

Running head: Temperament and physical activity

Physical activity in bariatric surgery patients: does temperament matter?

Kerstin Gruner-Labitzke¹, Laurence Claes^{2,3}, Merle Bartsch⁴, Mareike Schulze⁵,
Svenja Langenberg⁴, Hinrich Köhler¹, Martina de Zwaan⁴, Astrid Müller^{4*}

1. Department of Surgery, Herzogin Elisabeth Hospital, Braunschweig, Germany
2. Faculty of Psychology and Educational Sciences, University of Leuven, Leuven, Belgium
3. Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium
4. Department of Psychosomatic Medicine and Psychotherapy, Hannover Medical School, Hannover, Germany
5. Peter L. Reichertz Institute for Medical Informatics, University of Braunschweig - Institute of Technology and Hannover Medical School, Hannover, Germany

*Correspondence

Astrid Müller, Department of Psychosomatic Medicine and Psychotherapy, Hannover Medical School, Hannover, Germany. Email: mueller.astrid@mh-hannover.de

1 **Abstract**

2 **Objective:** To investigate if physical activity (PA) in bariatric surgery patients is related to
3 temperament. **Methods:** The Behavioral Inhibition System (BIS)/Behavioral Activation
4 System (BAS) scales, the Effortful Control subscale of the Adult Temperament
5 Questionnaire-Short Form, and the Patient Health Questionnaire-depression scale were
6 administered to 70 pre- and 73 postoperative patients. They were categorized as being
7 physically “active” if they accumulated ≥ 8000 steps/day based on objective monitoring.
8 **Results:** Regressions adjusted for gender, age, BMI, and depression suggested an association
9 between lower BMI and more PA in both groups. In postoperative patients, lower age and
10 higher BIS - but not BAS - reactivity were related to PA. There was a significant interaction
11 between BMI and BIS suggesting that low BMI was only associated with more PA in patients
12 with high BIS compared to low BIS sensitivity. **Discussion:** The results indicate that in
13 postoperative patients with anxious temperament lower BMI is associated with more PA. This
14 finding needs further investigation within longitudinal studies.

15

16 **Keywords:** Obesity, bariatric surgery, physical activity, temperament

17

1 **Introduction**

2

3 Obesity is mainly caused by an imbalance of energy intake and energy expenditure
4 (Thompson, Karpe, Lafontan, & Frayn, 2012). Following the global recommendations on
5 physical activity (PA) of the World Health Organization, healthy adults aged 18 to 64 years
6 should accumulate at least 2.5 h of moderate to vigorous physical activity (MVPA) in bouts
7 ≥ 10 min (i.e. bout-related MVPA) throughout the week. It is well known that MVPA has a
8 favorable effect on weight loss and weight loss maintenance in overweight/obese individuals
9 (Andrade et al., 2010; Hamer et al., 2013). PA declines with increasing BMI (Elbelt et al.,
10 2010) and individuals with morbid obesity display less MVPA than normal-weight
11 individuals (Fernández-Aranda et al., 2014). In the context of bariatric surgery, studies using
12 objective monitors to assess PA have shown that bariatric surgery patients are physically
13 inactive and highly sedentary (Bond & Thomas, 2015). According to a longitudinal
14 multicenter study conducted in the United States, most patients continue to have a sedentary
15 lifestyle following bariatric surgery despite modest increases in PA (King et al., 2015).
16 Lately, a longitudinal study from Sweden did not find significant increases in objectively
17 measured PA in women before and 9 months after bariatric surgery (Berglind et al., 2016).

18 In a recent cross-sectional study, we compared the level of PA between a group of
19 obese bariatric surgery candidates and a group of patients with a mean total weight loss of
20 33.2% ($SD=8.9$) following bariatric surgery using objective PA monitors (Bartsch et al.,
21 2016). Against our hypotheses, we did not find meaningful between-group differences in PA
22 (Bartsch et al., 2016). Both the pre- and the postoperative group showed low levels of PA and
23 exhibited a sedentary lifestyle, which was not related to cognitive functioning, eating disorder
24 symptoms, or depression (Bartsch et al., 2016; Langenberg et al., 2015). Besides the cross-
25 sectional design, there were many other potential reasons for the lack of group differences in

1 PA. For example, the relatively brief average length of follow-up in the postoperative group
2 (months postoperative $M=8.2$, $SD=3.5$), maintained physical strain, emerging excess skin,
3 concerns about physical appearance, and/or low self-efficacy. Furthermore, some patients
4 may have experienced substantial benefits from weight loss early after surgery such as
5 improvement in physical health. This might have contributed to an unchanged sedentary
6 lifestyle (Bartsch et al., 2016).

7 Taken together, the results of our cross-sectional study as well as the findings from the
8 aforementioned longitudinal studies (Berglind et al., 2016; King et al., 2015) indicate
9 enormous barriers for bariatric surgery patients to be physically active. An alternative
10 explanation for the low PA can be found in the individual's temperament that is considered to
11 be relatively stable over time and that can influence habitual PA (Allen, Magee, Vella, &
12 Laborde, 2016; Brunes, Augestad, & Gudmundsdottir, 2013; Rhodes & Smith, 2006). A
13 meta-analysis showed that PA is positively related to Extraversion, Conscientiousness and
14 Openness, and negatively to Neuroticism (Wilson & Dishman, 2015). With regard to obesity,
15 Fernández-Aranda et al. (2014) investigated the influence of temperament on objectively
16 measured PA levels and BMI in a sample of 73 individuals with obesity and 116 healthy-
17 weight controls by means of the Temperament and Character Inventory-Revised (Cloninger,
18 1999). Individuals with obesity reported higher scores on Harm Avoidance and Reward
19 Dependence but lower scores on Novelty Seeking than normal-weight controls. Higher
20 MVPA levels were related to lower BMI, whereas this relationship was partially mediated by
21 high Novelty Seeking and low Harm Avoidance. This seemed to be plausible given that
22 higher Novelty Seeking correspondents with an active lifestyle and exploratory behavior,
23 while higher Harm Avoidance correlates with anxiety and inhibition of behaviors (Fernández
24 -Aranda et al., 2014).

25 According to biologically driven conceptualizations of personality, temperamental
26 features can be viewed as individual differences in emotional reactivity (reactive

1 temperament) and self-control (regulative temperament) (Rothbart, Ahadi, & Evans, 2000). In
2 terms of reactive temperament, Gray (1987) proposed two neurobiological systems
3 underpinning behaviors and affect: the Behavioral Inhibition System (BIS) and the Behavioral
4 Activation System (BAS). The BIS prevents or stops behavior that is expected to lead to
5 punishment and non-reward and stimulates avoidance behavior; the BAS is sensitive to
6 reward or non-punishment and instigates approach behavior. BIS and BAS reactivity have
7 been related to the personality dimensions of Cloninger (1999): Harm Avoidance was
8 positively correlated with BIS and negatively with BAS, Reward Dependence related
9 positively to BIS and BAS, and Novelty Seeking correlated positively with BAS (Carver &
10 White, 1994). BIS and BAS reactivity have also been related to the Big Five personality traits
11 (McCrae & Costa, 2003), whereas BIS was linked to Neuroticism, and BAS to Extraversion
12 (Smits & Boeck, 2006). The effect of punishment (BIS) and reward (BAS) sensitivity can be
13 down-regulated by effortful control (regulative temperament) (Rothbart et al., 2000).
14 According to Evans and Rothbart (2007) effortful control corresponds with high levels of
15 Conscientiousness and low levels of Neuroticism of the Big Five model.

16 An investigation of temperament subtypes in 156 bariatric surgery candidates and
17 obese inpatients admitted to a psychotherapy unit showed that patients belonging to the
18 cluster with higher BIS and BAS and lower effortful control exhibited more psychopathology
19 (i.e. depressive, eating disorder, and ADHD symptoms) than those belonging to the cluster
20 with lower BIS and BAS and higher effortful control (Müller, Claes, Wilderjans, & de Zwaan,
21 2014). Another study in the same vein found two personality subtypes in female pre-bariatric
22 surgery patients based on the Big Five personality traits: a ‘resilient/high functioning’ subtype
23 and an ‘emotional dysregulated/undercontrolled’ subtype, characterized by high Neuroticism,
24 and low Extraversion/Conscientiousness (Claes, Vandereycken, Vandeputte, & Braet, 2013).

25 To understand if individual differences in temperament may affect PA in bariatric
26 surgery patients, we conducted a secondary analysis of our data from the aforementioned

1 study (Bartsch et al., 2016) and analysed the relationship between the level of PA and
2 temperament in the pre- and postoperative group. Given that punishment sensitivity (BIS)
3 triggers avoidance behavior and reward sensitivity (BAS) stimulates approach behavior, we
4 hypothesized that in both groups higher levels of PA will be related to lower BIS and higher
5 BAS levels. Furthermore, we expected to find a positive association between effortful control
6 and PA. Since effortful control might moderate the effect of BIS and BAS, we also explored
7 potential interactions between reactive (BIS, BAS) and regulative (effortful control)
8 temperamental features. Additionally, we examined if age, gender, or BMI influenced the
9 hypothesized link between temperament and PA. With regard to weight and age, we expected
10 an inverse relationship between these variables and PA. Furthermore, given that patients with
11 obesity are at an increased risk for depression (Luppino et al., 2010) and that individuals with
12 depression are more likely to be physically inactive (Gigantesco, Ferrante, Baldissera,
13 Masocco, & group, 2015), the analyses were also controlled for depression.

14

15 **Methods**

16 **Participants**

17 Data were collected between March 2013 and August 2014 within a research project
18 investigating PA, cognitive functions and eating disorder symptoms in pre- and postoperative
19 bariatric surgery patients. The findings regarding the main research questions were already
20 described in detail elsewhere (Bartsch et al., 2016; Langenberg et al., 2015). The present
21 study represents a secondary data analysis of these data focusing on the relationship between
22 temperament and PA.

23 The preoperative group consisted of 71 bariatric surgery candidates (age: $M=41.4$,
24 $SD=11.9$) suffering from obesity grade 2 (7.1%) or grade 3 (92.9%) (BMI: $M=46.9$ kg/m²,
25 $SD=6.0$) who were recruited within the routine preoperative psychiatric evaluation at

1 Hannover Medical School. The postoperative group was recruited within the routine
2 postoperative check-ups in the Department of Surgery of the Herzogin Elisabeth Hospital
3 Braunschweig and included 73 patients (age: $M=40.5$, $SD=10.9$; 57 women, 16 men) with a
4 mean BMI of 32.0 kg/m^2 ($SD=4.1$) (normal weight 2.8%, overweight 26.4%, obesity grade 1
5 45.8%, grade 2 19.4%, grade 3 5.6%). They were assessed on average 8.2 months ($SD=3.5$,
6 *range* 2.0-25.1) after surgery. One male patient in the preoperative group did not answer the
7 temperament questionnaires. Given that this was the main topic of the present study this
8 patient was excluded from the analyses resulting in a final sample of 70 preoperative (78.6%
9 women) and 73 postoperative (78.1% women) patients. The groups did not differ with regard
10 to gender (women: preop 78.6% vs. postop 78.1%; $\chi^2=0.005$; $df=1$, $p=0.943$) or age
11 ($M_{pre}=41.6$, $SD_{pre}=11.9$ vs. $M_{post}=40.5$, $SD_{post}=10.9$ years; $t(141)=0.56$, $p=0.575$).

12 The protocol was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of the Hannover
13 Medical School. All participants gave written informed consent and received a compensation
14 of 50€. The main study was registered as a clinical trial conducted in Germany, number
15 DRKS 00004834.

16

17 Assessments

18 Sociodemographic data, weight and height were based on self-reports. *Daily PA* was
19 measured utilizing the SenseWear Pro₂ armband (SWA; BodyMedia, Inc., Pittsburgh, PA), a
20 multi-sensor device including a biaxial accelerometer (for details see Bartsch et al., 2016;
21 Langenberg et al., 2015). Patients were asked to wear the SWA for 24 hours on at least seven
22 consecutive days. Data were evaluated as valid if the SWA was worn for a minimum of 12
23 hours per day on at least 3 days corresponding to best research and practice recommendations
24 (Heil, Brage, & Rothney, 2012). The outcome variable used for the present study was *mean*
25 *steps per day*. Tudor-Locke et al. (Tudor-Locke, Leonardi, Johnson, Katzmarzyk, & Church,
26 2011) suggested that 8000 steps per day may serve as “a good proxy for 30 min of daily

1 MVPA” (p. 31) and that this level of PA can be labelled as ‘active’. Accordingly, patients
2 were categorized as being physically ‘active’ if they accumulated at least 8000 steps per day.
3 Piling less than 8000 steps per day was indicative for a sedentary lifestyle.

4 *Reactive Temperament* was measured by means of the Behavioral Inhibition System
5 and Behavioral Activation System scales (BIS/BAS) (Müller, Smits, Claes, & de Zwaan,
6 2013; Strobel, Beauducel, Debener, & Brocke, 2001). The BIS/BAS scales consist of 24 items
7 (including 4 filler items) to be rated on a four-point scale ranging from 1 (I strongly disagree)
8 to 4 (I strongly agree). The BIS scale contains 7 items and assesses the dispositional
9 sensitivity to aversive stimuli and punishment. Cronbach’s α in the preoperative group was
10 0.77, and in the postoperative group 0.76. The BAS scale includes 13 items and assesses the
11 dispositional approach tendency toward appetitive stimuli and potentially rewarding
12 outcomes. Cronbach’s α in the preoperative group was 0.76, and in the postoperative group
13 0.81. The BAS scale consists of the three subscales ‘drive’ (4 items, $\alpha_{pre}=0.62$, $\alpha_{post}=0.78$),
14 ‘fun seeking’ (4 items, $\alpha_{pre}=0.66$, $\alpha_{post}=0.52$) and ‘reward responsiveness’ (5 items, $\alpha_{pre}=0.62$,
15 $\alpha_{post}=0.65$).

16 *Regulative temperament* was measured by means of the 19-item Effortful Control
17 scale of the Adult Temperament Questionnaire-Short Form (EC; $\alpha_{pre}=0.81$, $\alpha_{post}=0.72$)
18 (Wiltink, Vogelsang, & Beutel, 2006). The scale is divided into three subscales: inhibitory
19 control (7 items; $\alpha_{pre}=0.64$, $\alpha_{post}=0.49$), attentional control (5 items; $\alpha_{pre}=0.68$, $\alpha_{post}=0.56$), and
20 activation control (7 items; $\alpha_{pre}=0.63$, $\alpha_{post}=0.63$). Participants reported on the extent to which
21 effortful control generally characterize their interactions with the environment (1 = not at all
22 applicable; 7 = completely applicable).

23 The German version of the 9-item Patient Health Questionnaire-Depression Scale
24 (PHQ-9) (Kroenke, Spitzer, & Williams, 2001) was used to measure depressive symptoms
25 ($\alpha_{pre}=0.82$, $\alpha_{post}=0.75$). Participants were asked to assess how often they have been bothered
26 by the particular symptoms during the past 2 weeks (0 = not at all, 3 = nearly every day).

1

2 **Statistical Analysis**

3 Statistical analyses were conducted using IBM Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS,
4 version 23.0 for Windows; SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL). The following descriptive statistics were
5 used to summarize sociodemographic, PA, and temperament variables: frequencies and
6 percentages for categorical variables, means and standard deviations for continuous variables.
7 The pre- and the postoperative group were compared using χ^2 -tests for categorical variables
8 and using *t*-tests for continuous variables, as appropriate. As effect estimates, Cohen's *d* was
9 used for continuous variables and Cramer's ϕ coefficient for categorical variables. For
10 Cohen's *d*, values <0.5 refer to a small effect, from 0.5 to 0.8 to a medium effect, and values
11 >0.8 represent a large effect (Cohen, 1988). For ϕ , <0.3 suggests a small effect, 0.3-0.5 a
12 medium effect, and >0.5 a large effect (Cohen, 1988). Given the unsatisfactory internal
13 consistency of some of the BAS subscales and some of the EC subscales, only the total scores
14 of these questionnaires were used.

15 The following analyses were performed separately for the pre- and the postoperative
16 group. Multivariate analyses of variance (MANOVA) were conducted to identify differences
17 in mean scores of temperament questionnaires (EC, BIS, BAS) between physically active and
18 inactive patients for each group (i.e., pre- and postoperative). The between-subject factor was
19 the level of PA (1=active, 0=inactive). The dependent variables comprised scores on the three
20 different measures of temperament (EC, BIS, BAS). We further conducted multivariate
21 analyses of covariance (MANCOVA) to adjust for potential confounding variables (such as
22 age, gender, BMI, and depression). Partial η^2 was used as an effect estimate, whereas <0.06
23 refers to a small effect, 0.06 to 0.14 to a medium effect, and >0.14 to a large effect (Cohen,
24 1988).

25 To examine which temperamental variables were associated with the level of PA
26 (1=active vs. 0=inactive), we performed stepwise logistic regression analyses in the pre- and

1 the postoperative group. The first model included BIS, BAS and EC in the first step and the
 2 interaction terms between EC and BIS, and BAS in the second step. In subsequent regression
 3 analyses, age, gender, BMI, and PHQ-9 were entered in the first step in order to control for
 4 these variables. In the second step, BIS, BAS and EC were entered, and in the last step the
 5 interaction terms between EC and respectively BIS and BAS were entered. All continuous
 6 variables were z-standardized prior to performing the regression analyses and gender was
 7 coded as a dummy variable. Model fit was explored using the Hosmer-Lemeshow-test. All
 8 tests were two-sided and based on a significance level of $p < 0.05$.

9

10 **Results**

11 **Physical activity, temperament, and depressive symptoms in the pre- vs. postoperative** 12 **group**

13 Patients in the preoperative group wore the SWA on average 6.7 days ($SD_{pre}=1.3$), and in the
 14 postoperative group 6.8 days ($SD_{post}=1.3$; $t=-0.62$, $df=141$, $p=0.533$). The groups did not differ
 15 in mean wear time per day in hours ($M_{pre}=21.4$, $SD_{pre}=2.4$ vs. $M_{post}=21.6$, $SD_{post}=2.1$; $t=-0.57$,
 16 $df=141$, $p=0.570$). Preoperative patients accumulated on average 7120.8 steps per day
 17 ($SD=3442.9$) and postoperative patients 8420.7 steps per day ($SD=3953.2$; $t=-2.09$, $df=141$,
 18 $p=0.038$, $d=0.35$). Forty-five patients (64.3%) of the preoperative and 39 patients (53.4%) of
 19 the postoperative group were categorized as being physically inactive. This difference was not
 20 statistically significant ($\chi^2_{(1)}=1.74$, $p=0.187$, $\phi=0.11$).

21 No significant between group differences were found with respect to BIS ($M_{pre}=2.9$,
 22 $SD_{pre}=0.4$ vs. $M_{post}=2.9$, $SD_{post}=0.3$; $t=0.79$, $df=141$, $p=0.431$, $d < 0.1$) and BAS reactivity
 23 ($M_{pre}=3.0$, $SD_{pre}=0.4$ vs. $M_{post}=3.1$, $SD_{post}=0.4$; $t=-1.17$, $df=141$, $p=0.244$, $d=0.25$), but patients
 24 in the preoperative group exhibited on average less effortful control ($M_{pre}=4.3$, $SD_{pre}=0.8$ vs.
 25 $M_{post}=4.6$, $SD_{post}=0.7$; $t=-2.34$, $df=141$, $p=0.021$, $d=0.40$) and more depressive symptoms

1 ($M_{pre}=9.2$, $SD_{pre}=5.0$ vs. $M_{post}=4.0$, $SD_{post}=3.3$; $t=7.41$, $df=140$, $p<0.001$, $d=1.23$) compared to
 2 patients of the postoperative group.

3

4 **Comparison of physically active with physically inactive patients in the pre- and**
 5 **postoperative group**

6 Table 1 displays the comparison of physically active with physically inactive patients,
 7 separately by group (pre/postoperative). In both groups, the mean BMI of patients who were
 8 physically active was lower than of those with a sedentary lifestyle. In addition, the results
 9 indicated that in the postoperative group higher levels of PA were related to lower age. No
 10 differences between physically active and inactive patients were found with respect to gender,
 11 depression, and temperament. It is noteworthy that although mean BIS scores did not differ
 12 significantly between active and inactive patients in the postoperative group, Cohen's d
 13 indicated a medium effect.

14 The MANOVA with PA grouping (1=active, 0=inactive) as between-subject factor
 15 and the means of temperament questionnaires (BIS, BAS, EC) as dependent variables did not
 16 suggest significant differences between physically active and inactive patients in the
 17 preoperative [$F(3, 66)=1.70$, $p=0.176$, Wilk's $\lambda=0.93$, partial $\eta^2=0.07$] and postoperative [$F(3,$
 18 $69)=1.59$, $p=0.200$, Wilk's $\lambda=0.93$, partial $\eta^2=0.06$] group. Similarly, no group differences
 19 were found by performing MANCOVAs with age, gender, BMI, and PHQ-9 as control
 20 variables (results not reported).

21 Tables 2 and 3 present the results of the logistic regression analyses. With respect to
 22 the unadjusted model, temperament variables and their interaction were not related to PA
 23 (active=1, inactive=0) pre- as well as postoperatively (Table 2). According to Nagelkerke's
 24 R^2 , the data were better explained by the model adjusted for gender, age, BMI, and
 25 depression. As can be seen in Table 3, a lower BMI was associated with more PA in the pre-
 26 ($p=0.017$) and the postoperative ($p=0.038$) group. In the postoperative group, in addition to

1 lower BMI also lower age ($p=0.008$) and a higher level of BIS reactivity ($p=0.034$)
2 contributed to PA grouping (active=1, inactive=0), with the latter having the highest effect
3 ($OR=2.07$). To explore possible interaction effects between age and reactive temperament,
4 and BMI and reactive temperament in the postoperative group, we conducted a subsequent
5 regression analysis for predicting PA (active=1, inactive=0). Age, BMI, BIS, and BAS were
6 entered in the first step, and the two-way interactions between age and BIS/BAS, and BMI
7 and BIS/BAS in the second step (see Table 4). The result indicate that age, BMI, BIS level,
8 and the interaction of BIS and BMI significantly predicted PA grouping (active=1,
9 inactive=0). As can be seen in Figure 1, low BMI (based on median-split) was associated with
10 more PA at high levels of BIS (based on median-split), but not at low levels of BIS in the
11 postoperative group. BAS levels or the interaction between BAS and age/BMI were not
12 associated with PA grouping.

13

14 (Please insert Table 2 to 4 about here)

15 (Please insert Figure 1 about here)

16

17 **Discussion**

18 The main result of the present study indicates that temperament per se does not contribute to
19 the level of PA in pre- and post-bariatric surgery patients, which is in contrast to our
20 hypotheses. Adjusting analyses for gender, age, BMI, and depression revealed a somewhat
21 different picture indicating a link between PA and BIS, age, and BMI in postoperative
22 patients. Subsequent regressions revealed a significant interaction between BMI and BIS
23 suggesting that low BMI was only associated with more PA in postoperative patients with
24 high BIS compared to low BIS sensitivity. Postoperative patients with low BIS sensitivity
25 were likely to be physically inactive, regardless of their BMI. A possible explanation for the

1 positive association between punishment sensitivity and PA in postoperative patients with low
2 BMI can be assumed in the tendency of patients with anxious temperament to avoid weight
3 regain and to prevent reoccurrence or deterioration of comorbid somatic conditions (such as
4 DM-2, hypertension). Given that temperament is considered to predict the course of
5 cognitions, emotions, and behaviors (Rothbart et al., 2000), anxious temperament might have
6 facilitated better adherence to postoperative lifestyle recommendations in the postoperative
7 group. However, such reasoning remains speculative due to the cross-sectional design that
8 prevents conclusions about causal relationships between temperament and other variables.

9 Not surprisingly, the findings suggest an inverse relationship between BMI and PA in
10 both pre- and postoperative patients which is in accordance with our hypotheses and with
11 previous observations (Elbelt et al., 2010; Fernández-Aranda et al., 2014). Of interest is the
12 finding that - at least in the postoperative group - younger age was related to more PA. We
13 may conclude that younger persons are more likely to change their PA habits and that they
14 engage more often in MVPA following surgery than older age groups. Though, this
15 assumption is also tentative due to the cross-sectional design of our study.

16 Against our expectations, effortful control and BAS levels did not contribute to PA
17 grouping (1=active, 0=inactive). This result is not in line with earlier findings that suggested a
18 positive association between PA and Extraversion (BAS), Conscientiousness (EC) and
19 Openness, and a negative relationship of PA with Neuroticism (BIS) (Allen et al., 2016;
20 Bruner et al., 2013; Rhodes, 2006) or an association between low PA and low Novelty
21 seeking (BAS), and high Harm avoidance (BIS) (Fernández-Aranda et al., 2014).
22 Methodological differences between the present and past studies may have caused the mixed
23 results. Past studies relied on the personality theory of Cloninger (1999) or the Big Five
24 personality model (McCrae & Costa, 2003). However, as mentioned above, links between
25 personality traits of Cloninger or the Big Five model and BIS, BAS, and effortful control have
26 been reported (Carver & White, 1994; Evans & Rothbart, 2007; Smits & Boeck, 2006).

1 Another discrepancy between the present and past studies refers to differences in sample
2 characteristics such as the male/female ratio or age range of the patient samples. For example,
3 the study of Fernández -Aranda et al. (2014) included only female individuals, distributed
4 along the BMI continuum from healthy weight to morbid obesity, who were on average
5 younger than the patients in our study. Also, the heterogeneity of obesity and temperament
6 subtypes in treatment-seeking obese patients may have contributed to discrepant findings
7 (Claes et al., 2013; Müller et al., 2014).

8 To our knowledge, the present investigation is the first study that examined effortful
9 control and BIS/BAS reactivity in relation to PA focusing exclusively on bariatric surgery
10 patients (males and females). We cannot exclude that the levels of BIS/BAS and effortful
11 control in our samples were particularly typical for bariatric surgery patients and different
12 from other obese samples. Hence, the proposed relationship between temperament and PA
13 may have been less pronounced in our patients. However, when comparing the BIS/BAS
14 means of the present samples with those from an earlier population-based study (Müller et al.,
15 2013), it appears that our patients did not score substantially higher or lower on the BIS/BAS
16 scales compared to individuals from the community. Possibly, other variables beyond
17 temperament that were not assessed in the present study may have influenced the level of PA.

18 The postoperative group differed from the preoperative group with regard to the mean
19 number of steps per day, whereas the size of this effect was quite small ($d=0.35$). It seems
20 important that more than half of each group was categorized as being physically inactive, and
21 that the rate of inactive patients did not differ significantly between the two groups. The result
22 referring to the equally high rate of inactive patients in the pre- and postoperative group is in
23 line with the findings of previous longitudinal studies (Berglind et al., 2016; King et al.,
24 2015). Of note, the average lengths of follow-up assessment in the Swedish study (Berglind et
25 al., 2016) and our study were both relatively short (9 and 8 months), which might have
26 contributed to the lack of differences in PA.

1 The major strength of the present investigation is the objective measurement of PA in
2 both groups. As already mentioned above, the findings are limited by the cross-sectional
3 design. Other weak points are that PA recommendations were not specifically controlled or
4 operationalized in this study, and that both groups consisted of mostly female patients.

5 To conclude, the present study indicates that only in postoperative patients higher
6 levels of anxiety in combination with lower BMI are associated with more PA. This finding
7 needs further investigation by using a longitudinal design, and including a higher proportion
8 of male patients. Overall, the result supports the concept that individual differences in reactive
9 temperament may affect PA in postoperative patients. Therapeutic approaches aiming at
10 optimizing weight loss maintenance and achieving sufficient levels of PA following bariatric
11 surgery should consider temperamental aspects in addition to behavioral, physiological, and
12 environmental factors. It may be assumed that tailored PA recommendations relative to
13 temperament subtype could be helpful in improving patients' attitude towards daily PA.

14

15 **Acknowledgement**

16 This work was supported by a grant of the Federal Ministry of Education and Research within
17 the German Obesity Competence Network (01GI1323). We wish to thank our colleague
18 Ekaterini Georgiadou, Ph.D., for her support during the course of the study.

Table 1 Comparison of physically active with physically inactive patients in the pre- and postoperative group

	Preoperative group				Postoperative group			
	Inactive	Active			Inactive	Active		
	<i>n</i> =45	<i>n</i> =25			<i>n</i> =39	<i>n</i> =34		
	<i>n</i> (%)	<i>n</i> (%)	$\chi^2_{(1)}$	ϕ	<i>n</i> (%)	<i>n</i> (%)	$\chi^2_{(1)}$	ϕ
Gender, female	37 (82.2)	18 (72.0)	0.99	0.12	29 (74.4)	28 (82.4)	0.68	0.10
	<i>Mean (SD)</i>	<i>Mean (SD)</i>	<i>t</i> ₍₆₈₎	<i>d</i>	<i>Mean (SD)</i>	<i>Mean (SD)</i>	<i>t</i> ₍₇₁₎	<i>d</i>
Age	40.8 (11.6)	43.0 (12.3)	-0.75	0.18	43.6 (11.3)	37.0 (9.5)	2.65*	0.63
Body Mass Index	47.9 (5.9)	44.7 (5.6)	2.25*	0.56	33.1 (4.3)	30.8 (3.5)	2.41*	0.59
PHQ-9	8.7 (4.9)	10.2 (5.1)	-1.19	0.30	3.9 (3.2)	4.0 (3.5)	-0.10	0.03
BIS	2.9 (0.4)	3.0 (0.4)	-1.50	0.25	2.8 (0.3)	3.0 (0.4)	-1.70	0.57
BAS	3.0 (0.4)	3.1 (0.4)	-1.74	0.25	3.1 (0.4)	3.2 (0.4)	-1.08	0.25
EC	4.4 (0.8)	4.2 (0.8)	1.195	0.25	4.7 (0.7)	4.5 (0.8)	1.15	0.27

Note. PHQ-9 = Patient Health Questionnaire-Depression, BIS = Behavioral Inhibition System, BAS = Behavioral Activation System, EC = Effortful Control. * $p < 0.05$

Table 2 Summary of logistic regression analysis with level of daily physical activity as dependent variable (active=1, inactive=0) and temperament

	Preoperative group (n=70)							Postoperative group (n=73)						
	B	SE	Wald	df	p	Odds Ratio	95% CI	B	SE	Wald	df	p	Odds Ratio	95% CI
BIS	0.45	0.32	1.98	1	0.159	1.57	0.84-2.96	0.42	0.28	2.18	1	0.140	1.52	0.87-2.67
BAS	0.33	0.31	1.19	1	0.275	1.40	0.77-2.55	0.25	0.26	0.95	1	0.331	1.29	0.77-2.14
EC	-0.22	0.28	0.61	1	0.436	0.81	0.47-1.39	-0.24	0.28	0.72	1	0.395	0,79	0.45-1.37
EC x BIS	0.35	0.33	1.11	1	0.291	1.42	0.74-2.74	-0.31	0.30	1.02	1	0.313	0.74	0.40-1.34
EC x BAS	-0.10	0.26	0.16	1	0.692	0.90	0.53-1.51	-0.04	0.29	0.02	1	0.884	0.96	0.54-1.69
Hosmer-Lemeshow-test $\chi^2_{(8)}=7.82, p=0.451$							Hosmer-Lemeshow-test $\chi^2_{(8)}=11.06, p=0.20$							
Nagelkerke's $R^2=0.12$							Nagelkerke's $R^2=0.10$							

Note. PHQ-9 = Patient Health Questionnaire-Depression, BIS = Behavioral Inhibition System, BAS = Behavioral Activation System, EC = Effortful Control.

Table 3 Summary of logistic regression analysis with level of daily physical activity as dependent variable (active=1, inactive=0) and temperament, adjusting for gender, age, body mass index (BMI), and depression

	Preoperative group (<i>n</i> =70)							Postoperative group (<i>n</i> =73)						
	B	SE	Wald	df	p	Odds Ratio	95% CI	B	SE	Wald	df	p	Odds Ratio	95% CI
Gender (female)	1,16	0.74	2.42	1	0.120	3.18	0.74 – 13.69	-0.20	0.68	0.08	1	0.775	0,82	0,21 – 3.14
Age	0.19	0.27	0.45	1	0.501	1.21	0.69 – 2.12	-0,90	0.34	7.13	1	0.008	0.41	0.21 – 0.79
Body Mass Index	-1.46	0.61	5.65	1	0.017	0.23	0.07 – 0.77	-1.65	0.79	4.32	1	0.038	0.19	0.04 – 0.91
PHQ-9	0.03	0.33	0.01	1	0.926	1.03	0.54 – 1.97	-0.33	0.49	0.46	1	0.497	0.72	0.28 – 1.87
BIS	0.48	0.39	1.58	1	0.208	1.62	0.76 – 3.46	0.73	0.34	4.50	1	0.034	2.07	1.06 – 4.06
BAS	0.56	0.37	2.34	1	0.126	1.76	0.85 – 3.61	0.05	0.29	0.03	1	0.860	1.05	0.60 – 1.84
EC	-0.31	0.32	0.95	1	0.329	0.73	0.39 – 1.37	-0.10	0.32	0.10	1	0.745	0.90	0.48 – 1.68
EC x BIS	0.46	0.36	1.63	1	0.201	1.58	0.78 – 3.21	-0.30	0.35	0.75	1	0.387	0.74	0.37 – 1.47
EC x BAS	-0.13	0.29	0.21	1	0.647	0.88	0.50 – 1.54	0.06	0.32	0.03	1	0.858	1.06	0.57 – 1.97
	Hosmer-Lemeshow-test $\chi^2_{(8)}=12.99, p=0.112$							Hosmer-Lemeshow-test $\chi^2_{(8)}=6.34, p=0.609$						
	Nagelkerke's $R^2=0.30$							Nagelkerke's $R^2=0.32$						

Note. PHQ-9 = Patient Health Questionnaire-Depression, BIS = Behavioral Inhibition System, BAS = Behavioral Activation System, EC = Effortful Control.

Table 4 Summary of logistic regression analysis with level of daily physical activity as dependent variable (active=1, inactive=0) and age, BMI, and reactive temperament in the postoperative group

	Postoperative group (n=73)						
	B	SE	Wald	df	p	Odds Ratio	95% CI
Age	-1.19	0.41	8.34	1	0.004	0.30	0.13 – 0.68
BMI	-3.17	1.20	6.94	1	0.008	0.04	0.00 – 0.44
BIS	-2.87	1.42	4.13	1	0.042	0.06	0.00 – 0.90
BAS	1.10	1.08	1.03	1	0.309	3.00	0.36 – 24.92
Age x BIS	-0.24	0.48	0.26	1	0.613	0.78	0.30 – 2.02
Age x BAS	0.20	0.38	0.29	1	0.590	1.23	0.58 – 2.57
BMI x BIS	-4.21	1.62	6.70	1	0.010	0.01	0.00 – 0.36
BMI x BAS	1.33	1.25	1.12	1	0.290	3.77	0.32 – 43.95
Hosmer-Lemeshow-test $\chi^2_{(8)}=6.58, p=0.582$							
Nagelkerke's $R^2=0.47$							

Note. BMI = Body Mass Index, BIS = Behavioral Inhibition System, BAS=Behavioral Activation System

Figure 1 The interaction effect of BIS sensitivity (median split) and BMI (median split) on physical activity (i.e. patients accumulating ≥ 8000 steps per day in percent) among postoperative patients.

Note. The interaction indicates that postoperative patients with low BIS sensitivity are likely to be physically inactive, regardless of their BMI. In contrast, postoperative patients with high BIS sensitivity accumulate more often ≥ 8000 steps/day when they have a low BMI.

REFERENCES

- Allen, M. S., Magee, C. A., Vella, S. A., & Laborde, S. (2016). Bidirectional Associations Between Personality and Physical Activity in Adulthood. *Health Psychol.*
doi:10.1037/hea0000371. [Epub ahead of print]
- Andrade, A. M., Coutinho, S. R., Silva, M. N., Mata, J., Vieira, P. N., Minderico, C. S., . . . Teixeira, P. J. (2010). The effect of physical activity on weight loss is mediated by eating self-regulation. *Patient Educ Couns*, 79(3), 320-326.
doi:10.1016/j.pec.2010.01.006
- Bartsch, M., Langenberg, S., Gruner-Labitzke, K., Schulze, M., Kohler, H., Crosby, R. D., . . . Muller, A. (2016). Physical Activity, Decision-Making Abilities, and Eating Disturbances in Pre- and Postbariatric Surgery Patients. *Obes Surg.*
doi:10.1007/s11695-016-2208-y. [Epub ahead of print]
- Berglind, D., Willmer, M., Tynelius, P., Ghaderi, A., Naslund, E., & Rasmussen, F. (2016). Accelerometer-Measured Versus Self-Reported Physical Activity Levels and Sedentary Behavior in Women Before and 9 Months After Roux-en-Y Gastric Bypass. *Obes Surg*, 26(7), 1463-1470. doi:10.1007/s11695-015-1971-5
- Bond, D. S., & Thomas, J. G. (2015). Measurement and Intervention on Physical Activity and Sedentary Behaviours in Bariatric Surgery Patients: Emphasis on Mobile Technology. *Eur Eat Disord Rev*, 23(6), 470-478. doi:10.1002/erv.2394
- Brunes, A., Augestad, L. B., & Gudmundsdottir, S. L. (2013). Personality, physical activity, and symptoms of anxiety and depression: the HUNT study. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol*, 48(5), 745-756. doi:10.1007/s00127-012-0594-6
- Carver, C. S., & White, T. L. (1994). Behavioral inhibition, behavioral activation, and affective responses to impending reward and punishment: the BIS/BAS scales. *J Pers Soc Psychol*, 67(2), 319-333.

- Claes, L., Vandereycken, W., Vandeputte, A., & Braet, C. (2013). Personality subtypes in female pre-bariatric obese patients: do they differ in eating disorder symptoms, psychological complaints and coping behaviour? *Eur Eat Disord Rev*, 21(1), 72-77. doi:10.1002/erv.2188
- Cloninger, C. R. (1999). *The Temperament and Character Inventory-Revised*. St. Louis, MO: Center for Psychobiology and Personality, Washington University.
- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences* (2nd ed.). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Elbelt, U., Schuetz, T., Hoffmann, I., Pirlich, M., Strasburger, C. J., & Lochs, H. (2010). Differences of energy expenditure and physical activity patterns in subjects with various degrees of obesity. *Clin Nutr*, 29(6), 766-772. doi:10.1016/j.clnu.2010.05.003
- Evans, D. E., & Rothbart, M. K. (2007). Developing a model for adult temperament. *J Res Pers*, 41(4), 868-888.
- Fernández-Aranda, F., Sauchelli, S., Pastor, A., Gonzalez, M. L., de la Torre, R., Granero, R., . . . Casanueva, F. F. (2014). Moderate-vigorous physical activity across body mass index in females: moderating effect of endocannabinoids and temperament. *PLoS One*, 9(8), e104534. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0104534
- Gigantesco, A., Ferrante, G., Baldissera, S., Masocco, M., & group, P. c. (2015). Depressive Symptoms and Behavior-Related Risk Factors, Italian Population-Based Surveillance System, 2013. *Prev Chronic Dis*, 12, E183. doi:10.5888/pcd12.150154
- Gray, J. A. (1987). *The psychology of fear and stress* (Vol. 5). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Hamer, M., Brunner, E. J., Bell, J., Batty, G. D., Shipley, M., Akbaraly, T., . . . Kivimaki, M. (2013). Physical activity patterns over 10 years in relation to body mass index and

- waist circumference: the Whitehall II cohort study. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*, 21(12), E755-761. doi:10.1002/oby.20446
- Heil, D.P., Brage, S., & Rothney, M.P. Modeling physical activity outcomes from wearable monitors. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*, 44(1 Suppl 1), S50-60.
doi:10.1249/MSS.0b013e3182399dcc.
- King, W. C., Chen, J. Y., Bond, D. S., Belle, S. H., Courcoulas, A. P., Patterson, E. J., . . . Wolfe, B. M. (2015). Objective assessment of changes in physical activity and sedentary behavior: Pre- through 3 years post-bariatric surgery. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*, 23(6), 1143-1150. doi:10.1002/oby.21106
- Kroenke, K., Spitzer, R. L., & Williams, J. B. (2001). The PHQ-9: validity of a brief depression severity measure. *J Gen Intern Med*, 16(9), 606-613.
- Langenberg, S., Schulze, M., Bartsch, M., Gruner-Labitzke, K., Pek, C., Kohler, H., . . . Muller, A. (2015). Physical activity is unrelated to cognitive performance in pre-bariatric surgery patients. *J Psychosom Res*, 79(2), 165-170.
doi:10.1016/j.jpsychores.2015.03.008
- Luppino, F. S., de Wit, L. M., Bouvy, P. F., Stijnen, T., Cuijpers, P., Penninx, B. W., & Zitman, F. G. (2010). Overweight, obesity, and depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*, 67(3), 220-229.
doi:10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2010.2
- McCrae, R. R., & Costa, P. T. (2003). *The five-factor theory of personality* (O. P. John, R. W. Robins, & L. A. Pervin Eds. 3 ed.). New York: Guilford.
- Müller, A., Claes, L., Wilderjans, T. F., & de Zwaan, M. (2014). Temperament subtypes in treatment seeking obese individuals: a latent profile analysis. *Eur Eat Disord Rev*, 22(4), 260-266. doi:10.1002/erv.2294

- Müller, A., Smits, D., Claes, L., & de Zwaan, M. (2013). [Factor structure of the German version of the BIS/BAS Scales in a population-based sample]. *Fortschr Neurol Psychiatr*, *81*(2), 75-80. doi:10.1055/s-0032-1330482
- Rhodes, R. E. (2006). The built-in environment: the role of personality and physical activity. *Exerc Sport Sci Rev*, *34*(2), 83-88.
- Rhodes, R. E., & Smith, N. E. (2006). Personality correlates of physical activity: a review and meta-analysis. *Br J Sports Med*, *40*(12), 958-965. doi:10.1136/bjism.2006.028860
- Rothbart, M. K., Ahadi, S. A., & Evans, D. E. (2000). Temperament and personality: origins and outcomes. *J Pers Soc Psychol*, *78*(1), 122-135.
- Smits, D. J. M., & Boeck, P. D. (2006). From BIS/BAS to the Big Five. *European Journal of Personality*, *20*, 255-270. doi:10.1002/per.583
- Strobel, A., Beauducel, A., Debener, S., & Brocke, B. (2001). Eine deutschsprachige Version des BIS/BAS-Fragebogens von Carver und White. *Zeitschrift für Differentielle und Diagnostische Psychologie*, *22*, 216-227. doi:10.1024//0170-1789.22.3.216
- Thompson, D., Karpe, F., Lafontan, M., & Frayn, K. (2012). Physical activity and exercise in the regulation of human adipose tissue physiology. *Physiol Rev*, *92*(1), 157-191. doi:10.1152/physrev.00012.2011
- Tudor-Locke, C., Leonardi, C., Johnson, W. D., Katzmarzyk, P. T., & Church, T. S. (2011). Accelerometer steps/day translation of moderate-to-vigorous activity. *Prev Med*, *53*(1-2), 31-33. doi:10.1016/j.ypmed.2011.01.014
- Wilson, K. E., & Dishman, R. K. (2015). Personality and physical activity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Pers Indiv Differ*, *72*, 203-242. doi:10.1016/j.paid.2014.08.023

Wiltink, J., Vogelsang, U., & Beutel, M. E. (2006). Temperament and personality: the German version of the Adult Temperament Questionnaire (ATQ). *Psychosoc Med*, 3, Doc10.