

Accepted Manuscript



Blunted maximal and submaximal responses to cardiopulmonary exercise test in patients with Parkinson's Disease

Hélcio Kanegusuku, MSc, Carla Silva-Batista, MSc, Tiago Peçanha, MSc, Alice Nieuwboer, PhD, Natan D. Silva, Jr., MSc, Luiz A.R. Costa, MD, Marco T. de Mello, PhD, Maria E.P. Piemonte, PhD, Carlos Ugrinowitsch, PhD, Cláudia L.M. Forjaz, PhD

PII: S0003-9993(16)00008-3

DOI: [10.1016/j.apmr.2015.12.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2015.12.020)

Reference: YAPMR 56412

To appear in: *ARCHIVES OF PHYSICAL MEDICINE AND REHABILITATION*

Received Date: 22 October 2015

Revised Date: 1 December 2015

Accepted Date: 14 December 2015

Please cite this article as: Kanegusuku H, Silva-Batista C, Peçanha T, Nieuwboer A, Silva Jr ND, Costa LAR, de Mello MT, Piemonte MEP, Ugrinowitsch C, Forjaz CLM, Blunted maximal and submaximal responses to cardiopulmonary exercise test in patients with Parkinson's Disease, *ARCHIVES OF PHYSICAL MEDICINE AND REHABILITATION* (2016), doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2015.12.020.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1 **Blunted maximal and submaximal responses to cardiopulmonary exercise test in**
2 **patients with Parkinson Disease**

3 **Running Head:** Maximal exercise test in Parkinson Disease

4

5 **Authors:** Hécio Kanegusuku¹, MSc, Carla Silva-Batista¹, MSc, Tiago Peçanha¹, MSc,
6 Alice Nieuwboer², PhD, Natan D. Silva Jr¹, MSc, Luiz A. R. Costa¹, MD, Marco T. de
7 Mello³, PhD, Maria E. P. Piemonte⁴, PhD, Carlos Ugrinowitsch¹, PhD, Cláudia L. M.
8 Forjaz¹, PhD.

9

10

11 **Institutions:** 1- School of Physical Education and Sport, University of São Paulo, São
12 Paulo, Brazil. 2- Faculty of Kinesiology and Rehabilitation Sciences, University of
13 Leuven, Leuven, Belgium. 3- School of Physical Education, Federal University of
14 Minas Gerais, Minas Gerais, Brazil. 4- Faculty of Medical Science, University of São
15 Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil.

16

17 **Corresponding Author:**

18 Cláudia Lúcia de Moraes Forjaz, PhD

19 Av Prof. Mello Moraes, 65 - Butantã - São Paulo - SP – Brazil - ZIP: 05508-030

20 E-mail: cforjaz@usp.br

21 Phone: +55+11 30918730

22 FAX: +55+11 38135921

23

24 **Financial support:** The Brazilian Council for the Scientific and Technological
25 Development (CNPQ, process 142017/2012-4) and the Brazilian Federal Agency for
26 Support and Evaluation of Graduate Education (CAPES, process 99999.010276/2014-
27 09; PROEX).

1 **Blunted maximal and submaximal responses to cardiopulmonary exercise test in**
2 **patients with Parkinson's Disease**

3 **Running Head:** Maximal exercise test in Parkinson Disease

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 **Abstract**

2 **OBJECTIVE:** To investigate submaximal and maximal responses during maximal
3 cardiopulmonary exercise tests in patients with Parkinson Disease (PD).

4 **DESIGN:** Cross-sectional.

5 **SETTING:** The Brazilian Parkinson Association.

6 **PARTICIPANTS:** Forty-eight patients with PD (66±8 years old, modified Hoehn &
7 Yahr stages 2-3, “on” state) and 20 age-matched controls without PD (64±9 years old).

8 **INTERVENTIONS:** Maximal cardiopulmonary exercise test on a cycle ergometer.

9 **MAIN OUTCOME MEASURES:** Oxygen uptake (VO_2), systolic blood pressure
10 (SBP) and heart rate (HR) assessed at rest, submaximal intensities (i.e. anaerobic
11 threshold - AT and respiratory compensation point - RCP) and maximal intensity (peak
12 exercise).

13 **RESULTS:** Compared to the control subjects, the patients with PD presented lower
14 VO_2 , HR and SBP at RCP and peak exercise (VO_2 : 14.6±3.6 vs. 17.9±5.5 and 17.7±4.8
15 vs. 21.5±6.6 ml.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹; HR: 119±17 vs. 139±12 and 132±20 vs. 158±13 bpm; SBP:
16 151±17 vs. 172±20 and 166±21 vs. 187±24 mmHg, $p \leq 0.05$, respectively). They also
17 present lower HR at AT (102±14 vs. 110±13 bpm, $p \leq 0.05$); while VO_2 and SBP at this
18 intensity were similar to the control subjects.

19 **CONCLUSION:** Patients with PD presented blunted metabolic and cardiovascular
20 responses to submaximal and maximal exercise test, especially at intensities above AT,
21 which are in line with autonomic disturbances present in PD. Future study needs to
22 determine how this affects performance, participation and responses of these patients to
23 exercise training at different intensities.

24 **KEYWORDS:** Parkinsonism, autonomic dysfunction, exercise test, oxygen
25 consumption, rehabilitation.

1

2 **Abbreviations**

3 **AT: Anaerobic threshold**

4 **HR: Heart rate**

5 **PD: Parkinson`s Disease**

6 **RCP: Respiratory compensation point**

7 **SBP: Systolic blood pressure**

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 **Introduction**

2 Parkinson`s Disease (PD) is a progressive neurologic disorder characterized by
3 distinct hallmarks of motor dysfunction, including rigidity, bradykinesia, postural
4 instability and resting tremor. In addition, patients with PD also commonly present non-
5 motor abnormalities, such as metabolic, autonomic and cardiovascular dysfunctions¹⁻⁴,
6 which may contribute to the mortality in this population^{5,6}.

7 In the last years, the knowledge about rehabilitation and exercise in PD are
8 emerging management options, and the maximal cardiopulmonary exercise test could be
9 a good tool to evaluate cardiovascular parameters and risk, since abnormal responses to
10 such test has been associated with worse prognosis in others populations^{7, 8}. Previous
11 studies investigating peak responses during cardiopulmonary exercise tests in patients
12 with PD found contradictory results. Some authors^{9, 10} reported blunted peak responses
13 of oxygen uptake (VO_2), heart rate (HR) and systolic blood pressure (SBP) in patients
14 with PD in comparison with control subjects, while others¹¹⁻¹³ reported no difference.

15 The achievement of peak responses, however, may be difficult in patients with
16 PD because of the inherent motor limitations of the disease¹⁴. Thus, evaluation of the
17 submaximal responses to exercise may bring additive knowledge. Some previous
18 studies have reported submaximal responses at the same absolute workload comparing
19 patients with PD and control subjects. Werner et al. (2006) reported similar HR and
20 SBP at stage 2 of the modified Bruce protocol, while Protas et al.¹⁵ suggested higher
21 VO_2 at different submaximal absolute workloads in patients with PD. The higher VO_2 ,
22 however, suggest a higher energy demand for the same absolute workload in PD, which
23 may influence cardiovascular responses. Hence, to improve knowledge in this regard, it
24 is also important to compare responses at the same relative effort, which to the best of
25 our knowledge have not been studied before.

1 The ventilatory thresholds, i.e. the anaerobic threshold (AT) and the respiratory
2 compensation point (RCP), have been proposed as important relative exercise intensities
3 that express metabolic changes during submaximal exercise ^{16, 17}. These thresholds
4 resemble the intensity of the efforts of daily activities ¹⁸ and provide useful information
5 for physical training prescription ¹⁹. Therefore, the ventilatory thresholds may constitute
6 important submaximal relative intensities to be studied.

7 Because of the inconsistent results and the lack of data in this area, this study
8 was designed to investigate submaximal and maximal metabolic and cardiovascular
9 responses of patients with PD during a maximal cardiopulmonary exercise test. Since
10 patients with PD are known to have metabolic, autonomic and cardiovascular
11 dysfunctions, the hypotheses were that patients with PD present lower VO_2 , HR and
12 SBP at AT, RCP and peak exercise in comparison to control subjects.

13

14 **Materials and Methods**

15 **Subjects**

16 Patients with PD, aged ≤ 50 years, and between 2 and 3 of the modified Hoehn
17 and Yahr stages ²⁰, were studied. Patients were recruited from the Brazilian Parkinson
18 Association. The clinical diagnosis of PD was based on the UK Parkinson's Disease
19 Society Brain Bank Criteria ²¹. Subjects without any known neurological disease
20 matched by gender and age to the patients with PD were studied as controls. They were
21 recruited through verbal invitations and flyers advertisements distributed in the
22 communities close to the university and the Parkinson Association. Subjects were
23 excluded if they presented: i) neurological conditions other than PD, ii) cardiovascular
24 diseases, iii) hypertension (i.e. use of anti-hypertensive medication and/or resting
25 systolic/diastolic blood pressures $\geq 140/90$ mmHg – mean of three measures in two

1 visits), iv) were taking medications that could affect the cardiovascular system, except
2 for the medications used for the treatment of PD; or v) involved in any regular exercise
3 program, except for physiotherapy for the treatment of PD. These criteria were assessed
4 by the patients' medical records, and a physician's interview and clinical examination.
5 All patients with PD were doing physiotherapy that included activities for improving
6 motor control, balance and stretching, but did not involve aerobic stimuli. All subjects
7 signed an informed written consent approved by the local ethical committee. The study
8 was registered in ClinicalTrials.gov (U111-1129-0762).

9

10 **Experimental Protocol**

11 All subjects underwent a maximal cardiopulmonary exercise test. Before the
12 test, they were instructed not to exercise in the previous 48 h, to eat a light meal 2 h
13 beforehand, and to avoid central stimulant substances, such as caffeine, tea, cola and
14 others for the previous 12 h. Furthermore, all the patients with PD were instructed to
15 take their PD medication 30 min before the start of the test. The test was conducted on a
16 cycle ergometer (Lode, Corival, Netherlands) to minimize any possible influence of
17 motor impairment. Fifteen to twenty minutes before the test, the subjects were
18 familiarized to the cycle ergometer by pedaling at a comfortable intensity for 2-3
19 minutes. Then, after a rest that assured the return of cardiovascular parameters to
20 baseline, the test was started. An individualized ramp protocol was chosen to promote
21 fatigue between 8 and 12 min of testing. This involved choosing an increment between
22 3 and 15 watts per minute according to the subjects' physical conditioning level. All the
23 tests started with an intensity of 0 watts and pedaling frequency was kept between 50
24 and 60 rpm. The tests were interrupted when the subjects were unable to keep the
25 pedaling frequency.

1 Inspired and expired gases were collected breath-by-breath during the entire test
2 by a metabolic cart (Medical Graphics Corporation, CPX/D, United States of America).
3 Data were averaged over 30 s intervals for further analyses. Thus, peak oxygen uptake
4 ($\text{VO}_{2\text{peak}}$) was directly measured and considered as the highest value obtained during the
5 test. AT was assessed by the nonlinear increase in respiratory exchange ratio and
6 ventilation, as well as by the increase in end-tidal oxygen partial pressure and oxygen
7 ventilatory equivalent. RCP was established by the second nonlinear increase in
8 ventilation, the decrease in the end-tidal carbon dioxide partial pressure and the increase
9 in carbon dioxide ventilatory equivalent^{16,17} (Figure 1).

10 Auscultatory SBP was measured every 2 min using a mercury
11 sphygmomanometer. Measurements were taken by a physician with extensive
12 experience (more than 10 years) in performing measurements during maximal exercise
13 tests. HR was continuously monitored by a 12-lead electrocardiogram (Cardio Perfect, ST
14 2001, Netherlands) and was registered as 30 s averages. Peak HR was considered as the
15 highest value achieved at the end of the test.

16

17 **Statistical Analysis**

18 The normality of the data distribution was confirmed by Shapiro-Wilk test.
19 Consequently, the chi-square and T tests were used for comparing differences between
20 the groups (control and PD) regarding categorical and continuous variables,
21 respectively. Significance was defined as $P \leq 0.05$. The data are presented as means \pm
22 SD.

23

24 **Results**

1 Fifty-eight patients with PD and 23 control subjects signed the informed
2 consents. During the preliminary examination, 9 patients with PD were excluded
3 because they had other health problems (e.g. hypertension, cardiovascular disease, etc.);
4 1 patient with PD was excluded because she was unable to achieve the pedaling
5 frequency of the test and 3 control subjects dropped out because of personal reasons.
6 Thus, 48 patients with PD (35 males and 13 females) and 20 control subjects (12 males
7 and 8 females) completed the experimental protocol. Their characteristics are
8 summarized in Table 1.

9 There were no between-group differences for gender distribution, age, body
10 mass index, resting SBP, HR and VO_2 . The patients with PD were at the moderate stage
11 of the disease, and received the classical anti-parkinsonian medications shown in Table
12 1.

13 AT and RCP occurred at similar relative intensities in the patients with PD and
14 the control subjects (AT: 59.9 ± 12.1 vs. 55.7 ± 9.2 % of VO_{2peak} , $p=0.17$ and RCP:
15 81.6 ± 8.6 vs. 83.4 ± 7.8 % of VO_{2peak} , $p=0.41$). These intensities corresponded to a
16 similar absolute workloads at AT (41 ± 23 vs. 51 ± 23 watts, $p=0.12$) but to a lower
17 absolute workload in patients with PD in comparison to control subjects at RCP (73 ± 33
18 vs. 93 ± 39 watts, $p=0.03$).

19 Almost all of the subjects, except for 4 patients with PD and 1 control subject,
20 achieved a respiratory exchanged ratio greater than 1.10 at peak effort. In addition,
21 respiratory exchange ratio obtained at AT, RCP and peak exercise were similar between
22 the patients with PD and the control subjects (AT: 0.94 ± 0.08 vs. 0.92 ± 0.05 , $p=0.46$;
23 RCP: 1.11 ± 0.10 vs. 1.15 ± 0.08 , $p=0.21$; and Peak: 1.25 ± 0.12 vs. 1.26 ± 0.10 , $p=0.61$).

24 VO_2 responses to the exercise test are presented in Figure 2. VO_2 at RCP and
25 peak exercise were significantly lower in the patients with PD than in the control

1 subjects (14.6 ± 3.6 vs. 17.9 ± 5.5 $\text{ml.kg}^{-1}.\text{min}^{-1}$, $p=0.00$; 17.7 ± 4.8 vs. 21.5 ± 6.6 $\text{ml.kg}^{-1}.\text{min}^{-1}$, $p=0.01$, respectively) while no differences were observed at AT.

3 Cardiovascular responses to the exercise tests are presented in Figure 3. SBP at
4 RCP and peak exercise were also lower in patients with PD than the control subjects
5 (151 ± 17 vs. 172 ± 20 mmHg, $p=0.00$; 166 ± 21 vs. 187 ± 24 mmHg, $p=0.00$, respectively)
6 and no differences were observed at AT. HR at AT, RCP and peak exercise were lower
7 in the patients with PD than the control subjects (102 ± 14 vs. 110 ± 13 bpm, $p=0.04$;
8 119 ± 17 vs. 139 ± 12 bpm, $p=0.00$; 132 ± 20 vs. 158 ± 13 bpm, $p=0.00$, respectively). In
9 addition, the percentage of subjects who achieved 90% and 100% of predicted maximal
10 HR were significantly lower in the patients with PD than the control subjects (35.4 vs.
11 95.0% and 8.3 vs. 50.0%, respectively, all $P < 0.05$).

13 Discussion

14 The main findings of the present study were that, in comparison with control
15 subjects, patients with PD presented lower VO_2 , HR and SBP at peak exercise and RCP.
16 They also present a lower HR at AT, while VO_2 and SBP at this exercise intensity were
17 similar to the control subjects.

18 Similarly to some previous studies^{9, 10}, the present results also revealed a lower
19 peak metabolic and cardiovascular responses to the cardiopulmonary exercise test in the
20 patients with PD in comparison with the control subjects. The mechanisms responsible
21 for the lower peak responses were not studied in the present study. However, they might
22 be due, in part, to the autonomic impairment present in some patients with PD^{2, 3}. In
23 fact, in our PD sample, 70.8% of the patients presented a HR decay in the first minute
24 of recovery that was lower than 12 beats/min (data not showed), which is indicative of
25 autonomic dysfunction²². It is also known that PD is associated with a blunted

1 sympathetic response to a stress stimulus^{9, 12}. Thus, it is possible to suppose that due to
2 autonomic dysfunction, maximal sympathetic activation during exercise is blunted in
3 patients with PD, which leads to the lower peak HR (as observed by the lower peak
4 absolute values and less patients achieving the predicted maximal HR values for their
5 age), and consequently, lower SBP and VO_2 peak. Future studies, should assess
6 sympathetic responses during exercise in this population. Another possibility is that
7 patients presented lower peak responses due to their motor limitations¹⁴. We controlled
8 this factor by conducting the study on a cycle ergometer, known to enable movement in
9 PD²³, and by evaluating submaximal intensities that imply lower physical effort.

10 The novelty of the present study was to investigate metabolic and cardiovascular
11 responses at submaximal exercise intensities determined by ventilatory thresholds. Our
12 data demonstrated that these thresholds represented the same relative intensity in both
13 groups, corresponding to, approximately, 59 and 82% of VO_2 peak. Regarding the
14 metabolic and cardiovascular responses at these submaximal exercise intensities, VO_2 ,
15 HR and SBP were lower in patients with PD in comparison with the control subjects at
16 RCP, while HR was also lower at AT. It is important to note that no differences were
17 observed in the resting values. Taking together, these results suggest that blunted
18 metabolic and cardiovascular responses during exercise test in patients with PD are
19 already present at submaximal levels and intensify with increasing exercise intensity. In
20 addition, compromised HR response occurred at lower submaximal exercise intensities
21 and was stronger than the reduced SBP and VO_2 responses.

22 Concerning the VO_2 responses, studies suggested that patients with PD have
23 mitochondrial dysfunction^{1, 4} that might lead to deleterious alterations in aerobic energy
24 supply during exercise. As a consequence, patients with PD have been shown to present
25 elevated lactate levels at submaximal intensities²⁴ which might explain why RCP,

1 representing the exercise intensity at which acidosis begins ¹⁶, occurred at a lower VO₂
2 and also led to a lower VO₂peak.

3 As discussed earlier, the blunted cardiovascular responses to exercise might be,
4 at least in part, related to impaired autonomic function. The HR increase from rest to
5 AT during a progressive exercise is mainly due to parasympathetic withdrawal, while
6 the HR increase above this intensity is mainly due to sympathetic activation ²⁵⁻²⁷. As
7 patients with PD present parasympathetic and sympathetic dysfunctions ^{28, 29}
8 characterized by lower parasympathetic activity at rest ²⁸ and lower increase in
9 sympathetic activity during stimulation ^{9, 12}, these patients could have presented lower
10 reduction in parasympathetic activity and lower increase in cardiac sympathetic activity
11 during the exercise test, which might explain both lower HR at AT and RCP. On the
12 other hand, SBP response during progressive exercise, despite being influenced by HR
13 response, is also affected by peripheral sympathetic activity, which increases especially
14 when metabolic accumulation occurs, i.e. as exercise intensity increases and approaches
15 RCP ³⁰. So, the blunted response of sympathetic activity to stimuli may also explain the
16 lower SBP response at RCP in the patients with PD. It is important to highlight,
17 however, that autonomic responses were not assessed in the present study and, thus,
18 these are only hypotheses that should be tested in the future.

19 The results of the present study have some practical relevance, since it was
20 possible to detect cardiovascular response impairment to exercise in patients with PD
21 using HR measured at low submaximal exercise intensities, avoiding the necessity of a
22 maximal effort. Nevertheless, future studies should establish cutoff points for detecting
23 such impairments for clinical use, which may inform rehabilitation professionals about
24 optimal exercise intensity in PD and about the risks associated with different exercise
25 intensities.

1 Study Limitations

2 This study had some limitations. As the study aimed to evaluate the effect of PD on
3 cardiovascular responses to exercise, patients with cardiovascular diseases, such as
4 hypertension, and those receiving medications, other than the PD drugs, that affect the
5 cardiovascular responses to exercise were excluded. Although this procedure has been
6 taken to assure that different responses are due to PD per se, it limits the extrapolation
7 of the results for all patients with PD. Thus, future studies should compared patients
8 with and without cardiovascular disease and/or receiving or not cardiovascular
9 medications. Concerning the medication for PD, the patients were on different drugs
10 and doses, which may have affected the results. However, the fact that we did not
11 exclude patients with PD on different medication schemes, enhances the applicability of
12 the results for this specific population. On the other hand, it is not possible to make any
13 inference about PD medications and the responses to exercise, future studies should
14 evaluate this issue, including the calculation of levodopa equivalent dose. Furthermore,
15 only patients at modified Hoehn and Yahr stages 2 – 3 without cardiac disease and
16 hypertension were studied, and the results might be different in the presence of other
17 characteristics, which should be tested in the future. Finally, results are limited to
18 maximal tests performed on cycle ergometer and may be different with treadmill, which
19 should be tested.

20

21 **Conclusion**

22 Patients with PD presented blunted VO_2 , HR and SBP responses to exercise test,
23 especially at intensities above the anaerobic threshold.

24

25 **Acknowledgements**

1 (blinded to reviewer propose).

2 **Conflict of interest**

3 On the behalf of all authors, the corresponding authors state that there is no
4 conflict of interest.

5

6 **References**

- 7 1. Blin O, Desnuelle C, Rascol O, Borg M, Peyro Saint Paul H, Azulay JP et al.
8 Mitochondrial respiratory failure in skeletal muscle from patients with Parkinson's
9 disease and multiple system atrophy. *J Neurol Sci* 1994;125(1):95-101.
- 10 2. Jankovic J. Parkinson's disease: clinical features and diagnosis. *J Neurol*
11 *Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2008;79(4):368-76.
- 12 3. Martinez-Martin P, Rodriguez-Blazquez C, Kurtis MM, Chaudhuri KR. The
13 impact of non-motor symptoms on health-related quality of life of patients with
14 Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord* 2011;26(3):399-406.
- 15 4. Schapira AH, Cooper JM, Dexter D, Clark JB, Jenner P, Marsden CD.
16 Mitochondrial complex I deficiency in Parkinson's disease. *J Neurochem*
17 1990;54(3):823-7.
- 18 5. Diem-Zangerl A, Seppi K, Wenning GK, Trinka E, Ransmayr G, Oberaigner W
19 et al. Mortality in Parkinson's disease: a 20-year follow-up study. *Mov Disord*
20 2009;24(6):819-25.
- 21 6. Willis AW, Schootman M, Kung N, Evanoff BA, Perlmutter JS, Racette BA.
22 Predictors of survival in patients with Parkinson disease. *Arch Neurol* 2012;69(5):601-
23 7.

- 1 7. Oliveira RB, Myers J, Araujo CG, Abella J, Mandic S, Froelicher V. Maximal
2 exercise oxygen pulse as a predictor of mortality among male veterans referred for
3 exercise testing. *Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil* 2009;16(3):358-64.
- 4 8. Lauer MS, Francis GS, Okin PM, Pashkow FJ, Snader CE, Marwick TH.
5 Impaired chronotropic response to exercise stress testing as a predictor of mortality.
6 *JAMA* 1999;281(6):524-9.
- 7 9. DiFrancisco-Donoghue J, Elokda A, Lamberg EM, Bono N, Werner WG.
8 Norepinephrine and cardiovascular responses to maximal exercise in Parkinson's
9 disease on and off medication. *Mov Disord* 2009;24(12):1773-8.
- 10 10. Werner WG, DiFrancisco-Donoghue J, Lamberg EM. Cardiovascular response
11 to treadmill testing in Parkinson disease. *J Neurol Phys Ther* 2006;30(2):68-73.
- 12 11. Canning CG, Alison JA, Allen NE, Groeller H. Parkinson's disease: an
13 investigation of exercise capacity, respiratory function, and gait. *Arch Phys Med*
14 *Rehabil* 1997;78(2):199-207.
- 15 12. Nakamura T, Hirayama M, Yamashita F, Uchida K, Hama T, Watanabe H et al.
16 Lowered cardiac sympathetic nerve performance in response to exercise in Parkinson's
17 disease. *Mov Disord* 2010;25(9):1183-9.
- 18 13. Stanley RK, Protas EJ, Jankovic J. Exercise performance in those having
19 Parkinson's disease and healthy normals. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1999;31(6):761-6.
- 20 14. Katzel LI, Sorkin JD, Macko RF, Smith B, Ivey FM, Shulman LM.
21 Repeatability of aerobic capacity measurements in Parkinson disease. *Med Sci Sports*
22 *Exerc* 2011;43(12):2381-7.
- 23 15. Protas EJ, Stanley RK, Jankovic J, MacNeill B. Cardiovascular and metabolic
24 responses to upper- and lower-extremity exercise in men with idiopathic Parkinson's
25 disease. *Phys Ther* 1996;76(1):34-40.

- 1 16. Skinner JS, McLellan TM. The transition from aerobic to anaerobic metabolism.
2 Res Q Exerc Sport 1980;51(1):234-48.
- 3 17. Wasserman K, Sue DY, Whipp BJ. Principles of exercise testing and
4 interpretation. 2nd Ed. Philadelphia: Lea & Febiger 1994:112-31.
- 5 18. Spruit MA, Wouters EF, Eterman RM, Meijer K, Wagers SS, Stakenborg KH et
6 al. Task-related oxygen uptake and symptoms during activities of daily life in CHF
7 patients and healthy subjects. Eur J Appl Physiol 2011;111(8):1679-86.
- 8 19. Mezzani A, Hamm LF, Jones AM, McBride PE, Moholdt T, Stone JA et al.
9 Aerobic exercise intensity assessment and prescription in cardiac rehabilitation: a joint
10 position statement of the European Association for Cardiovascular Prevention and
11 Rehabilitation, the American Association of Cardiovascular and Pulmonary
12 Rehabilitation, and the Canadian Association of Cardiac Rehabilitation. J Cardiopulm
13 Rehabil Prev 2012;32(6):327-50.
- 14 20. Goetz CG, Poewe W, Rascol O, Sampaio C, Stebbins GT, Counsell C et al.
15 Movement Disorder Society Task Force report on the Hoehn and Yahr staging scale:
16 status and recommendations. Mov Disord 2004;19(9):1020-8.
- 17 21. Hughes AJ, Daniel SE, Kilford L, Lees AJ. Accuracy of clinical diagnosis of
18 idiopathic Parkinson's disease: a clinico-pathological study of 100 cases. J Neurol
19 Neurosurg Psychiatry 1992;55(3):181-4.
- 20 22. Cole CR, Blackstone EH, Pashkow FJ, Snader CE, Lauer MS. Heart-rate
21 recovery immediately after exercise as a predictor of mortality. N Engl J Med
22 1999;341(18):1351-7.
- 23 23. Snijders AH, van Kesteren M, Bloem BR. Cycling is less affected than walking
24 in freezers of gait. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2012;83(5):575-6.

- 1 24. Landin S, Hagenfeldt L, Saltin B, Wahren J. Muscle metabolism during exercise
2 in patients with Parkinson's disease. *Clin Sci Mol Med* 1974;47(5):493-506.
- 3 25. Alonso DO, Forjaz CL, Rezende LO, Braga AM, Barretto AC, Negrao CE et al.
4 Heart rate response and its variability during different phases of maximal graded
5 exercise. *Arq Bras Cardiol* 1998;71(6):787-92.
- 6 26. Tulppo MP, Makikallio TH, Takala TE, Seppanen T, Huikuri HV. Quantitative
7 beat-to-beat analysis of heart rate dynamics during exercise. *Am J Physiol* 1996;271(1
8 Pt 2):H244-52.
- 9 27. Yamamoto Y, Hughson RL, Peterson JC. Autonomic control of heart rate during
10 exercise studied by heart rate variability spectral analysis. *J Appl Physiol* (1985)
11 1991;71(3):1136-42.
- 12 28. Haapaniemi TH, Pursiainen V, Korpelainen JT, Huikuri HV, Sotaniemi KA,
13 Myllyla VV. Ambulatory ECG and analysis of heart rate variability in Parkinson's
14 disease. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2001;70(3):305-10.
- 15 29. Pursiainen V, Haapaniemi TH, Korpelainen JT, Huikuri HV, Sotaniemi KA,
16 Myllyla VV. Circadian heart rate variability in Parkinson's disease. *J Neurol*
17 2002;249(11):1535-40.
- 18 30. Fisher JP, Adlan AM, Shantsila A, Secher JF, Sorensen H, Secher NH. Muscle
19 metaboreflex and autonomic regulation of heart rate in humans. *J Physiol* 2013;591(Pt
20 15):3777-88.

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3 Figure Legends

4 Figure 1. Example in one patient of the variables considered for assessing the anaerobic
5 threshold (AT) and the respiratory compensation point (RCP) during the maximal
6 exercise test: pulmonary ventilation (VE), oxygen ventilatory equivalent (VE/VO₂),
7 carbon dioxide ventilatory equivalent (VE/VCO₂), end-tidal oxygen partial pressure
8 (PETO₂) and end-tidal carbon dioxide partial pressure (PETCO₂) measured at rest, warm-
9 up, exercise and recovery (Rec)".

10

11 Figure 2. Oxygen uptake (VO₂) measured at anaerobic threshold (AT), respiratory
12 compensation point (RCP) and peak exercise in patients with Parkinson disease (black
13 bars) and the control subjects (white bars). * Significantly different from the control
14 subjects (P≤0.05).

15

16 Figure 3. Heart rate (HR, panel A) and systolic blood pressure (SBP, panel B) measured
17 at anaerobic thresholds (AT), respiratory compensation point (RCP) and peak exercise
18 in patients with Parkinson disease (black bars) and the control subjects (white bars). *
19 Significantly different from the control subjects (P≤0.05).

20

21

Table 1. Subject characteristics

	Patients with Parkinson Disease (n= 48)	Control Subjects (n= 20)	P value
Gender, male/female	35/13	12/8	0.27
Age, years	66 ± 8	64 ± 9	0.47
Body mass index, kg/m ²	25.8 ± 3.8	24.6 ± 3.4	0.24
Resting Systolic Blood Pressure, mmHg	119 ± 10	118 ± 9	0.76
Resting Diastolic Blood Pressure, mmHg	78 ± 6	78 ± 8	0.62
Resting Heart Rate, beats/min	70 ± 7	70 ± 10	0.85
Resting Oxygen Uptake, ml.kg. ⁻¹ min ⁻¹	3.0 ± 0.5	3.2 ± 0.7	0.10
Disease Duration, years	9.8 ± 4.4	-----	-----
Hoehn & Yahr modified, n (%)			
Stage 2	17 (35.4)	-----	-----
Stage 2.5	12 (25.0)	-----	-----
Stage 3	19 (39.6)	-----	-----
Medications, n (%)			
Levodopa/Carbidopa	43 (89.6)	-----	-----
Dopamine Agonist	20 (41.7)	-----	-----
Amantidane	19 (39.6)	-----	-----
Seligeline	7 (14.6)	-----	-----

Data are means ± SD.





