Theoretical study of line and boundary tension in adsorbed colloid-polymer mixtures

Jesper Koning, Yves Vandecan, and Joseph Indekeu

Citation: *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **141**, 044904 (2014); doi: 10.1063/1.4886400

View online: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4886400>

View Table of Contents: <http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/jcp/141/4?ver=pdfcov>

Published by the [AIP Publishing](#)

Articles you may be interested in

[Fluid phase behavior of a model colloid-polymer mixture: Influence of polymer size and interaction strength](#)
J. Chem. Phys. **129**, 164907 (2008); 10.1063/1.3000011

[Theoretical study of the three-phase contact line and its tension in adsorbed colloid-polymer mixtures](#)
J. Chem. Phys. **128**, 104902 (2008); 10.1063/1.2838183

[Effects of colloid polydispersity on the phase behavior of colloid-polymer mixtures](#)
J. Chem. Phys. **122**, 074904 (2005); 10.1063/1.1851978

[Grand canonical Monte Carlo simulation of a model colloid-polymer mixture: Coexistence line, critical behavior, and interfacial tension](#)
J. Chem. Phys. **121**, 3253 (2004); 10.1063/1.1773771

[Interfacial tension and wetting in colloid-polymer mixtures](#)
J. Chem. Phys. **120**, 1973 (2004); 10.1063/1.1635810

AIP | Journal of
Applied Physics

Journal of Applied Physics is pleased to
announce **André Anders** as its new Editor-in-Chief

Theoretical study of line and boundary tension in adsorbed colloid-polymer mixtures

Jesper Koning, Yves Vandecan, and Joseph Indekeu

Instituut voor Theoretische Fysica, Celestijnenlaan 200D, KU Leuven, B-3001 Leuven, Belgium

(Received 25 April 2014; accepted 20 June 2014; published online 28 July 2014)

An extended theoretical study of interface potentials in adsorbed colloid-polymer mixtures is performed. To describe the colloid-polymer mixture near a hard wall, a simple Cahn-Nakanishi-Fisher free-energy functional is used. The bulk phase behaviour and the substrate-adsorbate interaction are modelled by the free-volume theory for ideal polymers with polymer-to-colloid size ratios $q = 0.6$ and $q = 1$. The interface potentials are constructed with help from a Fisher-Jin crossing constraint. By manipulating the crossing density, a complete interface potential can be obtained from natural, single-crossing, profiles. The line tension in the partial wetting regime and the boundary tension along prewetting are computed from the interface potentials. The line tensions are of either sign, and descending with increasing contact angle. The line tension takes a positive value of 10^{-14} – 10^{-12} N near a first-order wetting transition, passes through zero and decreases to minus 10^{-14} – 10^{-12} N away from the first-order transition. The calculations of the boundary tension along prewetting yield values increasing from zero at the prewetting critical point up to the value of the line tension at first-order wetting. © 2014 AIP Publishing LLC. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4886400>]

I. INTRODUCTION

A theoretical wetting study is presented with calculations of the line tension and the boundary tension of a model colloid-polymer mixture. The wetting properties of demixed colloid-polymer mixtures adsorbed at a glass wall have been investigated experimentally and theoretically, following the development of the confocal scanning laser microscopy technique for this system.^{1–3} This paper is an extension of a previous report in which only the line tension at first-order wetting was investigated.⁴ Now, the line tension well into the partial wetting regime and the boundary tension along prewetting are considered for the same colloid-polymer model.

The line tension is the excess free energy per unit length attributed to the contact line where three immiscible phases meet.^{5,6} Here, the three phases are colloidal gas (a phase rich in polymers and poor in colloids), colloidal liquid (rich in colloids and poor in polymers), and a solid (substrate, which is a spectator phase). The solid phase is in mechanical equilibrium with the adsorbates and Young's law is applicable at the three-phase contact line.⁷ Experimentally, a wetting transition of what seems to be first-order has been observed for a colloid-polymer mixture with a size ratio $q \approx 1$.^{8,9} However, to make a definitive statement about the order of this wetting transition more evidence is needed. Also, for size ratio $q \approx 0.6$ only complete wetting states have been observed.^{10,11}

There is a possible extension of the first-order wetting transition off-coexistence where distinct surface phases are present.^{5,6,12,13} Here, only the colloidal gas is a stable bulk phase. However, microscopic and mesoscopic films of a colloidal liquid-like phase can be present at the wall. Coexistence of the two thin-film states is possible at a prewetting transition. A line of prewetting transitions starts at the wetting transition and ends in a prewetting critical point. Along

this prewetting line, a boundary tension can be defined, which is conceptually related to the line tension. Two possible surface phases share a one-dimensional boundary, and the excess free energy per unit length attributed to this boundary is the boundary tension. In the prewetting critical point, the boundary tension vanishes, whereas it takes the same value as the line tension in the wetting transition.

This paper is set up as follows. First, the Cahn-Landau mean-field functional for colloid-polymer mixtures^{4,14,15} is reviewed briefly and the interaction potentials and parameters are explained. Then, the interface potentials for the system at first-order wetting, in the partial wetting regime and along prewetting are presented and attention is given to the choice of the Fisher-Jin crossing constraint. The interface potentials are used to calculate the line and boundary tensions. Finally, the results are summarised.

II. A COLLOID-POLYMER MIXTURE NEAR A HARD WALL

The colloid-polymer mixture near a hard wall can be described by the surface free energy functional

$$\gamma[\rho] = \int_0^\infty dz \left(f(\rho) - \mu_c \rho(z) + p_c + m(\rho) \left(\frac{d\rho}{dz} \right)^2 \right) - h_1 \rho_1 - \frac{1}{2} g \rho_1^2. \quad (1)$$

The order parameter, $\rho(z)$, is the mean-field colloid number density at perpendicular distance z from the wall, which is located at $z = -\sigma_c/2$, with σ_c the colloid particle diameter. This means that the closest position the colloid can have is $z = 0$. The colloid density is related to the dimensionless volume fraction through $\phi_c = (\pi/6)\sigma_c^3 \rho$. The free energy

functional $\gamma[\rho]$ is expressed in the Cahn-Nakanishi-Fisher form and consist of three parts. The first part is composed of the first three terms of the integrand, $f(\rho) - \mu_c \rho(z) + p_c$, which represent the negative of the excess pressure. In this relation, μ_c and p_c are the equilibrium chemical potential and pressure at two-phase coexistence, respectively. The colloid-polymer mixture is treated as colloidal hard spheres and penetrable polymer spheres. Therefore, the free volume theory with ideal polymers without curvature effects can be applied. The free energy can be written as¹⁶

$$F(N_c, V, T, z_p) = F_0(N_c, V, T) - p^R \alpha(\phi_c) V. \quad (2)$$

Here, $F_0(N_c, V, T)$ is the hard-sphere free energy, p^R is the polymer reservoir pressure of ideal polymers – which can be expressed in terms of the reservoir polymer volume fraction ϕ_p^r by $p^R = (6/\pi)\sigma_p^{-3}\phi_p^r k_B T$, with σ_p the polymer “diameter” related to the radius of gyration $R_g = \sigma_p/2$ – and $\alpha(\phi_c)$ is the free-volume fraction available to polymers, which is a function of the colloid volume fraction, ϕ_c . For $\alpha(\phi_c)$ an approximate expression is found in scaled particle theory.^{17,18} The hard-sphere free energy is given by the well known Carnahan-Starling expression.¹⁹

The second part of the free energy functional is the squared gradient term in the integrand, $m(\rho)(\frac{d\rho}{dz})^2$. The squared gradient is the leading term in the expansion of inhomogeneities of the order parameter.²⁰ The coefficient of the squared gradient is given by $m(\rho)\beta = \frac{\pi}{3} \int_0^\infty dr r^4 c(r, \rho)$ where $\beta = \frac{1}{k_B T}$ and $c(r, \rho)$ represents the direct Ornstein-Zernike correlation function with colloid center-to-center distance r and density ρ . The direct correlation function is approximated by^{21,22}

$$c(r, \rho) = \begin{cases} 0, & r \leq \sigma, \\ -\beta u(r), & r > \sigma, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where $u(r)$ is an attractive interaction potential and arises from the overlap of the depletion zones around the colloid where the polymer is excluded. The two-colloid-particle interaction potential is given by the Asakura-Oosawa depletion potential.^{23,24}

The terms outside the integrand, $-h_1 \rho_1 - \frac{1}{2} g \rho_1^2$, form the “wall” part of the surface free energy functional. These terms correspond to the contact interaction of the colloid-polymer mixture with the hard wall. Here, h_1 is the surface excess chemical potential, g is the surface enhancement parameter, and $\rho_1 = \rho(0)$, the colloid density at the wall. In the framework of the free-volume theory, $h_1 = -\int_0^\infty dz U_2(z)$, where $U_2 = p^R V_2$, with V_2 the volume overlap of the depletion zones of a colloidal particle and the hard wall.^{10,15} Also, $g = \int_0^\infty dz \int d\vec{r} U_3(z, \vec{r})$, where $U_3 = p^R V_3$, with V_3 the triple overlap of the excluded volumes of two colloids and the hard wall.²⁵ Recently, Blokhuis and Kuipers²⁶ argued that this representation of the surface enhancement parameter is incomplete. They showed that an extra term has to be included, related to the missing colloid-colloid interactions near the wall. This has a significant impact on the physics of the colloid-polymer mixture. Blokhuis and Kuipers²⁶ showed that inclusion of this term in the surface enhancement parameter causes wetting reversal at certain values for the aspect

ratio $q = \frac{\sigma_p}{\sigma_c}$. This means that a drying transition would be observed instead of a wetting transition. Also, the nature of the wetting transition changes as it becomes a second-order phase transition, which leads to the absence of a prewetting regime and consequently no boundary tension can be defined. Another consequence of a second-order wetting transition would be that the line tension at the transition is zero. Although the inclusion of the extra term may constitute a more complete representation of the colloid-polymer mixture, the predictions exhibit poor agreement with experiments.²⁶ For this reason, and in view of our goal to complete the previous account⁴ in which no consideration was made of this extra term in the surface enhancement parameter, this refinement to the theory is ignored. This means that a first-order wetting transition is considered here with a prewetting regime. Thus, for this study the free volume theory with ideal polymers as presented by Aarts *et al.*¹⁵ is adopted. A phase diagram of this colloid-polymer system, including a prewetting line, was already presented there.¹⁵ A thorough generic phase diagram for first-order wetting with representations of all the possible surface phases has been presented by Perković *et al.*¹³

III. INTERFACE POTENTIALS

The Fisher-Jin interface potential²⁷ is the excess free energy per unit area for a homogeneous liquid film of fixed thickness ℓ adsorbed at the spectator phase. Therefore, it can be regarded as a constrained, non-equilibrium surface free energy. The interface potential can be obtained from computing the surface free energy $\gamma[\rho]$ for the constrained density profile, the constraint being a crossing density $\rho^\times$ at a distance ℓ from the surface. An example of a density profile obeying the Fisher-Jin crossing constraint is shown in Fig. 1. In the previous report,⁴ it was argued that

FIG. 1. An example of a density profile on which the crossing constraint has been imposed. The profile starts with density ρ_1 at the wall and is constrained up to the crossing density $\rho^\times$. From there the constant of motion is set to zero and the density profile is the unconstrained one, ultimately reaching the bulk vapour phase density ρ_v . Note the discontinuity of the first derivative of $\rho(z)$ at $z = \ell$.

single-crossing profiles are insufficient to map the complete interface potential. For single-crossing profiles, the density follows the constrained profile up to the value of the crossing density with the corresponding constant of motion. After the crossing density is reached the density profile is the unconstrained profile, for which the constant of motion is zero. The double-crossing profiles follow the unconstrained equilibrium phase portrait only after the crossing density is encountered for the second time. For a graphical explanation of the single-crossing and double-crossing profiles, see Fig. 1(b) in Ref. 4.

Here, we argue that the double-crossing profiles can be avoided if the crossing density is chosen more judiciously. In this section, the interface potentials for partial wetting and for prewetting are considered.

A. Partial wetting

The interface potential of a colloid-polymer mixture with $q = 1$ near first-order wetting with $\phi_c^\times = 0.03$ – where the crossing volume fraction is related to the crossing density through $\phi_c^\times = (\pi/6)\sigma_c^3\rho^\times$ – was already reported in Refs. 4 and 14. The necessary equations are repeated briefly. For the optimal density profile, the Euler-Lagrange equation has to be solved, while complying with the boundary conditions and crossing constraints. This means that after the density profile reaches the crossing density the constant of motion is zero, whereas leading up to the crossing density the constant of motion can be either positive or negative. The Euler-Lagrange equation reads

$$2m \frac{d^2\rho}{dz^2} = \frac{df(\rho)}{d\rho} - \mu_c \quad (4)$$

and the following boundary condition applies:

$$-h_1 - g\rho_1 = 2m \frac{d\rho}{dz}(0). \quad (5)$$

The constant of motion is

$$m(d\rho/dz)^2 - f(\rho) + \mu_c\rho - p_c = E. \quad (6)$$

Double-crossing profiles were necessary for $\phi_c^\times = 0.03$ and $q = 1$, as the interface potential was incomplete.³⁰ In Fig. 2, the interface potentials of single-crossing density profiles at the wetting transition, where the so-called spreading coefficient $S \equiv \gamma_{SG} - (\gamma_{SL} + \gamma_{LG})$ is zero, are plotted. It can be seen in Fig. 2(a) that raising $\phi_c^\times$ to 0.05 takes care of the incomplete interface potential and allows one to obtain a fully smooth and complete one. Further increasing the crossing density causes a shift of the interface potential to lower values of ℓ . However, the shape of the interface potentials remains the same. The local minimum associated with the thin film starts out at a positive ℓ for low values of the crossing density. As the crossing density increases the location of the minimum shifts towards lower values of ℓ , even reaching negative values. This is not problematic, since the collective coordinate ℓ is not the physical thickness of the wetting layer, but a mathematical location which may lie behind the wall.³¹ In such cases, in the strictest sense, there is no wetting film,

FIG. 2. Interface potentials, in units of $\frac{k_B T}{(\pi\sigma_c/6)^2}$, near the wetting transition ($S = 0$), which can be obtained via a graphical construction of the phase portrait.^{28,29} (a) For aspect ratio $q = 1$, the interface potentials are incomplete for crossing colloid volume fraction $\phi_c^\times = 0.03$, where $\phi_c^\times = (\pi/6)\sigma_c^3\rho^\times$. The interface potentials are complete for $\phi_c^\times = 0.05$ and higher. (b) For aspect ratio $q = 0.6$, the interface potentials become complete for crossing volume fraction $\phi_c^\times = 0.09$ and higher. The arrows indicate the evolution of the control parameter $\phi_c^\times$ from low to high.

but rather just some modest adsorption at the wall. For first-order wetting and $q = 0.6$, which is depicted in Fig. 2(b), the interface potentials become completely smooth functions for $\phi_c^\times \approx 0.09$. High crossing densities lead to familiar interface potentials,^{5,31} with an ordinary barrier without a discontinuity at the maximum between the thin-film minimum and the complete-wetting layer. For low crossing densities, a second branch near the local maximum (at $\ell/\sigma_c \approx 1$) is visible. These branches should be ignored because only the minimal value of V is of interest. The incomplete interface potentials are disregarded for the calculation of the line tension. In this respect, our present approach is a refinement of that taken in Ref. 4.

The interface potentials for states in the partial wetting regime are plotted in Fig. 3. For the Fisher-Jin crossing volume fraction values $\phi_c^\times = 0.13$ and 0.08 for $q = 0.6$ and $q = 1.0$, respectively, the following fitting curve is proposed

FIG. 3. Overview of interface potentials, in units of $\frac{k_B T}{(\pi\sigma_c/6)^2}$, in the partial wetting regime for (a) $q = 1$ at $\phi_c^x = 0.08$ and (b) $q = 0.6$ at $\phi_c^x = 0.13$. The arrows indicate the evolution of the control parameter ϕ_p^r from low to high.

as an instructive analytical representation of the interface potential:

$$V(\ell) = \begin{cases} a_2(\ell - \ell_1)^2 + a_3(\ell - \ell_1)^3 \\ + a_4(\ell - \ell_1)^4, & 0 < \ell < \ell_a \\ b_1 e^{-\ell/\xi} + b_2 e^{-2\ell/\xi} + b_0, & \ell_a < \ell \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

This fit consists of two parts. The first part is a Taylor expansion about the thin-film minimum at ℓ_1 up to third or fourth order. The second part reflects the exponential tail converging to minus the proper spreading coefficient, $-S = b_0$. This tail is approximated by $e^{-\ell/\xi}$, $e^{-2\ell/\xi}$, ... with ξ the bulk correlation length. This fit introduces an artificial singularity at $\ell = \ell_a$, whereas the interface potentials are smooth throughout. Table I reports on some typical fitting parameter values near and far from the wetting transition.

TABLE I. Illustrative $V(\ell)$ -fitting parameters in the partial wetting regime for $q = 1$ ($\phi_c^x = 0.08$).

ϕ_p^r	q	ℓ_1/σ_c	a_2	a_3	a_4	ℓ_a/σ_c
1.917	1	-0.19718	0.15357	-0.08267	0.00191	1.0044
3.0	1	-0.2611	0.40599	-0.19963	0.01228	1.0603
ϕ_p^r	q	b_0	b_1	b_2	ξ/σ_c	
1.917	1	0.000041	0.77398	-1.794	0.70779	
3.0	1	0.18356	1.40738	-4.18225	0.7226	

B. Prewetting towards complete wetting

The interface potential that describes the prewetting transition takes the form

$$V_{pw}(\ell) = V(\ell) + \gamma_{LG} H \ell / \xi, \quad (8)$$

where $V(\ell)$ is, with minor modifications, the interface potential at the wetting transition and H is a dimensionless field which takes the system off of two-phase equilibrium and γ_{LG} is the liquid-gas surface tension at zero field. The factor $\gamma_{LG} H / \xi$ takes the value of the constant of motion at large ℓ . Along the prewetting line as $H \rightarrow 0$ the thick-film thickness increases to infinity, and the interface potential converges to the first-order wetting interface potential $V(\ell)$. As can be seen in Fig. 4 the interface potential in the prewetting regime displays two minima, congruent with two surface phases. The first minimum corresponds to the thin film and the second corresponds to the thick film. Table II reports typical fitting parameter values for several state parameters along prewetting. Note that the Taylor expansion around the minimum is truncated after third order.

IV. LINE AND BOUNDARY TENSION

It was previously explained that the excess free energy per unit length of the surface inhomogeneity can be treated within the interface displacement theory.⁴ The line tension is a functional of the displacement of the interface perpendicular to the substrate, and minimising this functional yields the equilibrium line tension.^{6,32} In the squared gradient approximation, this leads to the following expression for the line tension:⁶

$$\tau = (2\gamma_{LG})^{1/2} \xi \int_{\ell_1}^{\infty} d\tilde{\ell} [V(\tilde{\ell})^{1/2} - (-S)^{1/2}], \quad (9)$$

where γ_{LG} is the liquid-gas surface tension, $\tilde{\ell} = \ell/\xi$ with ξ the correlation length, and $-S$ is minus the spreading coefficient, which takes the value of $\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} V(\ell)$. The lower integration limit, ℓ_1 , is the thin-film minimum.

Via the spreading coefficient the contact angle can be calculated. In Fig. 5, the line tension is plotted versus the Fisher-Jin crossing volume fraction for several values of the contact angle. The line tension is only weakly dependent on the chosen constraint, as was expected from the interface potentials seen in Fig. 2. It can be observed that for $q = 1$ the line tension rises slightly with increasing values of the crossing density. Farther in the partial wetting regime, as the contact angle increases, the dependence of the line tension on the crossing

FIG. 4. Overview of interface potentials, in units of $\frac{k_B T}{(\pi/6)^2 \sigma_c^2}$, along prewetting for (a) $q = 1$ at $\phi_c^x = 0.08$ and (b) $q = 0.6$ at $\phi_c^x = 0.13$. The arrows indicate the evolution of the control parameter ϕ_p^r from low to high.

density becomes more apparent. For $q = 0.6$ variation of the line tension with the chosen crossing density is almost non-existent, as it should be in a reliable theoretical model, as it almost looks like a flat line regardless of the proximity to the wetting transition.

For typical colloidal diameters of 10 – 100 nm, the computed line tensions are of the order 10^{-14} – 10^{-12} N near first-order wetting, pass through zero and reach minus their values at first-order wetting for larger contact angles. The line tension values for $q = 0.6$ are lower than those for $q = 1$, espe-

TABLE II. Illustrative $V_{pw}(\ell)$ -fitting parameters along the prewetting line for $q = 1$ ($\phi_c^x = 0.08$). $\gamma_{LG}H/\xi$ is given in units of $\frac{k_B T}{(\pi/6)^2 \sigma_c^2}$.

ϕ_p^r	q	ℓ_1/σ_c	a_2	a_3	ℓ_d/σ_c	
1.2	1	0.081	0.0319	-0.02567	0.9087	
1.91	1	-0.19623	0.15034	-0.0782	1.1538	
ϕ_p^r	q	b_0	b_1	b_2	ξ/σ_c	$\gamma_{LG}H/\xi$
1.2	1	-0.08969	0.35502	-0.44494	0.81564	0.03125
1.91	1	-0.001	0.78637	-1.86227	0.70257	0.0001429

FIG. 5. Line tension, in units of $\frac{k_B T}{(\pi/6)^2 \sigma_c^2}$, as a function of the crossing volume fraction for contact angles θ (degrees) up to about 40° for both $q = 1$ (full line) and $q = 0.6$ (dotted line).

cially near the wetting transition. This can be easily comprehended from the larger area under the $V(\ell)$ curve, as already pointed out near first-order wetting.¹⁴ However, with increasing contact angle the difference in line tension decreases and for $\theta \approx 40^\circ$ the line tensions are equal. It can be observed in Fig. 5 that the crossing density necessary to provide a complete interface potential, and thus a value for the line tension, increases with increasing contact angle.

Like for the line tension, an expression for the boundary tension can be found by using the interface displacement model. This leads to⁶

$$\tau_B = (2\gamma_{LG})^{1/2} \xi \int_{\ell_1}^{\ell_2} d\tilde{\ell} (V_{pw}(\tilde{\ell}))^{1/2}. \quad (10)$$

Here, ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 are the thin-film and thick-film minima, respectively. The boundary tension for $q = 1$ and $\phi_c^x = 0.08$

FIG. 6. Boundary (τ_B) and line tension (τ), in units of $\frac{k_B T}{(\pi/6)^2 \sigma_c^2}$, for $q = 1$ at $\phi_c^x = 0.08$ (diamonds) and $q = 0.6$ at $\phi_c^x = 0.13$. (circles) The boundary tension in the prewetting critical point is zero. The line and boundary tensions are maximal and equal at the wetting transition.

TABLE III. For $q = 1$ and $q = 0.6$, the location of the critical point (cp), prewetting critical point (pwcp), and wetting transition (wet) are listed in terms of the polymer and colloid volume fractions ϕ_p^r and ϕ_c^r . The colloid volume fractions at coexistence at the wetting transition, ϕ_c^r (wet), are $(7.75 \times 10^{-5}, 0.354)$ and $(3.12 \times 10^{-3}, 0.433)$ for $q = 1$ and $q = 0.6$, respectively. Also the value of the line tension τ at wetting is given, in units of $\frac{k_B T}{(\pi/6)^2 \sigma_c}$.

q	ϕ_p^r (cp)	ϕ_c (cp)	ϕ_p^r (pwcp)	ϕ_c (pwcp)	ϕ_p^r (wet)	τ
0.6	0.4879	0.1878	0.6007	0.0296	0.9086	0.2134
1.0	0.6364	0.1040	0.9552	0.00681	1.917	0.7360

as well as for $q = 0.6$ and $\phi_c^x = 0.13$ is plotted against the reservoir polymer volume fraction and the familiar lambda shape^{5,12,13} is found (Fig. 6). In the prewetting critical point, the thin and thick-film minimum come together and the boundary tension is zero. The boundary tension reaches the line tension at the wetting transition upon increasing the reservoir volume fraction. The physical parameters at the critical point, the wetting transition, and the prewetting critical point are summarised in Table III.

V. CONCLUSION

For an adsorbed colloid-polymer mixture, a previous study of the interface potentials and the line tension at the wetting transition using a Cahn-Nakanishi-Fisher functional and an interface displacement model was extended to include the partial wetting and the prewetting regime. The colloid-polymer mixtures are modelled with the free-volume theory applied to ideal polymers without curvature effects. Previously, it was argued that double-crossing profiles were necessary to remedy incomplete interface potentials. Here, it was shown that, near the wetting transition, by increasing the crossing density up to $\phi_c^x = 0.05$ and $\phi_c^x = 0.09$ for $q = 1$ and $q = 0.6$, respectively, a smooth and complete interface potential can be obtained. Increasing the crossing density even further brings about a shift of the interface potential to lower ℓ . Farther in the partial wetting regime, the minimal crossing density to obtain complete interface potentials increases.

At the wetting transition and $q = 1$, the line tension increases only slightly with increasing crossing densities. This behaviour becomes more pronounced further into the partial wetting regime, where the line tension becomes negative. For $q = 0.6$, the line tension curve is almost constant at the wetting transition and throughout the partial wetting regime. The computed line tensions have a value of 10^{-14} – 10^{-12} N near first-order wetting, pass through zero and become negative for larger contact angles. For a fixed particle size, close to the wetting transition, the line tension for $q = 0.6$ is significantly

lower than for $q = 1$, whereas they are equal at a contact angle of approximately 40° . The computed boundary tension along prewetting ranges from zero at the prewetting critical point to the line tension value at the wetting transition.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

J.K. and J.I. are supported by KU Leuven Research Grant No. OT/11/063.

- ¹J. O. Indekeu, D. G. A. L. Aarts, H. N. W. Lekkerkerker, Y. Hennequin, and D. Bonn, *Phys. Rev. E* **81**, 041604 (2010).
- ²Y. Hennequin, D. G. A. L. Aarts, J. O. Indekeu, H. N. W. Lekkerkerker, and D. Bonn, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 178305 (2008).
- ³H. N. W. Lekkerkerker, V. W. A. de Villeneuve, J. W. J. de Folter, M. Schmidt, Y. Hennequin, D. Bonn, J. O. Indekeu, and D. G. A. L. Aarts, *Eur. Phys. J. B* **64**, 341 (2008).
- ⁴Y. Vandecan and J. O. Indekeu, *J. Chem. Phys.* **128**, 104902 (2008).
- ⁵J. O. Indekeu, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. B* **08**, 309 (1994).
- ⁶J. O. Indekeu, *Physica A* **183**, 439 (1992).
- ⁷D. Bonn, J. Eggers, J. O. Indekeu, J. Meunier, and E. Rolley, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **81**, 739 (2009).
- ⁸W. K. Wijting, N. A. M. Besseling, and A. M. Cohen Stuart, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 196101 (2003).
- ⁹W. K. Wijting, N. A. M. Besseling, and A. M. Cohen Stuart, *J. Chem. Phys.* **B 107**, 10565 (2003).
- ¹⁰D. G. A. L. Aarts, "The interface in demixed colloid-polymer systems," Ph.D. thesis, Utrecht University, 2005.
- ¹¹D. G. A. L. Aarts and H. N. W. Lekkerkerker, *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **16**, S4231 (2004).
- ¹²S. Perković, I. Szleifer, and B. Widom, *Mol. Phys.* **80**, 729 (1993).
- ¹³S. Perković, E. M. Blokhuis, and G. Han, *J. Chem. Phys.* **102**, 400 (1995).
- ¹⁴Y. Vandecan, "Wetting and line tension study in adsorbed colloid-polymer mixtures from phenomenological interface theory," Ph.D. thesis, KU Leuven, 2008.
- ¹⁵D. G. A. L. Aarts, R. P. A. Dullens, H. N. W. Lekkerkerker, D. Bonn, and R. van Roij, *J. Chem. Phys.* **120**, 1973 (2004).
- ¹⁶M. Dijkstra, J. M. Brader, and R. Evans, *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **11**, 10079 (1999).
- ¹⁷H. N. W. Lekkerkerker, W. C.-K. Poon, P. N. Pusey, A. Stroobants, and P. B. Warren, *Europhys. Lett.* **20**, 559 (1992).
- ¹⁸J. I. Lebowitz, E. Helfand, and F. Praestgaard, *J. Chem. Phys.* **43**, 774 (1965).
- ¹⁹N. F. Carnahan and K. E. Starling, *J. Chem. Phys.* **51**, 635 (1969).
- ²⁰R. Evans, *Adv. Phys.* **28**, 143 (1979).
- ²¹J.-P. Hansen and I. R. McDonalds, *Theory of Simple Liquids*, 2nd ed. (Academic Press, London, 1990).
- ²²J. M. Brader and R. Evans, *Europhys. Lett.* **49**, 678 (2000).
- ²³S. Asakura and F. Oosawa, *J. Chem. Phys.* **22**, 1225 (1954).
- ²⁴A. Vrij, *Pure Appl. Chem.* **48**, 471 (1976).
- ²⁵In our definition, g is minus g in Refs. 10 and 15.
- ²⁶E. M. Blokhuis and J. Kuipers, *Phys. Rev. E* **81**, 051602 (2010).
- ²⁷M. E. Fisher and A. J. Jin, *Phys. Rev. B* **44**, 1430 (1991).
- ²⁸P.-G. de Gennes, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **57**, 827 (1985).
- ²⁹J. W. Cahn, *J. Chem. Phys.* **66**, 3667 (1977).
- ³⁰For several combinations of the initial density and the crossing density, the first derivative of the density $\frac{d\rho}{dx}$ becomes zero before the profile can reach the crossing density. Therefore, there is a gap in the interface potential, which is then called "incomplete."
- ³¹J. O. Indekeu, K. Ragil, D. Bonn, D. Broseta, and J. Meunier, *J. Stat. Phys.* **95**, 1009 (1999).
- ³²H. T. Dobbs and J. O. Indekeu, *Physica A* **201**, 457 (1993).