32*(7), 1160-1169.
- Meara, P. (1984). The study of lexis in interlanguage. In A. Davies, C. Criper, & A. P. R. Howatt (Eds.), Interlanguage (pp. 225–235). Edinburgh: University of Edinburgh Press.
- Milton, J. (2013). Measuring the contribution of vocabulary knowledge to proficiency in the four skills. *EuroSLA Monographs*, 2, 57-78.
- MOET (2018). Chuong trinh giao duc pho thong: Chuong trinh mon tieng Anh [English curriculum for the secondary school]. Hanoi, Vietnam: Education Publishing House.
- Mori, S., & Gobel, P. (2006). Motivation and gender in the Japanese EFL classroom. System, 34(2), 194-210.
- Muñoz, C. (2008). Symmetries and asymmetries of age effects in naturalistic and instructed L2 learning.
 Applied Linguistics, 29, 578-96.
- Mildner, V. (2008). *The cognitive neuroscience of human communication*. New York: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Nation, I.S.P. (2013). *Learning vocabulary in another language* (2nd ed). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Nyikos, M. (1990). Sex-related differences in adult language learning: Socialization and memory factors. *The modern language journal*, 74(3), 273-287.
- PISA (2003). Learning for tomorrow's world—First results from Pisa 2003. OECD Publishing.



- Prados, M. D. (2010). Gender and L1 influence on EFL learners' lexicon. In R. M. J. Catalan (Ed.), *Gender perspectives on vocabulary in foreign and second languages* (pp. 44–73). New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Read, J. (2000). Assessing vocabulary. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Rowe, M. L., & Goldin-Meadow, S. (2009). Differences in early gesture explain SES disparities in child vocabulary size at school entry. *Science*, 323, 951–953.
- Scarcella, R., & Zimmerman, C. (1998). Academic words and gender: ESL student performance on a test of academic lexicon. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, *20*(1), 27–49.
- Schmenk, B. (2004). Language learning: A feminine domain? The role of stereotyping in constructing gendered learning identities. *TESOL Quarterly*, *38*(3), 514–524.
- Schmitt, N., Schmitt, D., & Clapham, C. (2001). Developing and exploring the behaviour of two new versions of the Vocabulary Levels Test. *Language testing*, *18*(1), 55-88.
- Ullman, M. T., Miranda, R. A. and Travers, M. L. (2008) 'Sex differences in the neurocognition of language'. In Becker, J. B., Berkley, K. J., Geary, N. et al. (eds), Sex on the brain: From genes to behaviour (pp.291-309). New York: Oxford University.
- VnEpxress (2018). Bo Giao duc Cong bo Pho diem Tung Mon thi THPT Quoc gia [The Ministry of Education and Training announcing the results of all subjects in the National High-school Examination]. Retrieved 27 November 2019 from: https://vnexpress.net/giao-duc/bo-giao-duc-cong-bo-pho-diem-tung-mon-thi-thpt-quoc-gia-3777331.html.
- Vu, D. V. (2019, December). A corpus-based lexical analysis of Vietnam's high-stakes English exams. Paper
 presented at the 20th English in South-East Asia Conference, National Institute of Education, Nanyang
 Technological University, Singapore.
- Vu, D. V., & Peters, E. (April, 2019). Learning L2 vocabulary from reading-only, reading-while-listening, and reading
 with textual input enhancement: Insights from Vietnamese EFL learners. Paper presented at the BAAL Vocabulary
 SIG 2019 Conference. Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester, UK.
- Webb, S. & Nation, I.S.P. (2017). How vocabulary is learned. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

