Intramolecular cascade annulation triggered by rhodium(III)-catalyzed sequential C(sp²)-H activation and C(sp³)-H amination

Liangliang Song¹, Guilong Tian¹, Johan Van der Eycken² and Erik V. Van der Eycken^{*1,3}

Letter

Open Access

Address:

¹Laboratory for Organic & Microwave-Assisted Chemistry (LOMAC), Department of Chemistry, KU Leuven, Celestijnenlaan 200F, 3001, Leuven, Belgium, ²Laboratory for Organic and Bio-Organic Synthesis, Department of Organic and Macromolecular Chemistry, Ghent University, Krijgslaan 281 (S.4), B-9000 Ghent, Belgium and ³Peoples' Friendship University of Russia (RUDN University), Miklukho-Maklaya Street 6, Moscow, Russia

Email:

Johan Van der Eycken - Johan.VanderEycken@UGent.be;
Erik V. Van der Eycken^{*} - erik.vandereycken@kuleuven.be

^{*} Corresponding author

Keywords:

annulation; C-H activation; rhodium; acrylamide; heterocycles

Beilstein J. Org. Chem. **2019**, *15*, 571–576.

doi:10.3762/bjoc.15.52

Received: 17 December 2018

Accepted: 20 February 2019

Published: 27 February 2019

This article is part of the thematic issue "Multicomponent reactions III".

Guest Editor: T. J. J. Müller

© 2019 Song et al.; licensee Beilstein-Institut.

License and terms: see end of document.

Abstract

A rhodium(III)-catalyzed intramolecular oxidative annulation of *O*-substituted *N*-hydroxyacrylamides for the construction of indolizinones via sequential C(sp²)-H activation and C(sp³)-H amination has been developed. This approach shows excellent functional-group tolerance. The synthesized scaffold forms the core of many natural products with pharmacological relevance.

Introduction

Over the last decade, transition metal-catalyzed C(sp²)-H activation has emerged as an efficient strategy to access complex molecules [1-6]. Among the methodologies, Rh^{III}-catalyzed oxidative annulation of a C(sp²)-H bond with 2π components (such as olefins, alkynes) stands out for the construction of carbo(hetero)cycles from easily available starting materials [7-10]. Compared to aromatic C(sp²)-H bonds, studies on activation of vinylic C(sp²)-H bonds have been less explored, due to an intrinsic inactivity, tended to undergo polymerization, prone to go through conjugate additions [11,12]. Moreover, the cyclometalation intermediates are unstable, and the β-substitu-

tion or α,β-disubstitution of acrylate sterically prevents the cyclometalation [13,14]. Despite these, several approaches have been developed to synthesize pyridones and highly substituted olefins using acrylamides. However, most of them are limited to one-step coupling or annulation and just a single ring is formed [15-22]. Therefore, it is necessary to explore a new cascade annulation of acrylamides to construct a polyfused-heteroarene skeleton in one operational step.

The tricyclic indolizinone scaffold is abundantly present in natural products, as, e.g., in the pharmacologically relevant

mappicine [23,24], camptothecin [25,26], 10-hydroxycamptothecin and topotecan [27,28] (Figure 1). In 2012, Park and co-workers reported a Rh^{III}-catalyzed intramolecular annulation of alkyne-tethered hydroxamic esters for the synthesis of isoquinolones and pyridines without using external oxidants (Scheme 1a) [22]. Recently, we reported an intramolecular annulation of benzamides to synthesize indolizinones through Rh^{III}-catalyzed C(sp²)-H activation (Scheme 1b) [29–31]. Inspired by this work, we envisaged that tricyclic indolizinones could be built through rhodium(III)-catalyzed sequential C(sp²)-H activation and C(sp³)-H amination of *O*-substituted *N*-hydroxyacrylamides (Scheme 1c).

Results and Discussion

We selected *N*-hydroxyacrylamide **1a** as our model substrate under standard conditions. In the presence of [RhCp*Cl₂]₂ (5 mol %) and CsOAc (2 equiv) in 1,4-dioxane (0.1 M) at 60 °C under air, the desired product **3a** was obtained in 40% yield, together with **2a** in 34% yield (Table 1, entry 1). Other solvents could not improve the yield of **3a** (Table 1, entries 2 and 3). [Ru(*p*-cymene)Cl₂]₂ resulted in a very poor yield of **3a** (Table 1, entry 4). Alternative rhodium catalyst [RhCp*(CH₃CN)₃](SbF₆)₂ gave 17% **2a** and 35% **3a** (Table 1, entry 5). Without CsOAc under [RhCp*(CH₃CN)₃](SbF₆)₂ catalysis, just 29% **2a** was isolated (Table 1, entry 6). With

Figure 1: Selected examples of natural products with a tricyclic indolizinone scaffold.

Scheme 1: Previous work and this approach.

Table 1: Optimization of the reaction conditions.^a

Entry	Changes to standard conditions	Yields (2a , 3a) ^b
1	none	(34%, 40%)
2	MeOH	(72%, 11%)
3	DCE	(49%, 30%)
4	[Ru(<i>p</i> -cymene)Cl ₂) ₂	(52%, 10%)
5	[RhCp*(CH ₃ CN) ₃](SbF ₆) ₂	(17%, 35%)
6 ^c	[RhCp*(CH ₃ CN) ₃](SbF ₆) ₂	(29%, 0%)
7	CsOPiv instead of CsOAc	(21%, 32%)
8	without CsOAc	(0%, 0%)
9	0.5 h instead of 8 h	(12%, 14%)

^aConditions: **1a** (0.3 mmol), catalyst (0.015 mmol), CsOAc (0.6 mmol), solvent (3.0 mL). ^bIsolated yield. ^cWithout CsOAc.

CsOPiv instead of CsOAc, the products **3a** and **2a** were obtained in 32% and 21% yields, respectively (Table 1, entry 7). Without adding CsOAc, no products were formed (Table 1, entry 8). Also, when the reaction was treated under standard conditions for 0.5 h, the products **3a** and **2a** were isolated in 14% and 12% yields, respectively (Table 1, entry 9), which suggested that **3a** was formed as soon as the reaction was performed.

Next, diverse substrates were explored to evaluate the scope of this approach under the optimal reaction conditions (Scheme 2). α -Methylacrylamide smoothly proceeded to give the corresponding indolizinone **3b** in 41% yield. Acrylamide afforded the corresponding indolizinone **3c** in 43% yield. Compared to α -substituted acrylamides, β -substituted acrylamides performed the reaction with lower yields under the same conditions (**3d–f**). It should be pointed out that α,β -disubstituted acrylamides were also suitable substrates for this transformation, and the corresponding indolizinones **3g–i** were obtained in 39–45% yield. Substrates with different substituents on the alkyne, including 4-methylphenyl, 4-chlorophenyl, phenethyl and a TMS group, could deliver the corresponding indolizinones **3j–m** in 47–52% yield. Interestingly, 2-ethynylquinoline as substrate worked well, yielding the corresponding indolizinone **3n** in 32%, which has the same skeleton as mappicine.

To investigate the mechanism of this method, control experiments were carried out (Scheme 3). When **2a** was performed

under standard conditions, **3a** could be obtained in 5% yield. Increasing the temperature to 80 °C or 100 °C has no dramatic effect on the yield of **3a**. Other bases, like NaOAc or KOAc, could not improve the yield of **3a** from **2a**. On the contrary, **2a** did not give **3a** in the absence of CsOAc. These results indicate that **3a** could be formed through two pathways, and the one from **2a** is the minor pathway. The main pathway is directly from **1a**.

Based on the above results, a plausible mechanism is proposed in Scheme 4 [31]. C(sp²)–H activation of acrylamide **1a**, followed by subsequent intramolecular coordination of the alkyne gives intermediate **B**. Subsequent intramolecular migratory insertion affords intermediate **C**. Reductive elimination and subsequent oxidative addition give intermediate **D**. Then two pathways are involved in the following steps. In the main pathway (path a), intermediate **D** undergoes β -H elimination and tandem cyclization to give product **3a** and Rh–H intermediate **G**, which could be oxidized by O₂ to regenerate the catalyst. In the minor pathway (path b), intermediate **D** undergoes protonation by acetic acid to give product **2a**, which undergoes deprotonation to form intermediate **D** again, then following the main pathway to give product **3a**.

Conclusion

In summary, we have developed a rhodium(III)-catalyzed sequential C(sp²)–H activation and C(sp³)–H amination of *O*-substituted *N*-hydroxyacrylamides for the synthesis of

Scheme 2: Reaction scope. Reaction conditions: **1** (0.3 mmol), $[(\text{Cp}^*\text{RhCl}_2)_2]$ (0.015 mmol), CsOAc (0.6 mmol), 1,4-dioxane (3.0 mL), the ratio of isolated **3:2** was shown in parenthesis.

Scheme 3: Control experiments.

Scheme 4: Proposed mechanism.

indolizinones. This method shows excellent functional-group tolerance. The family of indolizinone products represents potential bioactive molecules for further studies.

Supporting Information

Supporting Information File 1

Experimental details and characterization data.

[<https://www.beilstein-journals.org/bjoc/content/supplementary/1860-5397-15-52-S1.pdf>]

Acknowledgements

Liangliang Song and Guilong Tian appreciate the China Scholarship Council (CSC) for providing a doctoral scholarship. We

acknowledge the FWO [Fund for Scientific Research-Flanders (Belgium)] for financial support. We acknowledge the support of RUDN University Program 5-100.

References

- Gensch, T.; Hopkinson, M. N.; Glorius, F.; Wencel-Delord, J. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2016**, *45*, 2900–2936. doi:10.1039/c6cs00075d
- Liu, W.; Ackermann, L. *ACS Catal.* **2016**, *6*, 3743–3752. doi:10.1021/acscatal.6b00993
- Su, B.; Cao, Z.-C.; Shi, Z.-J. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2015**, *48*, 886–896. doi:10.1021/ar500345f
- Song, G.; Li, X. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2015**, *48*, 1007–1020. doi:10.1021/acs.accounts.5b00077
- Cho, S. H.; Kim, J. Y.; Kwak, J.; Chang, S. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2011**, *40*, 5068. doi:10.1039/c1cs15082k

6. Zhu, R.-Y.; Farmer, M. E.; Chen, Y.-Q.; Yu, J.-Q. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 10578–10599. doi:10.1002/anie.201600791
7. Yin, J.; Tan, M.; Wu, D.; Jiang, R.; Li, C.; You, J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 13094–13098. doi:10.1002/anie.201708127
8. Wang, X.; Lerchen, A.; Gensch, T.; Knecht, T.; Daniliuc, C. G.; Glorius, F. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 1381–1384. doi:10.1002/anie.201610117
9. Hong, S. Y.; Jeong, J.; Chang, S. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 2408–2412. doi:10.1002/anie.201612559
10. Upadhyay, N. S.; Thorat, V. H.; Sato, R.; Annamalai, P.; Chuang, S.-C.; Cheng, C.-H. *Green Chem.* **2017**, *19*, 3219–3224. doi:10.1039/c7gc01221g
11. Wang, K.; Hu, F.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, J. *Sci. China: Chem.* **2015**, *58*, 1252–1265. doi:10.1007/s11426-015-5362-5
12. Shankar, M.; Guntreddi, T.; Ramesh, E.; Sahoo, A. K. *Org. Lett.* **2017**, *19*, 5665–5668. doi:10.1021/acs.orglett.7b02824
13. Shang, X.; Liu, Z.-Q. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2013**, *42*, 3253–3260. doi:10.1039/c2cs35445d
14. Colby, D. A.; Tsai, A. S.; Bergman, R. G.; Ellman, J. A. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2012**, *45*, 814–825. doi:10.1021/ar200190g
15. Hu, X.-H.; Yang, X.-F.; Loh, T.-P. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 15535–15539. doi:10.1002/anie.201506437
16. Zhao, Y.; Li, S.; Zheng, X.; Tang, J.; She, Z.; Gao, G.; You, J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 4286–4289. doi:10.1002/anie.201612147
17. Meng, K.; Zhang, J.; Li, F.; Lin, Z.; Zhang, K.; Zhong, G. *Org. Lett.* **2017**, *19*, 2498–2501. doi:10.1021/acs.orglett.7b00695
18. Yu, Y.; Huang, L.; Wu, W.; Jiang, H. *Org. Lett.* **2014**, *16*, 2146–2149. doi:10.1021/ol500611d
19. Jiang, B.; Zhao, M.; Li, S.-S.; Xu, Y.-H.; Loh, T.-P. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 555–559. doi:10.1002/anie.201710601
20. Yu, C.; Li, F.; Zhang, J.; Zhong, G. *Chem. Commun.* **2017**, *53*, 533–536. doi:10.1039/c6cc07064g
21. Liang, Q.-J.; Yang, C.; Meng, F.-F.; Jiang, B.; Xu, Y.-H.; Loh, T.-P. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 5091–5095. doi:10.1002/anie.201700559
22. Xu, X.; Liu, Y.; Park, C.-M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 9372–9376. doi:10.1002/anie.201204970
23. Zhang, Q.; Rivkin, A.; Curran, D. P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 5774–5781. doi:10.1021/ja025606x
24. Henegar, K. E.; Baughman, T. A. *J. Heterocycl. Chem.* **2003**, *40*, 601–605. doi:10.1002/jhet.5570400407
25. Xu, P.; Chen, D.-S.; Xi, J.; Yao, Z.-J. *Chem. – Asian J.* **2015**, *10*, 976–981. doi:10.1002/asia.201403190
26. Yu, S.; Huang, Q.-Q.; Luo, Y.; Lu, W. *J. Org. Chem.* **2012**, *77*, 713–717. doi:10.1021/jo201974f
27. Kingsbury, W. D.; Boehm, J. C.; Jakas, D. R.; Holden, K. G.; Hecht, S. M.; Gallagher, G.; Caranfa, M. J.; McCabe, F. L.; Faucette, L. F.; Johnson, R. K.; Hertzberg, R. P. *J. Med. Chem.* **1991**, *34*, 98–107. doi:10.1021/jm00105a017
28. Li, K.; Ou, J.; Gao, S. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 14778–14783. doi:10.1002/anie.201607832
29. Song, L.; Tian, G.; He, Y.; Van der Eycken, E. V. *Chem. Commun.* **2017**, *53*, 12394–12397. doi:10.1039/c7cc06860c
30. Song, L.; Tian, G.; Van der Eycken, E. V. *Mol. Catal.* **2018**, *459*, 129–134. doi:10.1016/j.mcat.2018.09.004
31. Song, L.; Zhang, X.; Tian, G.; Robeyns, K.; Van Meervelt, L.; Harvey, J. N.; Van der Eycken, E. V. *Mol. Catal.* **2019**, *463*, 30–36. doi:10.1016/j.mcat.2018.11.016

License and Terms

This is an Open Access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>). Please note that the reuse, redistribution and reproduction in particular requires that the authors and source are credited.

The license is subject to the *Beilstein Journal of Organic Chemistry* terms and conditions: (<https://www.beilstein-journals.org/bjoc>)

The definitive version of this article is the electronic one which can be found at: [doi:10.3762/bjoc.15.52](https://doi.org/10.3762/bjoc.15.52)