High Efficiency Polymer based Direct Multi-jet Impingement Cooling Solution for High Power Devices

Tiwei Wei, *Student Member, IEEE*, Herman Oprins, *Member, IEEE*, Vladimir Cherman, *Member, IEEE*, Jun Qian, Ingrid De Wolf, *Senior Member, IEEE*, Eric Beyne, *Senior Member, IEEE*, Martine Baelmans

Abstract—A high efficiency 3D-shaped polymer multi-jet impingement cooler based on cost efficient fabrication techniques is introduced for the cooling of high power applications. State of the art highly efficient multi-jet cooling solutions rely on expensive Si or ceramic fabrication techniques, while low cost cooling solutions have been proposed for less performant single jet impingement. In this paper, we present the concept, modeling, design, fabrication, experimental characterization and benchmarking with literature data of a multi-jet impingement based liquid cooling solution, fabricated using low cost polymer fabrication techniques, targeted to directly cool the backside of high power devices. For the modeling study, unit cell model and full system level models are used to study the nozzle array scaling trends and thermal and fluidic the jet-to-jet interactions. Furthermore, design guidelines for high power electronics cooling are provided, including geometry selections, material selection, and fabrication techniques. Based on the design guidelines and cooling concept, this paper demonstrates a 3D-shaped polymer impingement cooler with a 4×4 nozzle array, showing a very good thermal performance with low required pumping power. The multi-jet cooler can achieve heat transfer coefficients up to $6.25 \times 10^4 \text{ W/m}^2\text{K}$ with a pump power as low as 0.3 W. The benchmarking study confirms furthermore that multi-jet cooling is more efficient than single jet cooling and that direct cooling on the backside of the semiconductor device is more efficient than cooling the substrate or base plate.

Index Terms—Liquid cooling, power electronics, jet impingement cooling, low cost fabrication, high power

I. INTRODUCTION

The recent trends in high power electronics show an increase in device power density due to the reduction in device size, combined with rising demands on control, energy efficiency and reliability [1]. For high power Insulated Gate Bipolar Transistors (IGBTs) modules, the heat flux in the transistor packages approaches 300 W/cm^2 [2], while for wide bandgap transistor electronics like GaN for radar and telecommunication applications, the local power densities can

be as high as 1 kW/cm^2 averaged over the chip [3]. To cope with the increasing heat flux challenge for future high power devices on the order of $600\text{--}1000 \text{ W/cm}^2$ [4], conventional liquid cooling solutions such as (microchannel) cold plates are no longer sufficient, and a transition towards direct liquid cooling, that achieves higher cooling rates, will be required. The main drawbacks of the conventional cold plates are (1) the presence of the thermal interface material (TIM), which represents a major thermal resistance contribution, and (2) the temperature gradient along the chip surface in the flow direction. A comparison of the cooling performance between cold plates, microchannel cooling and jet impingement cooling is presented in literature from an experimental [5] and numerical [6] perspective. The experimental study [5] shows that for a constant device junction temperature of 175°C the power dissipation capability of 60 W in the case of cold plate can be increased to 99 W and 167 W respectively for a microchannel cooler and a jet impingement cooler. The CFD modeling study of [6] shows that jet impingement cooling designs with distributed outlets have the following advantages over microchannels cold plates and jet impingement coolers with common outlets: lower average chip temperature, improved temperature uniformity and lower pressure drops in the cooler. Liquid jet impingement cooling can be applied on the power module baseplate, on the substrate or even on the bare die. Bare die liquid jet impingement cooling is the most efficient cooling option where the liquid coolant is directly ejected from nozzles on the heat source backside resulting in a high cooling efficiency due to the absence of the TIM and the lateral temperature gradient. Moreover, it can be used as hotspot targeted cooling by delivering high localized heat transfer rates at the location of the hot spot(s), which can improve the temperature uniformity. Recently, a cost-efficient cooling solution for a single MOSFET semiconductor based on a single jet direct impingement cooler was introduced [7]. This single chamber cooler with relatively larger nozzle diameter and simplified injection manifold can achieve heat transfer coefficients of $1.2 \times 10^4 \text{ W/m}^2\text{K}$ for a pumping power of 0.9 W. Single jet cooling is however limited to the efficient cooling of single hot spots since the obtained heat coefficient distribution is strongly non-uniform, where the cooling efficiency quickly decays from the stagnation point towards the wall jet region, which can generate significant thermal gradients. Liquid multi-jet array impingement cooling at the other hand provides the scalability of the high heat coefficient area for areas from a few cm^2 to a few hundred cm^2 , especially for multi-jets coolers with locally distributed outlets [8]. Furthermore, it is shown that cooling a hot spot array with a multi-jet cooler nozzle directed at hot spots is more efficient and achieves better temperature uniformity rather than cooling the complete surface area [9]. The main drawback of the multi-jet cooler is however the higher level of complexity to create the separate

Manuscript received July 02, 2018; revised September 11, 2018; accepted September 22, 2018. This work was supported as part of the imec Industrial Affiliation Program on 3D System Integration and has been strongly supported by the imec partners and the imec Reliability, Electrical testing, Modeling and 3D technology teams.

Tiwei Wei was with the Department of Mechanical Engineering, KU Leuven, 3001 Leuven, Belgium. He is now with IMEC, 3001 Leuven, Belgium (Tiwei.wei@imec.be).

H. Oprins, V. Cherman and E. Beyne are with IMEC, 3001 Leuven, Belgium (e-mail: oprins@imec.be; cherman@imec.be; beyne@imec.be).

I. De Wolf is with the Department of Materials Engineering, KU Leuven, 3001 Leuven, Belgium, and also with IMEC, 3001 Leuven, Belgium (e-mail: dewolf@imec.be).

Jun Qian, M. Baelmans is with the Department of Mechanical Engineering, KU Leuven, 3001 Leuven, Belgium (e-mail: jun.qian@kuleuven.be; martine.baelmans@kuleuven.be).

chambers for the fluid delivery and fluid extraction and the consequently added fabrication cost.

A. State-of-the-Art Impinging Jet Cooling

In literature, a large variety of multi-jet impingement coolers fabricated with different materials and a large range of nozzle diameter values can be found. A selection of these multi-jet coolers have been summarized in Table 1, which lists the cooler material, nozzle array geometry and the achieved thermal performance. Fig. 1a shows the range of the nozzle diameters and the nozzle density for the considered impingement coolers, where the increase in nozzle density and the consequent

reduction of the nozzle diameters result in an increasing complexity for the cooler fabrication. Multi-jet impingement coolers can achieve very high heat transfer coefficients. However, in the case of the small nozzle diameters, a high pressure and consequently high pumping power is required. The relation between the total cooling power of the impingement coolers and the required pumping power is shown in Fig 1b. In the figures, the chip area is used as the basis for the normalization of the heat flux, pumping power and nozzle density.

Figure 1. Graphical representation of the geometrical, thermal and hydraulic specifications of the literature survey from Table 1: a) Trend of the nozzle density on the chip area as a function of the nozzle diameter. b) Trend of the normalized required pump power in the cooler as a function of the dissipated heat flux in the chip. All quantities are normalized with respect to the chip area.

Si fabrication techniques, including etching allow the very precise fabrication of nozzles with small diameters. In literature, several Si-fabrication based impingement coolers with nozzle diameters of a few tens of μm have been presented for common returns, distributed returns or combination of micro-channels and impingement nozzles. Evelyn N. Wang [10] presented Si based single jet and multi-jet impingement coolers with common returns are presented with diameters ranging from 40 to 76 μm , achieving a heat transfer coefficient of $0.9 \times 10^4 \text{ W/m}^2\text{K}$ with pump power of 6 mW. In [11], Brunschweiler et al. demonstrated that Si processing could be used to fabricate performant and complex microjet array impingement coolers with branched hierarchical parallel fluid delivery and return architectures with 50,000 inlet/outlet nozzles, allowing to increase the heat transfer coefficient for to $8.7 \times 10^4 \text{ W/m}^2\text{K}$ with 1.43 W. The main drawbacks of the Si based coolers are the high pressure drop for the small diameter nozzles and the high fabrication cost. Other fabrication methods for nozzle diameters of a few hundred μm have been presented for ceramic and metal. G. Natarajan et al. [12] from IBM developed a microjet cooler with 1600 inlets and 1681 outlets using Multilayer ceramic technology (MLC). Kyle Gould et al. [5] from Teledyne Scientific Company developed a compact jet impingement cooled metal heat exchanger with 48 200 μm diameter jets for a 600-V/50-A silicon carbide (SiC) power module used for bidirectional power conversion between a 28-V battery and a 300-V DC bus. Tolga Acikalin et al. [13]

from Intel Labs paper developed a stainless steel direct liquid contact microchannel cold plate for bare die packages. Sheng Liu et al. [14] demonstrated a metal based bottom-side microjet array cooling heatsink for the thermal management for both the active radar systems and high power density LEDs, in particular for LED array. International Mezzo Technologies [15] demonstrated a microjet cooler with honeycomb structure which contains microjets with 300 microns diameter. A single jet metal cooler [7] with inlet diameter ranging from 0.6 mm to 1.6 mm was demonstrated on a bare MOSFET semiconductor device. Low cost fabrication methods, including injection molding [16] and 3D printing [17] have been introduced for much larger nozzle diameters (mm range) with larger cooler dimensions. B.P. Whelan et al. [17] developed a miniature 3D printed jet array waterblock using 49 individual 1 mm jets. Klaus Olesen et al. [16] demonstrated a module level injection molded impingement cooler for the cooling of power electronic modules in hybrid electrical vehicle traction applications. These dimensions and processes are however not compatible with the chip packaging process flow.

The thermal performance of the cooling solution can be further improved by modifying the contact surface of the semiconductor device on which the liquid coolant is impinged. Sidy Ndao et al. [18] experimentally investigated that the heat transfer can be as high as 3.03 or about 200% increase by enhancing the target surface with a finned surface. This phenomenon can be exploited to develop “hybrid” microheat

sinks which contain impingement cooling channels as well as an array of fins created in the semiconductor device to achieve very high cooling rates. Yong Han et al. [19] from IME proposed a package-level hotspot cooling solution for GaN transistors using Si microjet/micro-channel hybrid heat sink, which can enable high spatially average heat transfer coefficient of $18.9 \times 10^4 \text{ W/m}^2 \text{ K}$ with low pumping power of 0.17 W. A.J. Robinson et al. [20] developed a micro heat sink designed with microchannels and an array of fins with integrated microjets using metallic additive manufacturing process resulting in a heat transfer coefficient of $30 \times 10^4 \text{ W/m}^2 \text{ K}$. These hybrid approaches are however a very disruptive cooling technology, requiring the etching of structures inside the device to be cooled.

The overview of the literature study, summarized in Table 1 shows a large variety for the number of nozzles and the nozzle diameters for the impingement coolers for power electronic devices. However, a systematic study to determine the optimal nozzle array geometry in order to optimize the thermal as well as the hydraulic performance is missing. In this paper, we present a modeling study to investigate the thermal performance scaling trend of the number of nozzles and the nozzle diameter for multi-jet impingement coolers, to derive a guideline for the cooler design and to predict the cooler's thermal and hydraulic performance for an arbitrary chip size.

B. Polymer based Multi-jets Cooling Concept

Figure 2. Concept of the 3D shaped polymer cooler: a) cross section of the multi-jet cooler internal structure; b) side view of the distributed inlets and outlets in the nozzle plate.

The presented literature study shows that multi-jet impingement coolers can achieve very high cooling rates, but their major drawback is the complex and expensive fabrication. In this paper, we present a cost-efficient high efficiency multi-jet impingement cooling solution, fabricated using low cost polymer fabrication techniques, targeted to directly cool the backside of high power semiconductor devices. The purpose of this work is to demonstrate the feasibility of an integrated polymer impingement cooler and to benchmark its thermal performance with literature data for impingement coolers with various materials. The schematic of the model for impingement jet cooling with distributed inlet and outlet channels for the delivery and removal of the coolant is shown in Fig.2. As shown in Fig.2a, the inlet flow firstly goes through the inlet plenum and distributes jets flow for individual inlet nozzles. After that, the fluid ejects through the inlet nozzles and impinges on the heated chip surface shown in Fig.2b. After striking on the chip surface, the fluid returns to the outlet

plenum through the effusion nozzles. In order to evaluate the thermal performance, the fabricated 3D-shaped polymer cooler is assembled to our $8 \times 8 \text{ mm}^2$ thermal test chip, referred to as PTCQ (Packaging Test Chip Version Q) [21], with integrated heaters and temperature sensors. This thermal test chip is used to mimic the power electronic device.

The remainder of the paper is structured as follows: Section II describes the thermal and hydraulic modeling study of the impingement cooler. First, we present a unit cell based analysis to investigate the scaling trend with the number of nozzles and the nozzle diameter for multi-jet impingement coolers, to derive a guideline for the cooler design and to predict the cooler performance. Next a full cooler level model is presented to study the system level thermal and hydraulic effects. In section III, results of the modeling study are applied to design the polymer cooler. Further, the fabrication of the cooler is discussed. Section IV presents the experimental thermal characterization of the fabricated polymer cooler and the benchmarking of the cooler performance with respect to the coolers described in the literature study. Section V concludes the study.

II. THERMAL AND HYDRAULIC MODELING OF THE IMPINGEMENT COOLER

The overview of impingement coolers in Table 1, shows a very large variety for the nozzle diameter (from tens of μm s to tens of mm), for the number of nozzles (from single jet to 50,000+) and for the materials used for the cooler fabrication. However, no thorough analysis of design considerations with regard to the impact of the nozzle geometry parameters, nozzle density or the thermal impact of the cooler material is available. In this work, we present a modeling study to investigate the impact of the nozzle array geometry and the scaling of the number of nozzles for an $N \times N$ multi-jet impingement cooler with distributed returns as shown in Fig. 1. Conjugate heat transfer fluid dynamics simulations (CHT-CFD) have been performed to assess the thermal and fluidic behavior of an impingement cooler with an $N \times N$ nozzle array considering water as a coolant. In the first step, a unit cell model, representing the nozzle array, is used to assess the optimal number of nozzles, nozzle pitch and nozzle diameter for a large range of nozzle diameters and flow rates. In the next step, a full cooler level model is used to investigate the interaction between the different nozzles, the impact of the plenum design on the flow uniformity and the impact of the cooler material.

The conjugate heat transfer models consider conduction and convection in the liquid domain of the model and conduction in the solid domain. This solid domain includes the Silicon die with 0.2 mm thickness. A transition shear stress transport (SST) model is used for the CFD simulations, since this type of turbulence model offers a good compromise between accuracy and computational time for jet impingement modeling [22] and allows to cover the large range of Re numbers from laminar flow, over transitional flow to turbulent flow that is encountered in practical cooling design. In this study, flow rates from 50 ml/min up to 1000 ml/min have been considered. This corresponds to a range from 10 to 3500 for the Re_d number

based on the nozzle diameter, while the reported laminar to turbulence transition range for liquid jet

Table 1 Review of state of art cooling solutions

Material	Authors	Application	Coolant/ Phase	Number of jets	Nozzle diameter	Chip area	Power/Heat flux	Flowrate/Pressure drop/Pump power	Thermal Performance
Si	2004,[10], E.N. Wang	TTV	Water/Tin=23°C	4	76 µm	1 cm ²	4W	FL=8 mL/min Δp = 47.57 KPa	h _{max} =4.4 W/(cm ² K)
Si	2010, [27] E.A.Browne	MEMS fabricated device-	Single phase Water Tin=23°C	17	112µm	1mm ²	930 W/cm ²	Δp = 348 KPa	h _{avg} =31.8 W/(cm ² K)
Si	2006, [11], T.Brunschwiler	CPU-Si die	Water/ single-phase	50000	31-103 µm	4 cm ²	370 W/cm ²	FL=2.5 L/min Δp =35KPa Q _{pump} =1.46 W	R _{avg} = 0.17 Kcm ² /W h _w =8.7 W/(cm ² K) uniformity < 0.5°C
Si* Hybrid	2007, [28] E. G. Colgan	Bare die	Water@22°C	Channel pitch: 60-100 µm 450 µm thick channel		4 cm ²	400 W/cm ²	FL=1.25 L/min Δp =34.5 KPa Q _{pump} =0.72 W	R _{avg} =0.026 K/W
Si* Hybrid	2015, [19] Yong Han	GaN device with diamond heat spreader	Single Phase Tin=25°C	21×11	100µm	0.49cm ²	110W; 260 W/cm ²	Q _{pump} =0.05W	R _{avg} = 0.09 Kcm ² /W
Plastic	2012, [17] B.P. Whelan	Heater block mimic CPU	Water Tin=15°C	49	1mm	8.24 cm ²	200W	FL=10 L/min Δp =37.5 KPa Q _{pump} =6.25 W	R _{avg} =0.076 K/W
Plastic	2015, [29], C.S. Sharma	Bare TTV die	Water Single phase Tin=20°C	Slot nozzle width		6.26 cm ²	285W; 150W/cm ²	FL=1.2 L/min Δp =33 KPa Q _{pump} =0.66 W	R _{avg} = 0.2 Kcm ² /W
Plastic	2016, [2], A. S. Bahman	IGBT module	Glycol 50-water 50; Tin=20°C	40 channels	2.5-3mm wide Channel	---	IGBT:50W Diode: 25W	Δp = 26 KPa FL=5 L/min Q _{pump} =1.27 W	R _{avg} =0.2 K/W
Plastic	2006,[9], Klaus Olesen	IGBT module	Ethylene- glycol/water 50%/50%	---	----	7.47cm ²	---	Δp =0.19 bar FL=12 L/min Q _{pump} =3.8 W	R _{avg} = 0.97 Kcm ² /W
Material	Authors	Application	Coolant/ Phase	Number of jets	Nozzle diameter	Chip area	Chip power /Heat flux	Flowrate /Pressure drop	Thermal performance
Ceramic	2007, [12] G. Natarajan	CPU-Si die	liquid single-phase Tin=20°C	1600	126 µm	1.8cm ²	290W/cm ²	FL=1.2 L/min Δp =53 kPa Q _{pump} =1.06 W	h _{avg} =5.2 W/(cm ² K) R _{avg} = 0.053 K/W
Metal	2015, [5], Kyle Gould	SiC power module base plate	Water–ethylene glycol/ Tin=100 °C	48	200 µm	0.64 cm ² (16 legs)	151W	FL=195 ml/min Δp =34.47 kPa Q _{pump} =0.11 W	T _j = 175 °C R _{avg} =0.28 Kcm ² /W
Metal	2007, [15] Overholt MR	Electrical device	Water	11×11	200- 300µm	1cm ²	1.5 kW/cm ²		h _{avg} =50 W/(cm ² K) R _{avg} =0.02 K/W
Metal	2014, [13] Tolga Acikalin	VLSI device	Liquid/ Single phase Tin=22°C	240	300µm	1.18cm ² Cooler	40W	FL=1.18 L/min Δp =41.4kPa Q _{pump} =0.81 W	R _{avg} =0.24 Kcm ² /W
Metal	2008, [30], M. K. Sung	TTV	HFE 7100 - 40°C to 20°C	5x14	390µm	2 cm ²	16.1- 304.9W/cm ²	FL=6.82 to 45.5 mL/min	
Metal	2012, [26], Skuriat, Robert	Si diode with AlN substrate	40 °C water	36	0.5mm	12.7mm ²	150W 93 W/cm ²	Q _{pump} =6W	R _{avg} =0.2 K/W
Metal	2017, [23], J. Jorg	IGBT- module	22.5 °C water	1	0.6 mm	25mm×35m m	125W	FL=300ml/min Q _{pump} =0.1W	h _{avg} =0.5 W/(cm ² K)
Metal	2018, [7], J. Jorg	MOSFET Device	22.5 °C water	1	0.6 mm	0.64 cm ²	51W	FL=30 ml/min Q _{pump} =3mW	h _{avg} =1.2 W/(cm ² K)
Metal* Hybrid	2018, [20], A.J. Robinson	Heater block	20°C water	100	30µm	3.1×4.2 mm ²	1000 W/cm ²	FL=0.5 L/min Δp =160 kPa Q _{pump} =1.3W	h _{avg} =30 W/(cm ² K) R _{avg} =0.03 Kcm ² /W

impingement is between 1000 and 3000 [22]. Based on this range of considered Re numbers from laminar to low Re turbulent flow, a RANS based transition SST model has been chosen, using “SIMPLE” algorithm as the solution method and the QUICK scheme for the numerical discretization. The convergence criteria were set at 10⁻⁵ for continuity, 10⁻⁶ for energy and 10⁻⁶ for k, w and momentum (x, y and z velocities), respectively. Prism element cells are used for the meshing of

the boundary layers with minimal meshing size of 0.002 mm. The latter is calculated from the y⁺<1 constraint for the turbulence model near boundaries [22]. The number of boundary layer grid cells in the normal direction to solid walls is set to 15. Tetrahedral element cells are used for the computation bodies with complex internal structure. The number of elements for the unit cell model is around 0.6 million, while the full model is around 8.5 million based on the meshing sensitivity study. For the unit cell model and the full

cooler level model, the grid sensitivity analysis using the Richardson extrapolation predicts a discretization error for the stagnation temperature of 0.2% and 0.3% respectively. The power dissipation in the device is represented as a heat flux boundary condition on the Si. The flow conditions are applied as a velocity or pressure condition at the inlet and a pressure outlet boundary condition for the outlet. The results of interest from the simulation are the temperature distribution in the electronic device and the liquid coolant as well as the pressure drop required to pump the coolant through the cooler for the considered flow rate m or nozzle velocity V_{in} , which are related as follows for the $N \times N$ jet array:

$$\dot{V} = N^2 V_{in} A$$

The required pumping power W_p can be expressed as:

$$W_p = \dot{V} \Delta p$$

Where the pressure drop Δp is defined as the difference between the inlet and the outlet static pressure. The thermal performance is expressed in terms of the thermal resistance R_{th} .

$$R_{th} = (T_{ch,avg} - T_{in})/P$$

Where $T_{ch,avg}$ is the average chip temperature, T_{in} is the coolant inlet temperature and P the chip power. In order to optimize the performance of the cooler, a trade-off needs to be made between the improvement of the thermal resistance and the required pumping power to achieve this.

A. Unit Cell Modeling Study

Figure 3. Unit cell modeling approach: a) indication of cooler geometrical parameters and unit cell; b) coupled flow and thermal simulation result from a 1/8 detailed model, simplified from the unit cell

Fig 3a show the top view of the nozzle plate of the impingement cooler for an $N \times N$ array where each inlet is surrounded by 4 outlets. This symmetrical nozzle array can be approximated by an unit cell of a single jet (Fig. 3b), this ignoring effects from the side walls of the device. Due to the symmetry of the structure, this unit cell can be further reduced to a 1/8 model allowing a drastic reduction of the computation time for the DOE, considering the following five design parameters: nozzle number N , inlet diameter d_i , outlet diameter d_o , nozzle plate thickness t and cavity height H . In addition, the simulations are performed for a range of flow conditions expressed in terms of flow rate, pressure drop or pumping power, considering a uniform velocity profile at the inlet. In this study, the $N \times N$ jet array is matched to the 8×8 mm² chip size of the test vehicle. Table 2 shows the cooling density of the different unit cell sizes on the test chip. Moreover, the fabrication techniques linked with different cooling cell size is also illustrated in this table. $N \leq 16$ is in the range of the capability of polymer fabrication techniques while higher

nozzle numbers, and smaller diameters would require more expensive Si fabrication techniques. For the DOE study of the unit cell modeling, the nozzles number will cover from single jet to 32×32 .

Table 2: Scaling of the nozzle geometry of the multi-jet cooler for two nozzle diameter to unit cell size ratios and the link with feasible manufacturing options.

N×N	Cooling density Cells per cm ²	Cooling cell size on PTCQ	Nozzle diameter ratio 1 (di/L=0.3)	Nozzle diameter ratio 2 (di/L=0.4)	Fabrication techniques
Single jet	1.56 / cm ²	8 × 8 mm ²	2.4 mm	3.2 mm	Mechanical machining process
2×2	6.25 / cm ²	4 × 4 mm ²	1.2 mm	1.6 mm	
3×3	14 / cm ²	2.67 × 2.67 mm ²	0.8 mm	1.07 mm	
4×4	25 / cm ²	2 × 2 mm ²	0.6 mm	0.8 mm	
6×6	56.25 / cm ²	1.33 × 1.33 mm ²	0.4 mm	0.532 mm	Injection molding /3D Printing (low cost)
8×8	100 / cm ²	1 × 1 mm ²	0.3 mm	0.4 mm	
10×10	156.25 / cm ²	800 × 800 μm ²	0.24 mm	0.32 mm	
12×12	225 / cm ²	667 × 667 μm ²	0.2 mm	0.27 mm	
16×16	400 / cm ²	500 × 500 μm ²	0.15 mm	0.2 mm	Silicon processing (expensive)
32×32	1600 / cm ²	250 × 250 μm ²	0.075 mm	0.1 mm	
64×64	6400 / cm ²	125 × 125 μm ²	0.038 mm	0.05 mm	

Notes: PTCQ (Packaging Test Chip Version Q) is defined as a dedicated thermal test chip, which is used to study the temperature response of impingement jet cooling

Fig. 4 shows the temperature difference distribution over the chip surface to fluid inlet for different numbers of nozzles in the array for a constant pressure drop across the nozzle plate. The comparison between the temperature distribution from the full cooler model and the reconstructed mirrored profile from the unit cell show a good agreement between the unit cell and the full model, except from the small edge effects that are observed in the full model near the chip edges. The unit cell model shows that the temperature uniformity across the chip surface improves as the number of inlet nozzles in the arrays increases. Moreover, the maximum and average temperature drop rapidly as a function of the number of nozzles while the minimum temperature only increase slowly. This analysis shows that multi-jet cooling can achieve more uniform cooling than single jet cooling.

Figure 4. Temperature difference (surface to fluid inlet) profile for N scaling ($d_i/L=0.1$)

The thermal resistance can be further reduced by increasing the flow rate, however at the expense of the required pump power. This trade-off can be shown in a thermal resistance versus pumping power chart in which each cooler design is

represented by a curve for a range of flow rates. The closer the curve is to the origin, the better the thermal performance and the less required pumping power of the cooler is. In Fig. 5, this relation is shown for different cooler unit cell sizes with a fixed nozzle diameter to unit cell size ratio di/L and for a fixed cavity height of $200\ \mu\text{m}$. In this way, the scaling of the number of nozzles, on the $8 \times 8\ \text{mm}^2$ chip size is studied for a fixed overall nozzle area.

Figure 5. Thermal resistance vs pump power tradeoff chart of the cooler thermal performance for increasing number of inlet nozzles N ($di/L=do/L=0.1$) and fixed cavity height.

Figure 6. Evolution of thermal resistance as a function of number of nozzles for constant pump power

By using a high number of small diameter nozzles one can achieve better thermal performance compared to the single jet case. However, it requires a very high pressure and consequent pumping power, resulting in a saturation of the thermal performance increment beyond $N=8$ on the $8 \times 8\ \text{mm}^2$ chip size ($1\ \text{mm}^2$ cooling unit cell). This trend can be clearly observed in Fig. 6 that shows the evolution of the thermal resistance as a function of the number of nozzles for several constant values of the pumping power. It should be noted that the analysis shown in Fig. 6 is based on the unit cell model in order to allow an extensive sensitivity analysis, and that consequently the plenum pressure drop and its impact on the scaling has not been included in this analysis. The impact of the nozzle diameter on the thermal and hydraulic performance is illustrated for a 4×4 nozzle array ($2 \times 2\ \text{mm}^2$ unit cell). The trade-off between thermal resistance and pumping power in Fig. 7 shows a better

performance for larger nozzle diameters, however in the range of the relevant pumping power of $0.1\ \text{W}$, the improvement saturates beyond $di/L = 0.3$.

Figure 7. Impact of nozzle diameter in 4×4 array cooler (chip power: $100\ \text{W}$ in $8 \times 8\ \text{mm}^2$; inlet temperature: 10°C ; cavity height: $200\ \mu\text{m}$)

The impact of the other parameters, the nozzle plate thickness and the cavity height is less significant. For increasing nozzle plate thickness, the thermal resistance reduces slightly since the flow is more developed for longer nozzle lengths, resulting in higher peak velocities in the nozzle center. However, increasing the nozzle plate thickness from $500\ \mu\text{m}$ to $1\ \text{mm}$, only results in a reduction of the thermal resistance of 2% in the 4×4 jet array test case, while the pressure drop increases for the longer nozzle length. For this 4×4 array test case, the thermal performance is almost constant with respect to the cavity height in the range of $200\ \mu\text{m}$ to $400\ \mu\text{m}$. Beyond $400\ \mu\text{m}$, there is a slight but steady deterioration of the thermal performance as the cavity height increases. For cavities below $200\ \mu\text{m}$, a sharp increase in the heat transfer can be observed, however this happens at the expense of an even higher increase in the pressure drop, limiting the optimal range for the cavity. Therefore, this region is the pressure drop in relative quantity increasing more than the relative change in the heat transfer. Besides thermal and hydraulic aspect, also reliability aspects and the structural strength need to be considered. These aspects will impose additional constraints on the design parameters.

B. System Level Modeling

Figure 8. Full cooler system level model

The unit cell model assumes an identical behavior for each cooling cell in the jet array, however in the cooler there are differences in the flow rate and chip temperature between central nozzles and corner nozzles. In order to study the extent

of this non-uniformity of the flow in the nozzle and non-uniformity of the chip temperature, a CFD model of the complete cooler is used. Fig. 8 shows the full model, including the cooler, coolant, chip and package for the 4×4 array test case. This model allows to simulate the flow distribution in the nozzles, the interaction between different nozzles and the impact of the plenum design and cooler material. Moreover, the complex flow phenomena can be visualized through the flow streamlines. Fig. 9a and 9b show a contour plot of the flow velocity and the streamlines of the coolant in a diagonal cross-section of the cooler respectively. The streamline plot shows that the jet-to-jet interaction of the neighboring nozzles is negligible since the cross flow effects are eliminated by using locally distributed outlets. This advantage shows the potential for hot spots targeted cooling without interfering other jet flows. The contour plot of Fig. 9a shows that for the considered inlet plenum height of 2.5 mm, a higher flow rate is observed in the central nozzles compared to the corner nozzles. Moreover, the thermal and hydraulic performance of the full cooler model with 0.5 mm nozzle diameters are shown in Fig. 9c. The modeling results shows the trade-off between the thermal resistance and pressure drop under different flow rate.

Figure 9. Full system level model modeling results: a) and b) velocity field and flow streamline visualization inside the full cooler; c) evolutions of pressure drop and thermal resistance as function of flow rate.

The impact of the inlet plenum thickness on the flow distribution in the nozzles of the 4×4 array cooler is shown in Fig.10 for three thickness values. A thin plenum with 1 mm height generates a significant flow maldistribution of more than 25% with higher velocity concentrating in the nozzles in the center of the cooler. This indicates that it is important to balance the inlet diameter and plenum height when designing the impingement cooler. For the thicker inlet plenum with 5 mm thickness, the flow distribution is much more uniform. However, the use of a thicker plenum increase the total cooler thickness.

Figure 10. Impact of the plenum level thickness on the flow distribution in the 4x4 array of inlet nozzles

In order to study the impact of the cooler material on the chip temperature for different flow rates, a dedicated simplified full model shown in Fig.11 is simulated under a large range of flow rates from 50 ml/min to 600 ml/min. The considered materials are Cu (401 W/m-K), Si (1484 W/m-K) and polymer (0.2 W/m-K). The cooling performance comparison with the three different cooler materials is shown in Fig.11a. The simulations show that the impact of the cooler thermal conductivity on the chip temperature distribution is negligible over a wide range of flow rates and chip power values as shown in Fig.11b. The modeling results show that a polymer cooler has even a slightly better performance than a Cu cooler, as it prevents the incoming coolant from heating up by the exiting coolant flow. This offers opportunities for the use of polymer based cost efficient fabrication techniques.

Figure 11. Contour plots of the full cooler CFD models showing a) the impact of the thermal conductivity of the cooler materials for different flow rates and b) temperature distributions (chip power 100W)

III. DESIGN AND FABRICATION OF THE MICROMACHINED COOLER

Figure 12. CAD design structure of the 4x4 array demonstrator of the impingement cooler and integration on the test chip and PCB.

Figure 13. a) Different fabricated parts of the 4x4 array demonstrator of the impingement cooler and b) Final assembly of 4x4 array demonstrator of the impingement cooler and integration on the test chip and PCB

Based on the thermal and hydraulic modeling results for the number of unit cells, inlet diameter and impact of material conductivity, and on the fabrication capabilities, a simplified board level polymer demonstrator cooler has been designed to be manufactured in Polyvinyl chloride (PVC) using micromachining and drilling in plastic. The inlet nozzle array is chosen as a 4x4 array while the outlets are organized in a 5x5 array in such a way that each inlet is surrounded by 4 outlets. The diameter of both inlets and outlets is set to 500 μm since larger diameters will not result in more efficient cooling, as shown in Fig. 7. Fig. 12 shows an exploded view of the design of the different parts of the cooler (cover layer, inlet/outlet plenum divider, nozzle plate, support structure and copper spacer) that will be mounted on the test chip package and PCB. In order to separate the inlet flow and outlet flow, an inlet/outlet plenum divider is needed, as indicated in Fig. 12. The part has been fabricated using a 500 μm diameter tool for the micromachining and a 500 μm drill to create the inlets and outlets in the nozzle plate, creating a wall thickness of 200 μm for the inlets through the outlet plenum. Fig. 13 shows different fabricated parts of the 4x4 array demonstrator of the impingement cooler. It also shows the assembly of the cooler

on the thermal test chip and PCB using an O-ring to prevent leakage of the coolant. The presence of the O-ring creates a stand-off of 400 μm between the electronic device and the nozzle plate, which is the cavity where the impingement takes place. After assembly, the cooler has been successfully tested and no leakage was observed.

IV. THERMAL CHARACTERIZATION AND BENCHMARKING

Figure 14. Schematic of the experimental flow loop

Fig.14 shows the experimental test set-up for the flow or pressure control and for the accurate flow, pressure and temperature measurements. All the sensors in the set-up are connected to and controlled by LabView, allowing operation of the flow loop either in a controlled mass flow rate mode or a controlled pressure mode. The accuracy of mini Cori flow meter is $\pm 0.2\%$ RD. A differential pressure gauge that can withstand a static pressure of 10 bar and measure a pressure drop between 0.2 bar and 5 bar. The accuracy is $< \pm 0.5\%$ FS. A stainless steel basket filter is used for the pump with a screen of 25 μm . Thermocouples are used to measure the outlet temperature with an accuracy of $\pm 0.3^\circ\text{C}$. The inlet temperature is set as 10°C . In this study, a dedicated thermal test chip, named PTCQ (Packaging Test Chip Version Q) is used to study the temperature response of impingement jet cooling. Fig.15 shows the images of experimental set up and thermal test chip with multi-jets cooler. The programmable test chip with 8x8 mm² contains 32 x 32 array of temperature sensors for measuring the full chip temperature distribution map. The heaters are constituted by metal meanders resistors in the BEOL that are controlled independently by switches distribution map, resulting in a programmable power map with 832 heater cells with 75% heater uniformity as shown in Fig. 15c. The test chip is packaged in a 14 x 14 mm² bare die flip-chip ball grid array package (BGA), which allows direct cooling from the backside of the test chip. The 95% confidence interval of the calibrated sensitivity of the temperature sensor on the test chip is $-1.55 \pm 0.02 \text{ mV}/^\circ\text{C}$ for a current of 5 μA in the temperature range between 10 and 75 $^\circ\text{C}$. The measurement uncertainty for the sensor and heater voltage are 1 mV and 1.6 mV respectively. The analysis of the propagated measurement uncertainty results in a value of $\pm 1.8\%$ for the reported thermal resistance measurements.

Figure 15. Multi-jet impingement jet cooler demonstration a): impingement jet cooling setup b) and c): image of thermal test chip with heater map and temperature sensor map;

A. Thermal Measurement and model validation

The fabricated cooler is characterized for the following conditions: 50 W quasi-uniform chip power, inlet temperature 10°C, coolant flow rate ranges from 280 ml/min to 600 ml/min. A very low thermal resistance of 0.25 K/W (based on the

average chip temperature) is obtained for a flow rate of 600 ml/min with a required pump power of 0.4 W. Moreover, the temperature distribution over the chip is very uniform (Fig. 16). Compared with a single jet cooler, shown in Fig. 16a, on the same chip package, the multi-jet impingement cooler results in a lower thermal resistance and a better temperature uniformity for the same flow rate compared to the single jet cooler. By increasing the flow rate from 280 ml/min to 600 ml/min, the thermal resistance can be reduced by a factor of 1.7. The extracted correlation between the flow rate and the thermal resistance reveals an exponent of -0.66, which agrees well with the typical range -0.6 to -0.8 reported in literature for submerged impingement cooling [22]. The modeling approach has been validated by comparing the temperature profile in the active region of the chip with the measurement data in the sensors for different flow rates. A very good agreement is obtained between the modeling results and the experimental data. The comparison between the full cooler model and the measurements is shown for the diagonal of the chip temperature in Fig. 16.b while the comparison of the average chip temperature is compared for the unit cell model, the full cooler model and the experiments for flow rates from 50 ml/min up to 600 ml/min.

Figure 16. a) Comparison of the measured temperature profiles for the cases of single jet cooler and the multi jet 4x4 array cooler; b) Comparison of the chip temperature profile along the chip diagonal between the full cooler CFD simulations and experiments for the 4x4 array jet cooler; c) Average chip temperature comparison for the unit cell and full cooler CFD models and measurement data for different flow rates.

Figure 17. Thermal performance and pump power comparison with state of art cooling solutions

B. Benchmarking with state of art cooling

The cooling performance in terms of thermal resistance and pumping power of the fabricated polymer cooler with a 4×4 array of inlets (0.25 K/W or $0.16 \text{ cm}^2\text{K/W}$ for a pumping power of 0.4 W) has been compared in Fig. 17 with published data in literature for impingement coolers fabricated using various materials: Si [10,11], ceramic [12], metal [5, 7, 13, 14, 15] and plastic [16,17] presented in the introduction section. To assess the trade-off between the cooling performance and the required pumping power for the liquid coolant, the results are compared in the thermal resistance versus pumping power chart, introduced in Fig. 4. Since the literature measurement data of the cooling and hydraulic performance is reported for different chip sizes, the data needs to be normalized in order to compare the intrinsic cooling performance of the different coolers. The thermal resistance scales inverse proportionally with the chip size (resulting in lower thermal resistance values for large chips, while the pumping power scales proportionally with the area (resulting in high required pumping power for large chips). The proposed metrics for the benchmarking assessment in Fig. 17 are therefore the normalized thermal resistance $R_{th}^* = R_{th}A$, and the normalized pumping power $Wp^* = Wp/A$. The two measurement points of this work (imec-polymer cooler) shown in this figure are based on the fabricated 3D-shaped polymer cooler with a flow rate of 280 ml/min and 600 ml/min . From the benchmarking chart in Fig. 17, it can be observed that the presented 3D-shaped polymer cooler has a very good thermal performance, which is achieved with a relatively low pumping power. The thermal performance of the polymer cooler is very similar to the Si based cooler [11] with very fine pitch $25 \mu\text{m}$ diameter nozzles, however that

cooler requires expensive Si fabrication techniques. Comparison with the single jet cooler [7] applied on a single MOSFET semiconductor device shows that single jet impingement cooling can be operated at lower pumping power, especially for large nozzle diameters, but that the obtained thermal resistance of single jet cooling is much higher: the multi-jet cooler outperforms the single jet cooler by one order of magnitude. The comparison with the results of the cooler for IGBT devices show the impact of the direct cooling on the semiconductor device: in [26] it is shown that impingement cooling on the base plate is clearly more efficient than cooling on the substrate or using a cold plate. The use of direct liquid multi-jet impinging on the chip backside results in a further improvement of a factor 4 compared to the cooling on the base plate. This benchmarking study clearly shows the potential of the presented multi-jet polymer based cooler and proves that it is not necessary to scale down the nozzle diameters to a few tens of micron but that very good thermal performance can be obtained with nozzle diameters in the range of several hundred micrometers, which is compatible with low cost polymer fabrication.

V. CONCLUSION

Liquid jet impingement cooling is known to be a very efficient cooling technology. State of the art highly efficient multi-jet cooling solutions rely on expensive Si or ceramic fabrication techniques, while cost efficient cooling solutions have been proposed for less performant single jet impingement. In this paper, we present the concept, modeling, design, fabrication, experimental characterization and benchmarking with literature data of a novel multi-jet impingement based liquid cooling solution, fabricated using low cost polymer

fabrication techniques, targeted to directly cool the backside of high power devices. It is demonstrated that polymer is a valuable alternative material for the fabrication of the impingement cooler instead of expensive Si based fabrication methods. Unit cell thermal and hydraulic CFD models have been used to study the scaling trends for nozzles dimensions, while full cooler models have been applied to study the interactions between nozzles and the impact of the cooler material. The modeling results show that it is not necessary to scale up the number of unit cells and to shrink the nozzle diameter accordingly to improve the thermal performance for a fixed cavity height, making the required diameters compatible with polymer fabrication methods. Moreover, the simulations indicate that the thermal conductivity of the cooler material has no impact on the thermal performance of the impingement cooler. A 4×4 array jet impingement cooler with 500 μm nozzles has been fabricated using mechanical machining in PVC and has been assembled to a test chip package. The experimental characterization shows a very low thermal resistance of 0.25 K/W (0.16 cm².K/W) and good temperature uniformity across the chip surface. The experimental validation shows a good agreement between both the unit cell the full cooler CFD models and the experimental results. The benchmarking study with literature data for impingement coolers with a large range of inlet diameters shows a very good thermal performance of the fabricated polymer cooler for a low required pumping power. The benchmarking study confirms furthermore that multi-jet cooling is more efficient than single jet cooling and that direct cooling on the backside of the semiconductor device is more efficient than cooling the substrate or base plate.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Amano, Y. Baines, et al., "The 2018 GaN power electronics roadmap," *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.*, vol. 51, no. 16, pp. 163001, March 2018.
- [2] A. S. Bahman and F. Blaabjerg, "Optimization tool for direct water cooling system of high power IGBT modules," in *Proc. 2016 European Conf. Power Electron. Appl.*, 2016, pp. 1–10.
- [3] S. V. Garimella, T. Persoons, J. A. Weibel, and V. Gektin, "Electronics Thermal Management in Information and Communications Technologies: Challenges and Future Directions," *IEEE Trans. Components, Packag. Manuf. Technol.*, vol. PP, no. 99, pp. 1191–1205, September 2016.
- [4] S. Kandlikar, S. Garimella, D. Li, S. Colin, and M. R. King, *Heat transfer and fluid flow in minichannels and microchannels*, Oxford, Elsevier Ltd., 2014, Chap. 3.
- [5] K. Gould, S. Q. Cai, C. Neft, and S. Member, "Liquid Jet Impingement Cooling of a Silicon Carbide Power Conversion Module for Vehicle Applications," *IEEE Trans. Power Electronics*, vol. 30, no. 6, pp. 2975–2984, June 2015.
- [6] A. S. Rattner, "General Characterization of Jet Impingement Array Heat Sinks With Interspersed Fluid Extraction Ports for Uniform High-Flux Cooling," *J. Heat Transfer*, vol. 139, no. 8, p. 082201(1-11), August 2017.
- [7] J. Jorg, S. Taraborrelli, G. Sarriegui, R. W. De Doncker, R. Kneer, and W. Rohlf, "Direct single impinging jet cooling of a mosfet power electronic module," *IEEE Trans. Power Electronics*, vol. 33, no. 5, pp. 4224–4237, May 2018.
- [8] A. Bhunia and C. L. Chen, "On the Scalability of Liquid Microjet Array Impingement Cooling for Large Area Systems," *J. Heat Transfer*, vol. 133, no. 6, 064501(1-7), January 2011.
- [9] K. Olesen, R. Bredtmann, and R. Eisele, "'ShowerPower' New Cooling Concept for Automotive Applications," in *Proc. Automot. Power Electron.*, no. June 2006, pp. 1–9.
- [10] E.N. Wang, L. Zhang, J.-M. Koo, J.G. Maveety, E.A. Sanchez, K.E. Goodson, and T.W. Kenny, "Micromachined Jets for Liquid Impingement Cooling for VLSI Chips," *J. Microelectromech. Sys.*, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 833-842, October 2004.
- [11] T. Brunschweiler et al., "Direct liquid jet-impingement cooling with micronsized nozzle array and distributed return architecture," in *Proc. IEEE Therm. Thermomechanical Phenom. Electron. Syst.*, 2006, pp. 196–203.
- [12] G. Natarajan and R. J. Bezama, "Microjet cooler with distributed returns," *Heat Transf. Eng.*, vol. 28, no. 8–9, pp. 779–787, July 2010.
- [13] T. Acikalin and C. Schroeder, "Direct liquid cooling of bare die packages using a microchannel cold plate," in *Proc. IEEE Therm. Thermomechanical Phenom. Electron. Syst.*, 2014, pp. 673–679.
- [14] S. Liu, T. Lin, X. Luo, M. Chen, and X. Jiang, "A Microjet Array Cooling System For Thermal Management of Active Radars and High-Brightness LEDs," in *Proc. IEEE Electronic Components and Technology Conf.*, 2006, pp. 1634–1638.
- [15] Overholt MR, McCandless A, Kelly KW, Becnel CJ, Motakef S, "Micro-Jet Arrays for Cooling of Electronic Equipment," in *Proc. ASME 3rd International Conference on Microchannels and Minichannels*, 2005, pp. 249-252.
- [16] M. Baumann, J. Lutz, and W. Wondrak, "Liquid cooling methods for power electronics in an automotive environment," in *Proc 2011 14th European Conference on Power Electronics and Applications*, 2011, pp. 1–8.
- [17] B. P. Whelan, R. Kempers, and A. J. Robinson, "A liquid-based system for CPU cooling implementing a jet array impingement waterblock and a tube array remote heat exchanger," *Appl. Therm. Eng.*, vol. 39, pp. 86–94, June 2012.
- [18] S. Ndao, H. J. Lee, Y. Peles, and M. K. Jensen, "Heat transfer enhancement from micro pin fins subjected to an impinging jet," *Int. J. Heat Mass Transf.*, vol. 55, no. 1–3, pp. 413–421, January 2012.
- [19] Y. Han, B. L. Lau, G. Tang and X. Zhang, "Thermal Management of Hotspots Using Diamond Heat Spreader on Si Microcooler for GaN Devices," *IEEE Transactions on Components, Packaging and Manufacturing Technology*, vol. 5, no. 12, pp. 1740-1746, December 2015.
- [20] A. J. Robinson, W. Tan, R. Kempers, et al., "A new hybrid heat sink with impinging micro-jet arrays and microchannels fabricated using high volume additive manufacturing," in *Proc. IEEE Annu. IEEE Semicond. Therm. Meas. Manag. Symp.*, 2017, pp. 179–186.
- [21] H. Oprins, V. Cherman, G. Van der Plas, J. De Vos, and E. Beyne, "Experimental characterization of the vertical and lateral heat transfer in three-dimensional stacked die packages," *J. Electron. Packag.*, vol. 138, no. 1, pp. 10902, March 2016.

- [22] N. Zuckerman and N. Lior, "Jet Impingement Heat Transfer: Physics, Correlations, and Numerical Modeling," *Advances In Heat Transfer*, Vol. 39, pp. 565-631, 2006.
- [23] J. Jorg, S. Taraborrelli, et al., "Hot spot removal in power electronics by means of direct liquid jet cooling," in *Proc. IEEE Therm. Thermomechanical Phenom. Electron. Syst.*, 2017, pp. 471-481.
- [24] Skuriat, Robert, "Direct jet impingement cooling of power electronics," PhD thesis, University of Nottingham, 2012.
- [25] Y. Han, B. L. Lau, G. Tang, X. Zhang, and D. M. W. Rhee, "Si-Based Hybrid Microcooler with Multiple Drainage Microtrenches for High Heat Flux Cooling," *IEEE Trans. Components, Packag. Manuf. Technol.*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 50-57, 2017.
- [26] R. Skuriat and C. M. Johnson, "Thermal performance of baseplate and direct substrate cooled power modules," in *Proc. 4th IET Int. Conf. Power Electron. Mach. Drives*, 2008, pp. 548-552.
- [27] E. A. Browne, G. J. Michna, M. K. Jensen, and Y. Peles, "Microjet array single-phase and flow boiling heat transfer with R134a," *Int. J. Heat Mass Transf.*, vol. 53, no. 23-24, pp. 5027-5034, November 2010.
- [28] E. G. Colgan et al., "A practical implementation of silicon microchannel coolers for high power chips," *IEEE Trans. Compon. Packag. Technol.*, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 218-225, June 2007.
- [29] C. S. Sharma, G. Schlottig, T. Brunschweiler, M. K. Tiwari, B. Michel, and D. Poulikakos, "A novel method of energy efficient hotspot-targeted embedded liquid cooling for electronics: An experimental study," *Int. J. Heat Mass Transf.*, vol. 88, pp. 684-694, September 2015.
- [30] M. K. Sung and I. Mudawar, "Single-phase hybrid micro-channel/micro-jet impingement cooling," *Int. J. Heat Mass Transf.*, vol. 51, no. 17-18, pp. 4342-4352, August 2008.

Tiwei Wei is a PhD researcher at imec in Leuven, Belgium. He joined imec in 2015, starting the PhD research with developing thermal cooling solutions for high performance systems. Before joining in imec, he worked as a researcher staff in Tsinghua University and Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, from 2011 until 2015, where he is responsible for the test vehicles design, process development and experimental characterization in 3D system integration technologies related to 3D/2.5D integration, TSV and TGV. He authored over 11 publications including IEDM, ECTC, EPTC, etc., and one outstanding paper award in ICEPT 2013. Moreover, he holds 9 Chinese national patents and 1 US patent in the area of advanced packaging

Herman Oprins received the M.Sc and Ph.D. in Mechanical Engineering from KU Leuven. He joined imec in 2003, pursuing the Ph.D. with KU Leuven and working on modeling and experimental projects on thermal management of electronic packages. Since 2009 he is working as senior research engineer in imec, where he is involved in the thermal experimental characterization, thermal modeling and management of 3D-ICs, electronic packages, GaN transistors, photovoltaic modules and microfluidics.

has been a Researcher with imec, Leuven, Belgium, where he is involved in reliability studies of microelectromechanical systems devices and electronic packages.

Jun Qian received the Ph.D. degree in Mechanical Engineering from Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, China, in 1996. His research interest covers micro&precision machining processes, design of precision mechanism and precision metrology. He joined the Mechanical Engineering Department of KU Leuven in 2000 and is since 2009 a staff research manager at the same department. He is now also an affiliated Flanders Make corelab manager, focusing on sensing and monitoring for manufacturing processes.

Ingrid De Wolf received the Ph.D. in Physics from the KU Leuven. In 1989 she joined imec, where she worked in the field of microelectronics reliability. From 1999 to 2014, she headed the group REMO, focused on reliability, test and modelling. She authored or co-authored several book chapters and more than 350 publications. She is chief scientist in imec, IEEE senior member and professor at the Dep. of Materials Engineering of the KU Leuven.

Eric Beyne (M'83) received the master's degree in electrical engineering and the Ph.D. degree in applied sciences from the Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Leuven, Belgium, in 1983 and 1990, respectively. He has been with imec, Leuven, since 1986, where he has been involved in advanced packaging and interconnect technologies. He is currently a fellow with imec, where he is the Program Director of the 3-D System Integration Program.

Martine Baelmans is professor at the Dep. of Mechanical Engineering at KU Leuven, where she leads the group on thermal-fluid engineering since 1996. She graduated from KU Leuven and obtained her Ph.D. in Engineering in 1993. She has authored or co-authored more than 250 papers in applications on fluid mechanics and heat transfer. Research applications range from thermal management in power electronics, power transformers and energy systems over liquid and two-phase microelectronics cooling.