Homogeneous Catalysis

Pt^{II}-Catalyzed Hydroxylation of Terminal Aliphatic C(sp³)–H Bonds with Molecular OxygenMichiel Janssen and Dirk E. De Vos^{*[a]}

Abstract: The practical application of Shilov-type Pt catalysis to the selective hydroxylation of terminal aliphatic C–H bonds remains a formidable challenge, due to difficulties in replacing Pt^{IV} with a more economically viable oxidant, particularly O₂. We report the potential of employing FeCl₂ as a suitable redox mediator to overcome the kinetic hurdles related to the direct use of O₂ in the Pt reoxidation. For the selective conversion of butyric acid to γ -hydroxybutyric acid (GHB), a significantly enhanced catalyst activity and stability (turnover numbers (TON) > 30) were achieved under 20 bar O₂ in comparison to current state-of-the-art systems (TON < 10). In this regard, essential reaction parameters affecting the overall activity were identified, along with specific addi-

tives to attain catalyst stability at longer reaction times. Notably, deactivation by reduction to Pt⁰ was prevented by the addition of monodentate pyridine derivatives, such as 2-fluoropyridine, but also by introducing varying partial pressures of N₂ in the gaseous atmosphere. Finally, stability tests revealed the involvement of Pt^{II} and FeCl₂ in catalyzing the non-selective overoxidation of GHB. Accordingly, in situ esterification with boric acid proved to be a suitable strategy to maintain enhanced selectivities at much higher conversions (TON > 60). Altogether, a useful catalytic system for the selective hydroxylation of primary aliphatic C–H bonds with O₂ is presented.

Introduction

The oxidation of saturated aliphatic C–H bonds is a powerful tool to obtain useful oxygenated functionalities in otherwise inert organic compounds, thereby uncovering their potential as valuable starting materials.^[1,2] An attractive route comprises the site-selective hydroxylation of terminal C–H bonds, not only because it involves favorable thermodynamics and a high atom economy, but also because the resulting primary alcohol is of prime importance in industrial applications.^[3–5] Nevertheless, this reaction still represents a tremendous challenge in modern organic chemistry, due to the chemical inertness of saturated hydrocarbons. Therefore, prevailing systems use harsh radical-mediated pathways that are incompatible with both chemo- and regioselective transformations. More specifically, as the reactivity in such pathways is dictated by the homolytic C–H bond dissociation energy, which decreases in the order of primary > secondary > tertiary C–H bonds, terminal functionalization of the aliphatic chain is less favored. In addition, more advanced oxidation levels are commonly observed, owing to

the higher reactivity of the alcohol product relative to the alkane substrate.^[6,7]

To favor the formation of the (primary) alcohol product, fundamentally different activation modes are needed.^[8] A considerable amount of research has therefore been devoted to the use of electrophilic late transition metals in a variety of protic solvents.^[9,10] This particular interest in electrophilic C–H activation is based on earlier observations of the Pt^{II}-mediated H/D exchange of arenes in acidic aqueous solutions.^[11] In fact, by incorporating Pt^{IV} as a stoichiometric oxidant, Shilov and co-workers successfully extended the intermolecular C–H activation step to a true functionalization reaction (Scheme 1).^[12,13] More importantly, the intrinsic value of this Shilov system originates from its unique selectivity pattern; using Pt^{II} significantly

Scheme 1. Catalytic cycle for the Shilov system with a) Pt^{IV} and b) molecular oxygen (O₂) as the terminal oxidant.^[11]

[a] M. Janssen, Prof. D. E. De Vos
Centre for Membrane separations, Adsorption, Catalysis and Spectroscopy
for Sustainable Solutions (cMACS)
KU Leuven
Celestijnenlaan 200F, P.O. Box 2454
3001 Leuven (Belgium)
E-mail: dirk.devos@kuleuven.be

Supporting Information and the ORCID identification numbers for some of the authors of this article are available on the WWW under <https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201901803>.

reduces the extent of overoxidation and, as a result, directs the oxidative transformation towards selective hydroxylation. In a similar way, Pt discriminates between non-equivalent C–H bonds in a very different manner than radicals do, giving rise to an enhanced reactivity of the terminal C–H bonds.^[9,14] Moreover, a complete reversal in regioselectivity was reported for the remote oxidation of mono-functionalized organic compounds, which has been attributed to a “chelate effect”.^[15a,c] In particular, Sen and co-workers observed the following order of reactivity: $\alpha\text{-C-H} \ll \beta\text{-C-H} < \gamma\text{-C-H} < \delta\text{-C-H}$ for alcohols and $\alpha\text{-C-H} \ll \beta\text{-C-H} < \gamma\text{-C-H} \geq \delta\text{-C-H}$ for carboxylic, sulfonic and phosphonic acids.^[15] Based on these trends, it was suggested that a metallocyclic intermediate forms upon coordination of the functional group to the electrophilic Pt^{II} center, in which the C–H bond reactivity is determined by the ring strain.^[15c]

Nonetheless, the inherently low turnover numbers (TON, < 1) and turnover frequencies (TOF, < 0.004 h^{−1}), in combination with the need for stoichiometric quantities of an expensive Pt^{IV} salt,^[15a,c] render this system impractical in large-scale applications.^[3] Earlier mechanistic studies fortunately confirmed that the oxidation step (Scheme 1) does not proceed by an alkyl transfer, but instead requires an inner-sphere two-electron transfer from Pt^{II}–R to Pt^{IV}.^[11] Accordingly, these findings revealed the possibility of replacing Pt^{IV} with a more economical and readily available oxidant. Although equivalent amounts of CuCl₂ have hitherto been applied with moderate success,^[16,17] using dioxygen (O₂) would offer major opportunities from an industrial and ecological perspective.^[18] Applying O₂ as sole terminal oxidant is however known to impose a kinetic limitation on the overall reaction, causing rapid deactivation of the Pt catalyst by reduction to metallic platinum.^[1,10,19] To ensure a more efficient electron transfer chain between Pt^{II} and O₂, together with a faster reoxidation of possibly formed Pt⁰, the addition of a redox mediator was originally proposed by Gol’dshlger et al.^[20] Even though Cu^{II}, Fe^{III} and certain heteropolyacids,^[20–25] for example, H₅PV₂Mo₁₀O₄₀,^[25] have proven to be reasonably efficient in activating O₂, proper systems that combine a sufficiently active and stable catalyst with high alcohol and terminal selectivity remain elusive.

In this work, the ability of FeCl₂ to generate a highly active and durable Pt catalyst for the regioselective hydroxylation of butyric acid to γ -hydroxybutyric acid (GHB) with O₂ is successfully illustrated. Moreover, a more profound understanding is provided concerning those experimental parameters that determine the overall activity of the catalytic system, together with the specific role of nitrogen-containing additives in prolonging the catalyst stability. Ultimately, a reaction network is proposed for the overoxidation of GHB, and suitable strategies are evaluated to counteract these non-selective side reactions.

Results and Discussion

Pt-related characteristics of remote aliphatic C–H oxidation

Despite the fact that water is one of the few reaction media that is fully stable under oxidative conditions, its use in C–H activation processes was initially considered ineffective. It was

believed that the preferential solvation of the metal center with water would hinder coordination of the aliphatic substrate and elicit hydrolysis of the resulting metal–alkyl species.^[9] Nevertheless, by implementing a simple Pt^{II} catalyst, Shilov and co-workers^[12] were the first to demonstrate the potential of using acidic aqueous media in the field of selective C–H functionalization. To illustrate this unique property of Pt^{II}, the activity of K₂PtCl₄ was compared to that of a Pd- and Ni-based catalyst, by measuring the conversion of butyric acid in an acidified aqueous solution (0.33 M H₂SO₄) upon reaction for 6 h at 150 °C under 20 bar O₂ (Table 1). Butyric acid was chosen

Table 1. Carbon yields in the transition metal-catalyzed hydroxylation of butyric acid with O₂.^[a]

Catalyst	X [%]	S [%]	Carbon yield [%] ^[b]				
			GHB	BHB	AHB	SA	DP ^[c]
–	0.7	0	0	0	0.1	0	0.6
K ₂ PtCl ₄	75.4	25	19.0	1.5	1.2	1.3	52.4
PdCl ₂	17.5	17	3	0.2	0.2	0.4	13.7
NiCl ₂	2.5	0	0	0	0	0	2.5

[a] Conditions: butyric acid (0.67 M), catalyst (1 mol%), H₂SO₄ (0.33 M), CuCl₂·2H₂O (5 mol%), H₂O (1.5 mL), 6 h, 150 °C, 20 bar O₂. GHB = γ -hydroxybutyric acid, BHB = β -hydroxybutyric acid, AHB = α -hydroxybutyric acid, SA = succinic acid, DP = degradation products. [b] Number of mmols of C in the corresponding product divided by the total mmols of C initially supplied in butyric acid (4 mmol). [c] Lower degradation products include lactic acid, acetone, acetic acid, glycolic acid, acetaldehyde, formic acid, methanol and gaseous compounds.

as cheap and abundant substrate, since selective hydroxylation at –CH₃ is a promising route towards GHB and γ -butyrolactone (GBL), both of which are extensively used in industry, for example as major industrial solvent, for medical purposes (treatment of narcolepsy) and as precursor in the chemical synthesis of pyrrolidones and biodegradable polyhydroxyalkanoates.^[26] Moreover, its molecular structure promotes a chelating effect; a six-membered ring with minimal ring strain can form upon coordination of the carboxylic acid group to Pt^{II}, favoring remote C–H activation (Scheme 2).^[15a,c] By analogy with the work of Lin et al.,^[21] CuCl₂ was included as co-catalyst to ensure proper comparison of the catalyst activity.

Scheme 2. Chelate effect upon coordination of butyric acid to Pt^{II}.^[15c]

As expected, substantial conversion of butyric acid (X = 75%) was only observed in the presence of K₂PtCl₄ (Table 1, entry 2), thereby confirming the specific role of Pt^{II} in activating terminal aliphatic C–H bonds in protic aqueous solutions. The selectivity (S) towards GHB was, however, limited to 25% due to extensive overoxidation. Accordingly, a comprehensive

qualitative analysis by 1D and 2D NMR revealed the formation of succinic acid in combination with multiple lower degradation products, such as acetic acid, glycolic acid and formic acid (see the Supporting Information, Figures S1–4). In addition, various gaseous compounds, primarily CO₂ and to a minor extent CO and CH₄, were detected by quantitative infrared spectroscopy. These results contrast with those reported by Lin et al.,^[21] who stated that no C–C cleavage occurred during the oxidative transformation of short-chain carboxylic, sulfonic and phosphonic acids under similar or even more severe reaction conditions (150 °C, 90 bar O₂).

Co-catalyst screening

Transition metal salts have proven successful as redox mediator in accelerating the Pt reoxidation by O₂.^[20–22] Nevertheless, only moderately active systems are available since research has hitherto been restricted to the use of CuCl₂ and FeCl₃.^[20–22] A more comprehensive screening of other transition metal salts was therefore performed based on the redox potential of the metal cation.^[27] Notably, a suitable redox mediator has to be able to oxidize the Pt^{II}–R complex, but in turn has to be reoxidized by O₂ as well. Hence, Cu, Re, Mo, Fe, V and Mn were evaluated in the transformation of butyric acid under 20 bar of O₂. As illustrated in Figure 1, no clear correlation was found between the overall carbon yield and redox potential of the corresponding metal cation. However, replacing CuCl₂ with FeCl₂ led to a significantly increased catalytic activity, yielding 25 % of GHB within 1 h with a selectivity of 76 %, which amounted to a TON (turnover number) of 33. In addition, only minor amounts of β -hydroxybutyric acid (BHB) and α -hydroxybutyric

acid (AHB) were observed, corresponding to a remarkable regioselectivity of 93 % within the aliphatic chain. The proposed combination of K₂PtCl₄ and FeCl₂/O₂ thus clearly outperforms current state-of-the-art systems in the regioselective C–H hydroxylation of butyric acid to GHB (Table 2).

Although the lower efficiency of FeCl₃ compared to CuCl₂ has already been reported,^[22] the above-mentioned effect of FeCl₂ has not yet been seen before. The difference between FeCl₂ and FeCl₃ is striking, as the oxidizing species Fe^{III} could be assumed to be similar in both cases. To rationalize this dissimilarity, one must note that the tendency of Fe^{III} to interact with one or more Cl[–] ions is quite high and even increases with temperature;^[30] conversely, FeCl₃ is not expected to dissociate to free Fe^{III}, but will occur as stable FeCl₃, [FeCl₂]⁺ and/or [FeCl]²⁺ during the reaction. Also for the association of Fe^{III} with sulfate, a very high equilibrium constant has been reported,^[31] suggesting that any free Fe^{III} would be converted to FeH(SO₄)₂ or [Fe(SO₄)₂][–] in the reaction conditions, comprising 0.33 M H₂SO₄. On the other hand, association constants of Fe^{II} with both SO₄^{2–} and Cl[–] are small,^[30,31] meaning that when FeCl₂ is used, there is initially a lot of free Fe^{II} in solution. After oxidation of Fe^{II} to Fe^{III}, the latter may partially associate with available Cl[–] ions to form stable complexes. Furthermore, with CuCl₂ as alternative redox-active reagent, high chloride concentrations have proven to be beneficial for conversion, which has been explained in terms of a chlorine bridge formation between Pt^{II} and Cu^{II}.^[22] However, with FeCl₂, a decrease in conversion occurred when 5 mol% of an alkali metal halide, such as NaCl, was added to the reaction mixture (Figure S5). Consequently, too high chloride concentrations might prevent the formation of a bridged Fe–Cl–Pt intermediate by saturating the

Figure 1. Influence of different transition metal salts on the Pt-catalyzed hydroxylation of butyric acid with O₂, with illustration of their corresponding standard redox potential (25 °C, 1 atm, pH 0) in V.^[27] Conditions: butyric acid (0.67 M), K₂PtCl₄ (1 mol%), H₂SO₄ (0.33 M), co-catalyst (5 mol%), H₂O (1.5 mL), 1–6 h, 150 °C, 20 bar O₂.

Table 2. Comparison of the proposed catalytic system with relevant state-of-the-art systems for the regioselective C–H hydroxylation of butyric acid to γ -hydroxybutyric acid.

Catalyst	Oxidant	T [°C]	TON	TOF [h ⁻¹]	S [%]	PS [%] ^[f]	Ref.
K ₂ PtCl ₄ ^[a]	FeCl ₂ /O ₂	150	33	33	76	93	this work
K ₂ PtCl ₄ ^[b]	K ₂ PtCl ₆	80–90	0.24	0.0034	92	92	[15a]
K ₂ PtCl ₄ ^[c]	K ₂ PtCl ₆	110–120	0.52	0.0036	92	92	[15c]
CuCl ₂ ^[d]	H ₂ O ₂ (in situ formed by reaction of Pd ⁰ with CO and O ₂)	75	5.7	0.32	78	8.9	[28]
tridentate NHC-amidate-alkoxide Pd ^{II} complex ^[e]	H ₂ O ₂ /AgBF ₄	60	no data	no data	14	14	[29]

[a] Butyric acid (0.67 M), K₂PtCl₄ (1 mol%), H₂SO₄ (0.33 M), FeCl₂·4H₂O (5 mol%), H₂O (1.5 mL), 1 h, 150 °C, 20 bar O₂. [b] Butyric acid (0.23 M), K₂PtCl₄ (17 mol%), K₂PtCl₆ (33 mol%), D₂O (3 mL), 144 h, 80–90 °C, O₂ atmosphere.^[15a] [c] Butyric acid (0.23 M), K₂PtCl₄ (17 mol%), K₂PtCl₆ (33 mol%), D₂O (1 mL), 72 h, 110–120 °C, O₂ atmosphere.^[15c] [d] Butyric acid (0.55 M), Pd/C 5 wt % (3 mg), CuCl₂ (10 mol%), H₂O (0.5 mL), CF₃COOH (1.5 mL), 18 h, 75 °C, CO/N₂/O₂ (14/55/7 bar).^[28] [e] Butyric acid (0.46 M), Pd^{II} complex (3 mol%), AgBF₄ (7 mol%), H₂O₂ (30 wt % in H₂O, 40 μ L), CD₃CN (0.5 mL), 16 h, 60 °C.^[29] [f] Positional selectivity of GHB within hydroxybutyric acid fraction.

Fe co-catalyst or even the Pt catalyst. Moreover, halides typically increase the oxidation potential of the metal, which could slow down reoxidation of Fe^{II} to its trivalent state.^[30] Additionally, the previously observed difference between FeCl₂ and FeCl₃ more or less disappeared upon replacing Cl⁻ with weakly coordinating anions, such as triflate or perchlorate (see Table S1 in the Supporting Information).^[32] These findings confirm that the dissimilarity is largely due to the presence of Cl⁻ and its coordination to Fe. In contrast, sulfate does not seem to hinder Fe in functioning as a redox mediator. Altogether, FeCl₂ remained the best option in terms of catalyst activity and stability, as well as product selectivity, and was therefore used for further investigation.

Next, time profiles were constructed at 150 °C under 20 bar O₂ to develop a better understanding of the time-dependent changes in carbon yield and selectivity to GHB in the presence of FeCl₂ (Figure 2). First, the more rapid increase in total carbon yield at short reaction times once again revealed that the Pt-based catalytic system exhibits a higher activity when FeCl₂, instead of CuCl₂, is applied as co-catalyst, suggesting that the oxidation of Pt^{II}–R to Pt^{IV}–R (Scheme 1) is likely the rate-determining step in the overall reaction. Moreover, a superior stability of the Pt catalyst was observed with FeCl₂, as evidenced by the slower formation of metallic platinum on the bottom of the glass vial during reaction (Figure 2a, *). FeCl₂ therefore appears to be more efficient than CuCl₂ in reoxidizing Pt⁰ back to its catalytically active Pt^{II} analogue, in a manner similar to that applied for the oxidation of Pd⁰ to Pd^{II} in the Wacker process.^[33] More importantly, when using FeCl₂, elevated selectivities were consistently observed for GHB over the full course of reaction (Figure 2b), presumably because of a reduced degree of non-selective side reactions. By combining these findings, a carbon yield of 37.5% was achieved with sufficiently high selectivity (71%) and within 2 h. Hence, FeCl₂ is ideally suited to function as redox mediator between the Pt^{II}–R complex and O₂.

Figure 2. Time profiles of a) carbon yields and b) selectivities in the Pt-catalyzed hydroxylation of butyric acid with O₂ in the presence of CuCl₂ versus FeCl₂ as co-catalyst. Conditions: butyric acid (0.67 M), K₂PtCl₄ (1 mol%), H₂SO₄ (0.33 M), CuCl₂·2H₂O/FeCl₂·4H₂O (5 mol%), H₂O (1.5 mL), 150 °C, 20 bar O₂. * Reaction time at which the formation of metallic platinum was first observed on the bottom of the glass vial after reaction.

Experimental study of the catalyst activity and stability

To better understand and optimize the catalytic system with FeCl₂ as co-catalyst, several reaction variables were examined in detail. For this purpose, standard reactions were performed for 1 h and 6 h, respectively, to ensure proper comparison of the acquired results in terms of catalyst activity and stability.

Table 3. Experimental study of parameters influencing the catalyst activity in the Pt-catalyzed hydroxylation of butyric acid with O₂.^[a]

	[Butyric acid] [M]	[H ₂ SO ₄] [M]	K ₂ PtCl ₄ [mol %]	FeCl ₂ [mol %]	P _{O₂} [bar]	X [%]	S [%]	Carbon yield [%] ^[b]				
								GHB	BHB	AHB	SA	DP ^[c]
1	0.67	0.33	1	–	20	3.2	44	1.4	0.3	0.2	0	1.3
2	0.67	0.33	1	5	20	33.3	76	25.2	1.4	0.5	0.5	5.7
3	0.67	0.33	1	5	5	5.6	66	3.7	0.3	0.9	0.1	0.6
4	0.67	0.33	1	5	10	12.1	74	8.9	0.5	0.9	0.2	1.6
5	0.67	0.33	1	5	30	14.7	73	10.7	0.6	0.8	0.2	2.4
6	0.67	0.33	1	1	20	33.6	67	22.5	1.6	1.7	0.8	7.0
7	0.67	0.33	1	10	20	13.3	68	9.0	0.5	1.1	0.1	2.6
8	0.67	0.33	1	20	20	6.2	53	3.3	0.4	0.8	0.1	1.6
9	0.67	0.33	0.5	2.5	20	6.9	72	5.0	0.3	0.2	0	1.4
10	0.67	0.33	2	10	20	37.5	74	27.6	1.4	1.2	0.6	6.7
11	0.67	0.33	5	25	20	43.2	72	31.2	2.6	1.2	1.2	7.0
12	0.167	0.33	1	5	20	15.2	54	8.2	0.4	4.4	0.1	2.1
13	0.33	0.33	1	5	20	14.5	64	9.3	0.4	2.2	0.1	2.5
14	0.5	0.33	1	5	20	19.7	70	13.8	0.5	1.3	0.3	3.8
15	0.67	0	1	5	20	21.2	74	15.6	1.0	0.8	0.4	3.4
16	0.67	0.067	1	5	20	22.1	78	17.3	0.7	0.4	0.4	3.3
17	0.67	0.2	1	5	20	29.8	76	22.7	0.9	0.6	0.5	5.1

[a] Conditions: butyric acid (0.167–0.67 M), K₂PtCl₄ (0.5–5 mol %), H₂SO₄ (0–0.33 M), FeCl₂·4H₂O (1–25 mol %), H₂O (1.5 mL), 1 h, 150 °C, 5–30 bar O₂. GHB = γ-hydroxybutyric acid, BHB = β-hydroxybutyric acid, AHB = α-hydroxybutyric acid, SA = succinic acid, DP = degradation products. [b] Number of mmoles of C in the corresponding product divided by the total mmoles of C initially supplied in butyric acid (4 mmol). [c] Lower degradation products include lactic acid, acetone, acetic acid, glycolic acid, acetaldehyde, formic acid, methanol and gaseous compounds.

Parameters influencing the catalyst activity

A substantial raise in the conversion of butyric acid was observed when standard reactions were performed under increasing oxygen pressures, ranging from 5 to 20 bar (Table 3, entries 2–4). This confirms that with O₂ as terminal oxidant, the overall reaction rate is determined by the ease with which the Pt^{II}–R complex is oxidized to its Pt^{IV}–R analogue. Contrary to our expectations, further increasing the oxygen pressure to 30 bar resulted in a decrease in total activity (entry 5). Additionally, a similar negative relation was observed between the conversion and co-catalyst loading (entries 2, 7–8). Considering that this adverse impact at higher FeCl₂ concentrations might be due to an elevated amount of chloride in solution (Figure S5), FeCl₂ was replaced with Fe(ClO₄)₂ and reactions were performed under identical conditions. Although less pronounced, a reduction in total carbon yield was still observed when an increasing concentration of Fe(ClO₄)₂ was initially included in the substrate solution (Figure S6). A reasonable explanation is that the undesired oxidation of [Pt^{II}Cl₄]^{2–} to [Pt^{IV}Cl₆]^{2–} is favored at high oxygen pressures or when too much co-catalyst is supplied and, in this way, diminishes the amount of active Pt^{II} catalyst during the reaction.^[19,22] On top of that, only a slight increase in conversion was observed when the catalyst loading was raised while keeping the catalyst/co-catalyst ratio constant (entries 2, 10–11). After reaction, the formation of metallic platinum at the bottom of the glass vial was observed. This deactivation within short reaction times suggests that at higher Pt concentrations i) disproportionation of Pt^{II} to Pt⁰ and Pt^{IV} [Eq. (1)] occurs more readily or ii) Pt^{II} also partially functions as terminal oxidant in the oxidation of Pt^{II}–R [Eq. (2)].^[3]

Both reactions decrease the amount of Pt^{II} that is available for the C–H activation of butyric acid, limiting the effect of higher catalyst loadings.

Furthermore, standard reactions were performed with different concentrations of butyric and sulfuric acid. As is evident from the data in Table 3, entries 2 and 12–14, a fourfold reduction of the substrate concentration corresponded to a strong decrease in conversion. This outcome can be rationalized in terms of the coordination equilibrium of butyric acid to Pt^{II}; if the equilibrium is not very much to the right, dilution of butyric acid will diminish the fraction of Pt^{II} that holds a butyrate ligand. As the solubility of O₂ is often relatively low in aqueous solutions,^[34] this result can also be understood by considering that higher concentrations of an organic compound could increase the O₂ solubility, and as a result, enhance the rate of the overall reaction. By analogy, the total carbon yield gradually declined upon lowering of the sulfuric acid concentration from 0.33 M to 0 M (entries 2, 15–17). A logical explanation is that the driving force for the reoxidation of Fe^{II} by O₂ increases with decreasing pH, since the oxidative half reaction of Fe^{III}/Fe^{II} involves no protons, whereas a linear inverse correlation exists between the redox potential of O₂/H₂O and the pH following a Nernst-type equation.^[27] In addition, alternative inorganic and organic acids were evaluated under standard conditions (Figure S7). For instance, conversion was completely lost when H₂SO₄ was replaced with HCl, which agrees with previous findings concerning (co-)catalyst deactivation at high chloride concentrations (Figure S5). In contrast, no apparent shift in total carbon yield was noted when similar reactions were performed

in the presence of $\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_3\text{H}$, $\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3\text{H}$ or CF_3COOH , whereas the use of solid acids, such as zeolite H-beta or amberlyst-15, led to a decrease in conversion.

Improvement of the catalyst stability

Although a highly active system was achieved by selecting a suitable redox mediator, catalyst deactivation by reduction to metallic platinum still occurred over time; in optimal conditions, the formation of a black precipitate was observed from a reaction time of 4 h on (Figure 2a). For the purpose of prolonging the catalyst stability, the influence of adding suitable ligands was examined in the Pt-catalyzed hydroxylation of butyric acid. In particular, previous studies, for example, on the Periana-Catalytica system for methane oxidation with SO_3 ,^[35,36] have revealed the potential of bidentate N-heteroaromatic ligands in stabilizing related Pt^{II} complexes, based on the strong σ -donor and π -acceptor interactions of these ligands with electron rich low oxidation state metals.^[37]

As shown in Table 4, no metallic platinum was detected in the reaction vial after 6 h when 1 mol % of 2,2'-bipyridine was initially included in the substrate solution (entry 2). A similar improvement of the catalyst stability was, however, achieved with 1 mol % of pyridine (entry 3), demonstrating that a monodentate ligand gives comparable stabilization as a bidentate, chelating ligand. Moreover, a negative impact on the activity was observed for both ligands, which proved to be more severe for 2,2'-bipyridine. Consequently, an inverse relation appears to exist between the affinity of the ligand for Pt^{II} and the activity of the resulting catalyst complex. At the same time, this adverse relationship was alleviated when a strongly electron-withdrawing group, such as a F atom (entry 7), was incorporated in the 2-pyridyl position. By analogy to the work of Izawa and Stahl,^[38] these findings can be understood by con-

sidering that a higher basicity of the pyridine nitrogen simultaneously results in a stronger σ -bond interaction and a lower electrophilicity of Pt^{II} . Since the electrophilic nature of Pt is essential for catalyst activity, ligands that are more basic tend to deactivate the catalytic system. Accordingly, the reduced basicity of 2-F-pyridine, compared to pyridine, allowed to stabilize the Pt catalyst without significantly altering the activity of the latter. In addition, a similar result was obtained with 2-OH-pyridine (entry 8). Although a OH group is intrinsically less electron-withdrawing than a F atom, the tautomerization of 2-OH-pyridine to 2-pyridone in water reduces the basicity of this additive.^[39–41] Ultimately, it is also notable that with suitable ligands, such as 2-OH- or 2-F-pyridine, higher GHB selectivities are maintained up to high butyric acid conversions than in the absence of these ligands.

Strikingly, analogous results were achieved by introducing varying partial pressures of N_2 (5–10 bar) in the gaseous atmosphere, while keeping the O_2 partial pressure constant at 20 bar: no metallic platinum was visible after reaction of butyric acid for 6 h. Additionally, too high N_2 partial pressures resulted in a reduced catalyst activity, as evidenced by the significant drop in conversion (Figure 3), which indicated that N_2 in some way influences the catalytic system. In fact, this specific role of N_2 was confirmed by the observation that no stabilizing effect was found when identical reactions were performed with different partial pressures of He (Table S2, entries 1, 5–6). Furthermore, replacing FeCl_2 with CuCl_2 also led to a complete loss of the beneficial effects (Table S2, entries 7–10), suggesting that the activity of Fe, and not Pt, is positively modified by N_2 . As the role of Fe is to reoxidize Pt^0 to Pt^{II} , the hydroxylation of butyric acid was studied using FeCl_2 , but with initial addition of Pt^0 , instead of Pt^{II} , to the reaction mixture and with variation of the atmospheric composition. After 6 h, much higher butyric acid conversions were observed when the gaseous atmos-

Table 4. Effect of N-heteroaromatic ligands on the Pt-catalyzed hydroxylation of butyric acid with O_2 .^[a]

Entry	Ligand	Metallic platinum ^[b]	X [%]	S [%]	Carbon yield [%] ^[c]				
					GHB	BHB	AHB	SA	DP ^[d]
1	–		85.5	32	27.6	1.4	0.8	1.9	53.8
2	2,2'-bipyridine		9.4	3	0.3	0.2	0	0	8.9
3	pyridine		32.4	50	16.3	0.7	1.0	0.3	14.1
4	2-phenylpyridine		7.4	26	1.9	0.2	0	0	5.3
5	2-chloropyridine		29.9	57	16.9	2.2	2.0	0	8.8
6	2-trifluoromethylpyridine		49.8	49	24.5	1.3	2.0	0.8	21.2
7	2-fluoropyridine		82.8	40	33.0	1.4	1.1	2.1	45.2
8	2-hydroxypyridine		77.3	45	34.6	1.5	0.8	1.5	38.9

[a] Conditions: butyric acid (0.67 M), K_2PtCl_4 (1 mol %), H_2SO_4 (0.33 M), $\text{FeCl}_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (5 mol %), ligand (1 mol %), H_2O (1.5 mL), 6 h, 150 °C, 20 bar O_2 . GHB = γ -hydroxybutyric acid, BHB = β -hydroxybutyric acid, AHB = α -hydroxybutyric acid, SA = succinic acid, DP = degradation products. [b] Visual observation of metallic platinum at the bottom of the glass vial after reaction? = Yes, = No. [c] Number of mmoles of C in the corresponding product divided by the total mmoles of C initially supplied in butyric acid (4 mmol). [d] Lower degradation products include lactic acid, acetone, acetic acid, glycolic acid, acetaldehyde, formic acid, methanol and gaseous compounds.

Figure 3. Pt-catalyzed hydroxylation of butyric acid under 20 bar O₂ and varying partial pressures of N₂. Conditions: butyric acid (0.67 M), K₂PtCl₄ (1 mol%), H₂SO₄ (0.33 M), FeCl₂·4H₂O (5 mol%), H₂O (1.5 mL), 6 h, 150 °C, 20 bar O₂/2–20 bar N₂.

phre was complemented with 5 bar of N₂ (Figure 4), giving evidence that the specific combination of an Fe salt and N₂ is more effective in activating Pt⁰. Since N₂ is considered to be rather inert, the presence of more reactive nitrogen oxides (NO_x) was investigated. Quantitative infrared spectroscopy of the vapor phase was therefore conducted; the IR data clearly revealed characteristic bands corresponding to the N–O stretch vibration of nitrogen monoxide (Figure S8). In summary, a reasonable explanation for the stabilizing effect of N₂ is that minor amounts of NO, or other N-oxides, are formed in situ under the oxidative conditions and as such, or upon activation by Fe,^[42] facilitate the reoxidation of Pt⁰ during the reaction. Furthermore, higher concentrations of these N-oxides are likely to be formed at elevated partial pressures of N₂, which, by analogy to the reoxidation of Pt⁰, could favor the undesired ox-

Figure 4. Influence of introducing N₂ in the gaseous atmosphere on the rate of Pt⁰ reoxidation. Conditions: butyric acid (0.67 M), Pt⁰ (1 mol%), H₂SO₄ (0.33 M), FeCl₂·4H₂O (5 mol%), H₂O (1.5 mL), 1–6 h, 150 °C, 20 bar O₂/5 bar N₂.

idation of [Pt^{II}Cl₄]²⁻ to [Pt^{IV}Cl₆]²⁻, thereby reducing the amount of active catalyst in solution and limiting the conversion.

Even though the formation of metallic platinum has generally been accepted as a measure of Pt^{II} deactivation in Shilov chemistry, supporting evidence for the enhanced catalyst stability was obtained by determining the Pt^{II} activity after including a second batch of substrate. For this, time-dependent changes in the yield of GHB were evaluated after adding 1 mmol of butyric acid to substrate mixtures already subjected to a reaction for 6 h with and without either of the two nitrogen-containing additives. As indicated in Figure S9, a substantial reduction in yield occurred after 10 h under standard reaction conditions. Conversely, a continuous formation of GHB up to 12 h was observed i) in the presence of 2-F-pyridine (1 mol%) as well as ii) after introducing N₂ (5 bar) in the gaseous atmosphere. Accordingly, this prolonged catalyst activity under the optimized conditions could be attributed to a superior stability of the Pt catalyst.

Selectivity enhancing strategies

Thus far, high selectivities for GHB (≥ 70%) were only maintained at relatively low conversion (≤ 50%, Figure 2). As previously mentioned, multiple byproducts, including succinic acid, as well as lower degradation and gaseous compounds, were identified after conducting a qualitative 1D and 2D NMR analysis of the product mixture, in combination with infrared spectroscopy of the vapor phase. Developing a more comprehensive understanding of how these undesirable products are formed during reaction is therefore essential to enhance the preferential formation of GHB at elevated conversions. For instance, the gradually decreasing carbon yield of GHB at longer reaction times (Figure 2a) points to the occurrence of consecutive overoxidation reactions. To study this stability issue and to evaluate the catalytic activity of certain compounds towards these non-selective side reactions, GHB was first synthesized according to the procedure described by Ferris and Went.^[43] The purity of the resulting sample was determined by ¹H NMR (Figure S10). Next, 1 mmol of GHB was added to distinct solutions containing a) no catalyst or co-catalyst, b) FeCl₂, c) Pt⁰, d) K₂PtCl₄ and e) K₂PtCl₄ as well as FeCl₂, after which a kinetic profile was recorded at short reaction times and under standard reaction conditions (0.33 M H₂SO₄, 150 °C, 20 bar O₂) for each of the resulting mixtures.

As shown in Figure 5a, GHB remained fully stable in the absence of any (co-)catalyst, as well as upon the addition of FeCl₂ to the substrate solution. Hence, the possibility of a radical-mediated initiation seems excluded. On the other hand, overoxidation of the remote hydroxyl group took place to a considerable extent when K₂PtCl₄ was included, as demonstrated by the predominant formation of succinic acid during the reaction (Figure 5b). Although this side reaction appeared to correspond to the well-known oxidative transformation of alcohols on metallic platinum,^[44] no degradation of GHB occurred over time in the presence of Pt⁰. Given these observations, the Pt-mediated degradation can be rationalized by assuming that GHB remains coordinated to the Pt^{II} center through its hydrox-

Figure 5. Stability of γ -hydroxybutyric acid (GHB) in the Pt-catalyzed hydroxylation of butyric acid with O_2 , illustrated by the time-dependent changes in a) relative concentration of GHB and b) carbon yields of the side products formed. Conditions: γ -hydroxybutyric acid (0.67 M), K_2PtCl_4 (0–1 mol %), Pt^0 (0–1 mol %), H_2SO_4 (0.33 M), $FeCl_2 \cdot 4H_2O$ (0–5 mol %), H_2O (1.5 mL), 0–2 h, 150 °C, 20 bar O_2 .

yl group, rendering the α -C–H bonds susceptible to further oxidation. Moreover, adding $FeCl_2$ to the catalyst-containing solution gave rise to a significantly altered product distribution, whereas no additional decrease in the relative concentration of GHB occurred. More specifically, a reduced amount of succinic acid was invariably detected, together with elevated levels of the lower degradation products acetic acid and glycolic acid. Additionally, comparison of the vapor phase composition by quantitative infrared spectroscopy revealed an increased formation of CO_2 , CO , CH_4 and acetaldehyde. This particular change in the product distribution suggests that Fe^{III}/Fe^{II} accelerates the decarboxylation of succinaldehydic acid and succinic acid through one-electron oxidations, along with the oxidative C–C cleavage of both compounds.^[45] In short, K_2PtCl_4 and $FeCl_2$ not only catalyze the regioselective C–H hydroxylation of butyric acid to GHB, but also appear to facilitate consecutive reactions of the latter, leading to undesirable selectivities at high conversions.

To stop the oxidation process at the level of GHB, by preventing further oxidation of the remote hydroxyl moiety, standard reactions were performed with varying amounts of boric acid (H_3BO_3). In fact, H_3BO_3 has been applied for many years in hydrocarbon oxidation processes, for example, the autoxidation of linear saturated alkanes to secondary alcohols, with the purpose of controlling the chemoselectivity.^[45–47] It is believed that esterification of H_3BO_3 to the corresponding borate ester is able to protect the alcohol product from further oxidation; the electron-withdrawing nature of the boron atom lowers the electron density of the neighboring C–H bonds, causing H-abstraction by radicals to be unfavorable.^[47] However, this reduced electron richness of the α -C–H bonds might presumably also lower their tendency to coordinate to the electrophilic Pt catalyst and, therefore, reduce their reactivity towards overoxidation. Consequently, by including 1 equivalent of H_3BO_3 , a selectivity of 70% could be maintained at much higher conversion, yielding 47% of GHB within 6 h and a TON of 67 (Figure 6). At the same time, combining this protective effect with the addition of stabilizing agents turned out unsuccessful, since esterification was negatively affected in the presence of

Figure 6. Pt-catalyzed hydroxylation of butyric acid with O_2 and varying amounts of boric acid (H_3BO_3). Conditions: butyric acid (0.67 M), K_2PtCl_4 (1 mol %), H_2SO_4 (0.33 M), $FeCl_2 \cdot 4H_2O$ (5 mol %), H_3BO_3 (0–0.67 M), H_2O (1.5 mL), 6 h, 150 °C, 20 bar O_2 .

pyridine derivatives, such as 2-F- or 2-OH-pyridine (see the Supporting Information, Table S3). Nonetheless, limiting the interaction between the desired product and Pt^{II} proved to be an appropriate strategy to avoid non-selective consecutive reactions.

As was already evident from Figure 2b, non-selective overoxidation reactions were considerably more prominent when $CuCl_2$, instead of $FeCl_2$, was applied as co-catalyst, which translated into lower selectivities over the full course of reaction. Moreover, quantitative infrared spectroscopy revealed a larger amount of gaseous products for the Cu-mediated reaction. To evaluate the applicability of the above-mentioned approach, standard reactions with $CuCl_2$ were also performed in the presence of 0.5–1 equivalents of H_3BO_3 . However, only a negligible increase in selectivity of GHB was observed (Figure S11). On the contrary, the selectivity to GHB for the Cu co-catalyzed reaction surprisingly improved when sulfuric acid was fully omitted from the substrate mixture (Figure S12). Despite the slight decrease in catalyst activity, a selectivity of > 80% was retained within the first 2 h (Figure 7). Although $FeCl_2$ is still the preferred co-catalyst in terms of catalyst activity and stability, this

Figure 7. Time profiles of a) carbon yields and b) selectivities in the Pt-catalyzed hydroxylation of butyric acid with O₂ and varying concentrations of sulfuric acid, in the presence of CuCl₂ as the co-catalyst. Conditions: butyric acid (0.67 M), K₂PtCl₄ (1 mol%), H₂SO₄ (0–0.33 M), CuCl₂·2H₂O (5 mol%), H₂O (1.5 mL), 150 °C, 20 bar O₂.

observation is quite remarkable and is most likely related to the speciation of Cu^{II} in solution. First, one must note that CuCl₂ is expected to partially dissociate to free Cu^{II}, as a moderately low equilibrium constant has been reported for the interaction of Cu^{II} with one or more Cl[−] ions.^[48] Conversely, Cu^{II} has a high tendency to associate with SO₄^{2−},^[49] which indicates that CuCl₂ is partially transformed into CuSO₄ when H₂SO₄ is present. Moreover, stability tests with varying H₂SO₄ concentrations revealed a notable change in the side product distribution; an elevated concentration of the degradation product acetic acid was observed in H₂SO₄-free conditions. In addition, Imamura et al.^[50] reported that CuSO₄ is more active in the oxidative decomposition of acetic acid than CuCl₂, due to its superior stability in solution at high temperatures. Analogously, a more advanced degree of GHB degradation was observed upon replacing CuCl₂ with CuSO₄ (Figure S13), which led us to believe that in situ formed CuSO₄ is responsible for the higher degree of overoxidation. Altogether, these findings provide a possible explanation for the unusual relation between the sulfuric acid concentration and selectivity for GHB in reactions that use Cu as the co-catalyst.

Conclusions

By implementing FeCl₂ as appropriate co-catalyst, we have successfully demonstrated the potential of using O₂ as terminal oxidant in realizing a practical Shilov-chemistry-inspired Pt-based system for the selective terminal hydroxylation of cheap and abundant aliphatic compounds. As opposed to current state-of-the-art systems, a notable increase in the catalytic activity was achieved for the conversion of butyric acid, together with the selective formation of GHB. Accordingly, the intrinsic activity of the proposed system was studied in detail by carefully exploring the different reaction parameters. Moreover, adding catalytic amounts of *ortho*-functionalized pyridine derivatives proved to be an effective way to improve the catalyst stability. A similar outcome was achieved by introducing N₂ in the gaseous atmosphere, which was explained in terms of the role played by in situ formed N-oxides. Finally, insights into the potential overoxidation pathways of GHB revealed the need for suitable protecting agents, such as boric acid, which allowed to attain elevated selectivities (70%) at much higher conversion (> 60%).

Experimental Section

Catalytic reaction

In a typical reaction, a glass reaction vial (5.5 mL) was loaded with the substrate (0.67 M), a noble metal catalyst (1 mol%), a co-catalyst (5 mol%), an acid (0.33 M), deionized water (1.5 mL) and a magnetic stirring bar. After partially closing the vial with a teflon stopper, it was positioned into a stainless steel reactor (11 mL). The reactor was sealed, after which its atmosphere was purged for 5 s with pure O₂ and pressurized with 20 bar O₂. Next, while stirring at 500 rpm, the reaction was initiated by heating the reactor to 150 °C and time recording was started when this temperature was reached. After 6 h, heating was discontinued and the reaction was quenched by cooling of the reactor on ice. Ultimately, the residual solution was separated and analyzed as such by proton nuclear magnetic resonance (¹H NMR) spectroscopy. Due to difficulties related to the paramagnetism of iron (Fe) in NMR measurements, Fe-containing mixtures were first basified by adding 1.5 mL of a concentrated solution of NaOH (2 M). This change in pH caused a complete precipitation of the iron species present in solution. Afterwards, the precipitate was removed by centrifugation (10 min, 3200 rpm) and the supernatant was analyzed in a similar fashion.

Product analysis and identification

To quantify the product yields, reaction mixtures were analyzed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. Samples were first prepared by transferring 100 μL of the product solution to 500 μL of D₂O, containing an external standard (benzyl alcohol, 0.133 M), after which corresponding ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Ascend 400 MHz spectrometer equipped with a BBO 5 mm atma probe and a sample case. During measurements, the broad water signal was suppressed by applying a zgpr pulse program, p1 9.75 μs; ns 32; d1 25 s; aq 2.55 s; plw1 15 W; plw9 5.7 × 10^{−5} W; o1P, on the resonance signal of water, determined and selected automatically. In addition, ¹³C NMR and two distinct methods of 2D NMR were combined to elucidate the identity of all products observed in the ¹H NMR spectrum of a standard reaction mixture. ¹³C NMR was car-

ried out according to the following pulse program: p1 7.65 μ s, ns 1024, d1 2 s, plw1 120 W, plw2 15 W, plw12 0.19 W, plw13 0.09 W; ^1H - ^{13}C NMR over 1 bond (HSQC) according to the following pulse program: p1 9.75 μ s, p2 19.50 μ s, p3 7.50 μ s, p4 15 μ s, ns 128, d1 1.41 s, plw1 15 W, plw2 120 W, plw12 1.05 W; and ^1H - ^{13}C NMR over 2 or 3 bonds (HMBC) according to the following pulse program: p1 9.75 μ s, p2 19.50 μ s, p3 7.50 μ s, ns 40, d1 1.41 s, plw1 15 W, plw2 120 W. Furthermore, to account for the non-selective C–C cleavage of primary reaction products towards lower degradation byproducts, yields were calculated as follows: the amount of mmoles for each compound was determined by comparing the normalized peak area with the one obtained for benzyl alcohol, from which a carbon yield was obtained by dividing the number of mmoles of C in the corresponding product by the total mmoles of C initially supplied in the substrate (4 mmol). Finally, quantitative Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy was conducted, using a Gasmeter DX4000 FTIR gas analyzer, to evaluate the presence of gaseous products in the vapor phase. Prior to each measurement, part of the vapor phase was transferred from the reactor into a plastic syringe (5 mL) and injected as such into a N_2 stream (160 mL min $^{-1}$). Next, volume concentrations of the various compounds were measured at the detector level and were processed using the Calcmeter Standard software version 12.161.

Acknowledgements

M.J. thanks the Fonds Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (FWO), the Agency for Innovation by Science and Technology (IWT) and the Industrial Research Fund (IOF) of KU Leuven for funding. D.E.D.V. acknowledges the IWT and FWO for research project funding, the Flemish government for long-term structural funding through Methusalem, and Belspo (IAP-PAI 7/05) for financial support. The authors also thank Karel Deurinckx for his assistance throughout the NMR measurements and Sabina Accardo for her support during FTIR analysis of the vapor phase.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: C–H activation • hydroxylation • molecular oxygen • platinum • primary C–H bonds

- [1] S. S. Stahl, J. A. Labinger, J. E. Bercaw, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1998**, 37, 2180–2192; *Angew. Chem.* **1998**, 110, 2298–2311.
- [2] a) J. F. Hartwig, M. A. Larsen, *ACS Cent. Sci.* **2016**, 2, 281–292; b) V. Dantignana, M. Milan, O. Cussó, A. Company, M. Bietti, M. Costas, *ACS Cent. Sci.* **2017**, 3, 1350–1358.
- [3] J. A. Labinger in *Alkane C–H activation by single-site metal catalysis*, Vol. 39, (Ed.: P. J. Pérez), Springer, Dordrecht, **2012**, pp. 17–72.
- [4] J. A. Labinger, J. E. Bercaw, *Nature* **2002**, 417, 507–514.
- [5] K. Noweck, W. Grafahrend in *Ullmann's encyclopedia of industrial chemistry*, Vol. 14, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, **2006**, pp. 117–142.
- [6] X. Tang, X. Jia, Z. Huang, *Chem. Sci.* **2018**, 9, 288–299.
- [7] A. E. Shilov, G. B. Shul'pin, *Chem. Rev.* **1997**, 97, 2879–2932.
- [8] F. Roudesly, J. Oble, G. Poli, *J. Mol. Catal. A* **2017**, 426, 275–296.
- [9] A. Sen, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1998**, 31, 550–557.
- [10] E. G. Chapaikin, *Russ. Chem. Rev.* **2011**, 80, 363–396.
- [11] J. L. Garnett, R. J. Hodges, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1967**, 89, 4546–4547.
- [12] a) N. F. Goldshleger, M. B. Tyabin, A. E. Shilov, A. A. Shteinman, *Zh. Fiz. Khim.* **1969**, 43, 2174; b) N. F. Goldshleger, V. V. Eskova, A. E. Shilov, A. A. Shteinman, *Zh. Fiz. Khim.* **1972**, 46, 1353–1354.
- [13] a) A. A. Shteinman, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2015**, 793, 34–40; b) A. A. Shteinman, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* **2017**, 426, 305–315.
- [14] G. A. Luinstra, L. Wang, S. S. Stahl, J. A. Labinger, J. E. Bercaw, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1995**, 504, 75–91.
- [15] a) L.-C. Kao, A. Sen, *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.* **1991**, 1242–1243; b) A. Sen, M. Lin, L.-C. Kao, A. C. Hutson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, 114, 6385–6392; c) N. Basicckes, A. Sen, *Polyhedron* **1995**, 14, 197–202; d) A. C. Hutson, M. Lin, N. Basicckes, A. Sen, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1995**, 504, 69–74.
- [16] M. Lee, M. S. Sanford, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, 137, 12796–12799.
- [17] B. D. Dangel, J. A. Johnson, D. Sames, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, 123, 8149–8150.
- [18] E. Roduner, W. Kaim, B. Sarkar, V. B. Urlacher, J. Pleiss, R. Gläser, W.-D. Einnicke, G. A. Sprenger, U. Beifuß, E. Klemm, C. Liebner, H. Hieronymus, S.-F. Hsu, B. Plietker, S. Laschat, *ChemCatChem* **2013**, 5, 82–112.
- [19] J. A. Labinger, *Chem. Rev.* **2017**, 117, 8483–8496.
- [20] N. F. Goldshleger, V. V. Lavrushko, A. P. Khrushch, A. A. Shteinman, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Khim.* **1976**, 2174–2178.
- [21] M. Lin, C. Shen, E. A. Garcia-Zayas, A. Sen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, 123, 1000–1001.
- [22] D. R. Weinberg, J. A. Labinger, J. E. Bercaw, *Organometallics* **2007**, 26, 167–172.
- [23] Y. V. Geletii, A. E. Shilov, *Kinet. Katal.* **1983**, 24, 486–489.
- [24] M. S. Freund, J. A. Labinger, N. S. Lewis, J. E. Bercaw, *J. Mol. Catal.* **1994**, 87, L11–L15.
- [25] I. Bar-Nahum, A. M. Khenkin, R. Neumann, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, 126, 10236–10237.
- [26] a) D. M. Robinson, G. M. Keating, *CNS Drugs* **2007**, 21, 337–354; b) W. Schwarz, J. Schossig, R. Roszbacher, H. Höke in *Ullmann's encyclopedia of industrial chemistry*, Vol. 6, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, **2000**, pp. 457–463; c) K. Miltenberger in *Ullmann's encyclopedia of industrial chemistry*, Vol. 18, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, **2000**, pp. 481–492.
- [27] P. Atkins, T. Overton, J. Rourke, M. Weller, F. Armstrong, M. Hagerman in *Inorganic chemistry*, W. H. Freeman and Company, New York, **2010**, pp. 787–799.
- [28] C. Shen, E. A. Garcia-Zayas, A. Sen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, 122, 4029–4031.
- [29] J. H. Lee, K. S. Yoo, K. W. Jung, *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.* **2011**, 32, 2881–2882.
- [30] R. J. Lemire, U. Berner, C. Musikas, D. A. Palmer, P. Taylor, O. Tochiyama in *Chemical thermodynamics of iron part 1*, Vol. 13a, (Ed.: OECD Nuclear Energy Agency Data Bank), OECD Publications, Paris, **2013**, pp. 234–282.
- [31] a) J. M. Casas, G. Crisóstomo, L. Cifuentes, *Hydrometallurgy* **2005**, 80, 254–264; b) G. Yue, L. Zhao, O. G. Olvera, E. Asselin, *Hydrometallurgy* **2014**, 147–148, 196–209.
- [32] S.-I. Ohira, Y. Hiroshima, K. Nakamura, T. Koda, P. K. Dasgupta, K. Toda, *Talanta* **2015**, 132, 228–233.
- [33] a) A. S. Jhaveri, M. M. Sharma, *Chem. Eng. Sci.* **1967**, 22, 1–6; b) J. A. Keith, J. Ongaard, W. A. Goddard, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, 128, 3132–3133; c) J. J. Dong, W. R. Browne, B. L. Feringa, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, 54, 734–744; *Angew. Chem.* **2015**, 127, 744–755.
- [34] G. A. Truesdale, A. L. Downing, *Nature* **1954**, 173, 1236.
- [35] R. A. Periana, D. J. Taube, S. Gamble, H. Taube, T. Satoh, H. Fuhii, *Science* **1998**, 280, 560–564.
- [36] R. Palkovits, C. von Malotki, M. Baumgarten, K. Müllen, C. Baltes, M. Antonietti, P. Kuhn, J. Weber, A. Thomas, F. Schüth, *ChemSusChem* **2010**, 3, 277–282.
- [37] S. R. Maqsood, N. Islam, S. Bashir, B. Khan, A. H. Pandith, *J. Coord. Chem.* **2013**, 66, 2308–2315.
- [38] U. Izawa, S. S. Stahl, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2010**, 352, 3223–3229.
- [39] M. B. Smith, J. March in *March's advanced organic chemistry: reactions, mechanisms and structure*, Vol. 6, Wiley, New Jersey, **2007**, pp. 408.
- [40] J. M. Rawson, R. E. P. Winpenny, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **1995**, 139, 313–374.
- [41] A. Z. Michelson, A. Petronico, J. K. Lee, *J. Org. Chem.* **2012**, 77, 1623–1631.
- [42] L. R. Holloway, L. Li in *Nitrosyl complexes in inorganic chemistry, biochemistry and medicine II*, (Ed.: D. M. P. Michael), Springer, Berlin, **2012**, pp. 53–98.
- [43] T. J. Ferris, M. J. Went, *Forensic Sci. Int.* **2012**, 216, 158–162.
- [44] T. Mallat, A. Baiker, *Catal. Today* **1994**, 19, 247–284.

- [45] J. H. Teles, I. Hermans, G. Franz, R. A. Sheldon in *Ullmann's encyclopedia of industrial chemistry*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, **2015**, pp. 1–102.
- [46] K. Weissermel, H.-J. Arpe in *Industrial organic chemistry*, Vol. 3, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, **1997**, pp. 207–208.
- [47] R. J. Brotherton, H. Steinberg in *Progress in boron chemistry*, Pergamon Press, New York, **1970**, pp. 3–21.
- [48] M. Wang, Y. Zhang, M. Muhammed, *Hydrometallurgy* **1997**, *45*, 53–72.
- [49] L. P. Méndez De Leo, H. L. Bianchi, R. Fernández-Prini, *J. Chem. Thermodyn.* **2005**, *37*, 499–511.
- [50] S.-I. Imamura, T. Sakai, T. Ikuyama, *J. Jpn. Pet. Inst.* **1982**, *25*, 74–80.

Manuscript received: April 18, 2019
 Revised manuscript received: June 3, 2019
 Accepted manuscript online: June 6, 2019
 Version of record online: July 9, 2019