**THE INTERNATIONAL PREVALENCE AND VARIABILITY OF NON-ADHERENCE TO
THE NON-PHARMACOLOGIC TREATMENT REGIMEN AFTER HEART
TRANSPLANTATION: FINDINGS FROM THE CROSS-SECTIONAL BRIGHT STUDY**

Running title: Non-pharmacologic treatment non-adherence in HTx

Remon Helmy¹, MSc, RPh; Nathalie Duerinckx^{2,3}, PhD, RN; Sabina De Geest^{1,2}, PhD, RN;
Kris Denhaerynck¹, PhD, RN; Lut Berben⁴, PhD, RN; Cynthia L. Russell⁵, PhD, RN; Johan
Van Cleemput³, PhD, MD; Maria G. Crespo-Leiro⁶, PhD, MD; Fabienne Dobbels^{1,2}, PhD,
MSc; on behalf of the BRIGHT study team

¹ Institute of Nursing Science, Department of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, University
of Basel, Basel, Switzerland

² Academic Centre for Nursing and Midwifery, Department of Public Health and Primary
Care, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

³ Heart Transplant Program, University Hospitals of Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

⁴ University Hospital of Basel, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland

⁵ School of Nursing and Health Studies, University of Missouri-Kansas City, Kansas City,
Missouri, USA

⁶ Complejo Hospitalario Universitario A Coruña (CHUAC), CIBERCV, INIBIC, Universidade
da Coruña (UDC), La Coruña, Spain

Address for correspondence:

Sabina De Geest, PhD, RN, Department Public Health, University of Basel, Bernoullistrasse
28, CH-4056 Basel, Switzerland, Tel +41 61 207 0951, Fax: +41 61 207 0955, Email:
sabina.degeest@unibas.ch

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Heart transplant (HTx) recipients need to follow a complex therapeutic regimen. We assessed the international prevalence and variability in non-adherence to six non-pharmacologic treatment components (physical activity, sun protection, diet, alcohol use, non-smoking, and outpatient follow-up visits).

Methods: We used self-report data of 1397 adult HTx recipients from the 36-HTx-center, 11-country, 4-continent, cross-sectional BRIGHT study (ClinicalTrials.gov ID: NCT01608477). The non-adherence definitions used were: Physical activity: <3 times/week 20 minutes' vigorous activity, <5 times/week 30 minutes' moderate activity, or <5 times/week a combination of either intensity; Sun protection: not "always" applying any sun protection; Diet: not "often" or "always" following recommended diet(s); Alcohol use: > 1 alcoholic drink/day (women) or > 2 drinks/day (men); Smoking: current smokers or stopped <1 year before; Follow-up visits: missing ≥ 1 of the last 5 outpatient follow-up visits. Overall prevalence figures were adjusted to avoid over- or underrepresentation of countries. Between-country variability was assessed within each treatment component via chi-square testing.

Results: The adjusted study-wide non-adherence prevalence figures were: 47.8% for physical activity (95% CI [45.2-50.5%]), 39.9% for sun protection (95% CI [37.3-42.5%]), 38.2% for diet recommendations (95% CI [35.1-41.3%]), 22.9% for alcohol consumption (95% CI [20.8%-25.1%]), 7.4% for smoking cessation (95% CI [6.1%-8.7%]), and 5.7% for follow-up visits (95% CI [4.6-6.9%]). Significant variability was observed between countries in all treatment components except follow-up visits.

Conclusion: Non-adherence to the post-HTx non-pharmacologic treatment regimen is prevalent and shows significant variability internationally, suggesting a need for tailored adherence-enhancing interventions.

Keywords: heart transplantation, non-pharmacologic treatment, health behaviors, adherence, compliance

INTRODUCTION

Evidence shows that long-term graft attrition rates after adult heart transplantation (HTx) have not changed markedly over time, and that reduced mortality rates are almost exclusively attributable to survival gains in the early post-HTx phase ^{1,2}. Improving long-term survival is therefore a priority in research and clinical practice. Nevertheless, immunosuppressant intake might hamper long-term survival ³. Indeed, long-term immunosuppressant intake may trigger systemic and metabolic complications and elevate the risk of cancer, augmenting the risk of graft injury and all-cause mortality. According to the most recent registry data ⁴, at 5 years post-HTx, 51.1% of HTx recipients have renal dysfunction, 35.5% have diabetes, 29.3% have cardiac allograft vasculopathy, and 15.9% have malignancy (all types combined).

To prevent or delay the incidence of these co-morbidities, post-HTx care guidelines ⁵ recommend lifelong follow-up to monitor graft function and lifestyle modifications including weight control, physical activity, diet (e.g., low fat and sodium intake), abstinence from smoking or heavy alcohol intake, and use of sun protection. It remains unclear, however, to what extent HTx recipients are able to follow this complex therapeutic regimen. The bulk of evidence on post-HTx behavior focuses on medication adherence; robust evidence on the prevalence of non-adherence to the post-HTx non-pharmacologic therapeutic regimen is scarce.

A 2007 meta-analysis ⁶ showed non-adherence rates of 33.7 cases per 100 patient-years for physical activity, 28.1 cases for following a diet, 8.5 cases for attending clinic appointments, 4.9 cases for alcohol use, and 3.2 cases for tobacco use in HTx recipients. However, these estimates were based on a small number of available studies. Moreover, although meta-analyses pool and summarize evidence, non-adherence prevalence rates for each behavior might vary widely across studies due to methodological issues, e.g., non-standard measurement methods or sampling strategies. Since that 2007 meta-analysis, the few related studies published have most commonly used small samples or focused on a single

behavior. Larger studies investigating multiple behaviors enrolled patients from one center only ^{6,7}, providing no evidence on variations in HTx recipients' health behaviors between centers or countries. Physical inactivity in the general population, for instance, is far more prevalent in Belgium, Spain and the UK than in the Netherlands, Germany or France ⁸, and tobacco smoking is more prevalent in Europe than in the Americas ⁹. Generating and comparing regional non-adherence rates could help HTx centers prioritize lifestyle interventions and plan resources to remedy problems specific to their local populations. Therefore, the international HTx community would benefit from a single large study using a homogeneous methodological approach to investigate the prevalence of non-adherence to all post-HTx non-pharmacologic treatment components.

Therefore, this study has two aims: (1) to describe the prevalence of non-adherence to the post-HTx non-pharmacologic treatment regimen (i.e. physical activity, sun protection, diet recommendations, limiting alcohol use, smoking abstinence, and appointment keeping); and (2) to describe between-country variability in non-adherence rates regarding these health behaviors and test its significance in a large sample of adult HTx recipients from various countries.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

This study used data from the Building Research Initiative Group: Chronic Illness Management and Adherence in Transplantation (BRIGHT) study ^{10,11} (ClinicalTrials.gov ID: NCT01608477), a cross-sectional study assessing healthcare providers' practice patterns and the prevalence and variability of non-adherence to the post-HTx treatment regimen in 36 HTx centers from 11 countries in Asia, Europe, North and South America.

Sampling and data collection

The BRIGHT study used a multistage sampling approach. Countries and HTx centers were included via convenience sampling, enrolling at least 2 centers per country. HTx centers were eligible to participate in the study if they met all of the following criteria:

1. performance of at least 50 HTx over the 60 months prior to inclusion
2. location in Europe, North America, South America, or Australia
3. willingness to provide formal study support through the center's HTx director and responsible administrator.

Using a stratified random sampling approach based on center size ¹⁰, HTx recipients were eligible to participate if they:

1. were adults (≥ 18 years at time of enrolment);
2. were transplanted and followed up for routine care at a participating HTx center;
3. received their HTx as a single-organ transplant;
4. underwent a first-time HTx (no re-transplantation);
5. were 1–5 years post-HTx;
6. were able to read and understand one of the study languages; and
7. were willing to provide written informed consent.

HTx recipients were excluded if they had participated in adherence intervention research or drug trials during the 6 months prior to inclusion or if they had received professional support for medication intake. Detailed information on the methodology of the BRIGHT study is reported elsewhere ^{10,11}.

The data were collected (once for each HTx recipient) between March 2012 and October 2015 after obtaining ethical approval from each participating center's institutional review board (IRB) or ethics committee.

Variables and measurement

To describe the sample, socio-demographic characteristics were collected via patient interviews during a scheduled clinic visit (i.e., age, gender, marital status, ethnicity,

educational level, employment status). Clinical data (date of HTx and heart failure etiology) were captured based on chart reviews.

Non-adherence to 6 components of the non-pharmacologic treatment regimen (i.e., physical activity, non-smoking status, limited alcohol use, use of sun protection measures, following of diet recommendations, and the keeping of follow-up appointments) was assessed by a self-report questionnaire during a scheduled outpatient clinic visit ¹²⁻¹⁶. *Table 1* describes how each component was measured and scored.

Statistical analysis

Frequencies and percentages (for categorical variables) or measures of central tendency and dispersion (for continuous variables) are used to describe the sample. The data were aggregated on the country level and the level of the entire sample as appropriate. The prevalence of non-adherence to each of the non-pharmacologic treatment components is presented as a percentage. To avoid over- or underrepresentation of any country's HTx recipient population, the overall non-adherence prevalence for each treatment component was calculated as a weighted average. This was accomplished by multiplying each country's non-adherence rate by a weighting factor that corresponds to the ratio of the HTx recipient population in the corresponding country to that of all included countries in the time period corresponding to that of the study's data collection in the country.

Standard deviations and ranges are used to describe between-country variability in non-adherence prevalence. Chi-square testing was used to determine the significance of this variability. After applying the Bonferroni correction to the significance level of $p < 0.05$ to account for multiple testing, the significance level was set at 0.008.

With one exception—alcohol use—missing data affected fewer than 10% of the cases involving the variables used to calculate non-adherence to the investigated health behaviors. Accordingly, patients with completely missing data on a health behavior of interest were excluded only from the corresponding analysis (available-case analysis). For alcohol use, missing data in the 2 variables, i.e., number of drinks/week and weekly drinking frequency,

were imputed using the R (version 3.4.2) programming language and the MICE (Multivariate Imputation by Chained Equations) package. For all other analyses, Stata® 15 (StataCorp LLC, Texas, USA) was used.

RESULTS

Sample characteristics

At the 36 participating centers, of 2523 HTx recipients found eligible for inclusion, 1677 were randomly selected and invited to participate. Of this number, 244 declined and 36 died before enrolment, resulting in a final sample size of 1397 HTx recipients. Information on the sample size per country and health behavior is presented in *Table 2*.

Table 3 shows the main characteristics of the final HTx recipient sample, overall and per country. Participants were 72.7% (1011) male, and on average 53.7 (SD: 13.2) years old and 3.4 (SD: 1.4) years post-HTx at time of enrollment.

Overall prevalence of non-adherence to the non-pharmacologic treatment regimen

Figure 1 shows the overall unadjusted and adjusted prevalence of non-adherence to the different non-pharmacologic treatment components. Based on the adjusted values, the highest prevalence of non-adherence was observed for physical activity: 47.8% (95% CI [45.2%-50.5%]) of the sample were insufficiently physically active. Sun protection followed, with 39.9% (95% CI [37.3%-42.5%]) not always protecting themselves as recommended. Of those who were advised to follow specific diets, 38.2% (95% CI [35.1%-41.3%]) did not always or often follow recommendations. Heavy alcohol use was reported by 22.9% (95% CI [20.8%-25.1%]); 7.4% (95% CI [6.1%-8.7%]) were still smokers or had stopped less than one year prior to data collection. Appointment keeping had the lowest non-adherence prevalence, with 5.7% (95% CI [4.6%-6.9%]) missing at least one of their prior five outpatient clinic appointments.

Between-country variability in non-adherence prevalence

Figure 2 shows the between-country variability in the prevalence of non-adherence to each of the investigated health behaviors. The largest variability (SD: 13.6%) was observed in heavy alcohol use, which ranged from 2% in Brazil to 42.9% in the UK. This was followed by variability in non-adherence to sun protection (SD: 9.5%): 24.1% of Spanish HTx recipients did not always use sun protection as opposed to 51.4% in Belgium, which had the highest prevalence. Variability in insufficient physical activity (SD: 8.5%) came third, with Spain's participants having the lowest rate (32%) and France's the highest (59.6%). Diet non-adherence came fourth (SD: 7.1%), varying from 26.6% (Spain) to 48.2% (USA). In Australia, no HTx recipients reported non-adherence to smoking cessation, while this number was 12.7% in France, with relatively low variability between countries (SD: 4%). Non-adherence to appointment keeping had the lowest variability (SD: 2.9%) ranging from 3% (UK) to 11.8% (Australia).

Table 4 shows that the observed variability was statistically significant for all behaviors except appointment keeping. *Figure 3* depicts each behavior's non-adherence prevalence per country, indicating which behaviors are least and most problematic within each country.

DISCUSSION

This study is the largest ever to investigate the prevalence of non-adherence to various non-pharmacologic components of the post-HTx regimen in the same sample. Its multinational set-up allows examination of inter-country variability in non-adherence prevalence.

The highest overall prevalence of non-adherence was noted for physical activity: 44.1% (observed/unadjusted rate)—more than double the prevalence in the general global adult population (23%)¹⁷. As insufficient activity is a major risk factor for several chronic diseases¹⁷, including those that HTx recipients are at a higher risk of developing due to lifelong immunosuppressant intake, HTx recipients would benefit from interventions promoting physical activity. A meta-analysis¹⁸ of 10 RCTs showed that cardiac rehabilitation programs could improve exercise capacity; however, most included studies focused on the immediate

post-transplant period and did not investigate the programs' possible spin-off effects, e.g., higher physical activity levels in daily life. I.e., physical activity is a poorly investigated domain in HTx.

Next, 39.5% of our sample did not always apply sun protection when needed. As the prevalence of skin cancer in adult HTx recipients is 9.5% and 18.4% at 5 and 10 years post-HTx ¹⁹, respectively, strategies that boost sun protection use may help to prevent skin cancer. Unfortunately, research on such interventions within transplantation is still in its infancy.

The third-highest non-adherence prevalence was for diet (37.8%). Poor dietary habits, e.g., high caloric intake, can lead to overweight and obesity, which increase the burden of chronic illness in the general population ²⁰ (e.g., diabetes, hypertension). Yet, the question of whether overweight and obesity negatively impact post-HTx clinical outcomes also remains controversial. Most studies focusing on body mass index (BMI) at time of transplant have found an elevated risk for graft loss and mortality in HTx recipients with morbid obesity only (BMI>35), but not in groups having a low BMI at transplantation ²¹. Still, many patients gain weight post-HTx: one prospective registry study ²² reporting overweight and obesity in respectively 37% and 13.6% of patients at 3 years after HTx, which might ultimately elevate the risk for chronic disease. Unfortunately, few dietary interventions have been tested in transplant patients, leaving ample room for new evidence on how to effectively support healthy eating in HTx recipients ²³.

Fourth, heavy alcohol use was observed in 21.1% of the participants. One might argue that we used a very stringent definition; however, we followed CDC guidelines ¹⁴, which state that exceeding the specified limit increases the risk for over 200 diseases and injuries, including liver disease, cardiovascular disease, and some forms of cancer ²⁴. Unfortunately, alcohol-related research in transplantation focuses predominantly on liver transplant patients: the HTx literature is sparse. It remains unclear whether heavy alcohol use after HTx will affect graft or patient survival.

Fifth, 6.6% of our sample smoked post-transplant. While in line with previously reported numbers ^{7,25}, this prevalence is presumably underestimated, bearing in mind that we used self-report to document smoking ²⁶. Given that post-transplant smoking significantly reduces graft and patient survival ^{27,28}, we recommend that HTx programs regularly assess patients' smoking status via more objective means, e.g., exhaled CO measurement, and should implement effective smoking cessation programs ²⁸.

Finally, appointment non-adherence was observed in 5.1% of the sample, which is similar to previously reported numbers ^{7,29}. Although the prevalence is relatively low, missing scheduled clinic visits after HTx is a risk factor for poor medication adherence, which elevates the risk for late acute rejections ²⁹. Therefore, transplant programs should do their best to reach out to HTx recipients who might miss or drop out of follow-up care.

In addition, we observed significant inter-country variability in non-adherence prevalence. The reasons behind this are open to speculation. E.g., alcohol use at social occasions might be more common and acceptable in some countries. Likewise, patients might wrongfully assume that sun protection is less important in countries with cooler temperatures or fewer hours of sunshine. Summarizing the evidence on possible factors of non-adherence prevalence variability between countries for each studied behavior is beyond the scope of this paper. Based on these examples, however, it is clear that not only individual patient characteristics, but also factors related to the patients' communities, healthcare providers, healthcare settings or policies, or cultural aspects might contribute to the observed differences. Therefore, future studies should use a multilevel approach to understand variability ¹¹, incorporating all potentially relevant correlates of each relevant health behavior at the patient, micro, meso and macro levels into a single model.

Limitations and strengths of the study

First, non-adherence was measured through self-report. Given the multitude of variables collected in the main study, the large sample size, and the multinational nature of

the study, this was unavoidable. Second, the cut-off points used to categorize patients as adherent/non-adherent were chosen based on criteria that might not be clinically meaningful for the HTx recipient population. This was necessary in the absence of recommendations regarding appropriate levels of the investigated health behaviors for HTx recipients. Third, HTx recipients were recruited and data collected during follow-up clinic visits. This might have skewed certain results, e.g., regarding appointment non-adherence, due to the possibility of including more adherent participants. Fourth, centers participated on a voluntary basis and could only participate if they performed at least 10 procedures, on average, per year. Smaller centers might organize follow-up care differently, or might lack the experience or resources to monitor adherence or lifestyle factors, possibly resulting in higher non-adherence rates than those documented in the present paper. Finally, the design of the study was cross-sectional, giving a static rather than a dynamic picture of non-adherence over time ³⁰.

Strengths include our large multinational sample. Moreover, studying all non-pharmacologic components of the post-transplant regimen in the same patients is unique and allows a clear understanding of the corresponding adherence issues in HTx recipients. The use of random sampling at the patient level, applying the same non-adherence measures and operational definitions and our adjustment of prevalence rates to ensure appropriate representativeness of each country in relation to the entire sample (based on its HTx recipient population) further strengthen our belief that the numbers presented in this paper accurately depict the magnitude of the problem.

To summarize, HTx recipients' non-adherence to the non-pharmacologic components of the treatment regimen appears to be a major problem. By displaying the prevalence by behavior as well as by country, we hope our results will help clinicians prioritize their needs regarding tailored adherence-enhancing interventions.

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose. Dr. Crespo-Leiro received grants from Novartis, Vifor Pharma, Medtronic, Servier, and Rovi and personal fees from Novartis, Astellas Pharma, and Mallinckrodt. The BRIGHT study was funded by research grants from the International Transplant Nurses Society (ITNS) in 2008, the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation (ISHLT) in 2012, the Swiss Academy of Medical Sciences (SAMW) in 2013 as well as by an unrestricted research grant from Astellas Pharma and co-financed with the European Regional Development Funds (ERDF). None of the grants has a grant number. The funding organizations neither have access to the data nor were involved in the preparation of the manuscript.

APPENDIX

The BRIGHT Study team consists of: Maria G. Crespo-Leiro (Hospital Universitario À Coruña, La Coruña, Spain); Sandra Cupples (U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs, Veterans Health Administration, Washington DC, USA); Paolo De Simone (Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria Pisana, Ospedale Cisanello, Pisa, Italy); Albert Groenewoud (Astellas Pharma Europe Ltd., UK); Christiane Kugler (Hannover Medical School, Hannover, Germany); Linda Ohler (George Washington University, Washington DC, USA); Johan Van Cleemput (University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven, Belgium); Alain Jean Poncelet (Cliniques Universitaires Saint-Luc, Brussels, Belgium); Laurent Sebbag (Hôpital Louis Pradel, Lyon, France); Magali Michel (Hôpital Nord Laennec, Nantes, France); Andrée Bernard (Hôpital Universitaire Pitié-Salpêtrière, Paris, France); Andreas Doesch (University Hospital Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany); Ugolino Livi (University Hospital Udine, Udine, Italy); Valentina Manfredini (University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy); Vicens Brossa-Loidi (Hospital de Sant Pau, Barcelona, Spain); Javier Segovia-Cubero (Hospital Puerta de Hierro, Madrid, Spain); Luis Almenar-Bonet (Hospital Universitari i Politècnic La Fe de Valencia, Valencia, Spain); Carmen Segura Saint-Gerons (Hospital Univeritario Reina Sofia, Córdoba, Spain); Paul Mohacsi (University Hospital of Bern, Bern, Switzerland); Eva Horvath (University Hospital Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland); Cheryl Riotto (Papworth Hospital, Cambridge, UK); Gareth Parry (Freeman Hospital, Newcastle, UK); Ashi Firouzi (Royal Brompton & Harefield NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK); Stella Kozusko (Toronto General Hospital, Toronto, Canada); Haissam Haddad (University of Ottawa Heart Institute, Ottawa, ON, Canada); Annemarie Kaan (St Paul's Hospital, Vancouver, BC, Canada); Grant Fisher (London Health Sciences Centre, London, ON, Canada); Tara Miller (Duke University Hospital, Durham, NC, USA); Maureen Flattery

(Virginia Commonwealth University Health System, Richmond, VA, USA); Kristin Ludrosky (Cleveland Clinic, OH, USA); Nancy Albert (Cleveland Clinic, OH, USA); Bernice Coleman (Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, CA, USA); Jacqueline Trammell (Kaiser Permanente Santa Clara Medical Center, Santa Clara, CA, USA); Katherine St. Clair, Andrew Kao (St. Luke's Hospital, Kansas City, MO, USA); Maria Molina (Hospital of the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA); Karyn Ryan Canales (Ochsner Medical Center, New Orleans, LA, USA); Samira Scalso de Almeida (Hospital Israelita Albert Einstein, São Paulo, Brazil); Andrea Cotait Ayoub (Instituto Dante Pazzanese de Cardiologia, São Paulo, Brazil); Fernanda Barone (Instituto do Coração da Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo Brazil); Michelle Harkess (St. Vincent's Hospital, Sydney, Australia); Joanne Maddicks-Law (The Prince Charles Hospital, Brisbane, Australia).

REFERENCES

1. Lodhi SA, Lamb KE, Meier-Kriesche HU. Solid organ allograft survival improvement in the United States: the long-term does not mirror the dramatic short-term success. *Am J Transplant.* 2011;11(6):1226-1235.
2. Stehlik J, Edwards LB, Kucheryavaya AY, et al. The Registry of the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation: 29th official adult heart transplant report--2012. *J Heart Lung Transplant.* 2012;31(10):1052-1064.
3. Soderlund C, Radegran G. Immunosuppressive therapies after heart transplantation--The balance between under- and over-immunosuppression. *Transplant Rev (Orlando).* 2015;29(3):181-189.
4. Lund LH, Khush KK, Cherikh WS, et al. The Registry of the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation: Thirty-fourth Adult Heart Transplantation Report-2017; Focus Theme: Allograft ischemic time. *J Heart Lung Transplant.* 2017;36(10):1037-1046.
5. Costanzo MR, Dipchand A, Starling R, et al. The International Society of Heart and Lung Transplantation Guidelines for the care of heart transplant recipients. *J Heart Lung Transplant.* 2010;29(8):914-956.
6. Dew MA, DiMartini AF, De Vito Dabbs A, et al. Rates and risk factors for nonadherence to the medical regimen after adult solid organ transplantation. *Transplantation.* 2007;83(7):858-873.
7. Brocks Y, Zittermann A, Grisse D, et al. Adherence of Heart Transplant Recipients to Prescribed Medication and Recommended Lifestyle Habits. *Prog Transplant.* 2017;27(2):160-166.
8. Jackson-Leach R, Montague F, Tim L. *Obesity Atlas for the European Union: 2017.* London, UK: World Obesity Federation;2016.
9. Organization WH. Prevalence of tobacco smoking. 2015; <http://www.who.int/gho/tobacco/use/en/>. Accessed 22.01.2018.
10. Berben L, Denhaerynck K, Dobbels F, et al. Building research initiative group: chronic illness management and adherence in transplantation (BRIGHT) study: study protocol. *J Adv Nurs.* 2015;71(3):642-654.
11. Denhaerynck K, Berben L, Dobbels F, et al. Multilevel factors are associated with immunosuppressant nonadherence in heart transplant recipients: The international BRIGHT study. *Am J Transplant.* 2017.
12. Marshall AL, Smith BJ, Bauman AE, Kaur S. Reliability and validity of a brief physical activity assessment for use by family doctors. *Br J Sports Med.* 2005;39(5):294-297; discussion 294-297.
13. Swiss Federal Statistical Office. 2017; <http://www.bfs.admin.ch/bfs/portal/en/index.html>. Accessed 23.12.2017.
14. Frequently Asked Questions. 2017; <https://www.cdc.gov/alcohol/faqs.htm>. Accessed 23 December 2017, 2017.
15. *Swiss study on the health of people with cancer, leukemia or tumor in child hood.* Swiss Childhood Cancer Registry;2008.
16. Haque Hussain SS, Todd PM, Chaudhry AN. Skin cancer in renal transplant patients. 2011.
17. *Global status report on noncommunicable diseases 2010.* Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2011.
18. Anderson L, Nguyen TT, Dall CH, Burgess L, Bridges C, Taylor RS. Exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation in heart transplant recipients. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2017;4:CD012264.
19. Adult Heart Transplantation Statistics. *Registries - Heart/Lung Registries > Slides: The International Society of Heart and Lung Transplantation;* 2017.

20. Lim SS, Vos T, Flaxman AD, et al. A comparative risk assessment of burden of disease and injury attributable to 67 risk factors and risk factor clusters in 21 regions, 1990-2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet*. 2012;380(9859):2224-2260.
21. Nagendran J, Moore MD, Norris CM, et al. The varying effects of obesity and morbid obesity on outcomes following cardiac transplantation. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2016;40(4):721-724.
22. Beckmann S, Nikolic N, Denhaerynck K, et al. Evolution of body weight parameters up to 3 years after solid organ transplantation: The prospective Swiss Transplant Cohort Study. *Clin Transplant*. 2017;31(3).
23. Zeltzer SM, Taylor DO, Tang WH. Long-term dietary habits and interventions in solid-organ transplantation. *J Heart Lung Transplant*. 2015;34(11):1357-1365.
24. Shield KD, Parry C, Rehm J. Chronic diseases and conditions related to alcohol use. *Alcohol Res*. 2013;35(2):155-173.
25. Corbett C, Armstrong MJ, Neuberger J. Tobacco smoking and solid organ transplantation. *Transplantation*. 2012;94(10):979-987.
26. Stevens KR, Munoz LR. Cigarette smoking: Evidence to guide measurement. *Res Nurs Health*. 2004;27(4):281-292.
27. Duerinckx N, Burkhalter H, Engberg SJ, et al. Correlates and Outcomes of Posttransplant Smoking in Solid Organ Transplant Recipients: A Systematic Literature Review and Meta-Analysis. *Transplantation*. 2016;100(11):2252-2263.
28. Qiu F, Fan P, Nie GD, et al. Effects of Cigarette Smoking on Transplant Survival: Extending or Shortening It? *Front Immunol*. 2017;8:127.
29. De Geest S, Dobbels F, Martin S, Willems K, Vanhaecke J. Clinical risk associated with appointment noncompliance in heart transplant recipients. *Prog Transplant*. 2000;10(3):162-168.
30. Flattery MP, Salyer J, Maltby MC, Joyner PL, Elswick RK. Lifestyle and health status differ over time in long-term heart transplant recipients. *Prog Transplant*. 2006;16(3):232-238.

Table 1: Self-report instruments used to measure the investigated health behaviors

Variable	Instrument	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of items • Recall period • Response options • Non-adherence definitions 	Validity/Reliability
Physical activity (PA)	Brief Physical Activity Assessment tool ¹²	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2 items • Average week • No. times/week 20 min. of vigorous PA: <1 time/week / 1-2 times/week / ≥3 times/week & No. times/week 30 min. of moderate PA: <1 time/week / 1-2 times/week / 3-4 times/week / ≥5 time/week • Non-adherence: <3 times/week vigorous PA OR <5 times/week moderate PA OR <5 times/week a combination of either PA intensities 	<p>Assessed against an accelerometer: - criterion validity¹² (κ= 0.40, 95% CI= 0.12-0.69) - inter-rater reliability¹² (κ= 0.53, 95% CI= 0.33-0.72)</p>
Smoking status	1 item from the Swiss Health Survey ¹³	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1 item • 1 year • Currently smoking / Stopped smoking less than a year before / Stopped smoking more than a year before / Never smoked • Non-adherent: currently smoking or stopped less than a year before 	No available information on psychometric properties
Alcohol use	Investigator developed ¹⁴	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3 items • Average week • Yes / No; No. shots or glasses/week (1.5 oz. = 45 ml); No. pints of beer/week (1 pint = 12oz. = 355 ml); No. glasses of wine/week (1 glass = 5 oz. = 148 ml) No. times drinking/week: Daily / 3-4 times/week / 1-2 times/month / <1 time/month / Never • Non-adherent = heavy drinker: > 1 drink/day (women); > 2 drinks/day (men) 	No available information on psychometric properties

Sun protection	Swiss study on health of people with cancer, leukemia, tumor in childhood ¹⁵ and Cambridge University Hospitals' perception of skin cancer in transplant recipients scale ¹⁶	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 4 items • Current situation • Using sunscreen, wearing protective clothing, staying in the shade, being sensitive to the time of the day: 5-point Likert scale ranging from '1= never' to '5= always' • Non-adherent: not always using at least 1 of these sun protection methods 	Unidimensional scale, having a Cronbach's alpha of 0.59
Diet recommendations	Investigator developed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 5 items • 1 year • Yes/No for advice to follow a specific diet (low salt, low calorie, low saturated fats, low sugar, or other diets) and, correspondingly, a 5-point Likert scale ranging from '1= never' to '5= always' to evaluate adherence to each recommended diet. • Non-adherent: score 1-3 on any of the 5 diets recommended by the transplant team 	No available information on psychometric properties
Follow-up appointment keeping	Investigator developed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1 item • Previous 5 scheduled clinic appointments • No. appointments missed: 6-point scale ranging from 'none' to '6= all 5 appointments' • Non-adherent: missed ≥ 1 appointment 	No available information on psychometric properties

Table 2: Number of heart transplant recipients with data on each of the investigated behaviors (per country and per health behavior)

	Appointment keeping	Smoking cessation	Alcohol use	Diet recommendations	Sun protection	Physical activity
Belgium (n=74)	74	74	74	48	70	74
France (n=160)	157	157	160	110	150	146
Germany (n=67)	65	64	67	19	62	65
Italy (n=111)	111	110	111	64	110	105
Spain (n=227)	224	221	227	218	220	222
Switzerland (n=47)	46	46	47	14	44	46
United Kingdom (n=99)	99	99	98	28	98	96
Canada (n=121)	116	115	120	88	116	116
USA (n=340)	336	335	339	278	334	334
Australia (n=51)	51	51	51	26	51	51
Brazil (n=100)	97	97	100	71	94	85
Total sample (N=1397)	1376	1369	1394	964*	1349	1340
Missing data	21	28	3	81	48	57

*352 HTx recipients reported not having been recommended any diet

Table 3: Sociodemographic and clinical characteristics of the participating heart transplant recipients

	Overall N=1397	Europe n=785							North America n=461		Australia n=51	South America n=100
		Belgium n=74	France n=160	Germany n=67	Italy n=111	Spain n=227	Switzerland n=47	UK n=99	Canada n=121	USA n=340	Australia n=51	Brazil n=100
Age, years (n)	1380	74	160	65	111	227	47	98	113	335	51	99
Mean (SD)	53.7 (13.2)	53.3 (12.6)	50.2 (13.0)	55.3 (10.3)	56.7 (12.5)	56.5 (11.7)	50.1 (14.6)	49.3 (14.8)	55 (13.4)	56.3 (12.8)	49.7 (14.2)	46.8 (13.3)
Gender (n)	1390	74	160	65	111	227	47	98	120	337	51	100
Male, n (%)	1011 (72.7%)	50 (67.6%)	121 (75.6%)	50 (76.9%)	93 (83.8%)	174 (76.7%)	32 (68.1%)	76 (77.6%)	87 (72.5%)	229 (68%)	31 (60.8%)	68 (68%)
Ethnicity (n)	1381	74	159	65	111	224	47	99	119	336	47	100
Caucasian, n (%)	1186 (85.9%)	73 (98.7%)	142 (89.3%)	65 (100%)	110 (99.1%)	205 (91.5%)	43 (91.5%)	93 (93.9%)	106 (89.1%)	251 (74.7%)	33 (70.2%)	65 (65%)
Education (n)	1377	73	158	65	111	220	47	99	119	339	50	96
Primary school, n (%)	187 (13.6%)	3 (4.1%)	10 (6.3%)	7 (10.8%)	37 (33.3%)	94 (42.7%)	5 (10.6%)	0	3 (2.4%)	3 (0.9%)	0	25 (26%)
Secondary school, n (%)	426 (30.9%)	42 (57.5%)	53 (33.5%)	6 (9.2%)	51 (46%)	60 (27.3%)	3 (6.4%)	45 (45.5%)	35 (29.4%)	71 (20.9%)	9 (18%)	51 (53.1%)
Further education, n (%)	294 (21.4%)	15 (20.6%)	64 (40.5%)	40 (61.5%)	2 (1.8%)	25 (11.4%)	32 (68.1%)	24 (24.2)	16 (13.5%)	59 (17.4%)	17 (34%)	0
University, n (%)	470 (34.1%)	13 (17.8%)	31 (19.6%)	12 (18.5%)	21 (18.9%)	41 (18.6%)	7 (14.9%)	30 (30.3%)	65 (54.6%)	206 (60.8%)	24 (48%)	20 (20.8%)
Employment status (n)	1391	74	160	65	111	226	47	99	119	339	51	100
Employed, n (%)	413 (29.7%)	18 (24.3%)	58 (36.3%)	17 (26.2%)	33 (29.7%)	27 (12%)	20 (42.6%)	37 (37.4%)	39 (32.8%)	117 (34.5%)	25 (49%)	22 (22%)
Marital status (n)	1387	74	159	65	110	227	47	97	120	337	51	100
Single, n (%)	242 (17.5%)	8 (10.8%)	36 (22.6%)	8 (12.3%)	14 (12.7%)	26 (11.5%)	8 (17%)	26 (26.8%)	19 (15.8%)	60 (17.8%)	13 (25.5%)	24 (24%)
Married/cohabiting, n (%)	955 (68.9%)	56 (75.7%)	103 (64.8%)	49 (75.4%)	83 (75.5%)	158 (69.6%)	32 (68.1%)	59 (60.8%)	82 (68.3%)	234 (69.4%)	34 (66.7%)	65 (65%)
Divorced/separated, n (%)	149 (10.7%)	8 (10.8%)	19 (12%)	6 (9.2%)	11 (10%)	33 (14.5%)	6 (12.8%)	10 (10.3%)	11 (9.2%)	30 (8.9%)	4 (7.8%)	11 (11%)
Widowed, n (%)	41 (3%)	2 (2.7%)	1 (0.6%)	2 (3.1%)	2 (1.8%)	10 (4.4%)	1 (2.1%)	2 (2.1%)	8 (6.7%)	13 (3.9%)	0	0
Time post-HTx (n)	1395	74	160	67	111	227	47	99	121	340	49	100
Years, Mean (SD)	3.4 (1.4)	3.4 (1.2)	3.6 (1.3)	3.4 (1.4)	3.2 (1.3)	3.6 (1.3)	3.5 (1.2)	3.5 (1.2)	3.7 (1.4)	3 (1.3)	4.2 (1.3)	2.8 (1.5)
Heart failure etiology (n)	1362	74	159	65	111	226	47	93	118	328	48	93
Idiopathic	714 (52.4%)	33 (44.6%)	88 (55.4%)	33 (50.8%)	69 (62.2%)	130 (57.5%)	31 (66%)	55 (59.1%)	68 (57.6%)	144 (43.9%)	31 (64.6%)	32 (34.4%)
Ischemic	401 (29.4%)	28 (37.8%)	44 (27.7%)	23 (35.4%)	31 (27.9%)	64 (28.3%)	8 (17%)	18 (19.4%)	35 (29.7%)	128 (39%)	11 (22.9%)	11 (11.8%)
Valvular	44 (3.2%)	2 (2.7%)	10 (6.3%)	0	3 (2.7%)	15 (6.6%)	3 (6.4%)	1 (1.1%)	1 (0.9%)	4 (1.2%)	1 (2.1%)	4 (4.3%)
Congenital	45 (3.3%)	2 (2.7%)	5 (3.1%)	1 (1.5%)	3 (2.7%)	6 (2.7%)	2 (4.3%)	14 (15.1%)	5 (4.2%)	4 (1.2%)	2 (4.2%)	1 (1.1%)
Other	158 (11.6%)	9 (12.2%)	12 (7.6%)	8 (12.3%)	5 (4.5%)	11 (4.9%)	3 (6.4%)	5 (5.4%)	9 (7.7%)	48 (14.6%)	3 (6.3%)	45 (48.4%)

Table 4: Chi-square test results for the between-country variability within each health behavior (showing non-adherence rates)

	Appointment keeping		Smoking cessation		Alcohol use		Diet recommendations		Sun protection		Physical activity	
	Adherent	Not adherent	Adherent	Not adherent	Adherent	Not adherent	Adherent	Not adherent	Adherent	Not adherent	Adherent	Not adherent
Belgium	70 (94.6%)	4 (5.4%)	65 (87.8%)	9 (12.2%)	44 (59.5%)	30 (40.5%)	29 (60.4%)	19 (39.6%)	34 (48.6%)	36 (51.4%)	36 (48.6%)	38 (51.4%)
France	150 (95.5%)	7 (4.5%)	137 (87.3%)	20 (12.7%)	96 (60%)	64 (40%)	75 (68.2%)	35 (31.8%)	92 (61.3%)	58 (38.7%)	59 (40.4%)	87 (59.6%)
Germany	60 (92.3%)	5 (7.7%)	59 (92.2%)	5 (7.8%)	52 (77.6%)	15 (22.4%)	10 (52.6%)	9 (47.4%)	34 (54.8%)	28 (45.2%)	33 (50.8%)	32 (49.2%)
Italy	107 (96.4%)	4 (3.6%)	108 (98.2%)	2 (1.8%)	99 (89.2%)	12 (10.8%)	45 (70.3%)	19 (29.7%)	83 (75.5%)	27 (24.5%)	53 (50.5%)	52 (49.5%)
Spain	217 (96.9%)	7 (3.1%)	205 (92.8%)	16 (7.2%)	202 (89%)	25 (11%)	160 (73.4%)	58 (26.6%)	167 (75.9%)	53 (24.1%)	151 (68%)	71 (32%)
Switzerland	43 (93.5%)	3 (6.5%)	45 (97.8%)	1 (2.2%)	32 (68.1%)	15 (31.9%)	10 (71.4%)	4 (28.6%)	23 (52.3%)	21 (47.7%)	30 (65.2%)	16 (34.8%)
United Kingdom	96 (97%)	3 (3%)	94 (94.9%)	5 (5.1%)	56 (57.1%)	42 (42.9%)	18 (64.3%)	10 (35.7%)	49 (50%)	49 (50%)	63 (65.6%)	33 (34.4%)
Canada	107 (92.2%)	9 (7.8%)	104 (90.4%)	11 (9.6%)	92 (76.7%)	28 (23.3%)	54 (61.4%)	34 (38.6%)	61 (52.6%)	55 (47.4%)	70 (60.3%)	46 (39.7%)
USA	324 (96.4%)	12 (3.6%)	318 (94.9%)	17 (5.1%)	296 (87.3%)	43 (12.7%)	143 (51.4%)	135 (48.6%)	177 (53%)	157 (47%)	187 (56%)	147 (44%)
Australia	45 (88.2%)	6 (11.8%)	51 (100%)	0 (0%)	33 (64.7%)	18 (35.3%)	16 (61.5%)	10 (38.5%)	32 (62.7%)	19 (37.3%)	28 (54.9%)	23 (45.1%)
Brazil	87 (89.7%)	10 (10.3%)	93 (95.9%)	4 (4.1%)	98 (98%)	2 (2%)	40 (56.3%)	31 (43.7%)	64 (68.1%)	30 (31.9%)	39 (45.9%)	46 (54.1%)
Chi-square test results	$\chi^2(10, N=1376) = 17.91, p=0.056$		$\chi^2(10, N=1369) = 27.11, p=0.003$		$\chi^2(10, N=1394) = 146.21, p<0.001$		$\chi^2(10, N=964) = 31.22, p=0.001$		$\chi^2(10, N=1349) = 56.24, p<0.001$		$\chi^2(10, N=1340) = 40.67, p<0.001$

Figure 1: The adjusted and unadjusted overall prevalence of non-adherence to the non-pharmacologic treatment regimen

Figure 2: Behavior-wise investigation of the variability in non-adherence prevalence between countries

Figure 3: Country-wise investigation of non-adherence prevalence