

1 **Pattern of Failure after Adjuvant Radiotherapy Following Extrapleural**
2 **Pneumonectomy of Pleural Mesothelioma in the SAKK 17/04 Trial**

3
4
5 Oliver Riesterer^{1,2}, I. Frank Ciernik³, Rolf A. Stahel⁴, Alexandros Xyrafas⁵, Daniel M.
6 Aebersold⁶, Ludwig Plasswilm⁷, E. Mahmut Ozsahin⁸, Daniel R. Zwahlen⁹, Kristiaan
7 Nackaerts¹⁰, Frank Zimmermann¹¹, L. Sabrina Stark¹, Walter Weder¹², Jérôme Krayenbuehl¹
8 for Swiss group for clinical cancer research (SAKK)

9
10 ¹ *Department of Radiation Oncology, University Hospital Zurich, University of Zurich, Switzerland*

11 ² *Center for Radiation Oncology KSA-KSB, Kantonsspital Aarau, Switzerland*

12 ³ *Department of Radiotherapy and Radiation Oncology, Städtisches Klinikum, Dessau, Germany*

13 ⁴ *Cancer Center Zurich, University Hospital Zurich, University of Zurich, Switzerland*

14 ⁵ *Swiss Group for Clinical Cancer Research (SAKK) Coordinating Center, Bern, Switzerland*

15 ⁶ *Department of Radiation Oncology, Inselspital, University Hospital Bern, University of Bern, Switzerland*

16 ⁷ *Department of Radiation Oncology, Kantonsspital St.Gallen, St. Gallen, University of Bern, Switzerland*

17 ⁸ *Department of Radiation Oncology, University Hospital Lausanne - CHUV, Lausanne, Switzerland*

18 ⁹ *Department of Radiation Oncology, Kantonsspital Graubünden, Chur, Switzerland*

19 ¹⁰ *Department of Pneumology, KU Leuven, University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven, Belgium*

20 ¹¹ *Department of Radiation Oncology, University Hospital Basel, Basel, Switzerland*

21 ¹² *Thoracic Surgery Center, University Hospital Zurich, University of Zurich, Switzerland*

22
23
24 **Address correspondence to: oliver.riesterer@ksa.ch**

25 Oliver Riesterer, MD, Center for Radiation Oncology KSA-KSB, Kantonsspital Aarau, Tellstrasse 25, 5001 Aarau,
26 Switzerland

27

28

29 **Summary**

30 Postoperative radiotherapy after extrapleural pneumonectomy of malignant pleural
31 mesothelioma was investigated in the randomized phase II trial SAKK17/04. The relapse rate
32 within the high and/or low-dose PTV without previous distant failure was 24%, the isolated
33 in-field-relapse rate within the PTVs was 5% and the distant relapse rate outside of the PTVs
34 was 81%. Clinical outcome was mainly determined by distant disease progression outside of
35 the radiation field.

36

37 **Key words:** Mesothelioma; radiation therapy; radiotherapy; extrapleural pneumonectomy;
38 adjuvant therapy; volumetric modulated arc therapy; intensity modulated radiotherapy;
39 multimodal therapy.

40

41

42 **INTRODUCTION**
43

44 Malignant pleural mesothelioma (MPM) is a rare cancer associated with exposure to
45 asbestos. The concept of trimodal therapy including chemotherapy, extrapleural
46 pneumonectomy (EPP) and postoperative radiotherapy (RT) has long been considered to be
47 a promising treatment approach based on single arm studies and retrospective analyses
48 reporting high local control rates and promising survival [1-3]. In order to improve the
49 scientific evidence for the trimodal approach and on the basis of own multicenter clinical
50 data [3], the Swiss group for Clinical Cancer Research (SAKK) launched a randomized trial
51 addressing the question if postoperative RT after EPP would give an additional benefit to the
52 patients. The SAKK 17/04 trial was designed as a two-part multicenter randomized phase II
53 trial exploring the effect of high-dose hemithoracic RT after induction-chemotherapy and
54 EPP in MPM patients with stage I–III disease. In part 1 of the trial, patients were treated with
55 three cycles of chemotherapy and EPP. In part 2, after surgery, completely resected patients
56 were randomly assigned (1:1) to receive high-dose RT or no further treatment. The results of
57 SAKK 17/04 showed similar median locoregional relapse-free survival of 9.4 months (95%
58 confidence interval [CI]: 6.5–11.9) in the RT group compared to 7.6 months (95% CI: 4.5–
59 10.7) in the arm without RT [4]. According to the trial protocol, locoregional relapse was
60 defined as relapse within the ipsilateral hemithorax or death from any cause and not, as in
61 many other studies, as relapse within the planning target volume (PTV) [1, 5, 6]. Further,
62 heterogeneity of the radiation techniques allowed in the trial as well as the lack of a
63 centralized review of RT plans were criticized in a letter to the editor following publication of
64 the trial [4, 7]. To shed more light on the efficacy of RT applied within the SAKK trial we
65 investigated tumor recurrences in relation to the high- and low-dose PTVs and to the total
66 dose in patients randomized to RT.

67 **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

68

69 **Patients**

70 Altogether, 153 patients with pathologically confirmed malignant pleural mesothelioma;
71 resectable TNM stages T1–3 N0–2, M0;WHO performance status 0–1; age 18–70 years were
72 enrolled and 151 patients started treatment; 99 patients with R0-1 resections were
73 candidates for randomization in part 2, and 54 patients could be randomized, 27 patients in
74 each arm. Finally, follow-up images of 21 patients were available and could be evaluated for
75 tumor control within the PTVs. All diagnostic follow-up radiologic images showing the site(s)
76 of first relapse, RT treatment plans including PTVs, and clinical outcome data were collected
77 from the participating centers or were provided by the SAKK coordinating center.

78

79 **Radiotherapy target definition**

80 Two clinical target volumes (CTVs) with corresponding planning target volumes (PTVs) were
81 defined. The low-dose CTV2 was defined as the field of surgery, including the entire
82 preoperative pleural and pulmonary structures, the surgical tracts and scars. The boost CTV,
83 referred to as CTV1, was defined by the risk of residual postoperative microscopic disease or
84 the area of highest risk for intrathoracic relapse as defined by the surgeon, the pathologist
85 or as suggested by the tumor extension prior to surgery. The diaphragmatic borders as well
86 as areas of primordial risk for relapse had to be marked with radiopaque clips during
87 surgery. Additional clips were to be placed in areas where the surgeon did not feel confident
88 about radicality. In case of metastatic nodal disease, contaminated lymph node areas were
89 recommended to be included in CTV1. CTVs were expanded by 0.5-1 cm to the
90 corresponding PTVs 1 and 2, which were used for RT planning.

91 **Radiation techniques**

92 In the 21 evaluable patients, 3D-conformal RT (3D-CRT) was used for 5 patients, intensity-
93 modulated radiotherapy (IMRT) or volumetric modulated arc radiotherapy (VMAT) were
94 used for 11 patients, helical tomotherapy for 2 patients, and a combination of 3D-CRT with
95 IMRT was used for 3 patients [8]. Three types of dose and fractionation were allowed:

- 96 - 25 x 1.8 Gy = 45 Gy to the PTV1 followed by 7x 1.8 Gy = 12.6 Gy to the PTV2
- 97 - 23 x 2 Gy = 46 Gy to the PTV1 followed by 5x 2 Gy = 10 Gy to the PTV2
- 98 - 26 x 1.75 Gy = 45.5 Gy to the PTV2 including a simultaneous integrated boost of 26 x
99 2.15 Gy = 55.9 Gy to the PTV1

100 Patients were treated with different schedules and doses depending on the technologies
101 available and treatment standards used in the participating centers when the trial was
102 planned. Dosimetry was done for every first patient of each center using the EQUAL
103 technique (thermoluminescent diodes) provided by ESTRO. In addition, minimal dosimetry
104 was also required and done for each patient. These quality control measures were
105 performed according to the national standards of the time.

106

107 **Follow-up and recurrence analysis**

108 During the first year, patients were seen at 4, 8, and 12 months after surgery including
109 clinical examination and restaging using CT or PET/CT. Subsequently, the follow-up was
110 performed every 6 months until 5 years after treatment. During the latter period, a
111 diagnostic CT was performed only in case of suspected clinical relapse.

112

113 Local relapse as site of first relapse was defined as relapse within the high or low-dose PTV.

114 Distant relapse was defined as relapse outside of the PTVs, intra and/or extra thoracic.

115 Marginal miss was defined as relapse just outside of the border of the low-dose PTV in an
116 area that was supposed to be covered by the PTVs (e.g. diaphragmatic crus or edge of the
117 recessus costodiaphragmaticus). All recurrences in the ipsilateral hemi-thorax were analysed
118 by visually comparing the localization of tumor relapse on diagnostic images with PTVs in the
119 original treatment plan. This was done unblinded by 2 senior clinicians with more than 15
120 years of experience each (OR, IC), and defined as a morphologically increasing radiographic
121 abnormality.

122

123 **Statistics**

124 The follow-up time to local relapse and survival were calculated from surgery [1]. Time to
125 local relapse was evaluated using the Kaplan Meier Method. For calculation of local control
126 rates as site of first relapse patients were censored in the case of death as well as in the case
127 of distant recurrence.

128

129

130 **RESULTS**

131

132 Of the 27 patients included in arm B and assigned to hemithoracic RT, 6 patients had to be
133 excluded from the local recurrence analysis: 1 patient who had received only 12 Gy, 1
134 patient for whom follow-up CTs were no longer available and 4 patients, who died within
135 three months after end of RT and before entering the follow-up phase (Figure 1).

136 Median follow-up of the 21 evaluable patients was 17 months (range, 3.4-61.5). Radiologic
137 tumor recurrences were documented in 18 patients (86%) (Table 1). In these 18 patients the
138 site of first relapse was exclusive locally in only 1 patient (5%), synchronous locally and
139 distant in 4 patients (19%) and only distant in 13 patients (62%). In all 5 cases with local
140 recurrence, the relapse was localized in the low-dose PTV (24%) and in 2 of the 5 patients

141 (10%) recurrences were simultaneously observed at multiple sites in the low- and high-dose
142 PTVs. The anatomical localization of the local recurrences was costodiaphragmatic recessus
143 (2 patients), lateral thoracic wall (1 patient), pericardium (1 patient), and multiple sites (1
144 patient). The median time to local tumor recurrence was 9.4 months (range, 6.2-12.1
145 months) (Figure 2). Of the 5 patients with local relapse, 4 patients had been treated with
146 intensity-modulated radiation techniques (IMRT or VMAT,) and one patient with 3D
147 conformal radiotherapy.

148 Altogether, 17 patients (81%) had radiologically documented recurrences outside of the PTV:
149 8 patients (38%) in the contralateral hemithorax, 4 patients (19%) in the mediastinum and 7
150 patients (33%) in the abdomen (Table 1). We found 2 recurrences at the lower border of the
151 PTVs of which 1 recurrence was judged as geographic miss just outside of the PTV and the
152 other one was overlapping with the PTV and therefore was counted as local recurrence.

153 Of the 3 patients without radiologically documented relapse during follow-up, 1 patient died
154 after 27 months and 2 patients were still alive at 60 and 61 months after surgery. One
155 patient with relapse in the contralateral lung had cyberknife stereotactic radiotherapy after
156 25 months and was still alive after 41 months.

157 If both study arms were compared, the ipsilateral hemithorax was less frequently involved as
158 site of first relapse in arm B (irradiated) than in the control arm without RT (33% vs. 69%, $p =$
159 0.02, Supplementary Material Table S1 and Fig. S1).

160

161

162 **Discussion**

163 SAKK 17/04 trial was designed to investigate the role of trimodal therapy of patients with
164 MPM in a multi-institutional setting. The primary endpoint to evaluate the effect of RT was

165 locoregional relapse-free survival. For the calculation of this endpoint, locoregional failure
166 was defined in the protocol as relapse within the ipsilateral hemithorax regardless of the
167 radiation field borders as well as death from any cause [4]. Here, we investigated in more
168 detail the effect of RT on local control defined as tumor control within the radiation target
169 volume at time of first relapse. In the 21 evaluable patients we found a local relapse rate of
170 24% and a distant relapse rate of 81%. Altogether all but one patient relapsed outside of the
171 PTVs at first recurrence. Therefore, despite a relatively high local tumor control rate after
172 complete resection and postoperative RT of the hemithorax, progression-free survival
173 remained low mainly due to metastatic progression outside of the irradiated volume. Our
174 local control rate of 76% within the PTVs is on the lower edge of values given in several
175 single arm, single-center studies reporting local tumor control rates within the PTV of 84-
176 95% [1, 2, 5]. Only 10% of irradiated patients in SAKK 17/04 trial recurred in the boost PTV
177 treated to 55.9-57.6 Gy, which was defined as the region of highest risk for local relapse. This
178 observation suggests that the boost dose used in the trial might be an effective dose for
179 postoperative treatment. Further, considering a 76% tumor control in the low-dose PTV, 45
180 Gy might be on the lower edge as effective dose for control of subclinical disease. The local
181 tumor control rate reported by us is lower than in the trial of Rice et al. who reported
182 extremely favorable local tumor control in the PTVs of 95% after IMRT as part of trimodality
183 therapy in a retrospective cohort of 61 patients, albeit with a median survival of only 14.2
184 months [1]. The median dose prescribed to the postoperative hemithorax was also 45 Gy,
185 and a boost dose up to 60 Gy was given to the area of high risk for relapse. Later on, the
186 same group published retrospective data of 86 patients treated with post-operative IMRT to
187 the same dose and fractionation, and reported a local control rate of 84% [5]. The reason for
188 the higher local control rate reported by the MD Anderson group can be explained by

189 patient selection, specialized care in an experienced single center (MD Anderson), the
190 exclusive use of IMRT and the comparatively short median overall survival of 14.2 months.
191 Recently, three phase II trials have been published also investigating the trimodality
192 approach [9-11]. Doses given ranged from 50.4-54 Gy to the entire hemithorax without a
193 boost in all three studies. Unfortunately, the local tumor control rates within the PTVs have
194 not been reported. Progression free-survival rates of 6.9 to 13.9 months were comparable to
195 the 9.4 months reported after RT in the present trial.

196 Technically, postoperative RT of MPM after EPP is challenging because radiation has to cover
197 a large and anatomically complex volume extending from the apex of the lung down to the
198 costodiaphragmatic recessus and, medially, including the diaphragmatic crus down to the
199 lumbar vertebrae. In addition, the lower border of the clinical target volume in the
200 costodiaphragmatic recessus is often difficult to define on postoperative CT images. The
201 complexity of volume definition in this region is underlined by our finding of 2 recurrences at
202 or outside of the lower field border, an area of potential underdosage and geographic miss.
203 Remarkably, 4 patients could not be included in this analysis due to early death within the
204 first 3 months after RT, of these 2 patients died for unknown reasons. The relatively high
205 exclusion rate and/or early deaths without radiological documentation of relapse, the latter
206 probably due to treatment related complications, are major caveats from the present
207 analysis and illustrate that a close follow-up for the first 3 months after aggressive
208 multimodal treatment is essential to detect and treat serious and life-threatening side
209 effects.

210 Importantly, if both study arms were compared, the ipsilateral hemithorax was less
211 frequently involved as site of first relapse if radiotherapy was part of the treatment.
212 Unfortunately, it is impossible to answer the question if this effect was durable, because

213 most patients with relapse did not undergo regular imaging anymore. However, we can
214 conclude that radiotherapy at least has the potential to delay local recurrence.

215 Trial SAKK 17/04 remains the only controlled prospective trial investigating the role of
216 postoperative RT as part of trimodal therapy in MPM. However, the results have to be
217 interpreted with caution because of the low number of patients randomized in part 2, the
218 heterogeneity of radiotherapy techniques, the lack of a central plan review and the high
219 proportion of irradiated patients with early death. This is reflected in the recent ASCO
220 treatment guidelines for MPM according to which adjuvant radiotherapy after EPP may still
221 be offered as treatment option in specialized centers based on the promising survival data of
222 single arm phase II trials [12]. Though, independent of the results of SAKK 17/04, in clinical
223 research there is currently a shift towards novel treatment combinations that are conceived
224 as less toxic such as induction-accelerated hemithoracic IMRT before EPP or adjuvant pleural
225 IMRT after pleurectomy-decortication [13]. Importantly, the high relapse rate in the SAKK
226 17/04 trial was not caused by ineffectiveness of hemithoracic RT but rather by tumor
227 progression outside of the PTVs. Therefore, this analysis supports the further testing of
228 radiotherapy in combination with novel surgical and pharmaceutical approaches for
229 treatment of MPM.

230

231 In conclusion, we show that RT as given in SAKK trial 17/04 resulted in a reasonably high
232 local tumor control rate, however RT remained futile for the majority of patients due to
233 progression outside of the PTVs. Therefore, postoperative RT after chemotherapy and EPP
234 cannot be recommended for routine clinical use in all patients with MPM but may still be
235 offered to carefully selected patients. Novel treatment combinations are currently evaluated
236 in clinical trials and more efficacious systemic treatment options and prognostic biomarkers

237 are needed to be able to select patients, who might benefit from radical local treatment
238 including RT.

239

240 **References**

- 241 [1] Rice D, Stevens C, Correa A, AA V, Tsao A, Forster K, et al. Outcomes After
242 Extrapleural Pneumonectomy and Intensity-Modulated Radiation Therapy for Malignant
243 Pleural Mesothelioma. *The Annals of Thoracic Surgery*. 2007;84.
- 244 [2] Rusch V, Rosenzweig K, Venkatraman E, Leon L, Raben A, Harrison L, et al. A phase II
245 trial of surgical resection and adjuvant high-dose hemithoracic radiation for malignant
246 pleural mesothelioma. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2001;122:788-95.
- 247 [3] Weder W, Stahel R, Bernhard J, Bodis S, Vogt P, Ballabeni P, et al. Multicenter trial of
248 neo-adjuvant chemotherapy followed by extrapleural pneumonectomy in malignant
249 pleural mesothelioma. *Ann Oncol*. 2007;18:1196-202.
- 250 [4] Stahel R, Riesterer O, Xyrafas A, Opitz I, Beyeler M. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy and
251 extrapleural pneumonectomy of malignant pleural mesothelioma with or without
252 hemithoracic radiotherapy (SAKK 17/04): a randomised, international, multicentre
253 phase 2 trial. *Lancet Oncol*. 2015;16:1651-8.
- 254 [5] Gomez D, Hong D, Allen P, Welsh J. Patterns of failure, toxicity, and survival after
255 extrapleural pneumonectomy and hemithoracic intensity-modulated radiation therapy
256 for malignant pleural mesothelioma. *J Thorac Oncology*. 2013;8:238-45.
- 257 [6] Krayenbuehl J, Dimmerling P, Ciernik I, Riesterer O. Clinical Outcome of
258 Postoperative Highly Conformal versus 3D Conformal Radiotherapy in Patients with
259 Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma. *Radiation Oncology*. 2013;9.
- 260 [7] Rimner A, Zauderer M, Gomez D, Adusumilli P, Parhar P. Phase II Study of
261 Hemithoracic Intensity-Modulated Pleural Radiation Therapy (IMPRINT) As Part of
262 Lung-Sparing Multimodality Therapy in Patients With Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma. *J*
263 *Clin Oncol*. 2016;34:2761-8.
- 264 [8] Krayenbuehl J, Susann O, Davis JB, Ciernik IF. Combined Photon and Electron 3D-
265 Conformal versus Intensity Modulated Radiotherapy with an Integrated Boost for
266 Adjuvant Treatment of Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma following
267 Pleuropneumonectomy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2007;69:1593-9.
- 268 [9] Federico R, Adolfo F, Giuseppe M, Lorenzo S, Martino D. Phase II trial of neoadjuvant
269 pemetrexed plus cisplatin followed by surgery and radiation in the treatment of pleural
270 mesothelioma. *BMC Cancer*. 2013;13.
- 271 [10] Krug L, Pass H, Rusch VW, Kindler H, Sugarbaker DJ, Rosenzweig K, et al.
272 Multicenter phase II trial of neoadjuvant pemetrexed plus cisplatin followed by
273 extrapleural pneumonectomy and radiation for malignant pleural mesothelioma. *J Clin*
274 *Oncol*. 2009;27:3007-13.
- 275 [11] Van Schil P, Baas P, Gaafar R, Maat A, Van de Pol M, Hasan B, et al. Trimodality
276 therapy for malignant pleural mesothelioma: results from an EORTC phase II
277 multicentre trial. *Eur Respir J*. 2010;36:1362-9.
- 278 [12] Kindler HL, Ismaila N, Armato SG, Bueno R, Hesdorffer M, Jahan T, et al. Treatment
279 of Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma: American Society of Clinical Oncology Clinical
280 Practice Guideline. *J Clin Oncol*. 2018;36:1343-73.
- 281 [13] Perrot M, Wu L, Wu M, Cho BCJ. Radiotherapy for the treatment of malignant
282 pleural mesothelioma. *The Lancet Oncology*. 2017;18:532-42.

