Conserving wild Arabica coffee: emerging threats and opportunities

Raf Aerts, Lore Geeraert, Gezahegn Berecha, Kitessa Hundera, Bart Muys, Hanne De Kort,
Olivier Honnay

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2016.12.023>

*Elsevier authorized the authors to post this revised personal version of the text of the final journal article on the author's institutional server, incorporating the complete citation and with a link to the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) of the article.

Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike 2.0 Belgium License
Permissions beyond the scope of this license may be available at
<http://www.elsevier.com/wps/find/authorsview.authors/copyright#whatrights>

Title

Conserving wild Arabica coffee: emerging threats and opportunities

Author names and affiliations

Raf Aerts^{a,b,*1}, Lore Geeraert^a, Gezahegn Berecha^c, Kitessa Hundera^d, Bart Muys^b, Hanne De Kort^{a,e} & Olivier Honnay^a

^a Plant Conservation and Population Biology, University of Leuven, Kasteelpark Arenberg 31-2435, BE-3001 Leuven, Belgium.

raf.aerts@kuleuven.be, lore.geeraert@kuleuven.be, olivier.honnay@kuleuven.be

^b Division Forest, Nature and Landscape, University of Leuven, Celestijnenlaan 200E-2411, BE-3001 Leuven, Belgium.

bart.muys@kuleuven.be

^c Department of Horticulture and Plant Science, Jimma University, P.O. Box 378, Jimma, Ethiopia.

gberecha@yahoo.com

^d Department of Biology, Jimma University, P.O. Box 378, Jimma, Ethiopia.

kitessah2@yahoo.com

^e Station d'Ecologie Théorique et Expérimentale du CNRS, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, 2 Route du CNRS, FR-09200 Moulis, France

hanne.dekort@sete.cnrs.fr

41 *Corresponding author: raf.aerts@kuleuven.be

42 1 Present address: Health and Environment, Scientific Institute of Public Health, Rue Juliette
43 Wytsmanstraat 14, BE-1050 Brussels, Belgium.

44

Abstract

Climate change and emerging pests and diseases are posing important challenges to global crop productivity, including that of Arabica coffee. The genetic basis of commercially used Arabica coffee cultivars is extremely narrow, and it is uncertain how much genetic diversity is present in *ex situ* collections. Conserving the wild Arabica coffee gene pool and its evolutionary potential present in the montane forests of SW Ethiopia is thus critically important for maintaining coffee yield and yield stability worldwide. Globally, coffee agroforestry helps to conserve forest cover and forest biodiversity that cannot persist in open agricultural landscapes, but the conservation of the wild Arabica coffee gene pool requires other priorities than those that are usually set for conserving forest biodiversity in mixed tropical landscapes. We show how forest loss and degradation, coffee management, in particular production intensification, and the introduction of cultivars, are threatening the genetic integrity of these wild populations. We propose an active land sparing approach based on strict land use zoning to conserve the genetic resources and the *in situ* evolutionary potential of Arabica coffee and discuss the major challenges including the development of access and benefit sharing mechanisms for ensuring long-term support to conservation.

Keywords

agricultural intensification; agroforest; *Coffea arabica*; crop wild relative; Ethiopia; genetic resources

1. Introduction

Coffee is grown in more than 70 countries across the tropics and the annual export value of coffee exceeds US\$24 billion (FAO, 2015). The market demand for coffee is still rising, but climate change and emerging pest and diseases are posing important challenges to global coffee productivity (Läderach et al., 2010; Bunn et al., 2015). Outbreaks of coffee rust, coffee berry borer beetles and root nematodes have already reduced coffee yield and degraded coffee quality in coffee plantations around the world (Jaramillo et al., 2011; Avelino et al., 2015). The high susceptibility of commercial plantations to pests and diseases that seem to accompany global change and rising temperatures (Ghini et al., 2011), and the overall expected limited resilience of monocultures against environmental change in general (Lin, 2011), can be largely attributed to the very narrow genetic basis of the commercially used coffee cultivars (Anthony et al., 2001). These plants have a very limited potential to adapt, a feature shared with many other important crops (Fernie et al., 2006) including Cavendish banana, soybean, maize and cocoa. For Arabica coffee (*Coffea arabica* L.), accounting for 60% of all coffee produced globally and of higher organoleptic quality than the other commercially used coffee species *Coffea canephora* or ‘Robusta’ coffee, the narrow genetic basis is mainly related to major genetic bottlenecks during global dissemination of Arabica coffee. The plants that were originally introduced in Latin America and the Caribbean all descended from a few individuals that grew in Java, grown from seeds collected in Yemen, which in turn were the fruits of a few mother plants that most likely had their roots in the southwestern highlands of Ethiopia (Anthony et al., 2001). Given the very low genetic diversity of Arabica coffee cultivated worldwide, the extant wild gene pool of *C. arabica* in SW Ethiopia may prove to be essential to future-proof the global coffee economy and

to secure the livelihood of millions of households that depend on Arabica coffee production or trade.

2. Wild Arabica coffee in Ethiopia

Wild *Coffea arabica* occurs as an understory shrub and has its center of diversity in the moist evergreen ‘coffee forests’ of southwestern Ethiopia (Anthony et al., 2001). Both wild populations and locally cultivated varieties (landraces) are still characterized by wide genotypic and phenotypic variability (Labouisse et al., 2008) and studies based on genotypic fingerprinting have provided evidence that Ethiopian wild provenances are genetically distinct from the most widely commercially used varieties *Typica*, *Bourbon* and *Caturra* (Anthony et al., 2001; Chaparro et al., 2004; Silvestrini et al., 2007; Tesfaye et al., 2014). The genes conserved in the Ethiopian wild gene pool are responsible for a number of desirable traits such as low caffeine content (Silvarolla et al., 2004), higher quality specialty grade (Berecha et al., 2014a) or resistance to root nematodes and coffee berry disease (Boisseau et al., 2009). These genes and genes related to other traits of wild coffee are essentially the genes needed to adapt coffee to changing climate and market demands. In these naturally regenerating populations, allele frequencies and gene combinations constantly change in a process of adaptation to external drivers, including climate change and disease pressure. Such evolutionary processes are lacking in *ex situ* collections of coffee (Schoen and Brown, 2001), including the collection of CATIE (*Centro Agronómico Tropical de Investigación y Enseñanza*) which includes c. 10,000 coffee trees, representing 1850 accessions, of which c. 600 accessions were collected in the Ethiopian center of Arabica coffee diversity (Anthony et al., 2007). In *ex situ* collections, coffee shrubs are kept outside their natural habitat under homogeneous environmental conditions and low disease

pressure. Together with the typically clonal regeneration of the cultivars, this prevents adaptation of coffee collections to changing environments (Anthony et al., 2007). A dynamic, *in situ* conservation approach allowing natural regeneration and evolution is therefore the best way for conserving a coffee gene pool that is free to adapt to climate change and emerging pests and diseases, and that can be used to harness coffee cultivation worldwide in the face of such challenges (Sgrò et al., 2011; Sarrazin et al., 2016).

3. Imminent threats to wild Arabica coffee

Globally, coffee agroforestry helps to conserve forest cover and forest biodiversity that cannot persist in open agricultural landscapes (Tscharntke et al., 2011). Also in Ethiopia, extensive coffee production systems including semi-forest coffee and homegarden coffee have been demonstrated to support the conservation of forest cover (Aerts et al., 2011; Hylander et al., 2013) and associated components of forest biodiversity (Gove et al., 2008; Hylander and Nemomissa, 2008; Tadesse et al., 2014a; Buechley et al. 2015) in landscapes that in the absence of coffee would be entirely converted to open crop- and grazing land (Tadesse et al., 2014b). Nevertheless, the conservation of the wild Arabica coffee gene pool requires other priorities than those that are usually set for conserving forest biodiversity in mixed tropical landscapes, such as the conservation of small forest patches, isolated trees and hedgerows (Muñoz et al., 2013). Like cultivated Arabica coffee elsewhere, also wild Arabica coffee is susceptible to climate change, and a bioclimatic model for *C. arabica* predicts a 38 to 90 % reduction of the suitable area within its native range by 2080 (Davis et al., 2012). However, multiple direct threats to the *in situ* conservation are currently more imminent. First, ongoing loss and fragmentation of natural coffee forests (Tadesse et al., 2014a;b) are directly threatening wild coffee populations and the

genetic diversity present. In the core area of coffee diversity, we continue to observe the fast replacement of natural coffee forest harboring wild coffee populations by intensively managed coffee plantations (Fig. 1). Decreased coffee population size and increased spatial isolation may cause inbreeding at the expense of local fitness and associated disease resistance and productivity (Jump and Peñuelas, 2006). In addition, increased genetic drift in small and fragmented populations compromises the ability to cope with changing environmental pressures due to the loss of (cryptic) beneficial genetic variation (Honnay and Jacquemyn, 2007). Second, coffee forest management intensification leads to degradation and simplification of forest structure and plant communities (Figure 1B; Senbeta and Denich, 2006; Schmitt et al., 2009; Hundera et al., 2013). The meticulous harvesting of coffee berries, transplanting of coffee seedlings and frequent slashing of the understory vegetation disturb the natural regeneration process and the age structure of the coffee populations (and other tree species) (Berecha et al. 2014b; Hundera et al. 2015) and inhibit the process of natural selection in response to environmental change (Jump et al., 2009). The biotic and structural homogenization also results in a forest hosting less diverse pollinator communities (Samnegård et al., 2014; Berecha et al., 2015). This has been linked to decreased pollen dispersal and reduced outcrossing in wild Arabica coffee populations (Berecha et al., 2014b), likely negatively affecting extant genetic diversity through inbreeding. Third, the widespread introduction of locally improved cultivars resistant to coffee berry disease into natural forests since the 1970s is threatening the genetic integrity of the remaining wild coffee populations, which already show signs of admixture with these cultivars (Aerts et al., 2013). All these threats can strongly interfere with the evolutionary trajectories underlying genetic diversity and adaptive potential. Especially in fragmented and homogenized forest landscapes, where gene flow and hence the exchange of (adaptive) alleles is

hampered, small population sizes can quickly result in depleted adaptive genetic diversity (Bijlsma and Loeschcke, 2012). To conserve the genetic diversity required for long term adaptive responses, management of the forests and the coffee populations therein should aim at supporting the evolutionary processes governing genetic diversity (Sgrò et al., 2011). Thus, the most important challenge involves the conservation of adequate effective population sizes and gene flow dynamics in the face of ongoing forest loss and degradation (Bacles and Jump, 2011).

4. Conservation approaches for wild Arabica coffee

Given the multiple challenges related to safeguarding the evolutionary processes and the adaptive potential of the wild coffee gene pool, the establishment of strict wild coffee forest reserves, free from active coffee farming, is urgently needed (Fig. 2). Not to compromise the interests and livelihoods of the local coffee farmers and the coffee production goals set by the government as part of its poverty and hunger reduction strategy, this would basically require the combination of land sparing and land sharing approaches (Phalan et al., 2011) where (i) the management in the most strongly degraded coffee forests is optimized aiming at increasing coffee yield; (ii) the management in less degraded coffee forests is optimized for biodiversity conservation and the provisioning of ecosystem services, and (iii) large tracts of natural coffee forests are not taken into production to conserve wild coffee populations. Given the currently observed fast conversion of natural forest into plantation-like coffee forest (Fig. 1), the conservation of the last remaining natural forests is imperative and providing detailed actual maps of their distribution as a first step is an absolute priority.

To avoid that local yield increase and profit encourage agricultural expansion into protected areas rather than the opposite, Phalan et al. (2016) have recently proposed a range of active land-

sparing mechanisms that may be successfully applied to the Ethiopian wild coffee case. Strict land use zoning in combination with directed yield increasing measures is needed to successfully and permanently separate coffee production areas from coffee forest reserves. Production could be boosted, for example, through technical advice on forest, soil and disease management, and through improvement of the roads and other infrastructure in the coffee production chain. Standards and certification schemes rewarding groups of farmers with additional benefits in terms of access to production resources, to technical advice to increase coffee production and perhaps to price premiums, when conserving the strict coffee forest reserves, are likely to increase the success of such a land sparing approach. Monitoring, in particular by use of remote sensing, may be helpful to demonstrate success of the land use zoning and to identify problems so that these can be addressed early if they emerge. The designation of Yayu, Sheka and Kaffa, three coffee forests in the southwest of Ethiopia, as UNESCO biosphere reserves, is certainly an important step forward to the implementation of such an active land sparing approach in Ethiopia. The cores of these forests are proposed to be placed under land sparing management, while land sharing is practiced in the forest edges and in the other parts of the landscape (which covers more than 1 million hectares over the three reserves, see online interactive map).

An important hurdle for the sustainable exploitation of the wild coffee gene pool is the danger that these genetic resources are not used to the benefit of the Ethiopian people, in particular the Ethiopian farmers and government bodies who face the costs and burden of wild coffee gene pool conservation. The UN Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) and Nagoya Protocol provide opportunities to develop specialized access and benefit sharing (ABS) instruments. Given the global importance of the coffee industry, a specialized ABS scheme for Arabica coffee could generate substantial funds for long-term support to the conservation of coffee genetic

resources in return for fair access to the genetic resource (Richerzhagen and Virchow, 2007). Together with funds derived from coffee certification schemes (Wiersum et al., 2012; Takahashi and Todo, 2014) or policy mechanisms such as REDD+ (as in Yayu forest, see e.g. Phalan et al. 2013), this fund could become instrumental in supporting local forest managers and coffee smallholder farmers to implement a combination of land sparing (reserve) and land sharing (biodiversity-friendly) conservation strategies (Fischer et al., 2008) and to conserve the unique biodiversity of Ethiopia's last remaining coffee forests.

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge the comments of Kristoffer Hylander that helped to broaden the perspective of this Opinion Paper.

Funding

This work was supported by the University Development Cooperation of the Flemish Interuniversity Council (VLIR-UOS) [research grants to LG, GB and KH]; and the Research Foundation – Flanders (FWO) [research grant to RA].

References

- Aerts, R., Hundera, K., Berecha, G., Gijbels, P., Baeten, M., Van Mechelen, M., Hermy, M., Muys, B., Honnay, O., 2011. Semi-forest coffee cultivation and the conservation of Ethiopian Afromontane rainforest fragments. *For. Ecol. Manag.* 261, 1034-1041, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2010.12.025>

225 Aerts, R., Berecha, G., Gijbels, P., Hundera, K., Van Glabeke, S., Vandepitte, K., Muys, B.,
 226 Roldán-Ruiz, I., Honnay, O., 2013. Genetic variation and risks of introgression in the wild
 227 *Coffea arabica* gene pool in southwestern Ethiopian montane rainforests. *Evol. Applic.* 6,
 228 243-252, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-4571.2012.00285.x>
 229 Anthony, F., Bertrand, B., Quiros, O., Wilches, A., Lashermes, P., Berthaud, J., Charrier, A.,
 230 2001. Genetic diversity of wild coffee (*Coffea arabica* L.) using molecular markers.
 231 *Euphytica* 118, 53-65, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1004013815166>
 232 Anthony, F., Astorga, C., Avendaño, J., Dulloo, E., 2007. III. Conservation of coffee genetic
 233 resources in the CATIE field genebank. In: Engelmann, F., Dulloo, M.E., Astorga, C.,
 234 Dussert, S., Anthony, F. (Eds.), *Conserving coffee genetic resources. Complementary*
 235 *strategies for ex situ conservation of coffee (Coffea arabica L.) genetic resources. A case*
 236 *study in CATIE, Costa Rica. Tropical Reviews in Agricultural Biodiversity. Bioversity*
 237 *International, CATIE and IRD, pp. 23-34.*
 238 Avelino, J., Cristancho, M., Geogiou, S., *et al.*, 2015. The coffee rust crises in Colombia and
 239 Central America (2008-2013): impacts, plausible causes and proposed solutions. *Food*
 240 *Security* 7, 303-321, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12571-015-0446-9>
 241 Bacles, C.F.E., Jump, A.S., 2011. Taking a tree's perspective on forest fragmentation genetics
 242 *Trends Plant Sci.* 16, 13-18, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2010.10.002>
 243 Berecha, G., Aerts, R., Vandepitte, K., Roldán-Ruiz, I., Honnay, O., 2014a. Coffee cup quality
 244 deteriorates with increasing management intensity in Ethiopian Afromontane forests. In:
 245 Berecha, G. *Genetic diversity, pollination ecology, and organoleptic characteristics of*
 246 *Coffea arabica* L. in Ethiopian moist forests of different management intensity (PhD
 247 *dissertation). Leuven, Belgium: University of Leuven, pp. 114-132.*

248 Berecha, G., Aerts, R., Vandepitte, K., Van Glabeke, S., Muys, B., Roldán-Ruiz, I., Honnay, O.,
 249 2014b. Effects of forest management on mating patterns, pollen flow and intergenerational
 250 transfer of genetic diversity in wild Arabica coffee (*Coffea arabica* L.) from Afromontane
 251 rainforests. Biol. J. Linn. Soc. 112, 76-88, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/bij.12274>
 252 Berecha, G., Aerts, R., Muys, B., Honnay, O., 2015. Fragmentation and management of
 253 Ethiopian moist evergreen forest drive compositional shifts of insect communities visiting
 254 wild Arabica coffee flowers. Environ. Manag. 55, 373-382,
 255 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00267-014-0393-9>
 256 Bijlsma, R., Loeschcke, V., 2011. Genetic erosion impedes adaptive responses to stressful
 257 environments. Evol. Applic. 5, 117-129, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1752->
 258 4571.2011.00214.x
 259 Boisseau, M., Aribi, J., de Sousa, F.R., Carneiro, R.M.D.G., Anthony, F., 2009. Resistance to
 260 Meloidogyne paranaensis in wild Coffea arabica. Trop. Plant Pathol. 34, 38-41,
 261 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1982-56762009000100006>
 262 Buechley, E.R., Sekercioglu, C.H., Atickem, A., Gebremichael, G., Ndungu, J.K., Mahamued,
 263 B.A., Beyene, T., Mekonnen, T., Lens, L., 2015. Importance of Ethiopian shade coffee
 264 farms for forest bird conservation. Biol. Cons. 188, 50-60,
 265 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2015.01.011>
 266 Bunn, C., Läderach, P., Ovalle-Rivera, O., Kirschke, D., 2015. A bitter cup: climate change
 267 profile of global production of Arabica and Robusta coffee. Clim. Change 129, 89-101,
 268 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10584-014-1306-x>

269 Chaparro, A.P., Cristancho, M.A., Cortina, H.A., Gaitán, A.L., 2004. Genetic variability of
 270 *Coffea arabica* L. accessions from Ethiopia evaluated with RAPDs. Genet. Res. Crop.
 271 Evol. 51, 291-297, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/B:GRES.0000024014.04471.9a>

272 Davis, A.P., Gole, T.W., Baena, S. Moat, J., 2012. The impact of climate change on indigenous
 273 Arabica coffee *Coffea arabica*: predicting future trends and identifying priorities. PLoS
 274 ONE 7: e47981, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0047981>

275 FAO, 2015. Statistical Pocketbook Coffee 2015. Food and Agriculture Organization of the
 276 United Nations, Rome.

277 Fernie, A.R., Tadmor, Y., Zamir, D., 2006. Natural genetic variation for improving crop quality.
 278 Current Opinion Plant Biol. 9, 196-202, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2006.01.010>

279 Fischer, J., Brosi, B., Daily, G.C., Ehrlich, P.R., Goldman, R., Goldstein, J., Lindenmayer, D.B.,
 280 Manning, A.D., Mooney, H.A., Pejchar, L., Ranganathan, J., Tallis, H., 2008. Should
 281 agricultural policies encourage land sparing of wildlife-friendly farming? Frontiers Ecol.
 282 Environ. 6, 380-385, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1890/070019>

283 Ghini, R., Bettiol, W., Hamada, E., 2011. Diseases in tropical and plantation crops as affected by
 284 climate changes: current knowledge and perspectives. Plant Pathol. 60, 122-132,
 285 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3059.2010.02403.x>

286 Gove, A.D., Hylander, K., Nemomissa, S., Shimelis, A., 2008. Ethiopian coffee cultivation –
 287 implications for bird conservation and environmental certification. Cons. Lett. 1, 208-216,
 288 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-263X.2008.00033.x>

289 Honnay, O. Jacquemyn, H., 2007. Susceptibility of common and rare plant species to the genetic
 290 consequences of habitat fragmentation. *Cons. Biol.* 21, 823-831,
 291 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2006.00646.x>

292 Hundera, K., Aerts, R., Fontaine, A., Van Mechelen, M., Gijbels, P., Honnay, O., Muys, B.,
 293 2013. Effects of coffee management intensity on composition, structure, and regeneration
 294 status of Ethiopian moist evergreen Afromontane forests. *Environ. Manag.* 51, 801-809,
 295 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00267-012-9976-5>

296 Hundera, K., Honnay, O., Aerts, R., Muys, B., 2015. The potential of small exclosures in
 297 assisting regeneration of coffee shade trees in South-Western Ethiopian coffee forests. *Afr.*
 298 *J. Ecol.* 53, 389-397, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/aje.12203>

299 Hylander, K., Nemomissa, S., 2008. Home garden coffee as a repository of epiphyte biodiversity
 300 in Ethiopia. *Frontiers Ecol Environ.* 6, 524-528, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1890/080001>

301 Hylander, K., Nemomissa, S., Delrue, J., Enkosa, W., 2013. Effects of coffee management on
 302 deforestation rates and forest integrity. *Cons. Biol.* 27, 1031-1040,
 303 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/cobi.12079>

304 Jaramillo, J., Muchugu, E., Vega, F.E., Davis, A., Borgemeister, C., Chabi-Olaye, A., 2011.
 305 Some like it hot: the influence and implications of climate change on Coffee Berry Borer
 306 *Hypothenemus hampei* and coffee production in East Africa. *PLoS ONE* 69: e24528,
 307 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0024528>

308 Jump, A.S., Peñuelas, J., 2006. Genetic effects of chronic habitat fragmentation in a wind-
 309 pollinated tree. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 103: 8096-8100,
 310 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0510127103>

311 Jump, A.S., Marchant, R., Peñuelas, J., 2009. Environmental change and the option value of
 312 genetic diversity. *Trends Plant Sci.* 14, 51-58,
 313 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2008.10.002>

314 Läderach, P., Lundy, M., Jarvis, A., Ramirez, J., Perez Portilla, E., Schepp, K., Etizinger, A.,
 315 2010. Predicted impact of climate change on coffee supply chains. In: Filho, W.L. (Ed.).
 316 The Economic, Social and Political Elements of Climate Change. Berlin Heidelberg,
 317 Germany: Springer, pp 703-723, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-14776-0_42

318 Labouisse, J.P., Ballachew, B., Kotecha, S., Bertrand, B., 2008. Current status of coffee *Coffea*
 319 *arabica* L. genetic resources in Ethiopia: implications for conservation. *Genet. Res. Crop*
 320 *Evol.* 55, 1079-1093, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10722-008-9361-7>

321 Lin, B.B., 2011. Resilience in agriculture through crop diversification: adaptive management for
 322 environmental change. *Bioscience* 61, 183-193, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1525/bio.2011.61.3.4>

323 Muñoz, J.C., Aerts, R., Thijs, K.W., Stevenson, P.R., Muys, B., Sekercioglu, C.H., 2013.
 324 Contribution of woody habitat islands to the conservation of birds and their potential
 325 ecosystem services in an extensive Colombian rangeland. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 173,
 326 13-19, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2013.04.006>

327 Phalan, B., Balmford, A., Green, R.E., Scharlemann, J.P.W., 2011. Minimising the harm to
 328 biodiversity of producing more food globally. *Food Policy* 36, S62-S71,
 329 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2010.11.008>

330 Phalan, B., Bertzky, M., Burchart, S.H.M., Donald, P.F., Scharlemann, J.P.W., Stattersfield, A.J.,
 331 Balmford, A., 2013. Crop expansion and conservation priorities in tropical countries. *PloS*
 332 *ONE*, 8, e51759, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0051759>

333 Phalan, B., Green, R.E., Dicks, L.V. *et al.*, 2016. How can higher-yield farming help to spare
 334 nature? *Science* 29, 450-451, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.aad0055>

335 Richerzhagen, C., Virchow, D., 2007. Sustainable utilisation of crop genetic diversity through
 336 property rights mechanisms: the case of coffee genetic resources in Ethiopia. *Intl. J.*
 337 *Biotech.* 9, 60-86, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1504/IJBT.2007.012169>

338 Samnegård, U., Hambäck, P.A., Nemomissa, S., Hylander, K., 2014. Dominance of the semi-
 339 wild honeybee as coffee pollinator across a gradient of shade-tree structure in Ethiopia. *J.*
 340 *Trop. Ecol.* 30, 401-408, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0266467414000327>

341 Sarrazin, F., Lecomte, J., 2016. Conservation. Evolution in the Anthropocene. *Science* 351, 922-
 342 923, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.aad6759>

343 Schmitt, C.B., Senbeta, F., Denich, M., Preisinger, H., Boehmer, H.J., 2009. Wild coffee
 344 management and plant diversity in the montane rainforest of southwestern Ethiopia. *Afr. J.*
 345 *Ecol.* 48: 78-86, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2028.2009.01084.x>

346 Schoen, D.J., Brown, A.H.D., 2001. The conservation of wild plant species in seed banks.
 347 *BioScience* 51, 960-966, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1641/0006-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2001)051[0960:TCOWPS]2.0.CO;2)
 348 [3568\(2001\)051\[0960:TCOWPS\]2.0.CO;2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2001)051[0960:TCOWPS]2.0.CO;2)

349 Senbeta, F., Denich, M., 2006. Effects of wild coffee management on species diversity in the
 350 Afromontane rainforests of Ethiopia. *For. Ecol. Manag.* 232, 68-74,
 351 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2006.05.064>

352 Sgrò, C.M., Lowe, A., Hoffmann, A.A., 2011. Building evolutionary resilience for conserving
 353 biodiversity under climate change. *Evol. Applic.* 4: 326-337,
 354 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-4571.2010.00157.x>

355 Silvarolla, M.B., Mazzafera, P., Fazuoli, L.C., 2004. Plant biochemistry: a naturally
 356 decaffeinated arabica coffee. *Nature* 429, 826, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/429826a>
 357 Silvestrini, M., Junqueira, M.G., Favarin, A.C., Guerreiro-Filho, O., Maluf, M.P., Silvarolla,
 358 M.B., Colombo, C.A., 2007. Genetic diversity and structure of Ethiopian, Yemen and
 359 Brazilian *Coffea arabica* L. accessions using microsatellite markers. *Genet. Res. Crop*
 360 *Evol.* 54, 1367-1379, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10722-006-9122-4>
 361 Tadesse, G., Zavaleta, E., Shennan, C., 2014a. Coffee landscapes as refugia for native woody
 362 biodiversity as forest loss continues in southwest Ethiopia. *Biol. Cons.* 169, 384-391,
 363 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2013.11.034>
 364 Tadesse, G., Zavaleta, E., Shennan, C., FitzSimmons, M., 2014b. Policy and demographic
 365 factors shape deforestation patterns and socio-ecological processes in southwest Ethiopian
 366 coffee agroecosystems. *Appl. Geogr.* 54, 149-159,
 367 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2014.08.001>
 368 Takahashi, R., Todo, Y., 2014. The impact of a shade coffee certification program on forest
 369 conservation using remote sensing and household data. *Environ. Impact Assessment Rev.*
 370 44, 76-81, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2013.10.002>
 371 Tesfaye, K., Govers, K., Bekele, E., Borsch, T., 2014. ISSR fingerprinting of *Coffea arabica*
 372 throughout Ethiopia reveals high variability in wild populations and distinguishes them
 373 from landraces. *Plant Syst. Evol.* 300, 881-897, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00606-013-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00606-013-0927-2)
 374 0927-2
 375 Tschardtke, T., Clough, Y., Bhagwat, S.A., Buchori, D., Faust, H., Hertel, D., Hölscher, D.,
 376 Juhbandt, J., Kessler, M., Perfecto, I., Scherber, C., Schroth, G., Veldkamp, E., Wanger,

377 T.C., 2011. Multifunctional shade-tree management in tropical agroforestry landscapes – a
378 review. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 48, 619-629, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2010.01939.x>
379 Wiersum, K.F., Gole, T.W., Gatzweiler, F., Volkmann, J., Bognetteau, E., Wirtu, O., 2012.
380 Certification of wild coffee in Ethiopia: experiences and challenges. *For. Trees*
381 *Livelihoods* 18, 9-21, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/14728028.2008.9752614>
382

384

385 **Fig. 1. Coffee forest in SW Ethiopia.** This part of the Belete-Gera National Forest Priority
386 Area harbored an undisturbed, wild population of *Coffea arabica* in 2008 (A). The same stand
387 was re-photographed in 2014 (B). The tallest canopy trees have been cut and the diverse
388 understory vegetation has been replaced by a mixture of transplanted wild *C. arabica* seedlings
389 and acquired *C. arabica* cultivars.

390

Fig. 2. Major threats to *ex situ* and *in situ* genetic diversity of *Coffea arabica*. Strict forest reserves are needed to secure conservation of *in situ* coffee genetic resources and their evolutionary potential.