

Highlights

- 1 • Consumer acceptance of a new food is dependent on sensory properties.
- 2 • High variability of volatile compounds among insect species.
- 3 • Greater content of volatile lipid oxidation compounds after drying treatments.
- 4 • More compounds from Maillard reaction and Strecker pathway after roasting.

1 Abstract

2 *Background:* Sensory properties are essential in introducing a new food since they largely determine
3 consumer acceptance. In previous years, edible insects were the focus of attention of many studies due to
4 their relatively recent incorporation in the Western human diet. Expanding the analysis and understanding
5 of flavour compounds facilitates food product design and compiling the available information can help
6 further advances in this area.

7 *Scope and Approach:* Analytical methods applied to determine volatile compounds in edible insect samples
8 are reviewed, and a comprehensive overview of the volatile compounds identified is provided. A total of
9 406 compounds were found (see ST1), classified into different chemical families: linear hydrocarbons,
10 aromatic and cyclic hydrocarbons, aldehydes, ketones, esters, alcohols, carboxylic acids, pyrazines, other
11 nitrogenous compounds, sulphur compounds, phenols, terpenes and furans. In addition, those compounds
12 that were reported by more than one author are presented in more detailed tables.

13 *Key Findings and Conclusions:* Significant variability of volatile compounds has been observed among
14 species, and a clear influence of processing on the development of the final aroma profile was established.
15 A higher content of lipid oxidation compounds was noted after drying treatments, as well as a higher number
16 of Maillard and Strecker pathway compounds after roasting. Particular techniques such as defatting or
17 fermentation could be applied to remove or reduce unpleasant odours typical for some insects. Given the
18 complexity of the study, this review may be helpful for further research on the characterisation and
19 improvement of edible insect flavour.

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34 **Keywords:** Volatile compounds, edible insects commercialisation, flavour chemistry, chromatography

1
2
3
4 **1 The flavour of edible insects: a comprehensive review on volatile compounds and their analytical**
5
6 **2 assessment**
7
8 **3**

9 **4 Cristina Perez-Santaescolastica^{1*}, Ann De Winne², Jolien Devaere², Ilse Fraeye¹**

10
11 **5 ¹ Research Group of Meat Technology & Science of Protein-rich Foods, KU Leuven Ghent Technology**
12 **6 Campus, Department of Microbial and Molecular Systems (M2S), Leuven Food Science and Nutrition**
13
14 **7 Research Centre (LFoRCe), Gebroeders De Smetstraat 1, Ghent 9000, Belgium**

15
16 **8 ² Centre of Aroma and Flavour Technology, KU Leuven Technology Campus Ghent, Gebroeders De**
17
18 **9 Smetstraat 1, B-9000 Ghent, Belgium**

19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58 **33 * Corresponding author.**

59 **34 E-mail address: cristina.perezsantaescolastica@kuleuven.be**
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Abstract

Background: Sensory properties are essential in introducing a new food since they largely determine consumer acceptance. In previous years, edible insects were the focus of attention of many studies due to their relatively recent incorporation in the Western human diet. Expanding the analysis and understanding of flavour compounds facilitates food product design and compiling the available information can help further advances in this area.

Scope and Approach: Analytical methods applied to determine volatile compounds in edible insect samples are reviewed, and a comprehensive overview of the volatile compounds identified is provided. A total of 406 compounds were found (see ST1), classified into different chemical families: linear hydrocarbons, aromatic and cyclic hydrocarbons, aldehydes, ketones, esters, alcohols, carboxylic acids, pyrazines, other nitrogenous compounds, sulphur compounds, phenols, terpenes and furans. In addition, those compounds that were reported by more than one author are presented in more detailed tables.

Key Findings and Conclusions: Significant variability of volatile compounds has been observed among species, and a clear influence of processing on the development of the final aroma profile was established. A higher content of lipid oxidation compounds was noted after drying treatments, as well as a higher number of Maillard and Strecker pathway compounds after roasting. Particular techniques such as defatting or fermentation could be applied to remove or reduce unpleasant odours typical for some insects. Given the complexity of the study, this review may be helpful for further research on the characterisation and improvement of edible insect flavour.

Keywords: Volatile compounds, edible insects commercialisation, flavour chemistry, chromatography

1. Current perspective on edible insect flavour

Recently, edible insects attracted the interest of many researchers because of their broad application potential in various sectors, including the feed and food industry. The demand for novel protein sources and sustainability considerations have driven a deep interest in their application in feed and human food. They have been studied as animal feed because of their reduced environmental impact compared to conventional protein sources such as soybean meal and fishmeal (Sánchez-Muros *et al.*, 2014). As most existing literature indicates, edible insects are considered a sustainable and economical food source. They require little space, feed and water, generate low greenhouse gas emissions and are easy to process (Zielińska *et al.*, 2018). However, limitations include variability in nutritional value, the difficulty of large-scale production and the production of defence secretions (Verkerk *et al.*, 2007).

Although more than 2000 types of insects have been consumed by humans for centuries worldwide (Ramos-Elorduy *et al.*, 1997; Garofalo *et al.*, 2019; Baiano, 2020), only a few have been recognised as edible in Western areas due to the limited knowledge available concerning edible insects. In addition, in many countries, especially in the West, consumers have a negative attitude regarding insect consumption. Nevertheless, we do not realise that every person indirectly consumes around 500 g of insects per year on average, as insect-derived ingredients and additives can be found in many food products (Zielińska *et al.*, 2018). For instance, carmine (E120), a red colourant commonly used in food, is derived from the cochineal (*Dactylopius coccus*) and the cheese called casu marzu contains 8 mm long larvae (Verkerk *et al.*, 2007; Zielińska *et al.*, 2018). The resistance of many consumers towards eating insects currently compromises the commercialisation of whole edible insects. Therefore, it is essential to use alternative forms of presentation to enable their progressive inclusion into the regular diet. Common strategies that could reduce consumer rejection include using edible insect flours or incorporating isolated edible insect components in food products, thereby rendering the insect invisible (Zielińska *et al.*, 2018). Edible insects are a good source of protein, essential fatty acids, antioxidants, minerals and vitamins. In addition, edible insect protein isolates also provide techno-functional properties such as emulsifying, foaming and gelling capacity. As such, they can contribute to the production of dairy drinks, dressings, desserts, cheese or tofu (Tzompa Sosa & Fogliano, 2017). In addition, bioactive peptides from edible insects provide biological properties such as antimicrobial, antioxidant, antihypertensive and immunomodulatory properties, which are valuable in health promotion and food preservation (Tzompa Sosa & Fogliano, 2017).

Even though the flavour of edible insects can be described as tasty, buttery, sweet, herbal or crunchy, it is still not sufficient to motivate consumers who are averse to insect consumption. Appearance and odour must be considered as well, since it is widely known that these attributes are determining factors for consumers in deciding whether or not to try a product. Flavour varies among species and can be affected by the development stage, feed, and preparation method. The latter is a fundamental factor in the

1
2
3
4 102 development of the final flavour due to the chemical changes that occur during heating (Żołnierczyk &
5 Szumny, 2021). In this respect, it is clear that processing and cooking methods substantially affect insect
6 103 flavour. It has been observed that scalded edible insects are almost tasteless, while roasting results in
7 104 organoleptic changes and enhanced palatability (Jeon *et al.*, 2016; Kouřimská & Adámková, 2016).
8 105 Fermentation is an innovative technology with great application potential in the edible insect industry. For
9 106 example, sauces from *Galleria mellonera* and *Locusta migratoria* have been obtained through fermentation,
10 107 with higher consumer ratings regarding sweetness, acidity, bitterness and umami compared to traditional
11 108 fish sauces (Mouritsen *et al.*, 2017). These processes were shown to improve not only the taste but also the
12 109 rheological and textural properties, the functionality of bioactive compounds and the shelf life of the
13 110 products obtained (Hasan *et al.*, 2014).
14 111

15 112 Processing can modify the final product flavour, either positively or negatively affecting consumers'
16 113 attitude. Therefore, considering that the sensory properties are essential when commercialising new food
17 114 products, understanding the complex taste and flavour of edible insects and the impact of processing is vital
18 115 to improve consumer acceptance of insect-derived foods. In this regard, FAO (Food and Agriculture
19 116 Organization) decided to promote their consumption as an alternative sustainable food for human
20 117 consumption. More and more restaurants are offering edible insects as a gourmet dish (van Huis *et al.*,
21 118 2013; Verkerk *et al.*, 2007), and more research is being focused on it. However, many studies carried out
22 119 so far on edible insects have mainly focused on their nutritional composition.

23 120 In contrast, there is a lack of knowledge on the organoleptic characteristics, which are essential when
24 121 introducing a novel food into the diet of reluctant consumers. Table 1 summarises studies that have been
25 122 conducted concerning the organoleptic properties of edible insects and edible insect-based products using
26 123 surveys or tasting sessions. On a more fundamental level, it is essential to understand the chemical nature
27 124 of the aroma compounds involved. An overview of studies in this domain is provided in Table 2. Analysing
28 125 and understanding the compounds involved in the flavour of edible insects should be the main focus of
29 126 attention. This could result in a better food product design, improving consumers' acceptance. Therefore,
30 127 this review aims to compile all currently available information on volatile compounds in edible insects and
31 128 provide the necessary data for further studies. To this end, different techniques used to isolate edible insect
32 129 volatile compounds are collected in this review, together with procedures for their separation, identification
33 130 and quantification. In addition, a comprehensive study of volatile compounds identified in edible insects is
34 131 presented.

35 132 **2. Methods for analysis of volatile compounds in edible insects**

36 133 The increasing interest in insects as an alternative protein source requires the development of reliable
37 134 methods to assess their quality. However, volatile compound analysis is complicated due to the wide range
38 135 of factors affecting their analysis, including the large number of compounds involved, their different
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

chemical characteristics and volatility, as well as potential effects of the matrix on their release. Existing analytical techniques exhibit a varying extractive power and selectivity for each compound, resulting in varying volatile profiles (Sghaier *et al.*, 2016). A variety of techniques have been reported for the extraction of volatiles from edible insects, including solid-phase microextraction (SPME), simultaneous distillation extraction (SDE) and supercritical fluid system extraction (SFE). In addition, extraction conditions applied vary considerably, as seen in Table 3. However, in all studies gas chromatography is applied. Although not described in the field of edible insects, the use of other methods such as high-pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) could be an alternative, especially for aldehyde analysis, for which good results have been obtained in other food products (Antequera *et al.*, 1992; Reindl & Stan, 1982).

19 **Sampling**

The most common procedure for collecting edible insect samples is randomly collecting them from wild harvests, specialised edible insect rearing companies or edible insect shops. Following collection, edible insects are usually starved for 24 h, 48 h, or even 72 h (Kim *et al.*, 2021), before being killed by freezing at -20 °C or, less commonly, -50 °C (Haber *et al.*, 2019a) or -80 °C (Cheseto *et al.*, 2020). In the study conducted by Ssepuuya *et al.* (2020), insects were placed in self-sealing bags before freezing.

Analyses of volatile compounds in edible insects have been carried out on whole insect samples (Khatun *et al.*, 2021; Kröncke *et al.*, 2019; Mahattanatawee *et al.*, 2018; Shen *et al.*, 2006; Yeo *et al.*, 2013; Żołnierczyk & Szumny, 2021), on samples without wings and legs (Ssepuuya *et al.*, 2020, 2021), on samples without the head, wings and legs (Kiatbenjakul *et al.*, 2015) or on particular anatomical parts, such as glands (Kiatbenjakul *et al.*, 2014). On the other hand, edible insect powders (Mishyna *et al.*, 2020; Nissen *et al.*, 2020), oil extracts (Cheseto *et al.*, 2020; Tzompa-Sosa *et al.*, 2019) and protein isolates and hydrolysates (Grossmann *et al.*, 2021; Lee *et al.*, 2021) have been studied. In the latter studies, the impact of their addition as an ingredient in particular food products on the overall volatile profile was examined.

Insects are solid and heterogeneous materials. Hence volatile compounds could differ from one insect to another and can be affected by the feed and the season in which they are sampled (Haber *et al.*, 2019a). In addition, processing operations and conditions applied (blanching, drying, etc.) greatly affect the volatile profile (Khatun *et al.*, 2021; Ssepuuya *et al.*, 2021).

49 **Isolation**

Several methods for extracting volatiles have been developed so far, but only a few have been used for studying edible insects and derived products, which are discussed below.

Solid-phase microextraction (SPME) before gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) analysis has been the most widely used method so far to analyse volatile compounds in edible insects and edible insect-based products. Complete quantification of volatiles is difficult with this technique, but it enables

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

169 comparison of relative amounts of compounds among samples when using the same analytical procedure
170 (Hospital *et al.*, 2012).

171 SPME is a clean and safe sorption technique because no solvents are needed. In addition, it is cheap, simple
172 and fast since it can be easily automated, thereby increasing repeatability (Bojko *et al.*, 2012). Therefore, it
173 is one of the most widely used techniques for analysing volatiles in food. It was developed by Arthur &
174 Pawliszyn (1990). It consists of a sample pre-concentration step that allows the volatiles present in the
175 headspace to be sorbed by the fibre for later liberation. Extraction is performed in an oven using samples
176 placed in glass vials, ensuring a homogeneous temperature of the sample and the headspace. Analyte
177 sorption is performed by insertion and exposure of the SPME fibre to the headspace, followed by thermal
178 desorption of the analytes at the injector port of the gas chromatograph. Among the existing fibres,
179 divinylbenzene-carboxen-poly(dimethylsiloxane) (DVB/CAR/PDMS) coated fibres are most abundantly
180 used due to their extensive coverage.

181 A recently developed technique called HS-SPME Arrow consists of an outer tube in which an arrow-headed
182 steel stick is inserted, coated with a sorbent material similar to the classical SPME. The sorbent phase and
183 the fibres are larger in diameter and length, resulting in increased sensitivity and robustness. To date, it has
184 only been used in a few studies on food, among which only Lee *et al.* (2021) have applied it to isolated
185 edible insect proteins.

186 Traditionally, steam distillation, often followed by organic solvent extraction, has been used to isolate
187 volatile substances from food. Using a special fast, simple and efficient distillation unit designed by Likens
188 & Nickerson (1964), the condensation of a steam distillate and an immiscible extraction solvent can be
189 carried out simultaneously, a technique known as Simultaneous Distillation Extraction (SDE). This
190 apparatus provided a substantial concentration of the volatiles and reduced the use of organic solvents.
191 Schultz *et al.* (1977) modified the design offered by Likens and Nickerson by improving the distillation and
192 extraction conditions while reducing the processing time, allowing to shorten the exposure of the sensitive
193 substances to heat. Yeo *et al.* (2013) used this modified Likens-Nickerson apparatus to extract volatile
194 compounds from *Protaetia brevitarsis* larvae prior to GC-MS analysis.

195 An alternative clean (solvent-free), fast and safe method is extraction with supercritical fluids (SFE). This
196 technique generally consists of three stages (Bcwadt & Hawthorne, 1995). The first stage involves the
197 isolation of the analytes from the matrix and their migration into the supercritical fluid. This step mainly
198 determines the analyte recovery from heterogeneous matrices. The second stage consists of the elution of
199 the analyte from the extraction cell and depends on the fluid flow rate, the sample size and the solubility of
200 the analyte. Finally, the third step, collecting the analyte from the SFE capture system, is the most specific
201 phase and largely depends on the restriction and capture systems used. The main advantage offered by this
202 method is the ability to vary the solubilisation power by adjusting the pressure and temperature, allowing

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

203 to apply it on a wide variety of analytes with different polarity characteristics and molecular sizes. The most
204 commonly used supercritical fluid is CO₂, as its low critical temperature allows the extraction of thermally
205 unstable substances. In addition, it provides an oxygen-free environment which reduces potential oxidation
206 of the analytes (King, 1990). In edible insect analysis, this method has only been used by Shen *et al.* (2006),
207 who extracted aromatic compounds from edible Chinese black ants through the use of supercritical CO₂ at
208 a constant flow rate of 8 kg/h, using an extraction vessel temperature of 50 °C at 30 MPa, and a liberation
209 vessel temperature of 45 °C at a pressure of 8 MPa.

210 Other isolation methods have been applied by only a limited number of authors. For example, Li *et al.*
211 (2009), macerated the samples in hexane for organic compounds extraction before chromatographic
212 analysis. On the other hand, Cheseto *et al.* (2020) used dichloromethane Super-Q traps to trap the volatiles
213 from the samples under vacuum conditions.

214 **Gas chromatography**

215 Gas chromatography is the most commonly used technique for analysing pre-extracted volatile compounds.
216 Using this technique, compounds are separated based on a difference in affinity to a polymer coating of a
217 capillary column through which they are passed. Therefore, the choice of the column, more specifically its
218 stationary phase (from low to high polarity), influences the resolution of the peaks obtained. An extensive
219 range of columns exists, as seen in Table 3. Some authors used apolar columns with a stationary phase of
220 5% phenylmethyl polysiloxane. Non-polar stationary phases exhibit a longer shelf life compared to polar
221 phases. Furthermore, low-bleed columns (ms) usually have higher temperature limits and, being more inert,
222 offer the possibility to analyse a broader range of analytes. Instead, others used highly polar stationary
223 phases such as ZB-WAX, composed of polyethylene glycol.

224 On the other hand, in addition to the stationary phase, also the column dimensions affect the quality of the
225 results. Thus, diameter influences efficiency, retention, pressure, carrier gas flow rate and capacity. The
226 length influences efficiency, retention (analysis time) and carrier gas pressure. Film thickness affects
227 retention, resolution, bleed, inertia and capacity. The most frequently used lengths include 30 and 60 m;
228 only Nissen *et al.* (2020) used a 50 m column. The diameter chosen in most studies was 0.25 mm, although
229 Grossmann *et al.* (2021), Nissen *et al.* (2020), Tzompa Sosa & Fogliano (2017), and Mahattanatawee *et al.*
230 (2018) used a larger diameter (0.32 mm). In the case of film thickness, a broader range was applied, with
231 thicknesses ranging from 0.2 to 1 µm, 0.25 µm being the most widely used.

232 Although the most commonly employed carrier gases for gas chromatography, in general, are nitrogen,
233 hydrogen and helium, helium was used in all studies collected in this review. In addition, splitless injection
234 mode was most commonly used by authors who study edible insects, but split injection mode has also been
235 used, with a splitting ratio of 1:20 by Patrignani *et al.* (2020) and Kim *et al.* (2021), 1:10 by Yeo *et al.*,
236 (2013) and 1:1 by Shen *et al.*, (2006).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

237 **Identification and quantification**

238 There are several ways to identify compounds. The use of at least two identification methods is required,
239 including the use of reference compounds (Cheseto *et al.*, 2020; Haber *et al.*, 2019a; Khatun *et al.*, 2021;
240 Kröncke *et al.*, 2019; Lee *et al.*, 2021; Li *et al.*, 2009; Mahattanatawee *et al.*, 2018; Yeo *et al.*, 2013),
241 literature comparison (Haber *et al.*, 2019a; Lee *et al.*, 2021; Li *et al.*, 2009; Ssepuyya *et al.*, 2020, 2021;
242 Żołnierczyk & Szumny, 2021), and/or using library databases such as Wiley (Haber *et al.*, 2019a; Indriani
243 *et al.*, 2021; Lee *et al.*, 2021; Li *et al.*, 2009; Nissen *et al.*, 2020; Shen *et al.*, 2006), NBS 98 (National
244 Bureau of Standards) (Shen *et al.*, 2006), Fiehn (Kim *et al.*, 2021) and NIST (National Institute of Standards
245 and Technology) (Alagappan *et al.*, 2021; Cheseto *et al.*, 2020; Grossmann *et al.*, 2021; Haber *et al.*, 2019a;
246 Khatun *et al.*, 2021; Kiatbenjakul *et al.*, 2014, 2015; Kröncke *et al.*, 2019; Lee *et al.*, 2021; Mahattanatawee
247 *et al.*, 2018; Mishyna *et al.*, 2020; Nissen *et al.*, 2020; Olarte Mantilla *et al.*, 2020; Sánchez *et al.*, 2021;
248 Ssepuyya *et al.*, 2020, 2021; Tzompa-Sosa *et al.*, 2019; Yeo *et al.*, 2013; Żołnierczyk & Szumny, 2021).
249 Quantification of volatile compounds, on the other hand, is mainly done by assessing the individual relative
250 percentages through the area normalisation method, which is a semi-quantitative approach (Haber *et al.*,
251 2019a; Kröncke *et al.*, 2019; Li *et al.*, 2009; Mishyna *et al.*, 2020; Nissen *et al.*, 2020; Shen *et al.*, 2006;
252 Yeo *et al.*, 2013). Few authors, e.g. Lee *et al.* (2021), performed quantification by comparing the peak area
253 of the compounds to the peak area of internal standards.

254 **3. A description of the volatile compounds found in edible insects**

255 Volatile compounds arise from numerous complex reactions, including carbohydrate fermentation and
256 proteolytic and lipolytic processes. In addition, both (free) fatty acids, amino acids and peptides serve as
257 substrates for further reactions such as oxidation, Strecker and Maillard processes, which are greatly
258 affected by processing, especially by thermal treatments. As a result, various volatile compounds with
259 different olfactory thresholds are generated, providing different aromatic characteristics to the final food
260 product. Besides that, spices and other additives used during processing constitute an additional source of
261 volatiles.

262 The effect of different processing techniques on the volatile profile of edible insects and their impact when
263 added as an ingredient to other processed foods have been studied abundantly. Several authors reported
264 many volatile compounds in edible insects and edible insect-based products. These compounds include
265 linear hydrocarbons, branched hydrocarbons, aromatic and cyclic hydrocarbons, aldehydes, ketones, esters
266 and ethers, alcohols, carboxylic acids, pyrazines, other nitrogenous compounds, sulphur compounds,
267 phenols, terpenes, furans, lactones and chloro compounds. Nevertheless, only a limited number of these
268 volatile compounds substantially contribute to the overall aroma.

269 In this review, we only describe the compounds reported in edible insects or products derived exclusively
270 from edible insects. In contrast, studies describing volatiles from foods to which insects were added are

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

271 excluded, since these volatiles may originate from the food matrix rather than from the added insects, hence
272 the natural origin of these volatile compounds cannot be assured. According to that, 406 volatile compounds
273 have been reported in different edible insects listed in the Supplementary Table (ST.1). The table also
274 includes information on the sensory attributes associated with some of the volatile compounds. However,
275 some volatiles have not been associated with an aroma description, so their influence on the final aroma is
276 not yet known. In addition, the contribution of compounds to the aroma depends on their concentration and
277 detection threshold. As can be seen, many volatile compounds are found in many edible insect species.
278 Still, many of these compounds have only been observed by one author. In this review, only those
279 compounds that have been reported in more than one publication are shown in the Tables described below.
280 A total of 36 aldehydes have been observed in edible insects (ST.1), of which 18 were reported by multiple
281 authors (Table 4). Linear aldehydes may result from the oxidative degradation of unsaturated fatty acids,
282 mainly oleic acid, linoleic acid and arachidonic acid, which are abundant fatty acids in foods (Whitfield &
283 Mottram, 1992). Almost half of the aldehydes detected in edible insects were linear aldehydes, of which
284 hexanal, octanal and nonanal were the most commonly reported (Alagappan *et al.*, 2021; Grossmann *et al.*,
285 2021; Haber *et al.*, 2019a; Khatun *et al.*, 2021; Kim *et al.*, 2021; Kröncke *et al.*, 2019; Lee *et al.*, 2021;
286 Mahattanatawee *et al.*, 2018; Mishyna *et al.*, 2020; Ssepuuya *et al.*, 2020). On the other hand, branched
287 aldehydes originate mainly from Maillard reactions and Strecker degradation (Whitfield & Mottram, 1992).
288 The most commonly detected branched aldehydes were 2-methylbutanal, 3-methylbutanal and
289 benzaldehyde (Alagappan *et al.*, 2021; Grossmann *et al.*, 2021; Haber *et al.*, 2019a; Khatun *et al.*, 2021;
290 Kim *et al.*, 2021; Kröncke *et al.*, 2019; Lee *et al.*, 2021; Mishyna *et al.*, 2020; Żołnierczyk & Szumny,
291 2021). Differences in the aldehyde profile and content in edible insect samples have been observed between
292 different drying methods: sun drying, freeze-drying, microwave drying and oven drying (Khatun *et al.*,
293 2021; Kröncke *et al.*, 2019; Li *et al.*, 2009; Mishyna *et al.*, 2020). Higher hexanal and 2-methyl propanal
294 contents were detected in freeze-dried samples indicating that freeze-drying may increase sensitivity to
295 lipid oxidation, whereas oven-drying may have a protective effect due to the inactivation of lipoxygenase
296 enzymes (Khatun *et al.*, 2021; Mishyna *et al.*, 2020). Nevertheless, compared to raw materials, all drying
297 methods resulted in a higher content of aldehydes formed through Strecker degradation, such as 2-
298 methylbutanal and 3-methylbutanal (Kröncke *et al.*, 2019), providing a wide variety of aromas such as
299 buttery, toasty, fatty, floral, sweet or roasted. Concerning unsaturated aldehydes, the compounds (E)-2-
300 nonenal, (Z)-2-nonenal and (E)-2-decenal-4,5-epoxy have been found in samples of *Tenebrio molitor* and
301 *Lethocerus indicus* (Grossmann *et al.*, 2021; Kiatbenjakul *et al.*, 2015; Mahattanatawee *et al.*, 2018),
302 suggesting that these edible insects may exhibit a metallic flavour due to their aromatic potency, particularly
303 of (E)- and (Z)-2-nonenal (Kiatbenjakul *et al.*, 2015).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

304 The number of ketones observed in edible insects reaches 42 (ST.1), of which 14 were reported by multiple
305 authors (Table 5). Only a few 2-methylketones and acetoin have been reported by three or more authors
306 (Grossmann *et al.*, 2021; Haber *et al.*, 2019a; Khatun *et al.*, 2021; Kiatbenjakul *et al.*, 2015; Kim *et al.*,
307 2021; Kröncke *et al.*, 2019; Lee *et al.*, 2021; Mahattanatawee *et al.*, 2018; Mishyna *et al.*, 2020; Ssepuuya
308 *et al.*, 2020; Tzompa-Sosa *et al.*, 2019; Żolnierczyk & Szumny, 2021). Thermal treatments such as roasting
309 applied after boiling resulted in increased concentrations of 2-methyl ketones (Ssepuuya *et al.*, 2020). The
310 2-methyl ketones are produced by β -oxidation of lipids and β -keto decarboxylation of acids (Shahidi & Oh,
311 2020). Thus, defatting the samples significantly reduces their content and could therefore reduce unpleasant
312 odours (Lee *et al.*, 2021). Despite the above, it has been noted that 2-butanone was formed upon a yeast
313 fermentation process with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and was associated with a pleasant fruity flavour.
314 Therefore, yeast fermentation has been suggested as a process that could improve the overall flavour of
315 some edible insect species (Kim *et al.*, 2021). On the other hand, β -iodone, which has been identified in
316 samples of *L. indicus*, *Acheta domesticus* and *T. molitor*, and whose origin can be attributed to thermal
317 degradation of carotenoids (Kawakami *et al.*, 1991), is a compound with significant aromatic impact,
318 providing floral notes such as violet or raspberry.

319 A total of 43 alcohol compounds were found (ST.1), of which ten were reported by multiple authors (Table
320 5). Among the most commonly detected alcohols, 1-hexanol, 2-ethyl-1-hexanol, and 1-octen-3-ol have been
321 reported by three or more authors (Alagappan *et al.*, 2021; Grossmann *et al.*, 2021; Khatun *et al.*, 2021;
322 Kiatbenjakul *et al.*, 2014, 2015; Kim *et al.*, 2021; Lee *et al.*, 2021; Mahattanatawee *et al.*, 2018; Mishyna
323 *et al.*, 2020; Tzompa-Sosa *et al.*, 2019) (Table 5). 1-Octen-3-ol was described to significantly affect the
324 aroma by contributing to a characteristic mushroom odour. Lee *et al.* (2021) reported that the concentration
325 of this odour-active volatile is reduced by defatting the samples. This technique could improve the final
326 aroma by preventing the appearance of other off-flavours. 1-octen-3-ol, together with 2-methyl-1-propanol
327 and 3-methyl-1-butanol, have been described as fermentation by-products, which in the case of insects
328 could be related to microbial activity of the gut microflora (Tzompa-Sosa *et al.*, 2019). In line with this,
329 Kim *et al.* (2021) observed a significant increase in the number of alcohols in samples of *Allomyrina*
330 *dichotoma* when fermentation processes with *S. cerevisiae* were applied.

331 A total of 30 carboxylic acids have been reported (ST.1), of which 12 were reported by multiple authors
332 (Table 6). However, only four have been found in at least four studies: 2-methylbutanoic acid, 3-
333 methylbutanoic acid, butanoic acid and hexanoic acid (Alagappan *et al.*, 2021; Grossmann *et al.*, 2021;
334 Khatun *et al.*, 2021; Kiatbenjakul *et al.*, 2014, 2015; Kröncke *et al.*, 2019; Mahattanatawee *et al.*, 2018;
335 Mishyna *et al.*, 2020; Sánchez *et al.*, 2021; Tzompa-Sosa *et al.*, 2019). Butanoic acid and other acids such
336 as 2-methylpropanoic acid may, similar to alcohols, originate from fermentation processes by bacteria, and
337 other compounds, such as pivalic acid (2,2-dimethylpropanoic acid) and propanoic acid are derived from

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

338 microbial metabolism (Řezanka *et al.*, 2012). In addition, Li *et al.* (2009) also observed a remarkable
339 increased amount of acids in black ant samples after sun-drying, suggesting that these compounds may
340 result from hydrolytic rancidity caused by high temperatures and enzyme activity. The aromatic
341 contribution of this group is mainly undesirable, as their odours are described as rotten, faecal or pungent.
342 Among them, valeric acid (or pentanoic acid) is primarily responsible for the unpleasant odour
343 characteristic of *Blaptica dubia*, which according to Tzompa-Sosa *et al.* (2019) is even perceptible during
344 the extraction of its oil. On the other hand, 60 esters have been found in edible insects (ST.1), of which ten
345 were reported by multiple authors (Table 6) (Haber *et al.*, 2019a; Kiatbenjakul *et al.*, 2014, 2015; Kim *et al.*,
346 2021; Mahattanatawee *et al.*, 2018; Mishyna *et al.*, 2020). Ethyl acetate, (E)-2-hexenyl acetate, (E)-2-
347 heptenyl acetate and (E)-2-hexenyl butanoate were found in three or more studies. These esters may either
348 be formed through esterification of carboxylic acids and alcohols, or may be naturally present (Khatun *et al.*
349 *et al.*, 2021). Authors have suggested that esters from short-chain acids provide fruity odours, whereas esters
350 from long-chain acids result in fatty odours. Furthermore, ethyl esters generally have a lower detection
351 threshold than methyl esters (Dominguez *et al.*, 2019). Based on the above, the presence of compounds
352 such as ethyl oleate and ethyl hexadecanoate in *P. brevitarsis* powder (Yeo *et al.*, 2013), or methyl
353 dodecanoate, methyl tetradecanoate and methyl hexadecanoate in dried *Gryllus assimilis* and *A. domesticus*
354 samples (Khatun *et al.*, 2021) could result in a remarkable fatty flavour. On the other hand, it has also
355 been found that (E)-2-hexenyl acetate and (E)-2-hexenyl butanoate are the most intense aroma compounds
356 present in the glands of the edible male giant water bug, *L. indicus* (Kiatbenjakul *et al.*, 2014). In addition,
357 it has been observed that oven drying and, above all, blanching reduce their contents, probably due to the
358 use of high temperatures since these volatiles are thermo-sensitive. (Khatun *et al.*, 2021; Yeo *et al.*, 2013).
359 A total of 80 hydrocarbons have been detected (ST.1), of which 14 were reported by multiple authors (Table
360 7). Even though hydrocarbons constitute the most numerous family of volatiles detected in edible insects,
361 most of these compounds have a high odour detection threshold. Therefore, their contribution to the overall
362 aroma of foods is considered to be limited (Carrapiso *et al.*, 2010). Among the 36 linear and 31 branched
363 hydrocarbons listed (ST.1), only two linear hydrocarbons have been reported by more than three authors,
364 *i.e.* undecane and tridecane (Alagappan *et al.*, 2021; Haber *et al.*, 2019a; Kim *et al.*, 2021; Li *et al.*, 2009;
365 Mishyna *et al.*, 2020; Sánchez *et al.*, 2021). A total of 13 cyclic and aromatic hydrocarbons have been
366 reported (ST.1), among which only styrene and p-xylene have been reported by two authors (Cheseto *et al.*,
367 2020; Lee *et al.*, 2021), while only one author has reported the remaining hydrocarbons. The most probable
368 origin of hydrocarbons relates to lipid oxidation and Maillard reactions (Shahidi & Oh, 2020). Apart from
369 that, it has been observed that hydrocarbons present in insect glands could be part of defensive secretions
370 released in alarm situations, or could function as solvents and controlled-release substrates for other more
371 volatile compounds such as aldehydes (Gunawardena & Herath, 1991). In this regard, a high content of n-

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

tridecane has been observed in the glands, which functions as a deterrent in synergy with other aromatic substances (Marques *et al.*, 2007). Other defence compounds have been found in large amounts in larvae and pupae bees, including decane, undecane and dodecane (Haber *et al.*, 2019a). In addition, Singer (1998) indicated that most of the hydrocarbons found in edible insects are cuticular compounds involved in species recognition and play an important role in insect societies, for example, in finding a mate. Hydrocarbon composition may be affected by the life stage, especially in insects such as bees, as the kind and amount of pollen ingested varies according to the developmental stage, affecting the flavour. This was confirmed by Haber, Mishyna, Itzhak Martinez, *et al.* (2019), who found a significantly increased concentration of ocimene in larvae compared to pupae. This compound is characterised by a pleasant tropical flavour (ST1). Among the 21 pyrazines listed (ST.1), only seven were reported by several authors, with 2,5-dimethyl pyrazine being the most frequently detected (Table 7) (Grossmann *et al.*, 2021; Khatun *et al.*, 2021; Kiatbenjakul *et al.*, 2015; Kim *et al.*, 2021; Kröncke *et al.*, 2019; Lee *et al.*, 2021; Mahattanatawee *et al.*, 2018; Mishyna *et al.*, 2020; Sánchez *et al.*, 2021; Żołnierczyk & Szumny, 2021). 2,5-Dimethylpyrazine is responsible for burning smell, and its content increases with increasing roasting temperature (Żołnierczyk & Szumny, 2021). Pyrazines are mainly formed by Maillard reactions, especially during heating processes, and are considered to provide a cooked flavour to food. Maillard reactions have been described as inhibitors of lipid oxidation (Osada & Shibamoto, 2006), therefore, higher contents of pyrazines in oven-dried or blanched samples are correlated with lower amounts of lipid oxidation compounds compared to freeze-dried samples (Khatun *et al.*, 2021). As a result, it has been suggested that Maillard reactions could reduce undesirable odours typical for some edible insects, such as the fishy aroma of, among others, crickets (Capponi, 2016). Additionally, pyrazines, in general, are used as flavour and aroma enhancers, and their presence in high amounts in heat-treated edible insect samples could represent a new source for their natural production (Żołnierczyk & Szumny, 2021). In contrast, there are also nitrogenous compounds whose contribution to the overall flavour is unpleasant. That is the case for indole, a compound which, at low concentration, provides floral notes, but as the concentration increases, the flavour turns into faecal notes (Bensafi *et al.*, 2002). Among the 24 other nitrogen compounds that have been detected in different types of edible insects (ST.1), only two have been reported by several authors (Table 7). Indole, a compound that has been identified in two studies (Grossmann *et al.*, 2021; Kim *et al.*, 2021), is responsible for the unpleasant flavour of the beetle called *A. dichotoma*, although its content and the associated odour can be reduced through fermentation (Kim *et al.*, 2021).

On the other hand, 18 sulphur compounds have been identified (ST.1). As can be observed in Table 7, three of them were reported by several authors, of which 2-acetyl-2-thiazole and methional are the compounds identified by most authors within this group of volatiles (Grossmann *et al.*, 2021; Kiatbenjakul *et al.*, 2015; Lee *et al.*, 2021; Mahattanatawee *et al.*, 2018). Male giant water bug scent glands reportedly contain a

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

substantial amount of 3-sulfanylhhexyl acetate (Kiatbenjakul *et al.*, 2014), while the presence of this compound in pheromones has not been confirmed in other insects. Compounds such as dimethyl sulphide and dimethyl trisulfide may indicate microbial spoilage since their origin most likely relates to the action of microbial enzymes on amino acids such as methionine or cysteine. These compounds increased in roasted samples after 8 h of storage, even though roasting is a thermal treatment that inactivates vegetative microorganisms. It is possible that remaining spores germinate once conditions are favourable, resulting in such an increase (Ssepuuya *et al.*, 2021).

Other compounds identified in edible insect samples include seven ethers, 17 phenols, 12 terpenes, 8 furans, 5 lactones and 3 chloro compounds (ST.1). Still, only two phenols, three terpenes and three furans were reported by two or more authors (Table 7). The presence of the phenols such as ρ -cresol (Grossmann *et al.*, 2021; Kiatbenjakul *et al.*, 2014, 2015; Kim *et al.*, 2021) is noteworthy since this compound may be a possible carcinogen. It is a natural metabolic product that is highly toxic, even at low concentrations. It may act as a promoter of stomach tumours and can have adverse effects on the cardiovascular system, central nervous system, lungs, liver, and kidney (ATSDR, 1990). In contrast, limonene, a terpene that may be derived from the ingestion of plants by insects (Ahmad & Beg, 2013), presents beneficial health properties such as hypocholesterolemic, anticarcinogenic and antioxidant properties. In insects, this compound can act against bugs predation (Li *et al.*, 2009; Mishyna *et al.*, 2020; Palazzo & Setzer, 2009). Among the furans, 2-pentylfuran has been reported by up to 5 authors (Khatun *et al.*, 2021; Kim *et al.*, 2021; Kröncke *et al.*, 2019; Mishyna *et al.*, 2020; Ssepuuya *et al.*, 2020). This compound was identified as a by-product of the oxidation of linoleic acid and other n-6 fatty acids and contributes to pleasant aromas such as sweet, green and fruity (Ssepuuya *et al.*, 2020).

4. Concluding remarks

This paper presents the first comprehensive review of the volatile profile of edible insects. After analysing the existing data, it can be stated that family and species play an essential role in the number, type and amount of aroma compounds and, therefore, in the individual insects' sensory characteristics.

Raw insects usually have a relatively limited flavour but they contain numerous flavour and aroma precursors. Accordingly, processing has a major effect on the flavour, as shown by the higher content of lipid oxidation compounds after drying treatments and the higher number of compounds formed through Maillard reaction and Strecker degradation after roasting compared to the raw insects. In addition, undesirable flavours can be removed by processes such as defatting or fermentation. At the same time, desirable flavours can be increased through processing and could contribute to the overall aroma by masking the undesirable ones.

On the other hand, edible insects can be used not only as food but also as an ingredient in producing other food products. For example, addition of edible insect powder in bakery products such as biscuits and

1
2
3
4 440 brownies has been studied extensively. To a much lesser extent, insects have been used in protein-rich food
5
6 441 products such as hamburgers or sausages, which could be considered an interesting future line of research.
7
8 442 It can be concluded that more species-specific research is needed to understand the edible insect
9
10 443 characteristics and the effect of processing, in order to improve their sensory characteristics. These insights
11
12 444 could provide information on their potential use in more food products. As such, edible insects could
13
14 445 become more attractive to consumers.

15
16 447 **Funding:** This work was supported by The Research Foundation of Flanders (grant number 1222921N).
17
18 448

19 449 **References**

- 20
21 450 Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). (1990) *Toxicological profile for cresols*. US
22 451 Public Health Service, US Department of Health & Human Services, Atlanta, GA.
23 452 Aguilera, Y., Pastrana, I., Rebollo-Hernanz, M., Benitez, V., Álvarez-Rivera, G., Viejo, J. L., & Martín-
24 453 Cabrejas, M. A. (2021). Investigating edible insects as a sustainable food source: Nutritional value and
25 454 techno-functional and physiological properties. *Food and Function*, 12(14), 6309–6322.
26 455 <https://doi.org/10.1039/d0fo03291c>
27 456 Ahmad, S., & Beg, Z. H. (2013). Hypolipidemic and antioxidant activities of thymoquinone and limonene
28 457 in atherogenic suspension fed rats. *Food Chemistry*, 138(2–3), 1116–1124.
29 458 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.11.109>
30 459 Akullo, Nakimbugwe, Obaa, Okwee-Acai, & Agea, J. G. (2018). Development and quality evaluation of
31 460 crackers enriched with edible insects. In *International Food Research Journal* (Vol. 25, Issue 4).
32 461 Alagappan, S., Chaliha, M., Sultanbawa, Y., Fuller, S., Hoffman, L. C., Netzel, G., Weber, N., Rychlik,
33 462 M., Cozzolino, D., Smyth, H. E., & Olarte Mantilla, S. M. (2021). Nutritional analysis, volatile
34 463 composition, antimicrobial and antioxidant properties of Australian green ants (*Oecophylla*
35 464 *smaragdina*). *Future Foods*, 3, 100007. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fufo.2020.100007>
36 465 Althwab, S. A., Alhomid, R. M., Ali, R. F. M., Mohammed El-Anany, A., & Mousa, H. M. (2021). Effect
37 466 of migratory locust (*Locusta migratoria*) powder incorporation on nutritional and sensorial properties of
38 467 wheat flour bread. *British Food Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/BFJ-11-2020-1052>
39 468 Antequera, T., López-Bote, C. J., Córdoba, J. J., García, C., Asensio, M. A., Ventanas, J., García-Regueiro,
40 469 J. A., & Díaz, I. (1992). Lipid oxidative changes in the processing of Iberian pig hams. In *Food*
41 470 *Chemistry* (Vol. 45).
42 471 Arthur, C. L., & Pawliszyn, Janusz. (1990). Solid phase microextraction with thermal desorption using
43 472 fused silica optical fibers. *Analytical Chemistry*, 62(19), 2145–2148.
44 473 <https://doi.org/10.1021/ac00218a019>
45 474 Ayensu, J., Lutterodt, H., Annan, R. A., Edusei, A., & Loh, S. P. (2019). Nutritional composition and
46 475 acceptability of biscuits fortified with palm weevil larvae (*Rhynchophorus phoenicis* Fabricius) and
47 476 orange-fleshed sweet potato among pregnant women. *Food Science and Nutrition*, 7(5), 1807–1815.
48 477 <https://doi.org/10.1002/fsn3.1024>
49 478 Baiano, A. (2020). Edible insects: An overview on nutritional characteristics, safety, farming, production
50 479 technologies, regulatory framework, and socio-economic and ethical implications. In *Trends in Food*
51 480 *Science and Technology* (Vol. 100, pp. 35–50). Elsevier Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2020.03.040>
52 481 Barsics, F., Caparros Megido, R., Brostaux, Y., Barsics, C., Blecker, C., Haubruge, E., & Francis, F. (2017).
53 482 Could new information influence attitudes to foods supplemented with edible insects? *British Food*
54 483 *Journal*, 119(9), 2027–2039. <https://doi.org/10.1108/BFJ-11-2016-0541>
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Barton, A., Richardson, C. D., & McSweeney, M. B. (2020). Consumer attitudes toward entomophagy before and after evaluating cricket (*Acheta domesticus*)-based protein powders. *Journal of Food Science*, 85(3), 781–788. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.15043>

Bcwadt, S., & Hawthorne, S. B. (1995). Supercritical fluid extraction in environmental analysis. In *Journal of Chromatography A* (Vol. 703).

Bensafi, M., Rouby, C., Farget, V., Vigouroux, M., & Holley, A. (2002). Asymmetry of pleasant vs. unpleasant odor processing during affective judgment in humans. *Neuroscience Letters*, 328, 309–313. www.elsevier.com/locate/neulet

Bernard, T., & Womeni, H. M. (2017). Entomophagy: Insects as Food. In *Insect Physiology and Ecology*. InTech. <https://doi.org/10.5772/67384>

Biró, B., Fodor, R., Szedljak, I., Pásztor-Huszár, K., & Gere, A. (2019). Buckwheat-pasta enriched with silkworm powder: Technological analysis and sensory evaluation. *LWT*, 116. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2019.108542>

Biró, B., Sipos, M. A., Kovács, A., Badak-Kerti, K., Pásztor-Huszár, K., & Gere, A. (2020). Cricket-Enriched Oat Biscuit: Technological Analysis and Sensory Evaluation. *Foods*, 9(11), 1561. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods9111561>

Bojko, B., Cudjoe, E., Gómez-Ríos, G. A., Gorynski, K., Jiang, R., Reyes-Garcés, N., Risticevic, S., Silva, É. A. S., Togunde, O., Vuckovic, D., & Pawliszyn, J. (2012). SPME - Quo vadis? *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 750, 132–151. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2012.06.052>

Brough, E. J. (1983). The Antimicrobial Activity of the Mandibular Gland Secretion of a Formicine Ant, *Calomyrmex* sp. (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). In *Journal Of Invertebrate Pathology* (Vol. 42).

Çabuk, B. (2021). Influence of grasshopper (*Locusta Migratoria*) and mealworm (*Tenebrio Molitor*) powders on the quality characteristics of protein rich muffins: nutritional, physicochemical, textural and sensory aspects. *Journal of Food Measurement and Characterization*, 15(4), 3862–3872. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11694-021-00967-x>

Çabuk, B., & Yılmaz, B. (2020). Fortification of traditional egg pasta (erişte) with edible insects: nutritional quality, cooking properties and sensory characteristics evaluation. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 57(7), 2750–2757. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-020-04315-7>

Cai, L., Koziel, J. A., & O’Neal, M. E. (2007). Determination of characteristic odorants from *Harmonia axyridis* beetles using in vivo solid-phase microextraction and multidimensional gas chromatography-mass spectrometry-olfactometry. *Journal of Chromatography A*, 1147(1), 66–78. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2007.02.044>

Caparros Megido, R., Sablon, L., Geuens, M., Brostaux, Y., Alabi, T., Blecker, C., Drugmand, D., Haubruge, É., & Francis, F. (2014). Edible insects acceptance by belgian consumers: Promising attitude for entomophagy development. *Journal of Sensory Studies*, 29(1), 14–20. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joss.12077>

Capponi, L. (2016). Consumer acceptance of edible insects. *A Value Proposition Development for the Case of an Entomology-Based Venture*. Wageningen University, The Netherlands.

Carrapiso, A. I., Martín, L., Jurado, Á., & García, C. (2010). Characterisation of the most odour-active compounds of bone tainted dry-cured Iberian ham. *Meat Science*, 85(1), 54–58. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2009.12.003>

Cheseto, X., Baleba, S. B. S., Tanga, C. M., Kelemu, S., & Torto, B. (2020). Chemistry and sensory characterization of a bakery product prepared with oils from African edible insects. *Foods*, 9(6). <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods9060800>

Choi, Y. S., Kim, T. K., Choi, H. D., Park, J. D., Sung, J. M., Jeon, K. H., Paik, H. D., & Kim, Y. B. (2017). Optimization of replacing pork meat with yellow worm (*Tenebrio molitor* L.) for frankfurters. *Korean Journal for Food Science of Animal Resources*, 37(5), 617–625. <https://doi.org/10.5851/kosfa.2017.37.5.617>

Chow, C. Y., Riantiningtyas, R. R., Sørensen, H., & Bom Frøst, M. (2021). School children cooking and eating insects as part of a teaching program – Effects of cooking, insect type, tasting order and food

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

neophobia on hedonic response. *Food Quality and Preference*, 87. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2020.104027>

Cicatiello, C., Vitali, A., & Lacetera, N. (2020). How does it taste? Appreciation of insect-based snacks and its determinants. *International Journal of Gastronomy and Food Science*, 21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijgfs.2020.100211>

Domínguez, R., Purriños, L., Pérez-Santaescolástica, C., Pateiro, M., Barba, F. J., Tomasevic, I., ... & Lorenzo, J. M. (2019). Characterization of volatile compounds of dry-cured meat products using HS-SPME-GC/MS technique. *Food Analytical Methods*, 12(6), 1263-1284. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12161-019-01491-x>

Duda, A., Adamczak, J., Chelminska, P., Juszkievicz, J., & Kowalczewski, P. (2019). Quality and nutritional/textural properties of durum wheat pasta enriched with cricket powder. *Foods*, 8(2). <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods8020046>

Elvin, C. M., Carr, A. G., Huson, M. G., Maxwell, J. M., Pearson, R. D., Vuocolo, T., Liyou, N. E., Wong, D. C. C., Merritt, D. J., & Dixon, N. E. (2005). Synthesis and properties of crosslinked recombinant proresilin. *Nature*, 437(7061), 999–1002. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04085>

Evans, J., Müller, A., Jensen, A. B., Dahle, B., Flore, R., Eilenberg, J., & Frøst, M. B. (2016). A descriptive sensory analysis of honeybee drone brood from Denmark and Norway. *Journal of Insects as Food and Feed*, 2(4), 277–283. <https://doi.org/10.3920/JIFF2016.0014>

Farina, M. F. (2017). How method of killing crickets impact the sensory qualities and physiochemical properties when prepared in a broth. *International Journal of Gastronomy and Food Science*, 8, 19–23. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijgfs.2017.02.002>

García-Segovia, P., Igual, M., & Martínez-Monzó, J. (2020). Physicochemical Properties and Consumer Acceptance of Bread Enriched with Alternative Proteins. *Foods*, 9(7). <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods9070933>

Garofalo, C., Milanović, V., Cardinali, F., Aquilanti, L., Clementi, F., & Osimani, A. (2019). Current knowledge on the microbiota of edible insects intended for human consumption: A state-of-the-art review. In *Food Research International* (Vol. 125). Elsevier Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2019.108527>

Grossmann, K. K., Merz, M., Appel, D., de Araujo, M. M., & Fischer, L. (2021). New insights into the flavoring potential of cricket (*Acheta domesticus*) and mealworm (*Tenebrio molitor*) protein hydrolysates and their Maillard products. *Food Chemistry*, 364. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2021.130336>

Gunawardena, N. E., & Herath, H. M. W. K. B. (1991). Significance of medium chain n-alkanes as accompanying compounds in hemipteran defensive secretions: an investigation based on the defensive secretion of *Coridius janus*. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, 17(12), 2449–2458.

Gurdian, C. E., Torrico, D. D., Li, B., Tuuri, G., & Prinyawiwatkul, W. (2021). Effect of informed conditions on sensory expectations and actual perceptions: A case of chocolate brownies containing edible-cricket protein. *Foods*, 10(7). <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods10071480>

Haber, M., Mishyna, M., Itzhak Martinez, J. J., & Benjamin, O. (2019a). Edible larvae and pupae of honey bee (*Apis mellifera*): Odor and nutritional characterization as a function of diet. *Food Chemistry*, 292, 197–203. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2019.04.041>

Haber, M., Mishyna, M., Martinez, J. J. I., & Benjamin, O. (2019b). The influence of grasshopper (*Schistocerca gregaria*) powder enrichment on bread nutritional and sensorial properties. *LWT*, 115. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2019.108395>

Halloran, A., Flore, R., & Mercier, C. (2015). Notes from the “Insects in a gastronomic context” workshop in Bangkok, Thailand. *Journal of Insects as Food and Feed*, 1(3), 241–243. <https://doi.org/10.3920/JIFF2015.0070>

Hasan, M. N., Sultan, M. Z., & Mar-E-Um, M. (2014). Significance of Fermented Food in Nutrition and Food Science. *Journal of Scientific Research*, 6(2), 373–386. <https://doi.org/10.3329/jsr.v6i2.16530>

Hospital, X. F., Hierro, E., & Fernández, M. (2012). Survival of *Listeria innocua* in dry fermented sausages and changes in the typical microbiota and volatile profile as affected by the concentration of nitrate and

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

nitrite. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 153(3), 395–401. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2011.11.032>

Indriani, S., bin Ab Karim, M. S., Nalinanon, S., & Karnjanapratum, S. (2020). Quality characteristics of protein-enriched brown rice flour and cake affected by Bombay locust (*Patanga succincta* L.) powder fortification. *LWT*, 119. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2019.108876>

Indriani, S., Nalinanon, S., & Karnjanapratum, S. (2021). Impact of hexane defatting on characteristics of *Patanga succincta* L. powder and its application on nutritional improvement of biscuit stick. *Journal of Insects as Food and Feed*, 7(2). <https://doi.org/10.3920/JIFF2020.0047>

Jeon, Y. H., Son, Y. J., Kim, S. H., Yun, E. Y., Kang, H. J., & Hwang, I. K. (2016). Physicochemical properties and oxidative stabilities of mealworm (*Tenebrio molitor*) oils under different roasting conditions. *Food Science and Biotechnology*, 25(1), 105–110. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10068-016-0015-9>

Kawakami, Michiko; Kobayashi, Akio (1991). *Volatile constituents of green mate and roasted mate. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 39(7), 1275–1279. doi:10.1021/jf00007a016

Khatun, H., Claes, J., Smets, R., de Winne, A., Akhtaruzzaman, M., & van der Borght, M. (2021). Characterization of freeze-dried, oven-dried and blanched house crickets (*Acheta domestica*) and Jamaican field crickets (*Gryllus assimilis*) by means of their physicochemical properties and volatile compounds. *European Food Research and Technology*, 247(5), 1291–1305. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00217-021-03709-x>

Kiatbenjakul, P., Intarapichet, K. O., & Cadwallader, K. R. (2014). Identification of potent sulfur-containing odorants in scent glands of edible male giant water bug, *Lethocerus indicus* (Lep. and Serv.). *Flavour and Fragrance Journal*, 29(2), 107–113. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ffj.3185>

Kiatbenjakul, P., Intarapichet, K.-O., & Cadwallader, K. R. (2015). Characterization of potent odorants in male giant water bug (*Lethocerus indicus* Lep. and Serv.), an important edible insect of Southeast Asia. *Food Chemistry*, 168, 639–647. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2014.07.108>

Kim, J., Lee, H. E., Kim, Y., Yang, J., Lee, S. J., & Jung, Y. H. (2021). Development of a post-processing method to reduce the unique off-flavor of *Allomyrina dichotoma*: Yeast fermentation. *LWT*, 150. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2021.111940>

King, J. W. (1990). Analytical Supercritical Fluid Extraction. In M. L. Lee & K. E. Mafkides (Eds.), *Analytical Supercritical Fluid Chromatography and Extraction* (pp. 313–362). Chromatography Conferences, Inc.

Kouřimská, L., & Adámková, A. (2016). Nutritional and sensory quality of edible insects. In *NFS Journal* (Vol. 4, pp. 22–26). Elsevier GmbH. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nfs.2016.07.001>

Kröncke, N., Grebenteuch, S., Keil, C., Demtröder, S., Kroh, L., Thünemann, A. F., Benning, R., & Haase, H. (2019). Effect of different drying methods on nutrient quality of the yellow mealworm (*Tenebrio molitor* L.). *Insects*, 10(4). <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects10040084>

Lee, J. H., Cha, J. Y., Kim, T. K., Choi, Y. S., & Jang, H. W. (2021). Effects of a defatting process on the thermal stabilities and volatile compound profiles of proteins isolated from *Protaetia brevitarsis* larvae. *LWT*, 151. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2021.112095>

Li, D., Sihamala, O., Bhulaidok, S., & Shen, L. (2009). Changes in the organic compounds following sun drying of edible black ant (*Polyrhachis vicina* Roger). *Acta Alimentaria*, 38(4), 493–501. <https://doi.org/10.1556/AAlim.38.2009.4.9>

Likens, S. T., & Nickerson, G. B. (1964). Detection of Certain Hop Oil Constituents in Brewing Products. *Proceedings. Annual Meeting - American Society of Brewing Chemists*, 22(1), 5–13. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00960845.1964.12006730>

Lucchese-Cheung, T., Kluwe de Aguiar, L. A., Spers, E. E., & de Lima, L. M. (2021). The Brazilians' Sensorial Perceptions for Novel Food – Cookies with Insect Protein. *Journal of Insects as Food and Feed*, 7(3), 287–299. <https://doi.org/10.3920/JIFF2020.0080>

Mahattanatawee, K., Luanphaisarnont, T., & Rouseff, R. (2018). Comparison of Aroma Character Impact Volatiles of Thummong Leaves (*Litsea petiolata* Hook. f.), Mangdana Water Beetle (*Lethocerus*

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

indicus), and a Commercial Product as Flavoring Agents in Thai Traditional Cooking. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 66(10), 2480–2484. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.7b01499>

Marqués, F. A., Wendler, E. P., Helena N Sales Maia, B. L., Ventura, M. U., & Cintra Arruda-Gatti, I. (2007). Identification of Defensive Compounds in Metathoracic Glands of Adults of the Stink Bug *Dichelops melacanthus* (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae). In *J. Braz. Chem. Soc* (Vol. 18, Issue 6).

Mishyna, M., Chen, J., & Benjamin, O. (2020). Sensory attributes of edible insects and insect-based foods – Future outlooks for enhancing consumer appeal. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 95, 141–148. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2019.11.016>

Mishyna, M., Haber, M., Benjamin, O., Martinez, J. J. I., & Chen, J. (2020). Drying methods differentially alter volatile profiles of edible locusts and silkworms. *Journal of Insects as Food and Feed*, 6(4), 405–415. <https://doi.org/10.3920/JIFF2019.0046>

Mouritsen, O. G., Duelund, L., Calleja, G., & Frøst, M. B. (2017). Flavour of fermented fish, insect, game, and pea sauces: Garum revisited. *International Journal of Gastronomy and Food Science*, 9, 16–28. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijgfs.2017.05.002>

Nissen, L., Samaei, S. P., Babini, E., & Gianotti, A. (2020). Gluten free sourdough bread enriched with cricket flour for protein fortification: Antioxidant improvement and Volatilome characterization. *Food Chemistry*, 333. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.127410>

Olarte Mantilla, S. M., Alagappan, S., Chaliha, M., Sultanbawa, Y., Smyth, H., & Cozzolino, D. (2020). A Practical Approach on the Combination of GC-MS and Chemometric Tools to Study Australian Edible Green Ants. *Food Analytical Methods*, 13, 1475–1481. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12161-020-01768-6/Published>

Osada, Y., & Shibamoto, T. (2006). Antioxidative activity of volatile extracts from Maillard model systems. *Food Chemistry*, 98(3), 522–528. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2005.05.084>

Osimani, A., Milanović, V., Cardinali, F., Roncolini, A., Garofalo, C., Clementi, F., Pasquini, M., Mozzon, M., Foligni, R., Raffaelli, N., Zamporlini, F., & Aquilanti, L. (2018). Bread enriched with cricket powder (*Acheta domesticus*): A technological, microbiological and nutritional evaluation. *Innovative Food Science and Emerging Technologies*, 48, 150–163. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifset.2018.06.007>

Palazzo, M. C., & Setzer, W. N. (2009). Monoterpene Hydrocarbons May Serve as Antipredation Defensive Compounds in *Boisea trivittata*, the Boxelder Bug. *Natural Product Communications*, 4(4), 457–459. www.insectsofalberta.com.

Pambo, K. O., Okello, J. J., Mbeche, R. M., Kinyuru, J. N., & Alemu, M. H. (2018). The role of product information on consumer sensory evaluation, expectations, experiences and emotions of cricket-flour-containing buns. *Food Research International*, 106, 532–541. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2018.01.011>

Patrignani, F., Parrotta, L., del Duca, S., Vannini, L., Camprini, L., Dalla Rosa, M., Schlüter, O., & Lanciotti, R. (2020). Potential of *Yarrowia lipolytica* and *Debaryomyces hansenii* strains to produce high quality food ingredients based on cricket powder. *LWT*, 119. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2019.108866>

Ramos-Elorduy, J., Manuel, J., Moreno, P., Prado, E. E., Alvarado Perez, M., Otero, J. L., & Ladron De Guevara, O. (1997). *Nutritional Value of Edible Insects from the State of Oaxaca, Mexico*. In *Journal Of Food Composition And Analysis* (Vol. 10).

Reindl, B., & Stan, H. J. (1982). Determination of volatile aldehydes in meat as 2, 4-dinitrophenylhydrazones using reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 30(5), 849–854.

Řezanka, T., Kolouchová, I., Čejková, A., & Sigler, K. (2012). Biosynthesis and metabolic pathways of pivalic acid. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 95(6), 1371–1376. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-012-4267-x>

Ribeiro, J. C., Lima, R. C., Maia, M. R. G., Almeida, A. A., Fonseca, A. J. M., Cabrita, A. R. J., & Cunha, L. M. (2019). Impact of defatting freeze-dried edible crickets (*Acheta domesticus* and *Gryllobes sigillatus*) on the nutritive value, overall liking and sensory profile of cereal bars. *LWT*, 113. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2019.108335>

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Roncolini, A., Milanović, V., Aquilanti, L., Cardinali, F., Garofalo, C., Sabbatini, R., Clementi, F., Belleggia, L., Pasquini, M., Mozzon, M., Foligni, R., Federica Trombetta, M., Haouet, M. N., Serena Altissimi, M., di Bella, S., Piersanti, A., Griffoni, F., Reale, A., Niro, S., & Osimani, A. (2020). Lesser mealworm (*Alphitobius diaperinus*) powder as a novel baking ingredient for manufacturing high-protein, mineral-dense snacks. *Food Research International*, 131. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2020.109031>

Sánchez, M., Gómez, C., Avendaño, C., Harmsen, I., Ortiz, D., Ceballos, R., Villamizar-Sarmiento, M. G., Oyarzun-Ampuero, F., Wacyk, J., & Valenzuela, C. (2021). House fly (*Musca domestica*) larvae meal as an ingredient with high nutritional value: Microencapsulation and improvement of organoleptic characteristics. *Food Research International*, 145. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2021.110423>

Sánchez-Muros, M. J., Barroso, F. G., & Manzano-Agugliaro, F. (2014). Insect meal as renewable source of food for animal feeding: a review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 65, 16–27. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2013.11.068>

Schouteten, J. J., de Steur, H., de Pelsmaeker, S., Lagast, S., Juvinal, J. G., de Bourdeaudhuij, I., Verbeke, W., & Gellynck, X. (2016). Emotional and sensory profiling of insect-, plant- and meat-based burgers under blind, expected and informed conditions. *Food Quality and Preference*, 52, 27–31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2016.03.011>

Schultz, T. H., Flath, R. A., Mon, T. R., Egging, S. B., & Teranishi, R. (1977). Isolation of Volatile Components from a Model System. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 25(3), 446–449.

Sghaier, L., Vial, J., Sassi, P., Thiebaut, D., Watiez, M., Breton, S., Rutledge, D. N., & Cordella, C. B. Y. (2016). Analysis of target volatile compounds related to fishy off-flavor in heated rapeseed oil: A comparative study of different headspace techniques. *European Journal of Lipid Science and Technology*, 118(6), 906–918. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejlt.201500088>

Shahidi, F., & Oh, W. Y. (2020). Lipid-derived flavor and off-flavor of traditional and functional foods: an overview. *Journal of Food Bioactives*, 10. <https://doi.org/10.31665/jfb.2020.10224>

Shen, L., Li, D., Feng, F., Ren, Y., Shen, A., Li, L., Ren, F., & Sci, S. J. (2006). Nutritional composition of *Polyrhachis vicina* Roger (Edible Chinese black ant). *Songklanakarinn Journal of Science and Technology*, 28(Suppl 1), 107–114.

Singer, T. L. (1998). Roles of Hydrocarbons in the Recognition Systems of Insects. *American Zoologist*, 38, 394–405. <https://academic.oup.com/icb/article/38/2/394/214114>

Sipponen, M. H., Mäkinen, O. E., Rommi, K., Heiniö, R. L., Holopainen-Mantila, U., Hokkanen, S., Hakala, T. K., & Nordlund, E. (2018). Biochemical and sensory characteristics of the cricket and mealworm fractions from supercritical carbon dioxide extraction and air classification. *European Food Research and Technology*, 244(1), 19–29. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00217-017-2931-1>

Ssepunya, G., Nakimbugwe, D., de Winne, A., Smets, R., Claes, J., & van der Borght, M. (2020). Effect of heat processing on the nutrient composition, colour, and volatile odour compounds of the long-horned grasshopper *Ruspolia differens* Serville. *Food Research International*, 129. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2019.108831>

Ssepunya, G., Nakimbugwe, D., van Campenhout, L., de Winne, A., Claes, J., & van der Borght, M. (2021). Towards establishing the spoilage mechanisms of the long-horned grasshopper *Ruspolia differens* Serville. *European Food Research and Technology*, 247(12), 2915–2926. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00217-021-03824-9>

Tan, H. S. G., Tibboel, C. J., & Stieger, M. (2017a). Why do unusual novel foods like insects lack sensory appeal? Investigating the underlying sensory perceptions. *Food Quality and Preference*, 60, 48–58. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2017.03.012>

Tan, H. S. G., Verbaan, Y. T., & Stieger, M. (2017b). How will better products improve the sensory-liking and willingness to buy insect-based foods? *Food Research International*, 92, 95–105. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2016.12.021>

Tao, J., Davidov-Pardo, G., Burns-Whitmore, B., Cullen, E. M., & Li, Y. O. (2017). Effects of edible insect ingredients on the physicochemical and sensory properties of extruded rice products. *Journal of Insects as Food and Feed*, 3(4), 263–278. <https://doi.org/10.3920/JIFF2017.0030>

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Tuccillo, F., Marino, M. G., & Torri, L. (2020). Italian consumers' attitudes towards entomophagy: Influence of human factors and properties of insects and insect-based food. *Food Research International*, 137. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2020.109619>

Tzompa Sosa, D. A., & Fogliano, V. (2017). Potential of Insect-Derived Ingredients for Food Applications. In *Insect Physiology and Ecology*. InTech, 215-231. <https://doi.org/10.5772/67318>

Tzompa-Sosa, D. A., Yi, L., van Valenberg, H. J. F., & Lakemond, C. M. M. (2019). Four insect oils as food ingredient: Physical and chemical characterisation of insect oils obtained by an aqueous oil extraction. *Journal of Insects as Food and Feed*, 5(4), 279–292. <https://doi.org/10.3920/JIFF2018.0020>

van Huis, A., van Itterbeeck, J., Klunder, H., Mertens, E., Halloran, A., Muir, G., & Vantomme, P. (2013). *Edible insects: Future prospects for food and feed security*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

Veal, D. A., Trimble, J. E., Beattie, A. J., Trimble A N, J. E., & Beattie, D. A. J. (1992). Antimicrobial properties of secretions from the metapleural glands of *Mymecia gulosa* (the Australian bull ant). In *Journal of Applied Bacteriology* (Vol. 72).

Verkerk, M. C., Tramper, J., van Trijp, J. C. M., & Martens, D. E. (2007). Insect cells for human food. *Biotechnology Advances*, 25(2), 198–202. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BIOTECHADV.2006.11.004>

Wendin, K., Olsson, V., & Langton, M. (2019). Mealworms as food ingredient-sensory investigation of a model system. *Foods*, 8(8). <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods8080319>

Whitfield, F. B., & Mottram, D. S. (1992). Volatiles from interactions of maillard reactions and lipids. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 31(1–2), 1–58. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10408399209527560>

Yeo, H., Youn, K., Kim, M., Yun, E. Y., Hwang, J. S., Jeong, W. S., & Jun, M. (2013). Fatty acid composition and volatile constituents of *Protaetia brevitarsis* larvae. *Preventive Nutrition and Food Science*, 18(2), 150–156. <https://doi.org/10.3746/pnf.2013.18.2.150>

Zielińska, E., Karaś, M., Jakubczyk, A., Zieliński, D., & Baraniak, B. (2018). *Edible Insects as Source of Proteins* (pp. 1–53). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-54528-8_67-1

Zielińska, E., Pankiewicz, U., & Sujka, M. (2021). Nutritional, physiochemical, and biological value of muffins enriched with edible insects flour. *Antioxidants*, 10(7). <https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox10071122>

Żołnierczyk, A. K., & Szumny, A. (2021). Sensory and chemical characteristic of two insect species: *Tenebrio molitor* and *Zophobas morio* larvae affected by roasting processes. *Molecules*, 26(9). <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules26092697>

Table 1. Summary of studies carried out to improve the organoleptic knowledge about **edible** insects.

Goal	Product	Edible insect used*	Ref	
Consumer acceptance	Muffins	<i>Grylloides sigillatus</i> and <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> and <i>Locusta migratoria</i>	(Zielińska <i>et al.</i> , 2021) (Çabuk, 2021)	
	Crackers	<i>Macrotermes bellicosus</i> , <i>Syntermes soldiers</i> and <i>Brachytrupes</i> spp. <i>Acheta domesticus</i>	(Akullo <i>et al.</i> , 2018) (Tuccillo <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	
	Bread	<i>Tenebrio molitor</i> <i>Acheta domesticus</i> <i>Alphitobius diaperinus</i> <i>Schistocerca gregaria</i> <i>Locusta migratoria</i> <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> and <i>Alphitobius diaperinus</i> <i>Acheta domesticus</i>	(Barsics <i>et al.</i> , 2017) (Osimani <i>et al.</i> , 2018) (Roncolini <i>et al.</i> , 2020) (Haber <i>et al.</i> , 2019b) (Althwab <i>et al.</i> , 2021) (García-Segovia <i>et al.</i> , 2020) (Nissen <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	
	Oatmeal balls	Grasshopper (<i>n.e.</i>) and <i>Tenebrio molitor</i>	(Chow <i>et al.</i> , 2021)	
	Cupcakes	<i>Phyllophaga rugosa</i> and <i>Nudaurelia melanops</i>	(Aguilera <i>et al.</i> , 2021)	
	Cake	<i>Patanga succincta</i> L.	(Indriani <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	
	Cookie	<i>Tenebrio molitor</i> <i>Acheta domesticus</i> <i>Rhynchophorus phoenicis</i> Fabricius	(Lucchese-Cheung <i>et al.</i> , 2021) (Biró <i>et al.</i> , 2020) (Ayensu <i>et al.</i> , 2019)	
	Brownies	<i>Acheta domesticus</i> and <i>Grylloides sigillatus</i>	(Gurdian <i>et al.</i> , 2021)	
	Bars	<i>Acheta domesticus</i> <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and <i>Grylloides sigillatus</i>	(Cicatiello <i>et al.</i> , 2020) (Ribeiro <i>et al.</i> , 2019)	
	Tortilla chips	<i>Acheta domesticus</i>	(Cicatiello <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	
	Extruded rice	<i>Grylloides sigillatus</i> <i>Locusta migratoria</i>	(Tao <i>et al.</i> , 2017)	
	Focaccia bread	<i>Acheta domesticus</i>	(Tuccillo <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	
	Burgers	<i>Tenebrio molitor</i>	(Schouteten <i>et al.</i> , 2016; Tan <i>et al.</i> , 2017a)	
	Meatballs	<i>Tenebrio molitor</i>	(Tan <i>et al.</i> , 2017b)	
	Dairy drink	<i>Tenebrio molitor</i>	(Tan <i>et al.</i> , 2017b)	
	Pasta	<i>Bombyx mori</i>	(Biró <i>et al.</i> , 2019)	
	Durum wheat pasta	Cricket (<i>n.e.</i>)	(Duda <i>et al.</i> , 2019)	
	Cooked edible insect	<i>Tenebrio molitor</i> , <i>Acheta domesticus</i> , Cricket, cockchafer, bamboo worm and bug grasshopper (<i>n.e.</i>)	(Caparros Megido <i>et al.</i> , 2014) (Halloran <i>et al.</i> , 2015)	
	Buns	Cricket (<i>n.e.</i>)	(Pambo <i>et al.</i> , 2018)	
	Protein powder	<i>Acheta domesticus</i>	(Barton <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	
	Dried edible insect	<i>Acheta domesticus</i> and <i>Tenebrio molitor</i>	(Cicatiello <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	
	Sensory evaluation	Egg pasta	<i>Tenebrio molitor</i> and <i>Locusta migratoria</i>	(Çabuk & Yılmaz, 2020)
		Cookie	<i>Schistocerca gregaria</i> and <i>Ruspolia differens</i>	(Cheseto <i>et al.</i> , 2020)
Protein isolates		<i>Acheta domesticus</i> and <i>Tenebrio molitor</i>	(Grossmann <i>et al.</i> , 2021)	
Brood		<i>Apis mellifera</i> <i>Schistocerca gregaria</i>	(Evans <i>et al.</i> , 2016) (Haber <i>et al.</i> , 2019b)	
Frankfurter		<i>Tenebrio molitor</i>	(Choi <i>et al.</i> , 2017)	
Broth		Cricket (<i>n.e.</i>)	(Farina, 2017)	
Fermented sauce		<i>Galleria mellonera</i> and <i>Locusta migratoria</i>	(Mouritsen <i>et al.</i> , 2017)	
Edible insect		<i>Tenebrio molitor</i> <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> and <i>Acheta domesticus</i>	(Wendin <i>et al.</i> , 2019) (Sipponen <i>et al.</i> , 2018)	

**n.e.*, species not specified

Table 2. Studies in which aroma compounds are analysed.

Product	Edible insect	Goal	Main findings	
Cookies edible insect-based and insect powder	<i>Schistocerca gregaria</i> <i>Ruspolia differens</i>	To identify the volatiles profile and fatty acids that contribute to the aroma of baked goods made with edible insect oils.	Loss in edible insect oil-based biscuits of volatile compounds (comparing to regular biscuits) which contribute to sweet flavours, including 3-methylbutanoic acid, 2-methylbutanoic acid and 2,3-butanediol.	(Cheseto <i>et al.</i> , 2020)
	<i>Bombyx mori</i>	To compare the effects of different drying methods, including freeze-drying, microwave drying and oven drying on the volatile composition of edible insect powders and cookies based on them	Microwave drying showed a higher content of pyrazine and pyrrole compounds, as well as the appearance of the "roasted" odour characteristic of Maillard reactions. Oven-dried edible insect powder samples showed herbaceous and fishy odours. The total number of volatile compounds in cookies with 15% of the wheat replaced by dried edible insects was significantly lower compared to pure dried edible insects.	(Mishyna <i>et al.</i> , 2020)
Bread	Cricket (species not specified)	To evaluate new gluten-free sourdough breads for celiac community and for responding to the demand for new protein sources.	The samples made with cricket powder were reported to have a fermentation rate similar to that of a standard dough. No differences in acetoin and acetate content were observed, however the ethanol and lactate content was moderately higher whilst the 1,4-butanediol content was slightly below the standard dough. A typical flavour was observed mainly due to its content of 2,4-nonadienal, hexanoic and nonanoic acid, (E,E) 1-hexanol, 1-heptanol and 1-octen-3-ol, 2,4-butanedione, 2-heptanone and 3-octen-2-one.	(Nissen <i>et al.</i> , 2020)
Protein isolated	<i>Protaetia brevitarsis</i>	To investigate the effects of n-hexane defatting on edible insect larvae protein properties.	Reduced levels of compounds from lipid oxidation such as alcohols and aldehydes and some hydrocarbons with a negative impact on the general flavour were observed, whereas the level of pleasant flavouring hydrocarbons was reported to be increased.	(Lee <i>et al.</i> , 2021)
Protein hydrolysates	<i>Acheta domestica</i> and <i>Tenebrio molitor</i>	To explore the effect on flavour characteristics caused by enzymatic hydrolysis of protein, to gain additional knowledge on potential flavour of edible insect proteins.	Thirty-eight compounds were identified as active contributors to odour, showing that hydrolysis significantly increases the flavouring power of edible insect proteins.	(Grossmann <i>et al.</i> , 2021)
	Cricket powder (non-specified species)	To assess the potential of using yeast strains for producing powder hydrolysates to be used as food ingredients.	The hydrolysates produced by <i>Debaryomyces hansenii</i> DB were characterised by the presence of acetic acid and short-chain fatty acids, while those obtained by <i>D. hansenii</i> SP6L12 were characterised by methylpyrazine and acetic acid pentyl esters after 72 h incubation. Furanones and ketones were found in the hydrolysates from <i>Yarrowia lipolytica</i> PO11 and samples from <i>Y. lipolytica</i> RO25 showed pyrazine, ethanol, ketones, lactones, furanones and 2,3-dimethylthiophene, whose sensory impact is negative when high concentrations are present.	(Patrignani <i>et al.</i> , 2020)

Product	Edible insect	Goal	Main findings	
Oils	<i>Tenebrio molitor</i> <i>Alphitobius diaperinus</i> <i>Acheta domesticus</i> <i>Blaptica dubia</i>	To determine the aromatic profile of edible insect-based oils for possible use as an ingredient.	<i>T. molitor</i> oil, <i>A. diaperinus</i> oil and <i>A. domesticus</i> oils have valuable aroma compounds for food applications, but <i>B. dubia</i> oil exhibits off-flavour compounds.	(Tzompa-Sosa <i>et al.</i> , 2019)
Edible insect as food and food ingredient	<i>Polyrhachis vicina</i> Roger	To determine the changes in the volatiles composition after sun drying.	After sun drying, lower amounts of ketones and hydrocarbons and higher amounts of carboxylic acids were found, together with the formation of aldehydes.	(Li <i>et al.</i> , 2009)
	<i>Allomyrina dichotoma</i>	To identify volatiles related to off-flavour of the larvae and the effect of fermentation process on the improvement of the overall flavour.	The fermentation of <i>A. dichotoma</i> powder showed a marked reduction of faecal odour volatiles whereas the fruity flavour volatiles increased. Hence, it could be possible to assume that yeast fermentation processes applied after insect cultivation may be an effective way to improve the flavour of edible insects.	(Kim <i>et al.</i> , 2021)
	<i>Apis mellifera</i>	To examine differences between two rearing stages (larvae and pupae) and the effect of sugar-supplemented feeding.	Principal volatile compounds representing differences between larvae and pupae were hydrocarbons, corresponding to pheromones, even though their flavour contribution is limited. The odour active compounds included ocimene, diacetyl, dimethylsulphide, nonanal and 2- and 3-methylbutanal.	(Haber <i>et al.</i> , 2019a)
	<i>Lethocerus indicus</i>	To identify the active odorant compounds in the scent glands, in particular sulphur compounds.	Identification of 3-sulfanylhexyl acetate and 3-sulfanyl-1-hexanol, low-threshold thiol volatiles thought to contribute characteristic cat and ripe guava odours to the overall aroma.	(Kiatbenjakul <i>et al.</i> , 2014)
	<i>Ruspolia differens</i>	To determine active aromatic compounds of fresh frozen and salt-boiled male giant bugs.	Esters and acids were the main and strongest odorants in all samples, with (E)-2-hexenyl acetate and (E)-2-hexenyl butanoate being the most abundant. Only in salt-boiled samples 2-acetyl-1-pyrroline and 2-acetyl-2-thiazoline were detected.	(Kiatbenjakul <i>et al.</i> , 2015)
		To investigate the effect of different thermal processing methods.	Boiling increased hexanal and 2-pentylfuran concentrations by more than 80 % whilst limonene was reduced by half. Roasting, in contrast, increased the concentrations of heptanal, octanal, nonanal and 2-ketones.	(Ssepuyya <i>et al.</i> , 2020)
		To understand the mechanisms of spoilage in order to extend its shelf-life.	Raw samples spoiled at high a_w showed volatile sulphur compounds related to microbial spoilage whereas spoiled samples at low a_w showed aldehydes, ketones and acids, suggesting oxidative rancidity.	(Ssepuyya <i>et al.</i> , 2021)
	<i>Patanga succincta</i> L.	To evaluate the effects of defatting samples with hexane and the impact of fortifying bakery products with its powder.	The conventional powder showed, compared to the defatted powder, a lower variety of volatile compounds containing more unpleasant flavours, especially of hormones and compounds from lipid oxidation.	(Indriani <i>et al.</i> , 2021)
<i>Acheta domesticus</i> and <i>Gryllus assimilis</i>	To understand the impact of various drying methods.	Freeze-dried samples showed a higher content of volatile compounds compared to oven-dried and blanched samples. Fatty acid oxidation volatiles were higher in freeze-dried samples, whereas the products of the Maillard reaction were higher in oven-dried samples	(Khatun <i>et al.</i> , 2021)	

Product	Edible insect	Goal	Main findings	
	<i>Musca domestica</i>	To understand the effect of microencapsulation.	After encapsulation of the samples, out of the 22 volatiles initially present, only 4 were detected resulting in the decrease of off-flavours which are typical of edible insect foods.	(Sánchez <i>et al.</i> , 2021)
	<i>Tenebrio molitor</i>	To compare the effects of freeze-drying, vacuum drying and oven drying.	The freeze-dried and vacuum-dried samples resulted in a higher number of compounds from Maillard reaction and lipid oxidation.	(Kröncke <i>et al.</i> , 2019)
	<i>Tenebrio molitor</i> and <i>Zophobas morio</i>	To investigate the impact of roasting at different temperatures, including 160, 180 and 200 °C.	Treatments at 180 °C were characterized by a pleasant and desirable bread odor, while temperatures of 200 °C showed undesirable burnt flavors derived from the presence of 2,5-dimethylpyrazine.	(Żońnierczyk & Szumny, 2021)
	<i>Protaetia brevitarsis</i>	To analyse the composition of <i>P. brevitarsis</i> to verify the potential application as a source of lipids.	By far the greatest number of volatile compounds were acids, followed by esters and hydrocarbons. Principal volatile components were n-hexadecanoic acid, 9-hexadecenoic acid and 6-octadecenoic acid.	(Yeo <i>et al.</i> , 2013)
	<i>Lethocerus indicus</i>	To understand volatile aromatics to determine their potential use as flavour enhancers.	The most intense aroma compound obtained was (E)-2-nonenal, even though the sweet and herbaceous flavour of the samples resulted from the presence of (E)-2-hexenyl acetate.	(Mahattanatawee <i>et al.</i> , 2018)
	<i>Oecophylla smaragdina</i>	To verify distinctions among different body parts for their use as a potential source of food ingredients.	The ant nest showed that about 50 % of the compounds were carboxylic acids, but also a large number of alcohols and alkanes were found. On the other hand, the gastric part showed the lowest number of volatiles.	(Alagappan <i>et al.</i> , 2021)
	<i>Polyrhachis vicina</i>	To understand the nutritional characteristics.	Main volatiles were 9-octadecenoic acid, ethyl oleate, cholesterol and n-hexadecanoic acid.	(Shen <i>et al.</i> , 2006)

Table 4. Most common volatile aldehydes identified in edible insects.

Volatile Compounds	Sensory attribute	Edible insect	Reference
2-Methyl-propanal	Aldehydic, caramel, cocoa, green, malt, nut	Oven dried locust and raw and microwave dried <i>Bombyx mori</i> . <i>Allomyrina dichotoma</i> , Protein isolates from <i>Protaetia brevitarsis</i>	(Kim <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Lee <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Mishyna <i>et al.</i> , 2020)
2-Methyl-butanal	Chocolate, musty, nutty, malty, almond, fermented.	Raw and oven and microwave dried locust and <i>Bombyx mori</i> , Freeze dried Australian green ants, oven dried <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and <i>Gryllus assimilis</i> , rack oven dried, freeze dried and vacuum dried <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> larvae. honey bee pupae powders. Protein isolates from <i>Protaetia brevitarsis</i> . <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> protein and hydrolysates	(Alagappan <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Grossmann <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Haber <i>et al.</i> , 2019a; Khatun <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Kröncke <i>et al.</i> , 2019; Lee <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Mishyna <i>et al.</i> , 2020)
2-Methyl-2-butenal	Green, pungent, ethereal, nutty, apple, fruit, grass, solvent	Microwave dried locust and <i>Bombyx mori</i> . Protein isolates from <i>Protaetia brevitarsis</i>	(Lee <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Mishyna <i>et al.</i> , 2020)
3-Methylbutanal (Isovaleraldehyde)	Aldehydic, ethereal, acrid, almond, chocolate, malty, pungent	Raw and freeze, oven and microwave dried locust, raw and oven and microwave dried <i>Bombyx mori</i> and rack oven dried, freeze dried and vacuum dried <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> larvae. Freeze dried Australian green ants, oven dried <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and <i>Gryllus assimilis</i> . Honey bee pupae powders. <i>Protaetia brevitarsis</i> , <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> protein and hydrolysates. <i>Allomyrina dichotoma</i> .	(Alagappan <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Grossmann <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Haber <i>et al.</i> , 2019a; Khatun <i>et al.</i> 2021; Kim <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Kröncke <i>et al.</i> , 2019; Lee <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Mishyna <i>et al.</i> , 2020)
Pentanal		Freeze dried <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and <i>Gryllus assimilis</i> , vacuum dried <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> larvae	(Khatun <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Kröncke <i>et al.</i> , 2019)
Hexanal	Green, apple, fatty, grassy aldehydic, fresh, fruit, oil	Raw and freeze, oven and microwave dried locust and raw and oven and microwave dried <i>Bombyx mori</i> , freeze dried, oven dried and blanched <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and <i>Gryllus assimilis</i> , rack oven dried, freeze dried and vacuum dried <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> larvae. <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> , <i>Alphitobius diaperinus</i> and <i>Blaptica dubia</i> oils. Raw, boiled and roasted <i>Ruspolia differens</i> . Protein isolates from <i>Protaetia brevitarsis</i> . <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> protein and hydrolysates	(Grossmann <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Khatun <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Kröncke <i>et al.</i> , 2019; Lee <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Mahattanatawee <i>et al.</i> , 2018; Mishyna <i>et al.</i> , 2020; Ssepuuya <i>et al.</i> , 2020; Tzompa-Sosa <i>et al.</i> , 2019)
Benzaldehyde	Fruity, sweet, bitter almond, burnt sugar, cherry, malt, roasted, pepper	Microwave dried locust and raw and microwave dried <i>Bombyx mori</i> , Roasted <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> and <i>Zophobas morio</i> , freeze dried, oven dried and blanched <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and <i>Gryllus assimilis</i> . <i>Allomyrina dichotoma</i> . Protein isolates from <i>Protaetia brevitarsis</i>	(Khatun <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Kim <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Lee <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Mishyna <i>et al.</i> , 2020; Żońnierczyk & Szumny, 2021)
Heptanal	Citrus, fat, green, nut, floral, dry fish	Vacuum dried <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> larvae. Raw, boiled and roasted <i>Ruspolia differens</i> . Protein isolates from <i>Protaetia brevitarsis</i>	(Kröncke <i>et al.</i> , 2019; Lee <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Ssepuuya <i>et al.</i> , 2020)
Benzeneacetaldehyde (Phenylacetaldehyde)	Berry, geranium, honey, nut, pungent	Oven dried and blanched <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and <i>Gryllus assimilis</i> . <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> protein and hydrolysates. Protein isolates from <i>Protaetia brevitarsis</i>	(Grossmann <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Khatun <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Lee <i>et al.</i> , 2021)
Octanal	Citrus, grassy, green, fat, soap, lemon, mushroom, mouldy	Freeze dried Australian green ants. <i>Lethocerus indicus</i> . raw, boiled and roasted <i>Ruspolia differens</i> . <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> protein and hydrolysates	(Alagappan <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Grossmann <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Mahattanatawee <i>et al.</i> , 2018; Ssepuuya <i>et al.</i> , 2020)
Nonanal	Citrus, fatty, green, aldehydic	Freeze-drying Australian green ants, freeze dried, oven dried and blanched <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and <i>Gryllus assimilis</i> raw, boiled and roasted <i>Ruspolia differens</i> . honey bee larvae and pupae powders. <i>Allomyrina dichotoma</i>	(Alagappan <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Haber <i>et al.</i> , 2019a; Khatun <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Ssepuuya <i>et al.</i> , 2020)
(E,E)-2,4-Nonadienal	Fatty, cucumber, green, melon	<i>Lethocerus indicus</i> , frozen fresh and salted boiled <i>Lethocerus indicus</i> , extract of scent glands of <i>Lethocerus indicus</i> . <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> protein and hydrolysates	(Grossmann <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Kiatbenjakul <i>et al.</i> , 2014, 2015; Mahattanatawee <i>et al.</i> , 2018)
(E)-2-Nonenal	Metallic, fatty, hay-like, tallowy, cucumber-like	<i>Lethocerus indicus</i> , frozen fresh <i>Lethocerus indicus</i> . <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> protein and hydrolysates	(Grossmann <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Kiatbenjakul <i>et al.</i> , 2015; Mahattanatawee <i>et al.</i> , 2018)

Volatile Compounds	Sensory attribute	Edible insect	Reference
(Z)-2-Nonenal	Fatty, metallic, geranium, cucumber	<i>Lethocerus indicus</i> , frozen, fresh and salted boiled <i>Lethocerus indicus</i>	(Kiatbenjakul <i>et al.</i> , 2015; Mahattanatawee <i>et al.</i> , 2018)
(E,E)-2,4-Decadienal	Fatty, cooked grain, deep fried	<i>Lethocerus indicus</i> , frozen, fresh and salted boiled <i>Lethocerus indicus</i> . <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> protein and hydrolysates	(Grossmann <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Kiatbenjakul <i>et al.</i> , 2015; Mahattanatawee <i>et al.</i> , 2018)
Decanal	Fresh, mint, citrusy	<i>Lethocerus indicus</i> , oven dried <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and freeze dried, oven dried and blanched <i>Gryllus assimilis</i>	(Khatun <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Mahattanatawee <i>et al.</i> , 2018)
(E)-4,5-Epoxy-(E)-2-decenal	Metallic, waxy	Frozen fresh and salted boiled <i>Lethocerus indicus</i> . <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> protein and hydrolysates	(Grossmann <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Kiatbenjakul <i>et al.</i> , 2015)
2-Butyl-2-octenal		Freeze dried <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and <i>Gryllus assimilis</i> , Vacuum dried <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> larvae	(Khatun <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Kröncke <i>et al.</i> , 2019)

Table 5. Most common volatile ketones and alcohols identified in diverse edible insects.

Volatile Compounds	Sensory attribute	Edible insect	Reference
<i>Ketones</i>			
Acetoin (3-Hydroxy-2-butanone)	Buttery	Raw and microwave dried locust and <i>Bombyx mori</i> . <i>Allomyrina dichotoma</i> . <i>Alphitobius diaperinus</i> , <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and <i>Blaptica dubia</i> oils.	(Kim <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Mishyna <i>et al.</i> , 2020; Tzompa-Sosa <i>et al.</i> , 2019)
2-Butanone	Ethereal, fruity, camphoreous	Raw, freeze and oven dried locust and microwave dried <i>Bombyx mori</i> , rack oven dried and vacuum dried <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> larvae, . <i>Allomyrina dichotoma</i>	(Kim <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Kröncke <i>et al.</i> , 2019; Mishyna <i>et al.</i> , 2020)
Diacetyl	Buttery	Honey bee larvae powders. <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> protein and hydrolysates	(Grossmann <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Haber <i>et al.</i> , 2019a)
2,3-Pentanedione	Buttery	<i>Allomyrina dichotoma</i> . <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and protein and hydrolysates	(Grossmann <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Kim <i>et al.</i> , 2021)
2-Pentanone	Fruity,	<i>Allomyrina dichotoma</i> .	(Kim <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Lee <i>et al.</i> , 2021)
2-Heptanone	Cheesy, fruity, spicy, sweet	Raw and freeze, oven and microwave dried locust, freeze dried <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and <i>Gryllus assimilis</i> , rack oven dried and vacuum dried <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> larvae, raw, boiled and roasted <i>Ruspolia differens</i> . Honey bee pupae powders. <i>Acheta domesticus</i> oil.	(Haber <i>et al.</i> , 2019a; Khatun <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Kröncke <i>et al.</i> , 2019; Mishyna <i>et al.</i> , 2020; Ssepuuya <i>et al.</i> , 2020; Tzompa-Sosa <i>et al.</i> , 2019)
Acetophenone	Floral, almond, animal, flower, must, plastic	<i>Allomyrina dichotoma</i> . Protein isolates from <i>Protaetia brevitarsis</i>	(Kim <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Lee <i>et al.</i> , 2021)
5-Methyl-3-hepten-2-one	Green	Roasted <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> and <i>Zophobas morio</i> , freeze dried <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and <i>Gryllus assimilis</i>	(Khatun <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Żolnierczyk & Szumny, 2021)
(E,E)-3,5-Octadien-2-one	Fruity, green, grassy	Freeze dried <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and <i>Gryllus assimilis</i> . Raw and freeze dried locust and oven dried <i>Bombyx mori</i> . <i>Patanga succincta</i> L powder	(Indriani <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Khatun <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Mishyna <i>et al.</i> , 2020)
1-Octen-3-one	Mushroom, metallic	<i>Lethocerus indicus</i> . Frozen fresh and salted boiled <i>Lethocerus indicus</i> . <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> protein and hydrolysates	(Grossmann <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Kiatbenjakul <i>et al.</i> , 2015; Mahattanatawee <i>et al.</i> , 2018)
2-Nonanone	Fragrant, fruit, green, hot milk, cheese, coconut	Roasted <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> and <i>Zophobas morio</i> . boiled and roasted <i>Ruspolia differens</i> and <i>Allomyrina dichotoma</i> . <i>Acheta domesticus</i> oil	(Kim <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Ssepuuya <i>et al.</i> , 2020; Tzompa-Sosa <i>et al.</i> , 2019; Żolnierczyk & Szumny, 2021)
2-Decanone	Fruity, floral, fatty	Raw, boiled and roasted <i>Ruspolia differens</i> . <i>Allomyrina dichotoma</i> . Protein isolates from <i>Protaetia brevitarsis</i>	(Kim <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Lee <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Ssepuuya <i>et al.</i> , 2020)
1-Undecen-3-one	Mushroom	Frozen fresh and salted boiled <i>Lethocerus indicus</i> , extract of scent glands of <i>Lethocerus indicus</i>	(Kiatbenjakul <i>et al.</i> , 2014, 2015)
β-Ionone	Raspberry, floral, violet-like	<i>Lethocerus indicus</i> . <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> protein and hydrolysates	(Grossmann <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Mahattanatawee <i>et al.</i> , 2018)
<i>Alcohols</i>			
2,3-Butanediol	Creamy	<i>Allomyrina dichotoma</i> . <i>Schistocerca gregaria</i> and <i>Ruspolia differens</i> oils	(Cheseto <i>et al.</i> , 2020; Kim <i>et al.</i> , 2021)
2-Methyl-1-propanol (Isobutanol)	Ethereal, sweet	<i>Allomyrina dichotoma</i> . <i>Alphitobius diaperinus</i> , <i>Blaptica dubia</i> , <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> oils	(Kim <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Tzompa-Sosa <i>et al.</i> , 2019)
3-Methyl-1-butanol	Fermented, whisky, malty	Raw and microwave dried locust. <i>Allomyrina dichotoma</i> . <i>Ruspolia differens</i> and <i>Acheta domesticus</i> oils.	(Cheseto <i>et al.</i> , 2020; Kim <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Mishyna <i>et al.</i> , 2020; Tzompa-Sosa <i>et al.</i> , 2019)
1-Pentanol	Fermented, oily, sweet, vinegar	Raw and microwave dried locust. <i>Ruspolia differens</i> , <i>Blaptica dubia</i> and <i>Acheta domesticus</i> oils	(Cheseto <i>et al.</i> , 2020; Mishyna <i>et al.</i> , 2020; Tzompa-Sosa <i>et al.</i> , 2019)
1-hexanol	Herbal, flower, fruit, green, wood	Freeze dried Australian green ants. Protein isolates from <i>Protaetia brevitarsis</i> . <i>Alphitobius diaperinus</i> oil	(Alagappan <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Kim <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Lee <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Tzompa-Sosa <i>et al.</i> , 2019)

Volatile Compounds	Sensory attribute	Edible insect	Reference
(E)-2-Hexenol	Fruity, orange-like, Green, leafy	Frozen fresh and salted boiled <i>Lethocerus indicus</i> . <i>Lethocerus indicus</i>	(Kiatbenjakul <i>et al.</i> , 2015; Mahattanatawee <i>et al.</i> , 2018)
2-Ethyl-1-hexanol	Citrus, green, flowery	Raw and freeze, oven and microwave dried <i>Bombyx mori</i> . <i>Harmonia axyridis</i> beetles. <i>Allomyrina dichotoma</i> . <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> protein and hydrolysates	(Grossmann <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Kim <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Mishyna <i>et al.</i> , 2020)
3,5-Octadien-2-ol		Freeze dried, <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and freeze dried and oven dried <i>Gryllus assimilis</i> , Raw and freeze dried locust	(Khatun <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Mishyna <i>et al.</i> , 2020)
1-Octanol	Fatty, waxy	<i>Lethocerus indicus</i>	(Kim <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Mahattanatawee <i>et al.</i> , 2018)
1-Octen-3-ol	Earthy, fishy, fat, mould, mushroom	Freeze dried and blanched <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and freeze dried, oven dried and blanched <i>Gryllus assimilis</i> <i>Allomyrina dichotoma</i> . Protein isolates from <i>Protaetia brevitarsis</i> . <i>Acheta domesticus</i> , <i>Schistocerca gregaria</i> and <i>Ruspolia differens</i> oils	(Cheseto <i>et al.</i> , 2020; Khatun <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Kim <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Lee <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Tzompa-Sosa <i>et al.</i> , 2019)

Table 6. Most common volatile carboxylic acids and esters reported in edible insects.

Volatile Compounds	Sensory attribute	Edible insect	Reference
<i>Carboxylic Acids</i>			
Acetic acid	Acidic, sharp, pungent, vinegar, sour	Raw and freeze, oven and microwave dried locust and <i>Bombyx mori</i> . <i>Lethocerus indicus</i> . <i>Harmonia axyridis</i> beetles. <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> , <i>Alphitobius diaperinus</i> and <i>Acheta domesticus</i> oils.	(Mahattanatawee <i>et al.</i> , 2018; Mishyna <i>et al.</i> , 2020; Tzompa-Sosa <i>et al.</i> , 2019)
Butanoic acid	Cheesy, acetic, faecal, fatty, rancid, sweaty, vomitus	Oven dried locust and microwave dried <i>Bombyx mori</i> , <i>Lethocerus indicus</i> , Frozen fresh and salted boiled <i>Lethocerus indicus</i> , extract of scent glands of <i>Lethocerus indicus</i> . Oven dried <i>Musca domestica</i> . <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> protein and hydrolysates. <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and <i>Blaptica dubia</i> oils	(Grossmann <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Kiatbenjakul <i>et al.</i> , 2014, 2015; Mahattanatawee <i>et al.</i> , 2018; Mishyna <i>et al.</i> , 2020; Sánchez <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Tzompa-Sosa <i>et al.</i> , 2019)
2-Methylpropanoic acid	Acidic, sour, cheesy, fatty	Oven and microwave dried locust, freeze dried <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> larvae. <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> protein and hydrolysates. <i>Blaptica dubia</i> oil.	(Grossmann <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Kröncke <i>et al.</i> , 2019; Mishyna <i>et al.</i> , 2020; Tzompa-Sosa <i>et al.</i> , 2019)
2-Methylbutanoic acid	Faecal, sweaty	Frozen fresh and salted boiled <i>Lethocerus indicus</i> , oven dried <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and <i>Gryllus assimilis</i> , freeze dried <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> larvae. <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> protein and hydrolysates	(Grossmann <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Khatun <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Kiatbenjakul <i>et al.</i> , 2015; Kröncke <i>et al.</i> , 2019)
3-Methylbutanoic acid (Isovaleric acid)	Cheesy, sour, sweaty, faecal, feet	Oven dried locust, Frozen fresh and salted boiled <i>Lethocerus indicus</i> , extract of scent glands of <i>Lethocerus indicus</i> , oven dried <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and <i>Gryllus assimilis</i> . <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> protein and hydrolysates. Freeze dried <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> larvae. <i>Harmonia axyridis</i> beetles. <i>Blaptica dubia</i> oil	(Grossmann <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Khatun <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Kiatbenjakul <i>et al.</i> , 2014; 2015; Kröncke <i>et al.</i> , 2019; Mishyna <i>et al.</i> , 2020; Tzompa-Sosa <i>et al.</i> , 2019)
Hexanoic acid	Sweaty, cheesy, body odour	<i>Lethocerus indicus</i> , Frozen fresh and salted boiled <i>Lethocerus indicus</i> , freeze dried <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and <i>Gryllus assimilis</i> , vacuum dried <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> larvae. <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> protein and hydrolysates	(Alagappan <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Grossmann <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Khatun <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Kiatbenjakul <i>et al.</i> , 2015; Kröncke <i>et al.</i> , 2019; Mahattanatawee <i>et al.</i> , 2018)
(E)-2-Hexenoic acid	Sweaty, body odour, fruity	Frozen fresh and salted boiled <i>Lethocerus indicus</i> , extract of scent glands of <i>Lethocerus indicus</i>	(Kiatbenjakul <i>et al.</i> , 2014, 2015)
Dodecanoic acid		Oven dried and blanched <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and freeze dried, oven dried and blanched <i>Gryllus assimilis</i> . <i>Protaetia brevitarsis</i> powder	(Khatun <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Yeo <i>et al.</i> , 2013)
Tetradecanoic acid		Oven dried and blanched <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and freeze dried, oven dried and blanched <i>Gryllus assimilis</i> . <i>Protaetia</i> . Sun dried <i>Polyrhachis vicina</i> Roger. <i>Protaetia brevitarsis</i> powder	(Khatun <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Li <i>et al.</i> , 2009; Yeo <i>et al.</i> , 2013)
n-Hexadecanoic acid		<i>Protaetia brevitarsis</i> powder, <i>Polyrhachis vicina</i> powder. Fresh and sun dried <i>Polyrhachis vicina</i> Roger	(Li <i>et al.</i> , 2009; Shen <i>et al.</i> , 2006; Yeo <i>et al.</i> , 2013)
9-Hexadecenoic acid		<i>Protaetia brevitarsis</i> powder. Sun dried <i>Polyrhachis vicina</i> Roger	(Li <i>et al.</i> , 2009; Yeo <i>et al.</i> , 2013)
[E]-9-Octadecenoic acid		<i>Polyrhachis vicina</i> powder. Fresh and sun dried <i>Polyrhachis vicina</i> Roger	(Li <i>et al.</i> , 2009; Shen <i>et al.</i> , 2006)
<i>Esters</i>			
Ethyl acetate	Sweet, weedy, green, fruity, ethereal	Oven dried locust and raw, freeze dried, oven dried and microwave dried <i>Bombyx mori</i> . <i>Allomyrina dichotoma</i> . Honey bee pupae powders	(Haber <i>et al.</i> , 2019a; Kim <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Mishyna <i>et al.</i> , 2020)
Methyl hexanoate		Freeze dried and oven dried <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and <i>Gryllus assimilis</i> . <i>Patanga succincta</i> L defatted powder	(Indriani <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Khatun <i>et al.</i> , 2021)
(E)-2-Hexenyl acetate	Sweet herbaceous, fruity, banana peel, unripe	<i>Lethocerus indicus</i> , frozen fresh and salted boiled <i>Lethocerus indicus</i> , extract of scent glands of <i>Lethocerus indicus</i>	(Kiatbenjakul <i>et al.</i> , 2014, 2015; Mahattanatawee <i>et al.</i> , 2018)
(E)-2-Heptenyl acetate	Green, fatty, fruity	<i>Lethocerus indicus</i> , frozen fresh and salted boiled <i>Lethocerus indicus</i> , extract of scent glands of <i>Lethocerus indicus</i>	(Kiatbenjakul <i>et al.</i> , 2014, 2015; Mahattanatawee <i>et al.</i> , 2018)

Volatile Compounds	Sensory attribute	Edible insect	Reference
(E)-2-Hexenyl butanoate	Floral, fruity, cheesy, banana peel	<i>Lethocerus indicus</i> , frozen fresh and salted boiled <i>Lethocerus indicus</i> , extract of scent glands of <i>Lethocerus indicus</i>	(Kiatbenjakul <i>et al.</i> , 2014, 2015; Mahattanatawee <i>et al.</i> , 2018)
Methyl dodecanoate		Freeze dried, oven dried and blanched <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and <i>Gryllus assimilis</i> . <i>Patanga succincta</i> L defatted and non-defatted powder	(Indriani <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Khatun <i>et al.</i> , 2021)
Methyl tetradecanoate		Freeze dried and oven dried <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and freeze dried, oven dried and blanched <i>Gryllus assimilis</i> . <i>Patanga succincta</i> L defatted and non-defatted powder	(Indriani <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Khatun <i>et al.</i> , 2021)
Methyl hexadecanoate		Freeze dried, oven dried and blanched <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and <i>Gryllus assimilis</i> . <i>Patanga succincta</i> L defatted and non-defatted powder	(Indriani <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Khatun <i>et al.</i> , 2021)
Ethyl hexadecanoate		<i>Protaetia brevitarsis</i> powder, <i>Polyrhachis vicina</i> powder	(Shen <i>et al.</i> , 2006; Yeo <i>et al.</i> , 2013)
Methyl octadeca-9,12-dienoate		Freeze dried and oven dried <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and freeze dried, oven dried and blanched <i>Gryllus assimilis</i> . <i>Patanga succincta</i> L defatted powder	(Indriani <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Khatun <i>et al.</i> , 2021)
Methyl octadecanoate		Freeze dried, oven dried and blanched <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and <i>Gryllus assimilis</i> . <i>Patanga succincta</i> L defatted powder	(Indriani <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Khatun <i>et al.</i> , 2021)
Ethyl oleate		<i>Protaetia brevitarsis</i> powder, <i>Polyrhachis vicina</i> powder	(Shen <i>et al.</i> , 2006; Yeo <i>et al.</i> , 2013)

Table 3. Settings of different GC/MS-based methods used for edible insect volatile analysis

Edible insect	Sample form	Extraction	Incubation time (min)	Temperature Incubation/ extraction (°C)	Extraction time (min)	Fibre	Column				Mass range (m/z)	Reference
							Type	Length (m)	Internal diameter (mm)	Film thickness (µm)		
<i>Lethocerus indicus</i>	Aroma extract dilutions	Solvent extraction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	SAC-5	30	0.25	0.25	35-300	(Kiatbenjakul et al., 2014, 2015)
<i>Schistocerca gregaria</i> and <i>Ruspolia differens</i>	Oil	Extraction using Super-Q traps	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	HP-5ms	30	0.25	0.25	40-550	(Cheseto et al., 2020)
<i>Polyrhachis vicina</i> Roger	Fresh and powder	Extraction by hexane	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	DB-5ms	30	0.25	0.25	40-350	(Li et al., 2009)
<i>Ruspolia differens</i>	Fresh and cooked entire sample	SPME	10	45/45	20	CAR/PDMS	ZB-5	30	0.25	0.25	30-350	(Ssepuuya et al., 2020, 2021)
<i>Tenebrio molitor</i> and <i>Zophobas morio</i>	Powder	SPME	10	70/70	20	DVB/CAR/PDMS	ZB-5	30	0.25	0.25	30-350	(Khatun et al., 2021)
<i>Tenebrio molitor</i> <i>Alphitobius diaperinus</i> <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and <i>Blaptica dubia</i>	Oil	SPME	20	40	5	DVB/CAR/PDMS	Stabilwax-DA-Carbowax	30	0.32	1	33-250	(Tzompa-Sosa et al., 2019)
<i>Apis mellifera</i>	Powder	SPME	15	50/50	30	DVB/CAR/PDMS	DB-WAX	60	0.25	0.25	40-450	(Haber et al., 2019a)
<i>Locusta migratoria manilensis</i> <i>Bombyx mori</i>	Fresh and powder	SPME	60	25/25	30	DVB/CAR/PDMS	DB-WAX	60	0.25	0.5	33-500 (amu)	(Mishyna et al., 2020)
<i>Patanga succincta</i> L.	Defatted and non-defatted water dissolved powders	SPME	600	60	60	DVB/CAR/PDMS	HP-Innowax	30	0.25	0.25	25-500	(Indriani et al., 2021)
Cricket (<i>n.e.</i>)	powder	SPME	20	50/50	40	DVB/CAR/PDMS	DB-WAX	30	0.25	0.5	N/A	(Patrignani et al., 2020)
<i>Oecophylla smaragdina</i>	Powder	SPME	20	60/60	10	DVB/CAR/PDMS	DB-WAX	60	0.25	0.25	30-300	(Alagappan et al., 2021; Olarte Mantilla et al., 2020)

Edible insect	Sample form	Extraction	Incubation time (min)	Temperature Incubation/ extraction (°C)	Extraction time (min)	Fibre	Column				Mass range (m/z)	Reference
							Type	Length (m)	Internal diameter (mm)	Film thickness (µm)		
<i>Tenebrio molitor</i>	Dried sample	SPME	15	100/50	30	N/A	Rtx	60	0.25	1	N/A	(Kröncke et al., 2019)
<i>Musca domestica</i>	Micro encapsulated Powder	SPME	30	40/40	5	DVB/CAR/PDMS	Rxi--5	30	0.25	1	30-500	(Sánchez et al., 2021)
<i>Tenebrio molitor</i> and <i>Zophobas morio</i>	Entire	SPME	N/A	50	30	DVB/CAR/PDMS	ZB-5	30	0.25	0.25	-	(Żołnierczyk & Szumny, 2021)
<i>Allomyrina dichotoma</i>	Powder	SPME	20	70	30	DVB/CAR/PDMS	DB-WAX	60	0.25	0.25	35-500	(Kim et al., 2021)
<i>Acheta domesticus</i> and <i>Tenebrio molitor</i>	Powder	SPME	10	65/65	30	DVB/CAR/PDMS	DB-FFAP	30	0.32	0.25	33-300	(Grossmann et al., 2021)
<i>Lethocerus indicus</i>	In pieces	SPME	15	40	30	DVB/CAR/PDMS	DB-WAX	30	0.32	0.2	20-300	(Mahattanatawee et al., 2018)
<i>Protaetia brevitarsis</i>	Powder	SPME Arrow	15	40	60	DVB/CAR/PDMS	HP-5 ms	60	0.25	0.25	30-530	(Lee et al., 2021)
<i>Protaetia brevitarsis</i>	Power	SDE ²	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	HP-5 ms	30	0.25	0.25	N/A	(Yeo et al., 2013)
<i>Polyrhachis vicina</i>	Powder	SFE ³ -CO ₂	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Silica column	30	0.25	0.25	10-55 units	(Shen et al., 2006)

¹ SPME=Solid phase microextraction; ² SDE=Simultaneous distillation extraction; ³ SFE=Supercritical Fluid System Extraction; N/A=Not Available; *n.e.*= species not specified

Table 7. Other volatile compounds reported in edible insects.

Volatile Compounds	Sensory attribute	Edible insect	Reference
<i>Linear hydrocarbons</i>			
Octane	Gasoline, alkane	Raw, freeze and microwave dried locust and microwave dried <i>Bombyx mori</i> . Honey bee larvae and pupae powders. <i>Allomyrina dichotoma</i>	(Haber et al., 2019a; Kim et al., 2021; Mishyna et al., 2020)
Decane	Odourless	Freeze dried Australian green ants. Honey bee larvae and pupae powders.	(Alagappan et al., 2021; Haber et al., 2019a)
Undecane	Odourless	Freeze and microwave dried locust and raw, freeze and microwave dried <i>Bombyx mori</i> . Freeze dried Australian green ants. Honey bee larvae and pupae powders. <i>Allomyrina dichotoma</i> . Fresh and sun dried <i>Polyrhachis vicina</i> Roger	(Alagappan et al., 2021; Haber et al., 2019a; Kim et al., 2021; Li et al., 2009; Mishyna et al., 2020)
Dodecane	Odourless	Freeze dried Australian green ants. Honey bee larvae and pupae powders. <i>Allomyrina dichotoma</i>	(Alagappan et al., 2021; Haber et al., 2019a; Kim et al., 2021)
Tridecane	Odourless	Freeze dried Australian green ants. Honey bee pupae powder. <i>Allomyrina dichotoma</i> . Oven dried <i>Musca domestica</i> . Fresh and sun dried <i>Polyrhachis vicina</i> Roger	(Alagappan et al., 2021; Haber et al., 2019a; Kim et al., 2021; Li et al., 2009; Sánchez et al., 2021)
Pentadecane	Waxy	<i>Allomyrina dichotoma</i> . Fresh and sun dried <i>Polyrhachis vicina</i> Roger	(Kim et al., 2021; Li et al., 2009)
Hexadecane	Odourless	Honey bee pupae powders. Oven dried <i>Musca domestica</i> . Fresh and sun dried <i>Polyrhachis vicina</i> Roger	(Haber et al., 2019a; Li et al., 2009; Sánchez et al., 2021)
Heptadecane		<i>Protaetia brevitarsis</i> powder. Fresh and sun dried <i>Polyrhachis vicina</i> Roger	(Li et al., 2009; Yeo et al., 2013)
Eicosane	Odourless	<i>Protaetia brevitarsis</i> powder. Honey bee larvae powder. Fresh <i>Polyrhachis vicina</i> Roger	(Haber et al., 2019a; Li et al., 2009)
Heneicosane		<i>Protaetia brevitarsis</i> powder. Fresh and sun dried <i>Polyrhachis vicina</i> Roger	(Li et al., 2009; Yeo et al., 2013)
Hexacosane		<i>Protaetia brevitarsis</i> powder. Fresh and sun dried <i>Polyrhachis vicina</i> Roger	(Li et al., 2009; Yeo et al., 2013)
Heptacosane		<i>Protaetia brevitarsis</i> powder. Fresh and sun dried <i>Polyrhachis vicina</i> Roger	(Li et al., 2009; Yeo et al., 2013)
<i>Aromatic and cyclic hydrocarbons</i>			
Styrene	Gasoline, plastic, rubber, solvent	Protein isolates from <i>Protaetia brevitarsis</i> .	(Cheseto et al., 2020; Lee et al., 2021)
p-Xylene	Cold meat fat, metal	<i>Schistocerca gregaria</i> and <i>Ruspolia differens</i> oils. Protein isolates from <i>Protaetia brevitarsis</i> . <i>Schistocerca gregaria</i> oil	(heseto et al., 2020; Lee et al., 2021)
<i>Pyrazines</i>			
2,3-Dimethyl-pyrazine	Nutty, cocoa, peanut	Microwave dried locust and <i>Bombyx mori</i> . <i>Allomyrina dichotoma</i>	(Kim et al., 2021; Mishyna et al., 2020)
2,5-Dimethylpyrazine	Cocoa, roast beef, roasted nut, burnt, Chocolate	Roasted <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> and <i>Zophobas morio</i> , oven dried <i>Acheta domestica</i> and <i>Gryllus assimilis</i> , rack oven dried, freeze dried and vacuum dried <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> larvae, microwave dried locust and <i>Bombyx mori</i> . <i>Allomyrina dichotoma</i> . Oven dried <i>Musca domestica</i>	(Khatun et al., 2021; Kim et al., 2021; Kröncke et al., 2019; Mishyna et al., 2020; Sánchez et al., 2021; Żoźnierczyk & Szumny, 2021)
Ethyl pyrazine	Nutty, peanut, butter, musty	Microwave dried locust and <i>Bombyx mori</i> . <i>Allomyrina dichotoma</i>	(Kim et al., 2021; Mishyna et al., 2020)
2-Ethyl-5-methylpyrazine	Fruit, green, coffee, beany, nutty	Roasted <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> and <i>Zophobas morio</i> , microwave dried locust and <i>Bombyx mori</i>	(Mishyna et al., 2020; Żoźnierczyk & Szumny, 2021)
2-Ethyl-6-methylpyrazine	Roasted hazelnut, buckwheat tea, fruity, potato	Roasted <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> and <i>Zophobas morio</i> , microwave dried locust and <i>Bombyx mori</i>	(Mishyna et al., 2020; Żoźnierczyk & Szumny, 2021)
2,3,5-Trimethylpyrazine	Cocoa, earth, must, potato, roast, nutty	Roasted <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> and <i>Zophobas morio</i> . <i>Allomyrina dichotoma</i>	(Kim et al., 2021; Żoźnierczyk & Szumny, 2021)

Volatile Compounds	Sensory attribute	Edible insect	Reference
Tetramethylpyrazine	Earthy-like	Oven dried <i>Musca domestica</i> , <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> protein and hydrolysates	(Grossmann <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Sánchez <i>et al.</i> , 2021)
Other N-compounds			
2-Acetyl-1-pyrroline	Popcorn, roasty, sweet	Salted boiled <i>Lethocerus indicus</i> , <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> protein and hydrolysates	(Grossmann <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Kiatbenjakul <i>et al.</i> , 2015)
Indole	Barnyard, mothball, burnt, faecal	<i>Harmonia axyridis</i> beetles. <i>Allomyrina dichotoma</i> , <i>Acheta domesticus</i> hydrolysates	(Grossmann <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Kim <i>et al.</i> , 2021)
S- compounds			
Dimethyl sulphide	Sulphurous, onion, sweet	Freeze and microwave dried locust, honey bee pupae powders	(Haber <i>et al.</i> , 2019a; Mishyna <i>et al.</i> , 2020)
Methional	Cooked potato, soy, warm	<i>Lethocerus indicus</i> , salted boiled <i>Lethocerus indicus</i> . Protein isolates from <i>Protaetia brevitarsis</i> , <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> protein and hydrolysates	(Grossmann <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Kiatbenjakul <i>et al.</i> , 2015; Lee <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Mahattanatawee <i>et al.</i> , 2018)
2-Acetyl-2-thiazoline	Cooked jasmine rice, popcorn	<i>Lethocerus indicus</i> , salted boiled <i>Lethocerus indicus</i> , <i>Acheta domesticus</i> protein and hydrolysates	(Grossmann <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Kiatbenjakul <i>et al.</i> , 2015; Mahattanatawee <i>et al.</i> , 2018)
Phenols			
2-Methoxyphenol (Guaiacol)	Smoke, medicine, phenol	Raw and frozen fresh <i>Lethocerus indicus</i> , <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> protein and hydrolysates	(Grossmann <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Kiatbenjakul <i>et al.</i> , 2015; Mahattanatawee <i>et al.</i> , 2018)
4-Methylphenol (p-Cresol)	Animal, barmy, dung, stable, phenolic, faecal	Frozen fresh and salted boiled <i>Lethocerus indicus</i> , extract of scent glands of <i>Lethocerus indicus</i> , <i>Allomyrina dichotoma</i> , <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> protein and hydrolysates	(Grossmann <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Kiatbenjakul <i>et al.</i> , 2014, 2015; Kim <i>et al.</i> , 2021)
Terpenes			
Limonene	Terpenic, pine, herbal, citrus, lemon, orange	Raw and freeze, oven and microwave dried locust and Freeze and microwave dried <i>Bombyx mori</i> . raw, boiled and roasted <i>Ruspolia differens</i> , <i>Allomyrina dichotoma</i> , <i>Ruspolia differens</i> oil	(Cheseto <i>et al.</i> , 2020; Kim <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Mishyna <i>et al.</i> , 2020; Ssepuuya <i>et al.</i> , 2020)
α-Pinene	Resin, minty, pine like	<i>Ruspolia differens</i> and <i>Acheta domesticus</i> oils	(Cheseto <i>et al.</i> , 2020; Tzompa-Sosa <i>et al.</i> , 2019)
γ-Terpinene	Citrus, mint, resin, lemon	Protein isolates from <i>Protaetia brevitarsis</i> , <i>Acheta domesticus</i> oil	(Lee <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Tzompa-Sosa <i>et al.</i> , 2019)
Furans			
Furfural (Furan-2-carbaldehyde)	Almond, baked potatoes, bread, burnt, spice, bready	Roasted <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> and <i>Zophobas morio</i> , <i>Allomyrina dichotoma</i>	(Kim <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Żolnierczyk & Szumny, 2021)
Furfurol (2-Furanmethanol)	Bready, , alcoholic, musty	Microwave dried locust. <i>Allomyrina dichotoma</i>	(Kim <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Mishyna <i>et al.</i> , 2020)
2-Pentylfuran	Fruity, green, earthy, beany, buttery, fishy, grassy	Microwave dried locust, freeze dried and oven dried <i>Acheta domesticus</i> and <i>Gryllus assimilis</i> , vacuum dried <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> larvae. raw, boiled and roasted <i>Ruspolia differens</i> , <i>Allomyrina dichotoma</i>	(Khatun <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Kim <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Kröncke <i>et al.</i> , 2019; Mishyna <i>et al.</i> , 2020; Ssepuuya <i>et al.</i> , 2020)

Declaration of interests

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests:

