

# Change and Stability in Depressive Symptoms in Young Adults with Type 1 Diabetes

Leen Oris, M.Sc.

Koen Luyckx, Ph.D.

Jessica Rassart, M.Sc.

Eveline Goethals, M.Sc.

Patricia Bijttebier, Ph.D.

*KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium*

Liesbet Goubert, Ph.D.

*Ghent University, Belgium*

Philip Moons, Ph.D.

*KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium*

*Institute of Health and Care Sciences, University of Gothenburg, Sweden*

Ilse Weets, M.D., Ph.D.

*Free University Brussels, Diabetes Research Center, Brussels, Belgium*

Correspondence should be sent to Leen Oris, KU Leuven, Faculty of Psychology and Educational Sciences, Tiensestraat 102, 3000 Leuven, Belgium. Tel: . 32 (0)16 37 79 66. Fax: 32 (0)16 326144. E-mail: Leen.Oris@ppw.kuleuven.be.

Word count (text body): 1,000

Number of tables and figures: 2

Short running title: Depressive symptoms in type 1 diabetes

## Summary

This study examined inter-individual differences in depressive symptom development in young adults with type 1 diabetes. Individuals with persistent depressive symptoms were at risk for suboptimal development in terms of illness perceptions, illness functioning, and self-esteem. Individuals reporting no/minimal depressive symptoms over time were characterized by the most optimal development.

**Keywords:** depressive symptoms; emerging adulthood; longitudinal; type 1 diabetes.

## Introduction

Patients with diabetes are twice as likely to have a lifetime depressive disorder as the general population and 20-25% are affected by depressive symptoms (1,2). Depressive symptoms have been linked to poor treatment adherence (3), diabetes complications, and increased health care costs (4,5). In this study, young adults with type 1 diabetes were examined concerning the development of depressive symptoms over a fiveyear period. Two objectives were addressed. First, based on the cut-off of 16 on the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CESD; 6), two categories were identified at each time-point: no/minimal (below 16) and mild to moderate symptoms (16 and above), with the latter suggestive of psychological disturbance (7). Next, participants were classified in four groups: no/minimal depression (below 16 at T<sub>1</sub>-2), persistent depression (16 or higher at T<sub>1</sub>-2), increasing depression (below 16 at T<sub>1</sub> and 16 or higher at T<sub>2</sub>), and recovering depression (16 or higher at T<sub>1</sub> and below 16 at T<sub>2</sub>). Second, we assessed how stability and change in depression moderated the development of self-esteem, illness perceptions (perceived consequences of and perceived control over diabetes; 8,9), and diabetes problem areas. We expect persistent or increasing depressive symptoms to be associated with continued or increasing illness-specific problems and decreasing self-esteem (10), whereas recovering depressive symptoms are expected to be associated with improvements in illness-specific functioning and self-esteem.

## Method

### *Participants and Procedure*

At T<sub>1</sub>, 197 patients (116 women) participated ( $M_{age}=23.62$ ;  $SD_{age}=3.65$ ). Mean age at diagnosis was 15.88 ( $SD=6.04$ ). For a detailed description, we refer to Rassart et al. (11). HbA<sub>1c</sub>-values were obtained from physicians for 165 patients, who did not differ in age, sex, and illness duration from the remaining participants. Five years later, at T<sub>2</sub>, a total of 110

individuals participated again and constituted our study sample. Drop-out was higher in men as compared to women ( $\chi^2(1)=4.47, p<.05$ ).

### *Questionnaires*

Depressive symptoms during the past week were measured using the 20-item CESD (12). Cronbach's alpha was .92 at T1-2. The Problem Areas in Diabetes Scale (13) was used to assess emotional, treatment-related, food-related, and social support problems. Cronbach's alphas ranged between .58-.92 at T1-2. Next, the illness perceptions "perceived consequences" and "personal control" were measured with the Revised Illness Perception Questionnaire (14). Cronbach's alphas ranged between .69-.77 at T1-2. Self-esteem was measured using the Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale (15). Cronbach's alpha was .91 at T1 and .94 at T2.

### Results

At T1, we observed no/minimal depressive symptoms in 77.3% ( $n=85$ ) and mild to moderate depressive symptoms in 22.7% ( $n=25$ ). At T2, these numbers were 71.8% ( $n=79$ ) and 28.2% ( $n=31$ ), respectively. Four depressive symptoms-groups were created (Table 1 and Panel a of Figure 1): no/minimal depression ( $n=72$ ), recovering depression ( $n=7$ ), increasing depression ( $n=13$ ), and persistent depression ( $n=18$ ). No differences among the groups were found in sex, age, and HbA<sub>1c</sub> at T1. On illness duration ( $F(3,106)=3.31, p<.05$ ), the recovering depression-group ( $M=13.86; SD=7.47$ ) scored higher than the no/minimal depression-group ( $M=7.21; SD=5.05$ ).

Two multivariate analyses of variance revealed significant differences in outcomes among the groups at T1 ( $F(21,287)=3.93, p<.001$ ) and T2 ( $F(21,287)=5.34, p<.001$ ). Including illness duration at T1 as covariate resulted in similar findings. Follow-up analyses (Table 1) revealed that, at T1, the no/minimal depression-group scored lowest on diabetes problems and perceived consequences, and highest on self-esteem. The persistent depression-

group scored highest on diabetes problems and perceived consequences, and lowest on self-esteem. At T2, again, the no/minimal depression-group scored lowest on diabetes problems and perceived consequences, and highest on control and self-esteem. Conversely, the persistent depression- and increasing depression-groups scored highest on diabetes problems and perceived consequences, and lowest on control and self-esteem.

Repeated measures analyses of variance examined TimeXGroup interactions, revealing differential changes over time for the depression groups. Significant interaction effects were found for social support problems ( $F(3,106)=5.75, p<.001$ ), self-esteem ( $F(3,106)=8.72, p<.001$ ), and marginally so for perceived control ( $F(3,106)=2.24, p<.10$ ). Social problems decreased in the recovering depression-group and increased in the persistent and increasing depression-groups. Self-esteem showed the opposite pattern. Perceived control decreased in the persistent depression-group and increased in the recovering depression-group (Figure 1).

## Discussion

The prevalence rates of elevated depressive symptoms were similar to previous findings (1,16), and persistent elevated depressive symptoms over time were observed in 16% of participants (7,17). Persistent depressive symptoms over time might signal a diagnosable psychiatric disorder requiring clinical attention (7). The development of illness-specific problems, perceptions, and self-esteem was moderated by the development of depressive symptoms. Social functioning, perceived control, and self-esteem deteriorated over time in the presence of increasing or persistent depressive symptoms. Conversely, young adults with no/minimal or decreasing depressive symptoms were characterized by more favorable psychosocial development. With respect to perceived social problems, young adults need to feel supported by their immediate environment to manage their illness (18). However, the increasing depression-group experienced increases in support problems over time, making

these individuals vulnerable for suboptimal diabetes management. Further, the differential development of perceived control and self-esteem across these depression groups may warrant attention given that these variables have been linked to competence in dealing with a chronic illness (19,20). Hence, the present study demonstrates that persistent depressive symptoms over time may signal important developmental problems in psychosocial and cognitive factors, possibly interfering with disease management.

The present study has some limitations. First, a self-report questionnaire was used for depressive symptoms rather than structured interviews. However, the CESD is a valid measure for the present population (7). Second, the rate of depressive symptoms may have been low given voluntary participation (2), although findings were in line with previous studies. Finally, we were not able to collect information on possible depression treatment or the occurrence of major life-events in-between time-points. Future research should assess these variables in an attempt to capture mechanisms through which depressive symptoms may change over time. Despite these limitations, this study emphasizes the need to be attentive for depressive symptoms in patients with diabetes, especially when symptoms persist over time. Given the consequences and health care costs accompanying comorbid depression, especially high-risk patients reporting multiple symptoms not in line with physical assessments could be screened (4,5).

## Acknowledgements

Funding was provided through grant OT/11/033 from the Research Fund-KU Leuven (Belgium).

## Declaration of Interests

The authors have no conflict of interests to report.

## References

1. Anderson RJ, Freedland KE, Clouse RE, Lustman PJ. The prevalence of comorbid depression in adults with diabetes: a meta-analysis. *Diabetes Care* 2001;24:1069-1078.
2. Sullivan MD, O'Connor P, Feeney P, Hire D, Simmons DL, Raisch DW, Fine LJ, Venkat Narayan KM, Ali MK, Katon WJ. Depression predicts all-cause mortality: Epidemiological evaluation from the ACCORD HRQL substudy. *Diabetes Care* 2012;35:1708-1715.
3. Gonzalez JS, Peyrot M, McCarl LA, Collins EM, Serpa L, Mimiaga MJ, & Safren SA. Depression and diabetes treatment nonadherence: a meta-analysis. *Diabetes Care* 2008; 31:2398-2403.
4. Ciechanowski PS, Katon WJ, Russo JE. Depression and diabetes: impact of depressive symptoms on adherence, function, and costs. *Arch Intern Med* 2000;160:3278-3285.
5. De Groot M, Anderson R, Freedland KE, Clouse RE, Lustman PJ. Association of depression and diabetes complications: a meta-analysis. *Psychosom Med* 2001;63:619-630.
6. Radloff LS. The CES-D scale: a self-report depression scale for research in the general population. *Appl Psychol Meas* 1977;3:385-401.
7. Peyrot M, Rubin RR. Levels and risks of depression and anxiety symptomatology among diabetic adults. *Diabetes Care* 1997;20:585-590.
8. Edgar KA, Skinner TC. Illness representations and coping as predictors of emotional well-being in adolescents with Type 1 diabetes. *J Pediatr Psychol* 2003;28:485-493.
9. Scharloo M, Kaptein AA. Measurement of illness perceptions in patients with chronic somatic illness: a review. In: Petrie KJ, Weinman JA, editors. *Perceptions of health and illness*. Amsterdam: Harwood Academic Publishers;1997:102-154.



10. Katon WJ, Russo JE, Heckbert SR, Lin EHB, Ciechanowski P, Ludman E, Young B, Von Korff M. The relationship between changes in depression symptoms and changes in health risk behaviors in patients with diabetes. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2010;25:466-475.
11. Rassart J, Luyckx K, Berg, CA, Bijttebier P, Moons P, Weets I. Psychosocial functioning and glycemic control in emerging adults with type 1 diabetes: A five-year follow-up. *Health Psychol*, in press.
12. Bouma J, Ranchor AV, Sanderma R, van Sonderen E. Het meten van symptomen van depressie met de CESD: een handleiding. [The measurement of symptoms of depression with the CESD: a manual] Groningen, The Netherlands: Noordelijk Centrum voor Gezondheidsvraagstukken, 1995.
13. Polonsky WH, Anderson BJ, Lohrer PA, Welch G, Jacobson AM, Aponte JE, Schwartz CE. Assessment of diabetes-related distress. *Diabetes Care* 1995;18:754-760.
14. Moss-Morris R, Weinman J, Petrie KJ, Horne R, Cameron LD, Buick D. The Revised Illness Perception Questionnaire (IPQ-R). *Psychol Health* 2002;17:1-16.
15. Rosenberg M. Society and the adolescent self-image. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press;1965.
16. Gendelman N, Snell-Bergeon JK, McFann K, Kinney G, Wadwa RP, Bishop F, Rewers M, Maahs DM. Prevalence and correlates of depression in individuals with and without type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2009;32:575-579.
17. Hermanns N, Kulzer B, Krichbaum M, Kubiak T, Haak T. How to screen for depression and emotional problems in patients with diabetes: comparison of screening characteristics of depression questionnaires, measurement of diabetes-specific emotional problems and standard clinical assessment. *Diabetologia* 2006;49:469-477.
18. Luyckx K, Seiffge-Krenke I. Continuity and change in glycemic control trajectories from

adolescence to emerging adulthood: relationships with family climate and self-concept in type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2009;32:797-801.

19. Mc Sharry J, Moss-Morris R, Kendrick T. Illness perceptions and glycaemic control in diabetes: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *Diabet Med* 2011;28:1300-1310.

20. Luyckx K, Vanhalst J, Seiffge-Krenke I, Weets I. A typology of coping with type 1 diabetes in emerging adulthood: associations with demographic, psychological, and clinical parameters. *J Behav Med* 2010;33:228-238.

Table 1

*Univariate ANOVA's and Post-hoc Group Comparisons Based Upon Tukey HSD Tests for the Four Depressive Symptoms Groups*

Variable	Total ( <i>n</i> =110)	Depressive symptoms groups			<i>F</i> -value	$\eta^2$	
		No/Minimal ( <i>n</i> =72)	Recovering ( <i>n</i> =7)	Increasing ( <i>n</i> =13)			Persistent ( <i>n</i> =18)
<i>Time 1</i>							
Depressive symptoms	10.65 (9.98)	5.35 (4.34) <sup>a</sup>	26.57 (7.48) <sup>c</sup>	10.60 (3.33) <sup>b</sup>	25.72 (8.26) <sup>c</sup>	93.89***	.73
Treatment problems	1.72 (0.81)	1.53 (0.67) <sup>a</sup>	2.10 (0.72)	2.18 (0.73) <sup>b</sup>	2.00 (1.14)	4.27**	.11
Food problems	2.16 (0.97)	1.96 (0.77) <sup>a</sup>	2.00 (0.77)	2.54 (1.14)	2.74 (1.32) <sup>b</sup>	4.28**	.11
Emotional problems	2.18 (0.78)	1.92 (0.62) <sup>a</sup>	2.38 (0.67)	2.60 (0.60) <sup>b</sup>	2.83 (0.97) <sup>b</sup>	10.53***	.23
Social problems	1.44 (0.70)	1.31 (0.51) <sup>a</sup>	1.64 (0.90)	1.62 (0.74)	1.75 (1.06) <sup>b</sup>	2.66 <sup>†</sup>	.07
Self-esteem	3.28 (0.61)	3.56 (0.40) <sup>a</sup>	2.74 (0.63) <sup>b</sup>	3.02 (0.54) <sup>b</sup>	2.60 (0.65) <sup>b</sup>	24.48***	.41
Perceived consequences	3.02 (0.69)	2.86 (0.62) <sup>a</sup>	2.93 (1.02)	3.27 (0.78)	3.51 (0.51) <sup>b</sup>	5.60***	.14
Control	4.16 (0.50)	4.21 (0.44)	4.10 (0.57)	4.04 (0.51)	4.06 (0.65)	0.77	.02
<i>Time 2</i>							
Depressive symptoms	11.55(11.08)	5.61 (4.31) <sup>a</sup>	7.43 (4.20) <sup>a</sup>	24.77 (7.85) <sup>b</sup>	27.39 (10.22) <sup>b</sup>	84.81***	.71
Treatment problems	1.73 (0.61)	1.56 (0.61) <sup>a</sup>	1.67 (0.72)	2.36 (0.91) <sup>b</sup>	1.99 (0.79) <sup>b</sup>	5.96***	.14
Food problems	2.06 (0.95)	1.81 (0.79) <sup>a</sup>	1.95 (0.59)	2.56 (0.86) <sup>b</sup>	2.72 (1.25) <sup>b</sup>	6.74***	.16
Emotional problems	2.28 (0.89)	1.96 (0.68) <sup>a</sup>	2.21 (0.64) <sup>ab</sup>	2.96 (0.99) <sup>bc</sup>	3.08 (0.94) <sup>c</sup>	14.28***	.29
Social problems	1.46 (0.80)	1.20 (0.39) <sup>a</sup>	1.14 (0.24) <sup>a</sup>	2.15 (1.05) <sup>b</sup>	2.08 (1.24) <sup>b</sup>	13.09***	.27
Self-esteem	3.27 (0.73)	3.63 (0.35) <sup>a</sup>	3.33 (0.83) <sup>a</sup>	2.57 (0.70) <sup>b</sup>	2.36 (0.72) <sup>b</sup>	38.94***	.52
Perceived consequences	3.06 (0.73)	2.84 (0.66) <sup>a</sup>	3.10 (0.70)	3.45 (0.72) <sup>b</sup>	3.67 (0.56) <sup>b</sup>	9.41***	.21
Control	4.08 (0.60)	4.15 (0.51) <sup>a</sup>	4.36 (0.56) <sup>a</sup>	4.01 (0.29)	3.71 (0.89) <sup>b</sup>	3.52*	.09

*Note.* A group mean is significantly different from another mean if they have different superscripts. A mean without a superscript is not

significantly different from any other mean. Standard deviations are in parentheses. <sup>†</sup>*p* < .10. \**p* < .05. \*\**p* < .01. \*\*\**p* < .001.

*Figure 1.*

Panel a

Mean-level changes in depressive symptoms (range 0-60) depending on depressive symptoms-group.

Panel b

Mean-level changes in social support problems (range 1-5) depending on depressive symptoms-group.


Panel c

Mean-level changes in self-esteem (range 1-4) depending on depressive symptoms-group.


Panel d

Mean-level changes in perceived control (range 1-5) depending on depressive symptoms-group.


Panel a: Depressive symptoms



Panel b: Social support problems



Panel c: Self-esteem



Panel d: Perceived control

