

1 **Improved genotypes and fertilizers, not fallow duration, increase cassava yields**  
2 **without compromising AMF richness or diversity**

3 Pieterjan De Bauw<sup>1</sup>, Damas Birindwa<sup>1,2</sup>, Roel Merckx<sup>1</sup>, Margaux Boeraeve<sup>3</sup>, Wivine Munyahali<sup>2</sup>,  
4 Gerrit Peeters<sup>3</sup>, Thanni Bolaji<sup>3</sup>, Olivier Honnay<sup>3</sup>

5  
6 <sup>1</sup> Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Division Soil and Water Management, KU Leuven,  
7 Kasteelpark Arenberg 20-3001 Leuven, Belgium

8 <sup>2</sup> Université Catholique de Bukavu (UCB), Bukavu, Democratic Republic of the Congo

9 <sup>3</sup> Department of Biology, Plant Conservation and Population Biology, KU Leuven, B-3001 Leuven, Belgium

10  
11 \*Corresponding author: [Pieterjan.debauw@kuleuven.be](mailto:Pieterjan.debauw@kuleuven.be)

12  
13 **Target Journal:** Mycorrhiza

14 **Original Research:** Full paper

15 **Total word count for the main body of text:** 4497 words; **Number of Figures:** 4; **Number of Tables:** 3

16 **Summary:**

17 Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) are ubiquitous in agroecosystems, but their role in mediating  
18 agricultural yield remains contested. Field experiments testing effects of realistic agronomic practices of  
19 intensification on AM fungal compositions and yields are scarce, especially in the low-input systems of  
20 sub-Saharan Africa.

21 A large full-factorial field experiment was conducted in South-Kivu (DR Congo), testing effects of *fallow*  
22 duration (six vs. twelve months), *genotype* (landrace vs. improved), and *fertilizer* management (control  
23 vs. five combinations omitting N, P, K, and/or secondary macro- and micronutrients) on yields of cassava;  
24 an important staple crop strongly colonized by AMF. Furthermore, we used DNA-metabarcoding to  
25 evaluate effects of these agronomic practices on the AM fungal communities on the roots.

26 The shorter *fallow* duration strongly increased diversity and richness of AMF, but this did not correspond  
27 with increased yields. Cassava yield was mainly determined by *genotype*, being largest for the improved  
28 genotype, which coincided with a significantly higher sum of AM fungal sequences. Effects of *fertilizer* or  
29 *genotype* on community composition were minor to absent.

30 We found no evidence that increased AMF richness and diversity enhances cassava yields. In contrast, the  
31 use of the improved genotype and mineral fertilizers strongly benefitted yields, without compromising  
32 richness or diversity of AMF. Cassava-AMF associations in this work appear rather robust to fertilizer  
33 amendments and modern genotype improvement.

34  
35 **Key words:**

36 Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, *Manihot esculenta* Crantz (Cassava), Fallow duration, Genotype, Next-  
37 generation sequencing, Nutrient management

## 38 **Introduction**

39 Due to their ubiquitous presence in agroecosystems and the benefits they provide to host plants and the  
40 soil environment, arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) are often stated to play a critical role in agricultural  
41 systems (van der Heijden et al. 1998; Rillig and Mummey 2006; Smith and Read 2008; Zhang et al. 2019).  
42 However, effects of combinations of real agronomic practices on AMF community composition are poorly  
43 known. In this regard, recent reviews found that AMF may be more robust to common agricultural  
44 practices (e.g. domestication, crop breeding, fertilizer amendment) than commonly thought (Martín-  
45 Robles et al. 2018; Ryan and Graham 2018).

46 Additionally, the extent to which the abundance and diversity of AMF affect crop yield and agroecosystem  
47 sustainability is contested (Martín-Robles et al. 2018; Ryan and Graham 2018). The question arises  
48 whether AMF should be at the center of farm management decisions or whether farm management  
49 should be based on strong systems agronomy, without maximization of AMF as a goal (Ryan et al. 2019).  
50 While Verbruggen and Kiers (2010) argued that agricultural practices disfavor the development of a more  
51 complex and functional (i.e. more beneficial) mycorrhizal fungal community, yield penalties after such  
52 reductions of richness or diversity of AMF by agricultural practices of intensification have been rarely  
53 reported in literature and such reports may be ambiguous (Ryan and Graham 2018). Therefore, the  
54 question of whether or not farmers should modify their management practices to enhance the abundance  
55 and diversity of AMF in the field has not been solved, as benefits on yields are often absent (Ryan and  
56 Graham 2018; Ryan et al. 2019) Indeed, relationships between AM fungal colonization and yield have been  
57 reported to be highly context dependent (Lekberg and Koide 2005; Treseder 2013; Thirkell et al. 2017),  
58 suggesting that AM fungal colonization depends on multiple aspects of farm management. Unravelling  
59 the intraspecific interactions of particular crop genotypes with AM fungal species and environment by  
60 determining the driving factors of the mycorrhizal responses and associations on the field, have therefore  
61 been identified as 'high-priority' research (Ryan and Graham 2018; Rillig et al. 2019). This requires proper  
62 field experiments in real agroecosystems, evaluating the effects of agronomic practices on indigenous AM  
63 fungal colonization, and the respective relations with yields. Most available data so far originate from  
64 high-yielding agricultural systems in Europe, North America, China, and Australia (Ryan and Graham  
65 2018), while such experiments are especially needed in the low-input systems of sub Saharan Africa where  
66 AMF may have their highest functionality (Rillig et al. 2019). Overall, and in order to more precisely identify  
67 the various roles played by AMF in real agricultural low-input systems, there is an urgent need for field  
68 experiments evaluating (i) effects of realistic agronomic practices of intensification on the abundance and

69 community composition of AMF, and (ii) effects of AM fungal diversity and abundance on yields; all this  
70 using state of the art analytical tools such as high throughput sequencing (Lekberg and Helgason 2018;  
71 Ryan and Graham 2018; Rillig et al. 2019).

72 Cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz) currently serves as a major food source in multiple regions of the  
73 world, and its importance is rapidly increasing (Balagopalan 2002; Burns et al. 2010; Dada et al. 2010) as  
74 it has a high potential productivity on nutrient poor and dry soils. Consequently, it has been pointed out  
75 as a potential crop to mitigate the adverse effects of climate change (i.e. excessive heat and drought  
76 spells) on food security and to have the potential of adding economic value to marginal and dry areas. In  
77 this context, it has been argued that there is still a large potential to further increase cassava production  
78 under such harsh conditions (Fermont et al. 2009; De Souza et al. 2017; Kintché et al. 2017). To this end,  
79 agronomic management practices can buffer effects of climate change, improve soil fertility, and reduce  
80 the pressure of pests and diseases. Most common management strategies for cassava farmers today  
81 include the selection of improved genotypes, nutrient application, and fallow (Munyahali et al., 2017;  
82 Pypers et al., 2011; Séry et al., 2016; Straker et al., 2010). In tropical Africa, long fallow durations were  
83 historically implemented to allow soil- and land restoration, however, population pressure, land scarcity,  
84 and intensification currently lead to shorter fallow durations.

85 Interestingly, cassava is known to be highly colonized by AMF, and it has been argued that the growth and  
86 survival strongly depend on this symbiosis (Howeler et al. 1982; Habte and Byappanahalli 1994; Rodriguez  
87 and Sanders 2014). Some studies have also shown that mycorrhizal inoculations can improve cassava  
88 yields (Sieverding & Howeler 1985; Osonubi *et al.* 1995; Salami *et al.* 2005; Carretero *et al.* 2009; Ceballos  
89 *et al.* 2013; Rodriguez & Sanders 2014; Séry *et al.* 2016). However, despite some positive results, large  
90 scale in situ AMF inoculation (Hart et al. 2018) remains rather unpractical and uneconomic for subsistence  
91 farmers in low input systems.

92 Hence, this study aimed to investigate:

- 93 (i) the effects of (combinations of) real agronomic practices of intensification on the naturally  
94 occurring communities of AMF on cassava roots. These practices included cassava *genotype*  
95 (landrace versus improved genotype), *fertilizer* management (nutrient omissions of NPK and  
96 secondary macro- and micro-nutrients), and *fallow* duration (12 versus 6 months).
- 97 (ii) the effects of different combinations of agronomic practices on cassava yields and the  
98 respective relation with the AMF communities.

99 To this end, a large full factorial field experiment (72 plots, 288 plant samples) was conducted in a split-  
100 plot design, located in South-Kivu (DR Congo).

101

## 102 **Materials & Methods**

### 103 Site description

104 The experiment was established in the Kalehe territory of the South-Kivu province (2°03'22.9"S  
105 28°54'15.3"E) and represents realistic combinations of agronomic practices of intensification in a  
106 smallholder farming system. The area has a long history of cassava cultivation and the field site was  
107 considered as being homogeneous in terms of previous land use history and land management. The  
108 primary forest in the area of this plot has been cut during colonial times, to be replaced by cinchona trees  
109 (*Cinchona* sp.) and food crops like corn, beans, cassava, and colocasia or taro. Since then, local landraces  
110 of cassava became more dominant in the region and this plot was used for cassava cultivation before the  
111 experiment. The site was never amended with fertilizers and the soil information is presented in Table 1 .

### 112 Experimental design

113 After the previous cassava harvest, the land remained fallow without human intervention for 6 or 12  
114 months prior to the trial establishment. The vegetation grown during the fallow period was dominated by  
115 weeds composed of *Commelina* sp. (60% abundance), *Bidens pilosa* (20% abundance), *Galinsoga* sp. (5%  
116 abundance), and other weeds scattered with residual taro and Colocasia sprouts. After manual mowing  
117 and plowing, a three-way factorial field experiment was established in a split plot design with the factor  
118 'fallow' duration' assigned to the main plots. This factor included two levels: i) a short fallow duration of  
119 6 months being replicated in two main plots (meaning that the previous cassava crop was harvested on 2  
120 May 2018 and left fallow for 6 months until the start of this experiment), and ii) a long fallow duration of  
121 12 months was replicated in four main plots (meaning that the previous cassava crop was harvested on 2  
122 November 2017 and left fallow for 12 months until the start of this experiment).

123 Combinations of the factors 'genotype' (two levels) and 'fertilizer application' (six levels) were assigned to  
124 the sub-plots that were randomly distributed within each main plot. Replicate blocks and main plots were  
125 separated by a distance of 2m, while sub-plots (7m x 10m) were separated by a distance of 1.5m.

126 Clean cuttings of two contrasting cassava genotypes were planted (2 November 2018) in the sub-plots at  
127 a distance of 1x1m according to the local density recommendations, and each sub-plot included 56 plants

128 (8 x 7). One popular local landrace (i.e. M'Bailo or Bailo) was selected as it was grown in the area since at  
129 least the 1950's. An improved genotype (i.e. Obama/TME 419) was selected and this genotype has never  
130 been cultivated in the area before (origin: IITA-Ibadan). The latter genotype is known for its drought  
131 tolerance and resistance against cassava mosaic virus (CMD), and it has a high yield potential. Plots  
132 depended on natural rainfall, which was monitored at a daily basis. The cumulative rainfall during the  
133 experiment reached 2222 mm, equally distributed over 12 months (Table S1, Supplementary  
134 Information).

135 Six fertilizer treatments were imposed: (i) a control without nutrients applied (Ctrl), (ii) N omitted with P  
136 and K applied (PK), (iii) P omitted with N and K applied (NK), (iv) K omitted with N and P applied (NP), (v)  
137 N, P, and K applied (NPK), and (vi) a treatment where secondary macronutrients (S, Ca, and Mg) and  
138 micronutrients (Zn and B) were applied in addition to N, P, and K (NPK+).

139 Fertilizer rates were based on the standard recommendations formulated for cassava in the region, and  
140 were concentrically applied on the soil at a distance of 10 cm from the plant base. Primary macronutrients  
141 were applied at 150 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, 40 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> and 180 kg K ha<sup>-1</sup>. The secondary macro- and micro-nutrients  
142 were applied at rates of 16.6 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>, 10 kg Ca ha<sup>-1</sup>, 10 kg Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>, 5 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> and 5 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup>. Nitrogen  
143 (N) was applied as Urea in three splits (30:35:35) at 1, 3 and 5 months after planting (MAP). Phosphorus  
144 (P) was applied as Triple Super Phosphate at once right after planting. Potassium (K) was applied as  
145 muriate of potash and split over three applications (30:35:35) at 1, 3 and 5-MAP. Sulfur, Magnesium and  
146 Zinc were applied as CaSO<sub>4</sub>, MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and ZnSO<sub>4</sub>, while Calcium was additionally applied as CaCO<sub>3</sub>. Boron  
147 was applied as Borax. All secondary- and micronutrients were applied once at the first MAP. The field was  
148 manually weeded at a frequency of 4 weeks, and no pests or diseases were reported during the  
149 experiment.

#### 150 Sampling of soil and roots

151 At 12 MAP (2/11/2019), the cassava plants were harvested. Within each plot, four randomly selected  
152 plants were carefully excavated from the soil, excluding border rows. From each of these four plants, the  
153 fine roots were sampled from each side of the root system. These fine root samples were then pooled per  
154 plant and stored in silica gel. One composite soil sample (0-15 cm) of the whole field was collected before  
155 the start of the experiment, and analyzed for pH, Olsen P, texture, organic matter, and oxalate extractable  
156 P, Fe, and Al. The fresh root yield was weighed based on the "useful plot size", including all plants in the

157 plot minus the border plants, resulting in a total of 30 plants per plot (56-26 = 30). Only the above-ground  
158 biomass and the number of roots per plant were extrapolated from 5 randomly selected plants per plot.

159

#### 160 DNA extraction, PCR amplification, and illumina sequencing

161 Exactly 70 mg dried of roots from the pooled root samples were cut into small 1 cm pieces. Roots were  
162 then homogenized in a Bead Mill Homogenizer (Omni International) for two times 30sec at 8 m/s.  
163 Genomic DNA was further extracted from each sample (288 samples) using the Soil DNA Isolation Kit  
164 according to the manufacturer's instructions (Norgen Biotek Corp., Thorold, ON, Canada). Subsequently,  
165 the obtained DNA was diluted 5 times prior to PCR amplification. PCR amplification was performed  
166 targeting the small subunit (SSU) region of the ribosomal RNA gene using sample-specific barcoded  
167 primers of the *glomeromycotinan*-specific primer pair AMV4.5NF-AMDGR (dual-index sequencing  
168 strategy (Kozich et al. 2013) which is AMF specific (Sato et al. 2005; Van Geel et al. 2014). PCR reactions  
169 were performed on a Bio-Rad T100 thermal cycler (Bio-Rad Laboratories, CA, USA) in a reaction volume  
170 of 25  $\mu$ L, containing 1 $\mu$ L of genomic DNA, 0,5  $\mu$ L of each 20  $\mu$ M primer, 5  $\mu$ l ALLin HiFi Buffer, 0,3 $\mu$ l ALLin  
171 HiFi DNA Polymerase (2 u/ $\mu$ l (highQu)) and 17.7  $\mu$ L of PCR water. Before amplification, DNA samples were  
172 denatured at 95  $^{\circ}$ C for 1 min. Next, 30 cycles were run, consisting of 15 s at 95  $^{\circ}$ C, 15 s at 53  $^{\circ}$ C and 11 s  
173 at 72  $^{\circ}$ C. Amplicons within the appropriate size range were purified using the Agencourt AMPure XP kit  
174 (Beckman Coulter Life Sciences, Indianapolis, IN, USA). Purified dsDNA amplicons were quantified using  
175 the Qubit dsDNA HS assay kit and the Qubit fluorometer (both from Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA).  
176 Subsequently, samples were pooled in equimolar concentrations, and the pooled amplicon library was  
177 loaded on an agarose gel. The final amplicon library of 350 bp was cut and purified again using the  
178 QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The purified library was then diluted to 2 nM and  
179 sequenced at Genomics Core (Gasthuisberg, Leuven, Belgium) on an Illumina Miseq platform with v2 500  
180 cycle reagent kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA).

#### 181 Bioinformatics

182 Sequences obtained from the illumina sequencing run were assembled, oriented, quality filtered, and  
183 clustered into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) using the USEARCH sequence analysis tool, following  
184 the recommended pipeline (Edgar 2013). First, the '*fastq\_mergepairs*' command was used to merge  
185 forward and reverse reads. Second, correct orientation of the sequences was ensured using the the  
186 '*orient*' command, using a curated sequence database: the MaarjAM database (Öpik et al. 2010). Quality

187 filtering of the reads was then performed with the *'fastq\_filter'* command, allowing a maximum expected  
188 error of 1.0 for the individual sequences. To maximize the number and length of retained sequences,  
189 truncation length was set to 200 bp. Next, the sequences were dereplicated and sorted by abundance.  
190 Sequences were then clustered into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) defined at 97% sequence  
191 similarity, which is commonly used to define SSU-based OTUs in AMF (Lumini et al. 2009; Öpik et al. 2010),  
192 using the *'cluster\_otus'* command. In this step, chimeric OTUs built from more abundant reads were  
193 discarded as well. To remove possible erroneous sequences produced during PCR or sequencing (Alberdi  
194 et al., 2018), we removed per sample the OTUs that were represented by less than 0.01 % of the  
195 sequences of that sample. The glomeromycotinan SSU dataset was then BLASTed against the MaarjAM  
196 dataset in order to assign a taxonomy to each of the OTUs (Öpik et al. 2010). Unidentified or unsurely  
197 identified (sequence similarity < 97%, query coverage < 95% or e-value > 1e-50) were BLASTed against  
198 the NCBI GenBank. All OTUs not belonging to the Glomeromycotina were removed, resulting in an OTU  
199 table with only AMF.

## 200 Data Analyses

201 To assess the sampling effort, rarefaction curves were made in R version 4.0.2 (R Development Core Team,  
202 2012), using the *rarefy* function of the *{vegan}* package. These rarefaction curves were used to determine  
203 the samples that were insufficiently deep sequenced to provide a reliable representation of the AMF  
204 communities. To this end, samples with less than 80 AM fungal sequences per sample were omitted from  
205 further analyses. The AM fungal richness was determined as the number of OTUs present in a sample,  
206 using the *specnumber* function from the *{vegan}* package in R (Oksanen et al. 2019) , while AM fungal  
207 diversity was approximated by the Shannon diversity index (H) using the *diversity* function. One sample  
208 corresponded with one plant. The total sum of AM fungal sequences per sample was used as a rough  
209 indication of abundance on the cassava roots. Single factor effects of *genotype*, *fertilizer*, and *fallow*, or  
210 factor interactions on root yield, aboveground biomass, and Shannon diversity (H) was assessed using  
211 three-way ANOVAs (Type III, using 'aov' from the *{stats}* package) after confirming normality, followed by  
212 multiple comparison calculating the Least Significant Difference (LSD). Generalized Linear Models (GLM)  
213 were used to test the effects of the three factors on the OTU richness and the sum of AM fungal sequences  
214 by using the *{lme4}* package in R with Poisson distribution and log link function. Effects were plotted using  
215 *ggplot* from the *{ggplot2}* package. Additionally, the environmental factors (i.e. *genotype*, *fertilizer*, and  
216 *fallow*) were then fitted onto the OTU richness (i.e. *richnessfit*) and Shannon diversity (i.e. *diversityfit*)  
217 using the *envfit* function of the *{vegan}* package. A non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) was then

218 performed on the OTU matrix with Bray-Curtis distances (*metaNMDS* function, *{vegan}* package, R).  
219 Afterwards, we plotted the treatment levels of the categorical factors on this ordination. We analyzed the  
220 factor effects on the AMF community composition using permutational multivariate ANOVAs  
221 (PERMANOVA) on the Hellinger-transformed OTU table, after verifying the assumption of homogeneous  
222 multivariate dispersions (999 permutations; *vegan* package, *adonis* function, R). Subsequently, a post-hoc  
223 multiple comparison of the AMF composition among groups was conducted using the *pairwise.adonis*  
224 function in R. Significant differences in AMF composition among groups were further analyzed in more  
225 detail by comparing compositional charts of the OTU count and sum of AM fungal sequences at genus  
226 level. To investigate whether some AMF taxa were significantly associated with certain treatments, we  
227 used the *multipatt* function of the *{indicspecies}* package. Subsequently, we performed variation  
228 partitioning on the OTU matrix, using the 'varpart' function (Legendre 2008) of the R package *{vegan}*. To  
229 further analyze effects of *genotype* and *fertilizer* application in more detail, a partial redundancy analysis  
230 (pRDA) was performed on the Hellinger-transformed OTU table by using the R package *{vegan}*, while  
231 correcting for the large effect of *fallow* in the condition term.

232

## 233 **Results**

### 234 richness, diversity, and abundance of AMF

235 Illumina sequencing generated 766 998 high quality mycorrhizal sequences, assigned to a total of 173  
236 OTUs, for a total of 288 cassava root samples (3 replicate blocks × 24 treatment combinations × 4 plants  
237 per sub-plot). The majority of OTUs belonged to the Glomeraceae (69.4%, 120 OTUs, 723 861 sequences)  
238 whereas only a few OTUs belonged to the Claroideoglomeraceae (9.3%, 16 OTUs, 5 531 sequences),  
239 Paraglomeraceae (6.4%, 11 OTU, 5 416 sequences), Diversisporaceae (5.8%, 10 OTU, 3 189 sequences),  
240 Acaulosporaceae (4.6%, 8 OTU, 24 762 sequences), Gigasporaceae (4.1%, 7 OTU, 4 221 sequences), and  
241 Ambisporaceae (0.6%, 1 OTU, 18 sequences).

242 The OTU richness on the cassava roots was strongly reduced (69% reduction with a p-value < 0.001) by  
243 the long *fallow* duration of 12 months, explaining most of the variation (Fig. 1a, Table 2). Similarly, the  
244 Shannon diversity was only affected by the *fallow* duration, being significantly lower (p-value < 0.001) after  
245 one year of fallow compared to a fallow duration of six months (Fig. 1b, Table 2). This dominant effect of  
246 *fallow* duration on richness and diversity of AMF was additionally confirmed by the 'richnessfit' and  
247 'diversityfit' (Table S2, Supplementary Information). The OTU richness only slightly but significantly (p-



248 value <0.05) increased for the *genotype* Obama, while it slightly but significantly ( $p < 0.01$ ) decreased in  
249 the NP treatment (Table 2). In contrast to the OTU richness and diversity, a significantly higher sum of  
250 sequences ( $p$ -value = 0.002) was observed on the roots of the improved genotype (Obama), compared to  
251 the local landrace (Bailo) (Table 2).

#### 252 Effects of fallow duration, fertilizer management, and genotype on the community composition of AMF

253 Visual inspection of the NMDS plot (Fig. 2, Fig. S1) and the permutation test (Table S3) revealed that *fallow*  
254 duration dominantly altered the AMF community composition on and in the cassava roots ( $p < 0.001$ ).  
255 Results of the variation partitioning (Fig. S2) and PERMANOVA (Table 3) indeed confirmed that largest  
256 variation in the AMF community composition could be assigned to *fallow* duration only. When correcting  
257 for the large effect of *fallow* duration in the pRDA, only minor effects of *fertilizer* ( $p = 0.01$ ) and *genotype*  
258 ( $p = 0.02$ ) on the AMF community composition could be observed (Fig. S3, supplementary information).  
259 Only few OTUs were significantly indicative to a particular *genotype* or *fertilizer* treatment, while many  
260 OTUs (i.e. 49) were significantly assigned to the short *fallow* duration (Fig. 3, S4, S5, S6 and Table S4).

#### 261 Cassava root yield and above ground biomass

262 Cassava root yield was mainly determined by *genotype* (strongly significant), and significant effects of the  
263 *fertilizer* treatments were only observed for the high yielding genotype (i.e. Obama), resulting in a  
264 significant interaction between *genotype* and *fertilizer* treatment (Fig. 4, Table 2). The aboveground  
265 biomass was also largest for Obama, and *fertilizer* management had a significant effect on both genotypes  
266 (i.e. lowest biomass obtained without P) and no significant interactions were observed. *Fallow* duration  
267 did not affect root yield, nor did it affect above ground biomass (Fig. 5, Supplementary information).

268

#### 269 **Discussion**

270 This is the first study to identify the major agronomic drivers and dynamics of naturally occurring AMF on  
271 cassava by using high throughput sequencing techniques, while evaluating relations with yields. The study  
272 represents actual and realistic scenarios of agricultural intensification practices in a smallholder farming  
273 system. Through establishing a shorter fallow duration, richness and diversity of AMF on cassava roots  
274 significantly increased, yet fallow duration did not affect yields. In contrast, the use of an improved  
275 genotype and mineral fertilizer combinations benefitted yields, without compromising richness or  
276 diversity of AMF.

### 277 AMF taxa present on cassava roots

278 The observed AMF community composition and diversity was very similar to that of other studies on  
279 cassava (Séry et al. 2016; Peña-Venegas et al. 2019; Sarr et al. 2019), with the large majority of sequences  
280 and OTUs belonging to the family of Glomeraceae (genera *Glomus* and *Rhizophagus*). Members of this  
281 family are considered more disturbance-tolerant due to their high rate of hyphal turnover, high growth  
282 and sporulation rate and their capacity to reproduce from both spores and hyphal fragments (Staddon et  
283 al. 2003; Chagnon et al. 2013; van der Heyde et al. 2017; Oehl et al. 2017). As a consequence, Glomeraceae  
284 are often found to dominate AMF communities in agricultural systems. In addition, all other, less  
285 abundant AMF genera observed in this study were previously yet reported to have affinity for cassava  
286 (Sieverding and Howeler 1985; Straker et al. 2010; Begoude et al. 2016). Additionally, it should be  
287 regarded that a primer bias might have caused an amplification of the dominance of Glomeraceae and an  
288 underestimation of the abundance of Ambisporaceae, Claroideoglomeraceae, and Paraglomeraceae (Van  
289 Geel et al. 2014).

### 290 Cassava genotype drives yields, but not the community composition of AMF

291 Cassava yield was mainly determined by genotype, which contradicts previous observations of poor  
292 genotype effects on cassava yields (Fermont et al. 2010; Ezui et al. 2017). In contrast, genotype in this  
293 study did not affect the AMF community composition.

294 It was previously stated that crop genotype may influence the AMF composition on plant roots, following  
295 intraspecific preferences or continued co-evolution of landraces with AMF taxa (Croll et al. 2008; An et al.  
296 2010; Hoeksema 2010; Lehmann et al. 2012; Martín-Robles et al. 2018). Indeed, different cassava  
297 genotypes (landraces) were previously observed to have a different AMF composition both when grown  
298 in the same or different environment (Begoude et al. 2016; Peña-Venegas et al. 2019). However, genotype  
299 specific Cassava-AMF interactions could not be confirmed in this study as the AMF composition of the  
300 local landrace (Bailo) did not differ from the improved genotype (Obama). Hence we could not provide  
301 evidence of such continued co-evolution, or strong intraspecific preferences of cassava for AMF species  
302 (Hoeksema 2010).

### 303 Robustness of cassava-AMF associations to mineral fertilizers and improved genotypes

304 Previous work has shown that nutrient management (Howeler and Sieverding 1983; van Geel et al. 2015;  
305 Van Geel et al. 2016; López-Ráez 2016; Aliyu et al. 2019; Sendek et al. 2019) can influence the associations  
306 of plants with AMF, and that mainly phosphorus amendments reduce the abundance and diversity of AMF

307 on crops (Collins and Foster 2009; Johnson 2010). However, fertilizer application in this study did not  
308 affect the richness or diversity of AMF on or in cassava roots. Despite a slightly higher yet not significant  
309 sum of AMF sequences observed without P application, no evidence could be found that richness or  
310 diversity of AMF on cassava responds negatively to mineral fertilizer application (Verbruggen & Kiers,  
311 2010; Rillig et al., 2019). This suggests that AMF communities associated with cassava might indeed be  
312 more resilient to mineral fertilizer amendments than initially thought (Ryan and Graham 2018).

313 It was previously hypothesized that crop improvement and domestication would suppress crop-AMF  
314 associations following genotype selection for higher yields on agricultural fields with lower AMF (Martín-  
315 Robles et al. 2018). The use of an improved genotype in this study did neither affect the diversity nor the  
316 richness of AMF. Therefore, we argue that breeding does not necessarily compromise on the diversity and  
317 richness of AMF on or in cassava roots (Bull et al. 2011).

318 Accordingly, a higher abundance of AMF was previously also observed on improved maize genotypes (An  
319 et al. 2010), and these observations indeed suggest that modern cassava breeding programs would not  
320 necessarily lead to the suppression of colonization (Lehmann et al. 2012); but rather the opposite.  
321 Therefore, future research would benefit to further determine the degree of AMF colonization on  
322 improved cassava genotypes grown in realistic conditions.

### 323 Effects of fallow duration on AMF communities and cassava yield

324 Previously, fields in the region of tropical Africa were subjected to long fallow durations (>30 years), in  
325 order to restore the soil fertility status (Tian et al. 2005). More particularly, a long fallow period was  
326 established to build up organic matter and enhance nutrient availability. Due to high human population  
327 pressure, land scarcity, and low yields this historical `shifting cultivation` in the area has currently been  
328 replaced by more permanent cultivation with relatively short fallow durations, aiming to suppress pests  
329 and diseases.

330 While long fallow duration may indirectly influence the AMF communities through changes in soil  
331 properties (Jemo et al. 2018), it is known that shortening the fallow duration may enhance inoculation of  
332 the subsequent crop with indigenous AMF (Lekberg and Koide 2005; Angus et al. 2015; Bowles et al. 2017;  
333 Jemo et al. 2018). However, it was previously argued that yield penalties following reduced colonization  
334 of AMF after long fallow are generally low (Ryan and Kirkegaard 2012; Seymour et al. 2012; Angus et al.  
335 2015). Here, we indeed demonstrated that the length of fallow duration is indeed a major driver of cassava  
336 AMF composition, richness, and diversity, but despite these observed strong effects of fallow duration on

337 the AMF community, it did not affect yield. An important conclusion from our study is that commonly  
338 considered variables such as richness and diversity of AMF do not directly affect cassava yields. Hence,  
339 this work contributes to the discussion whether cassava farmers should consider diversity of AMF when  
340 selecting agronomic practices or not (Ryan and Graham 2018).

341 Interestingly, the longer fallow duration in this study strongly reduced richness and diversity of AMF, while  
342 not affecting the sum of AM fungal sequences (Table 2 & Fig. S4). This may suggest that the degree of  
343 root colonization remains similar, but that fewer, perhaps more competitive AMF taxa were available for  
344 colonization after the longer fallow. Yet, because we have not stained roots to quantify colonization, this  
345 conclusion remains speculative. Nevertheless, the observed decreasing richness and diversity of AMF is  
346 not in line with earlier work that has shown that ruderal plants encroaching during fallow periods may  
347 serve as temporary hosts of AMF, favoring subsequent crop colonization (Ramos-Zapata et al. 2013). On  
348 the other hand it is known that many agricultural weeds with a ruderal lifestyle are inconsistent  
349 mycorrhizal hosts (Brundrett and Tedersoo 2018). Furthermore, Cassava may be preferred by AMF with a  
350 less ruderal lifestyle, but this requires further investigation. Further research should closely monitor the  
351 evolution of AMF community composition in soils and weeds with increasing fallow duration, and further  
352 unravel relations with crop yield.

353 Our study does not contradict that more abundant and diverse mycorrhizal colonization can enhance the  
354 resilience of an agroecosystem, without direct yield benefits (Rillig and Mummey 2006; Polcyn et al. 2019;  
355 Rillig et al. 2019; Wipf et al. 2019; Begum et al. 2019). Therefore, effects of altered AMF community  
356 composition on yields might only be displayed under varying environmental conditions (Lekberg and Koide  
357 2005), and hence complex environmental interactions should be regarded. While AMF may indeed play a  
358 role in ecosystem services of relevance to farmers, we lack the fundamental, field-relevant information to  
359 quantify or capture these potential effects on the longer term. Hence, more field studies on poor soils are  
360 needed to evaluate effects of management and AMF on the temporal yield stability in real agronomic  
361 situations.

362

## 363 **Conclusions**

364 This study suggests that cassava-AMF associations are relatively stable under mineral fertilizer  
365 amendments and we could not determine negative effects of genotype improvement on cassava-AMF  
366 associations. This study indicates that the use of improved genotypes and mineral fertilizer has a huge

367 potential to benefit cassava yields, without necessarily compromising the richness or diversity of AMF.  
368 Fallow duration before cassava planting emerges as a dominant factor affecting AMF community  
369 composition on cassava roots, but the increased richness and diversity of AMF observed after a shorter  
370 fallow duration did not further enhance yields. No evidence could be found that farmers obtain direct  
371 benefits from management practices improving richness and diversity of AMF on cassava roots. However,  
372 a more abundant and diverse AMF colonization could indeed enhance cassava's resilience on very poor  
373 soils or during drought events; and more on-field experiments are needed to further unravel the role of  
374 AMF in agricultural systems of sub Saharan Africa.

375 This study highlights the complex relationships among crop genotype, realistic agronomic practices, crop-  
376 AMF associations, and final crop yield. Hence, when science advocates exploitation of AMF as a major  
377 mean of sustainable agricultural intensification, a strong systems agronomic approach will be needed for  
378 its implementation.

379

380

### 381 **Acknowledgements**

382 P.D.B. was supported by a KU Leuven PDM-grant (n° PDM/19/070). The fieldwork was made possible  
383 through grants from the Belgian Directorate-General for Development Cooperation and Humanitarian Aid  
384 (DGD), via its multilateral cooperation with the CGIAR in a project called 'CIALCA' and via the Flemish  
385 Interuniversity Council (VLIR) in its Institutional University Cooperation with the Université Catholique de  
386 Bukavu (DR Congo). Plant and soil samples from DR Congo were authorized for export by the province of  
387 South-Kivu (N° 55.00/005/IPA/SK/2020). We further thank Christian Kach Matafula Kanyoroka, Jeanne  
388 d'Arc Shamavu Sifa, Mukulu Bideko Louis-Pasteur, Elvis Baguma, Sianne Amani Tabia, Christelle  
389 Balemamize Karuta, Biergi Mupenzi, Nicolette Safi Balagizi, Damas Sanduku, Achille Rujamizi, and Serge  
390 Bangwe Ruhembuza for the maintenance and assistance on the field. We also thank the *International*  
391 *Institute of Tropical Agriculture* for the hospitality at Kalambo.

392

### 393 **Conflict of Interest**

394 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

## 395 **Author Contribution**

396 P.D.B., D.B., R.M., and O.H. designed the research; D.B. and W.M. set-up the field experiment; P.D.B, D.B,  
397 and W.M. collected the samples; G.P. and P.D.B. performed the laboratory analysis; P.D.B and M.B.  
398 analyzed the data with helpful insights from O.H.; P.D.B. wrote the draft of the manuscript with  
399 constructive inputs from O.H, R.M., M.B. All authors reviewed and edited the final manuscript, and R.M.  
400 and O.H. supervised the research.

401

## 402 **References:**

- 403 Aliyu IA, Yusuf AA, Uyovbisere EO, et al (2019) Effect of co-application of phosphorus fertilizer and in vitro-produced  
404 mycorrhizal fungal inoculants on yield and leaf nutrient concentration of cassava. PLoS One 14:e0218969.  
405 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0218969>
- 406 An GH, Kobayashi S, Enoki H, et al (2010) How does arbuscular mycorrhizal colonization vary with host plant genotype? An  
407 example based on maize (*Zea mays*) germplasms. Plant Soil 327:441–453. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-009-0073-3>
- 408 Angus JF, Kirkegaard JA, Hunt JR, et al (2015) Break crops and rotations for wheat. Crop Pasture Sci. 66:523–552
- 409 Balagopalan C (2002) Cassava utilization in food, feed and industry. In: Cassava: biology, production and utilization. CABI,  
410 Wallingford, pp 301–318
- 411 Begoude DAB, Sarr PS, Mpon TLY, et al (2016) Composition of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi associated with cassava (*Manihot*  
412 *esculenta* Crantz) cultivars as influenced by chemical fertilization and tillage in Cameroon. J Appl Biosci 98:9270.  
413 <https://doi.org/10.4314/jab.v98i1.4>
- 414 Begum N, Qin C, Ahanger MA, et al (2019) Role of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi in Plant Growth Regulation: Implications in  
415 Abiotic Stress Tolerance. Front. Plant Sci. 10:1068
- 416 Bowles TM, Jackson LE, Loeher M, Cavagnaro TR (2017) Ecological intensification and arbuscular mycorrhizas: a meta-analysis of  
417 tillage and cover crop effects. J Appl Ecol 54:1785–1793. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.12815>
- 418 Brundrett MC, Tedersoo L (2018) Evolutionary history of mycorrhizal symbioses and global host plant diversity. New Phytol.  
419 220:1108–1115
- 420 Bull SE, Ndunguru J, Gruissem W, et al (2011) Cassava: constraints to production and the transfer of biotechnology to African  
421 laboratories. Plant Cell Rep 30:779–787. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00299-010-0986-6>
- 422 Burns A, Gleadow R, Cliff J, et al (2010) Cassava: The drought, war and famine crop in a changing world. Sustainability 2:3572–  
423 3607. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su2113572>
- 424 Carretero CL, Cantos M, García JL, et al (2009) Growth Responses of Micropropagated Cassava Clones as Affected by *Glomus*  
425 *Intraradices* Colonization. J Plant Nutr 32:261–273. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01904160802608601>
- 426 Ceballos I, Ruiz M, Fernández C, Rodríguez R (2013) The In Vitro Mass-Produced Model Mycorrhizal Fungus, *Rhizophagus*  
427 *irregularis*, Significantly Increases Yields of the Globally Important Food Security Crop Cassava. PLoS One 8:70633.  
428 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0070633>
- 429 Chagnon PL, Bradley RL, Maherali H, Klironomos JN (2013) A trait-based framework to understand life history of mycorrhizal  
430 fungi. Trends Plant Sci. 18:484–491
- 431 Collins CD, Foster BL (2009) Community-level consequences of mycorrhizae depend on phosphorus availability. Ecology  
432 90:2567–2576. <https://doi.org/10.1890/08-1560.1>
- 433 Croll D, Wille L, Gamper HA, et al (2008) Genetic diversity and host plant preferences revealed by simple sequence repeat and  
434 mitochondrial markers in a population of the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus *Glomus intraradices*. New Phytol 178:672–  
435 687. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02381.x>
- 436 Dada AD, Ali GA, Afolabi OO, Siyanbola WO (2010) African journal of science, technology, innovation and development. Taylor &  
437 Francis
- 438 De Souza AP, Massenbourg LN, Jaiswal D, et al (2017) Rooting for cassava: insights into photosynthesis and associated physiology  
439 as a route to improve yield potential. New Phytol 213:50–65. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14250>
- 440 Edgar RC (2013) UPARSE: Highly accurate OTU sequences from microbial amplicon reads. Nat Methods 10:996–998.  
441 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2604>
- 442 Ezui KS, Franke AC, Ahiabor BDK, et al (2017) Understanding cassava yield response to soil and fertilizer nutrient supply in West  
443 Africa. Plant Soil 420:331–347. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-017-3387-6>
- 444 Fermont AM, Tittonell PA, Baguma Y, et al (2010) Towards understanding factors that govern fertilizer response in cassava:

445 Lessons from East Africa. *Nutr Cycl Agroecosystems* 86:133–151. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10705-009-9278-3>  
 446 Fermont AM, van Asten PJA, Tittone P, et al (2009) Closing the cassava yield gap: An analysis from smallholder farms in East  
 447 Africa. *F Crop Res* 112:24–36. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2009.01.009>  
 448 Habte M, Byappanahalli MN (1994) Dependency of cassava (*Manihot esculanta* Crantz) on vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal  
 449 fungi. *Mycorrhiza* 4:241–245. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00206771>  
 450 Hart MM, Antunes PM, Chaudhary VB, Abbott LK (2018) Fungal inoculants in the field: Is the reward greater than the risk? *Funct*  
 451 *Ecol* 32:126–135. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12976>  
 452 Hoeksema JD (2010) Ongoing coevolution in mycorrhizal interactions. *New Phytol* 187:286–300.  
 453 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03305.x>  
 454 Howeler RH, Cadavid LF, Burckhardt E (1982) Response of cassava to VA mycorrhizal inoculation and phosphorus application in  
 455 greenhouse and field experiments. *Plant Soil* 69:327–339. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02372454>  
 456 Howeler RH, Sieverding E (1983) Potentials and limitations of mycorrhizal inoculation illustrated by experiments with field-  
 457 grown cassava. *Plant Soil* 75:245–261. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02375570>  
 458 Jemo M, Dhiba D, Hashem A, et al (2018) Mycorrhizal fungal community structure in tropical humid soils under fallow and  
 459 cropping conditions. *Sci Rep* 8:1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-34736-6>  
 460 Johnson NC (2010) Resource stoichiometry elucidates the structure and function of arbuscular mycorrhizas across scales. *New*  
 461 *Phytol.* 185:631–647  
 462 Kintché K, Hauser S, Mahungu NM, et al (2017) Cassava yield loss in farmer fields was mainly caused by low soil fertility and  
 463 suboptimal management practices in two provinces of the Democratic Republic of Congo. *Eur J Agron* 89:107–123.  
 464 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eja.2017.06.011>  
 465 Kozich JJ, Westcott SL, Baxter NT, et al (2013) Development of a dual-index sequencing strategy and curation pipeline for  
 466 analyzing amplicon sequence data on the miseq illumina sequencing platform. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 79:5112–5120.  
 467 <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01043-13>  
 468 Legendre P (2008) Studying beta diversity: ecological variation partitioning by multiple regression and canonical analysis. *J Plant*  
 469 *Ecol* 1:3–8. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jpe/rtn001>  
 470 Lehmann A, Barto EK, Powell JR, Rillig MC (2012) Mycorrhizal responsiveness trends in annual crop plants and their wild  
 471 relatives—a meta-analysis on studies from 1981 to 2010. *Plant Soil* 355:231–250. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-011-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-011-1095-1)  
 472 [1095-1](https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15064)  
 473 Lekberg Y, Helgason T (2018) *In situ* mycorrhizal function - knowledge gaps and future directions. *New Phytol* 220:957–962.  
 474 <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15064>  
 475 Lekberg Y, Koide RT (2005) Is plant performance limited by abundance of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi? A meta-analysis of  
 476 studies published between 1988 and 2003. *New Phytol* 168:189–204. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2005.01490.x>  
 477 López-Ráez JA (2016) How drought and salinity affect arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis and strigolactone biosynthesis? *Planta*  
 478 243:1375–1385  
 479 Lumini E, Orgiazzi A, Borriello R, et al (2009) Disclosing arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal biodiversity in soil through a land-use  
 480 gradient using a pyrosequencing approach. *Environ Microbiol* 12:2165–2179. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2009.02099.x)  
 481 [2920.2009.02099.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14962)  
 482 Martín-Robles N, Lehmann A, Seco E, et al (2018) Impacts of domestication on the arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis of 27 crop  
 483 species. *New Phytol* 218:322–334. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14962>  
 484 Munyahali W, Pypers P, Swennen R, et al (2017) Responses of cassava growth and yield to leaf harvesting frequency and NPK  
 485 fertilizer in South Kivu, Democratic Republic of Congo. *F Crop Res* 214:194–201.  
 486 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2017.09.018>  
 487 Oehl F, Laczko E, Oberholzer HR, et al (2017) Diversity and biogeography of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in agricultural soils.  
 488 *Biol Fert Soil* 53:777–797. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-017-1217-x>  
 489 Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Friendly M, et al (2019) Package “vegan” Title Community Ecology Package Version 2.5-6  
 490 Öpik M, Vanatoa A, Vanatoa E, et al (2010) The online database MaarjAM reveals global and ecosystemic distribution patterns  
 491 in arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (Glomeromycota). *New Phytol* 188:223–241. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03334.x)  
 492 [8137.2010.03334.x](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00307844)  
 493 Osonubi O, Atayese MO, Mulongoy K (1995) The effect of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal inoculation on nutrient uptake and  
 494 yield of alley-cropped cassava in a degraded Alfisol of southwestern Nigeria. *Biol Fert Soil* 20:70–76.  
 495 <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00307844>  
 496 Peña-Venegas CP, Kuyper TW, Davison J, et al (2019) Distinct arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal communities associate with  
 497 different manioc landraces and Amazonian soils. *Mycorrhiza* 29:263–275. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-019-00891-5>  
 498 Polcyn W, Paluch-Lubawa E, Lehmann T, Miśkula R (2019) Arbuscular mycorrhiza in highly fertilized maize cultures alleviates  
 499 short-term drought effects but does not improve fodder yield and quality. *Front Plant Sci* 10:  
 500 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.00496>  
 501 Pypers P, Sanginga JM, Kasereka B, et al (2011) Increased productivity through integrated soil fertility management in cassava-  
 502 legume intercropping systems in the highlands of Sud-Kivu, DR Congo. *F Crop Res* 120:76–85.

503 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2010.09.004>  
 504 R Development Core Team (2012) R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing  
 505 Ramos-Zapata J, Ramos-Zapata JA, Marrufo-Zapata D, et al (2013) RUDERAL PLANTS: TEMPORARY HOSTS OF ARBUSCULAR  
 506 MYCORRHIZAL FUNGI IN TRADITIONAL AGRICULTURAL SYSTEMS? Trop Subtrop Agroecosystems 16:  
 507 Rillig MC, Aguilar-Trigueros CA, Camenzind T, et al (2019) Why farmers should manage the arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis.  
 508 New Phytol. 222:1171–1175  
 509 Rillig MC, Mummey DL (2006) Mycorrhizas and soil structure. New Phytol. 171:41–53  
 510 Rodriguez A, Sanders IR (2014) The role of community and population ecology in applying mycorrhizal fungi for improved food  
 511 security. ISME J 9:1053–1061. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2014.207>  
 512 Ryan MH, Graham JH (2018) Little evidence that farmers should consider abundance or diversity of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi  
 513 when managing crops. New Phytol 220:1092–1107. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15308>  
 514 Ryan MH, Graham JH, Morton JB, Kirkegaard JA (2019) Research must use a systems agronomy approach if management of the  
 515 arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis is to contribute to sustainable intensification. New Phytol. 222:1176–1178  
 516 Ryan MH, Kirkegaard JA (2012) The agronomic relevance of arbuscular mycorrhizas in the fertility of Australian extensive  
 517 cropping systems. Ecosyst Environ 163:37–53. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2012.03.011>  
 518 Salami AO, Odeh AC, Osonubi O (2005) The use of arbuscular mycorrhiza (AM) as a source of yield increase in sustainable  
 519 alley cropping system. Arch Agron Soil Sci 51:385–390. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03650340500133175>  
 520 Sarr PS, Sugiyama A, Begoude ADB, et al (2019) Diversity and distribution of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi in cassava (*Manihot*  
 521 *esculenta* Crantz) croplands in Cameroon as revealed by Illumina MiSeq. Rhizosphere 10:100147.  
 522 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rhisph.2019.100147>  
 523 Sato K, Suyama Y, Saito M, Sugawara K (2005) A new primer for discrimination of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi with polymerase  
 524 chain reaction-denature gradient gel electrophoresis. Grassl Sci 51:179–181. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-697x.2005.00023.x>  
 525 Sendek A, Karakoç C, Wagg C, et al (2019) Drought modulates interactions between arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal diversity and  
 526 barley genotype diversity. Sci Rep 9:1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-45702-1>  
 527 Séry DJ-M, Kouadjo ZGC, Voko BRR, Zézé A (2016) Selecting Native Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi to Promote Cassava Growth  
 528 and Increase Yield under Field Conditions. Front Microbiol 7:2063. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.02063>  
 529 Seymour M, Kirkegaard JA, Peoples MB, et al (2012) Break-crop benefits to wheat in Western Australia – insights from over  
 530 three decades of research. Crop Pasture Sci 63:1. <https://doi.org/10.1071/CP11320>  
 531 Sieverding E, Howeler RH (1985) Influence of species of VA mycorrhizal fungi on cassava yield response to phosphorus  
 532 fertilization. Plant Soil 88:213–221. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02182447>  
 533 Smith SE, Read DJ (2008) Mycorrhizal symbiosis. Academic Press  
 534 Staddon PL, Ramsey CB, Ostle N, et al (2003) Rapid turnover of hyphae of mycorrhizal fungi determined by AMS microanalysis  
 535 of 14C. Science (80- ) 300:1138–1140. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1084269>  
 536 Straker CJ, Hilditch AJ, Rey MEC (2010) Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi associated with cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz) in  
 537 South Africa. South African J Bot 76:102–111. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sajb.2009.09.005>  
 538 Thirkell TJ, Charters MD, Elliott AJ, et al (2017) Are mycorrhizal fungi our sustainable saviours? Considerations for achieving  
 539 food security. J Ecol 105:921–929. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12788>  
 540 Tian G, Kang BT, Kolawole GO, et al (2005) Long-term effects of fallow systems and lengths on crop production and soil fertility  
 541 maintenance in West Africa. Nutr Cycl Agroecosystems 71:139–150. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10705-004-1927-y>  
 542 Treseder KK (2013) The extent of mycorrhizal colonization of roots and its influence on plant growth and phosphorus content.  
 543 Plant Soil 371:1–13  
 544 van der Heijden MGA, Klironomos JN, Ursic M, et al (1998) Mycorrhizal fungal diversity determines plant biodiversity,  
 545 ecosystem variability and productivity. Nature 396:69–72. <https://doi.org/10.1038/23932>  
 546 van der Heyde M, Ohsowski B, Abbott LK, Hart M (2017) Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus responses to disturbance are context-  
 547 dependent. Mycorrhiza 27:431–440. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-016-0759-3>  
 548 Van Geel M, Busschaert P, Honnay O, Lievens B (2014) Evaluation of six primer pairs targeting the nuclear rRNA operon for  
 549 characterization of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal (AMF) communities using 454 pyrosequencing. J Microbiol Methods  
 550 106:93–100. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2014.08.006>  
 551 van Geel M, Ceustermans A, van Hemelrijck W, et al (2015) Decrease in diversity and changes in community composition of  
 552 arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in roots of apple trees with increasing orchard management intensity across a regional  
 553 scale. Mol Ecol 24:941–952. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13079>  
 554 Van Geel M, De Beenhouwer M, Ceulemans T, et al (2016) Application of slow-release phosphorus fertilizers increases  
 555 arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal diversity in the roots of apple trees. Plant Soil 402:291–301.  
 556 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-015-2777-x>  
 557 Verbruggen E, Toby Kiers E (2010) Evolutionary ecology of mycorrhizal functional diversity in agricultural systems. Evol Appl  
 558 3:547–560. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-4571.2010.00145.x>  
 559 Wipf D, Krajinski F, Tuinen D, et al (2019) Trading on the arbuscular mycorrhiza market: from arbuscules to common  
 560



561 mycorrhizal networks. *New Phytol* 223:1127–1142. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15775>  
562 Zhang S, Lehmann A, Zheng W, et al (2019) Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi increase grain yields: a meta-analysis. *New Phytol*  
563 222:543–555. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15570>  
564

**Table 1: Site and initial soil information of the field experiment.**

Village	Coordinates	Altitude	Field Area	pH	Olsen P	Organic Matter	Organic Carbon	----Texture----			P <sub>ox</sub>	Fe <sub>ox</sub>	Al <sub>ox</sub>
		[m a.s.l.]	[Ha]	H <sub>2</sub> O	[mg kg <sup>-1</sup> ]	[%]	[%]	[%sand]	[%silt]	[%clay]	[mg kg <sup>-1</sup> ]	[mg kg <sup>-1</sup> ]	[mg kg <sup>-1</sup> ]
Muhongoza	2°03'22.9"S 28°54'15.3"E	1465	0.82	6.6	26.8	4.1	2.4	71.8	16.0	12.2	313	2086	1268

X<sub>ox</sub>: Oxalate extracted

**Table 2: Effects of fallow duration (Fallow), genotype, and fertilizer on OTU richness and sum of AMF sequences inferred with Generalized Linear Models (GLM) using Poisson distribution (Top). Factor effects on Shannon diversity and cassava root yield were assessed by a three-way ANOVA (bottom).**

GLM	OTU Richness			Sum of AMF sequences		
<i>Fixed effects:</i>	<i>Estimate ± SE</i>	<i>z-value</i>	<i>p-value</i>	<i>Estimate ± SE</i>	<i>z-value</i>	<i>p-value</i>
Intercept	2.93 ± 0.07	41.1	***	7.41 ± 0.28	26.1	***
Fallow - 12 months	-1.20 ± 0.04	-27.3	***	-0.02 ± 0.20	-0.1	ns
Genotype - Obama	0.09 ± 0.04	2.0	*	0.58 ± 0.19	3.1	**
Fertilizer - NK	-0.14 ± 0.07	-1.9	0.06	0.26 ± 0.33	0.8	ns
Fertilizer - NP	-0.23 ± 0.08	-3.1	**	-0.09 ± 0.33	-0.3	ns
Fertilizer - NPK	-0.10 ± 0.07	-1.4	ns	0.05 ± 0.33	0.2	ns
Fertilizer - NPK+	-0.11 ± 0.07	-1.5	ns	0.19 ± 0.33	0.6	ns
Fertilizer - PK	-0.03 ± 0.07	-0.5	ns	-0.21 ± 0.33	-0.7	ns

  

ANOVA	Shannon diversity			Cassava root yield		
<i>Factors:</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>F-value</i>	<i>p-value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>F-value</i>	<i>p-value</i>
Fallow	1	310.55	***	1	1.95	ns
Genotype	1	0.04	ns	1	338.96	***
Fertilizer	5	0.93	ns	5	2.68	*
Fallow:Genotype	1	2.42	ns	1	0.23	ns
Fallow:Fertilizer	5	0.81	ns	5	0.36	ns
Genotype:Fertilizer	1	1.72	ns	1	3.60	*
Fallow:Genotype:Fertilizer	5	1.58	ns	5	0.40	ns

\* Significance in this table was based on a p-level of '\*\*' <0.05, '\*\*\*' <0.01 and '\*\*\*\*' <0.001; while ns = not significant



1 **Table 3: Factor effects and interactions on the AMF composition (i.e. presence/absence of certain OTUs**  
 2 **in the AMF community) using permutational multivariate ANOVA (PERMANOVA) on the Hellinger**  
 3 **transformed data, after verifying the assumption of homogeneous multivariate dispersions (999**  
 4 **permutations; vegan package, adonis function, R). The values for Fallow duration are underlined and**  
 5 **presented in bold, since they were much higher than the other values.**

6

Factor	Df	MeansQs	F - Model	P-value
Genotype	1	0.57	2.2	*
Fertilizer	5	0.44	1.7	**
Fallow	1	<b><u>7.23</u></b>	<b><u>28.1</u></b>	<b><u>***</u></b>
Genotype:Fertilizer	5	0.35	1.4	ns
Genotype:Fallow	1	0.68	2.6	**
Fertilizer:Fallow	5	0.46	1.8	**
Genotype:Fertilizer:Fallow	5	0.30	1.1	ns
Residuals	210	0.26		

\* Significance in this table was based on a p-level of '\*\*' <0.05, '\*\*\*' <0.01 and '\*\*\*\*' <0.001; while ns = not significant

7

8

9

10