Describing the relationships between tourist satisfaction and destination loyalty in a segmented and digitalized market

BART NEUTS

*Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, KU Leuven, Celestijnenlaan 200E,
PO Box 2407, 3001 Leuven, Belgium. E-mail: bart.neuts@ees.kuleuven.be.
(Corresponding author.)*

JOÃO ROMÃO

*Department of Spatial Economics, VU University Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
E-mail: j.romao@vu.nl.*

EVELINE VAN LEEUWEN

*Department of Spatial Economics, VU University Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
E-mail: e.s.van.leeuwen@vu.nl.*

PETER NIJKAMP

*Department of Spatial Economics, VU University Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
E-mail: p.nijkamp@vu.nl.*

As a result of advances in ICT services, transportation and local development, among others, more destinations are competing to attract both national and international visitors. Globalization requires destinations to increase their competitiveness or risk losing out on tourist revenues. While the research into destination competitiveness and tourist loyalty is well founded, recent progress in e-services has opened up new opportunities for informing and attracting visitors. This paper examines the potential effects of e-services in an inclusive model of destination loyalty to the city of Leipzig in Germany. The results of the path analysis indicate possibilities for e-services to increase both satisfaction and loyalty, especially with regard to various tourist subgroups.

Keywords: destination loyalty; e-services; ICT; tourist satisfaction; destination management

Open borders, a decline in transportation costs, the emergence of a leisure and entertainment culture, advanced communication systems and social media have,

over the past years, instigated a rapid rise in tourist activities. According to UNWTO (2010), international tourist arrivals reached 935 million worldwide in 2010 (against 25 million in 1950). Tourism came fourth in the ranking of export categories (after fuels, chemicals and automotive products), while tourism exports accounted for 30% of the world's exports of commercial services (UNWTO, 2009). Consequently, tourism has become one of the fastest growing export sectors, generating employment, income and tax revenues for local destinations.

This extremely rapid growth of tourism activities has led to strong competition among different holiday destinations that offer a wide range of products and services, according to their natural, cultural, economic or political conditions. This global competitive environment calls for dedicated efforts in destination management and promotion, in order to create an attractive portfolio of tourism products and services at the local level. Clearly, the tourist sector is a heterogeneous sector, with a great diversity in destination alternatives, demand motives, characteristics, economic conditions and ecological impacts (for example, Matias *et al*, 2011). Strategic tourist policy has emerged as a vehicle for attracting more tourists to designated destinations, supported by advanced marketing tools, e-tourism technology, environmental awareness campaigns and image creation. A conceptual framework that maps out the manifold drivers and impact of tourism can be found in Wall and Mathieson (2006).

This process of massification, which has been observed since the middle of the 20th century, has led to the creation of multiple segments for tourism demand, according to characteristics (for example, nationality, cultural background, social status or education) and motivations (see also Matias *et al*, 2011). This double process – differentiation of destinations and segmentation of tourists – raises the issue of matching the characteristics of destinations with the motivations and personal characteristics of tourists. The ongoing competition between countries, regions and cities to attract potential tourists serves to explain the increased popularity of the concept of destination competitiveness. Destinations are to be seen as multi-attribute goods that offer a utility package to a varied set of customers. Brand policy – based on hotels, airlines, tourist facilities, etc – is turned into a strategic tool for tourist policy. This also calls for the exploitation of territorial resources (human, social, ecological, historic-cultural) in the regional or local tourist industry (see also Buhalis, 2000; Ritchie and Crouch, 2003; Cracolici and Nijkamp, 2008; Crouch, 2011).

Communication and marketing are two important modern tools to direct tourist flows to certain destinations. In recent years, a great variety of e-tourism tools and technologies has emerged (for example, Kourtit *et al*, 2011; van Leeuwen and Nijkamp, 2011). These tools are competitive levers: they inform potential customers about the benefits of a given destination, but they also aim to create loyalty feelings (for return tourists and through word-of-mouth information to others).

The present paper aims to investigate the characteristics, satisfaction and loyalty of tourists in a situation in which e-tourism media are used as marketing and attraction tools. In our empirical work we will zoom in on tourist attitudes and behaviours in the city of Leipzig. This is a new and upcoming destination which, after the rehabilitation of the city, could attract an increased number

of tourists. Our study attempts to analyse the relations between specific segments of tourist demand and the specialized services to be provided to satisfy tourists' needs and expectations and increase destination loyalty, on the one hand, and the use of specific channels of communication and e-services as a specialized tool to increase satisfaction and loyalty, on the other hand. A structural equations model (SEM), which has become a popular analytical and quantitative modelling tool for mapping out complex relational patterns in a multi-equation structure, will be presented in relation to the travel behaviour of tourists visiting the city of Leipzig.

Tourists, motivations, satisfaction and loyalty

In terms of destination potential and competitiveness, tourist loyalty has been considered as an extremely important aspect since it constitutes very effective promotion without incurring marketing costs. Loyalty towards a destination is most often defined as the intention of making repeat visits by tourists and the amount by which a travel destination is likely to be recommended to friends or family. This approach – relying primarily on measures of behavioural intent – is then directly related to what is often identified as the attitudinal aspect of loyalty (Dick and Basu, 1994; Dekimpe *et al.*, 1997): the favourable attitude towards a brand or destination. However, the existence of an attitude–behaviour gap means that a favourable attitude does not necessarily translate into actual behaviour as a second dimension of loyalty. While the focus on attitudinal loyalty might thus overstate actual recommendations or return visits, this approach has most often been followed in studies concerning the relationship between destination loyalty and different location characteristics (for example, Castro *et al.*, 2007; Chen and Tsai, 2007; Chi and Qu, 2008; Lee, 2009) or between loyalty and tourist motivations (Yoon and Uysal, 2005; Lee *et al.*, 2007; Chen and Tsai, 2008; Chen and Chen, 2010; Lee and Hsu, 2011).

As a general starting point, different personal characteristics of tourists are taken into account to segment the tourism market in the destinations under analysis. This segmentation allows the identification of different types of motivational factors and different levels of satisfaction obtained by each group with different aspects of the cities, which, in turn, have been found to influence destination loyalty (Oppermann, 2000; Yoon and Uysal, 2005; Chen and Tsai, 2007). Furthermore, while it is commonly assumed that loyalty is related to the satisfaction obtained on a previous visit or on previous visits, it is also generally recognized in the literature (Castro *et al.*, 2007; Lee, 2009) that satisfaction is a multidimensional construct, obtained from different aspects of the destination.

As stressed by Chen and Tsai (2007), different motivations are normally related to different expectations and images of the city, with important implications on the levels of satisfaction obtained: in fact, the satisfaction is extremely sensitive to the expectations of the tourists before the travel and these expectations are created based on images individually developed by each visitor. According to the classification proposed by Crompton (1979), pull factors are related to the tangible characteristics of a destination (urban life, landscape, events, culture, heritage, museums or climate), thus providing an extrinsic

Figure 1. Conceptual structural model of tourist loyalty components.

travel motive to the tourist. Push factors, on the other hand, are related to personal, intrinsic motives for travelling, such as adventure, relaxation, evasion, escape from routine, or in this study the broader categories of leisure and business. Push factors are mostly associated with the decision to travel, while pull factors are associated with the choice of a specific destination (Dann, 1981; Kozak, 2002; Bansal and Eiselt, 2004; Yoon and Uysal, 2005). Both push motives (as personal characteristics) and pull motives (as destination characteristics) are featured in the proposed model.

Combining the findings of these different studies in Figure 1, this work then assumes that the heterogeneity of tourist markets is the result of the different characteristics of the tourists (individual attributes like the purpose of the travel, origin, age, education and cultural values). These personal characteristics then determine the decision to travel, the choice of a destination, the expectations or the perceptions of tourists regarding the places they visit. Consequently, these are essential aspects to take into consideration for the definition of marketing strategies (Kozak and Rimmington, 2000; Castro *et al*, 2007; Chen and Tsai, 2007).

The architecture of the conceptual model with respect to the market segmentation of tourist types then presumes: (A1) a direct relationship between the segmentation of markets (characteristics of tourists) and loyalty; (A2) a direct relationship between the characteristics of tourists and their travel motivations; (A3) a path between these motivations and the satisfaction obtained with different aspects of the destination; and (A4) an effect of the satisfaction levels on destination loyalty. Given the narrower focus on attitudinal loyalty, it can be hypothesized that the latter relationship is more pronounced than in the case where revealed behaviour is taken as a loyalty measure (Dick

and Basu, 1994). These paths in Figure 1 then contribute to the understanding of which aspects of the destination should be addressed to each specific group of tourists (Chi and Qu, 2008; Lee, 2009), considering the specific products and services they need.

Role of e-services in destination loyalty

Aside from the influence of market segmentation on tourist loyalty, another aspect of the conceptual model is concerned with the influence that e-services can have in improving the satisfaction of and loyalty towards a destination. As a result of the deep impact on tourism of the development of information and communication technologies (ICT) in the last few decades, new sources of competitive advantage and new opportunities for marketing emerged (Singer *et al*, 2010). For tourism service providers and management organizations, the choice of the right channels and the production of adequate information contents is an essential aspect in order to establish an efficient communication with specific potential customers.

Various recent studies (for example, Lin and Huang, 2006; Buhalis and Law, 2008; Aldebert *et al*, 2011; Chang and Caneday, 2011; Parra-López *et al*, 2011; Lo *et al*, 2011; Singala *et al*, 2011) have analysed the processes of diffusion and collection of information on the Internet, focusing, for example, on the role of social networks, websites managed by destination management organizations, personal travel blogs or photography websites. These examples show the diversity of independent and complex information available for tourists when choosing a destination and enhance the importance of an evidence-based research. Furthermore, Neuts *et al* (2013) found that the use of e-services was linked to diverse personal characteristics.

As noted by Mitsche *et al* (2008), there is an opportunity for the application of different e-services to increase satisfaction with diverse aspects of the destination. At least part of this potential increase in tourist satisfaction could be related to an increased awareness about the local conditions as a result of the availability of multiple e-sources, coupled with an increase in bargaining power thanks to the existence of direct communication channels with suppliers, and an improved flexibility in customer–supplier relations (Mansson, 2011). In fact, mostly with the development of social networks (Web 2.0), tourists have themselves become producers of information regarding tourism destinations, in a context of convergence between different media supports and alternative ways of assessing media content (Jenkins, 2006).

In order to account for the effects of e-services on the market segmentation of tourists and their associated satisfaction and loyalty, three extra paths are included in the conceptual model: (B1) every tourist characteristic (holiday/business motive, nationality, age, education, income and gender) is regressed with every e-service (interactive map, personalized information, booking services, journey planners, e-forums, virtual tours and interactive games); (B2) it is expected that the specific travel motive could influence the e-services used by a traveller; (B3) the use of different e-services is presumed to influence the satisfaction received; and (B4) apart from an indirect effect on destination loyalty through the satisfaction, a direct effect is also hypothesized.

Methodology

The total dataset consisted of 653 national and international tourists in Leipzig, Germany.¹ Important variables considered in this study are: personal characteristics of the tourists, their planned activities as divided over different categories, their satisfaction rates with diverse characteristics of the destination, their destination loyalty in terms of word-of-mouth and return incentive, and the use of e-services. Since the income variable included a considerable number of missing values (266), these missing values were analysed and imputed through a regression estimation. Income was found to be related to the educational level and employment category, which were subsequently used for the imputation procedure. If after this procedure missing values still occurred in other variables these observations were eliminated in order to obtain a full dataset for further analysis. The final dataset then consisted of 480 respondents.

Table 1 provides a general overview of the variables used in both models. While some variables were either dichotomized (gender, nationality, holiday purpose, business purpose) or measured directly on an ordinal (age, education, income) scale, Table 1 indicates that the variables motivations, satisfaction, use of e-services and loyalty consist of multiple measurement elements.

In the case of tourist motivations and satisfaction with the destination we expect the existence of higher-order underlying dimensions, since it is well established that most destinations attract tourists for a multitude of reasons. This would mean that the motivation and satisfaction dimensions of Figure 1 consist of a number of factors (the different travel motivations and satisfaction components), each of which consists of a combination of measurement items. To identify these sub-dimensions, exploratory factor analysis can be used. As proposed in Mulaik and Millsap's (2000) four-step modelling approach to structural equation modelling, explanatory factor analysis can be used as an important first step to establish the number of unidimensional factors out of a list of measurement items. Since the variables used in the principal component analysis were ordinal (in the case of satisfaction) or dichotomous (in the case of motivations) the exploratory factor analysis was based on the polychoric (for ordinal data) and the tetrachoric (for dichotomous data) correlation matrix, as is common in structural equation modelling (Jöreskog and Sörbom, 1996).

Table 2 gives an overview of the results of these preliminary principal component analyses. Explanatory factor analysis on the tetrachoric correlation matrix of tourist activities planned appears to lead to a three-factor solution, based on the Kaiser criterion (that is, eigenvalues above 1), with a cumulative explained variance of 56.977%. The motivational factor is then divided into (i) an environmental motivation, incorporating the visiting purpose of architecture and landscape, (ii) a cultural motive, constructed from the activities concerning museum visits and cultural events, (iii) a commercial motive, based on interests for shopping, nightlife and atmosphere. It can be seen from Table 2 that this solution does not find a significant positive correlation of the business motive with any of the three factors – being negatively related to both the first (−0.449) and second (−0.432) factor, and moderately unrelated (0.057) to the third factor. The results therefore indicate that the business travel motive does not relate closely to other travel motives (that is, business is not coincidental with other activities planned) and cannot be incorporated in one of the three identified

Table 1. Characteristics of variables used ($n = 480$).

	Scale	Frequency/mean, % (SD)
Gender (Female)	Categorical	52.1
Nationality (German)	Categorical	82.7
<i>Main travel purpose:</i>	Binary (yes/no)	
Holiday		87.9
Business		6.7
Other		5.4
<i>Age:</i>	Ordinal	
< 18		2.1
18–34		35.0
35–54		41.9
> 54		21.0
<i>Income:</i>	Ordinal	
< €15,000		18.8
€15,000–25,000		8.1
€25,000–35,000		10.4
€35,000–45,000		9.6
€45,000–55,000		7.3
> €55,000		9.8
<i>Education:</i>	Ordinal	
Pre-high school		12.7
High school		25.2
Vocational		19.0
Bachelor's degree		14.4
Master's degree		28.8
<i>Loyalty:</i>	Binary (yes/no)	
Return		30.0
Recommend		32.3
<i>Motivations:</i>	Binary (yes/no)	
Architecture		73.5
Museums		44.2
Landscape		62.3
Cultural events		32.3
Shopping		42.1
Business		5.0
Nightlife		16.5
Atmosphere		60.0
<i>Appreciation:</i>	Ordinal (1–5)	
Architecture		4.30 (0.862)
Monuments		3.73 (1.015)
Museums		3.83 (1.110)
Urban landscape		4.18 (0.911)
Cultural events		3.66 (1.133)
Traditions		3.44 (1.112)
Customs		3.14 (1.150)
Knowledge		3.20 (1.130)
<i>E-services:</i>	Ordinal (1–5)	
Interactive map		3.48 (1.36)
Personalized information		2.58 (1.20)
Booking services		3.56 (1.32)
Journey planners		3.38 (1.28)
E-forums		2.58 (1.23)
Virtual tours		2.90 (1.30)
Interactive games		1.71 (1.07)

Table 2. Varimax rotated component matrix of principal component analyses.

Items	Factors		
	1	2	3
<i>Motivations:</i>			
Activities planned architecture	0.812	−0.028	0.001
Activities planned museums	−0.005	0.816	−0.054
Activities planned landscape	0.635	0.037	−0.229
Activities planned cultural events	−0.012	0.756	−0.037
Activities planned shopping	−0.247	0.158	0.661
Activities planned business	−0.449	−0.432	0.057
Activities planned nightlife	−0.145	−0.310	0.708
Activities planned atmosphere	0.507	−0.087	0.633
<i>Satisfaction:</i>			
Appreciation of architecture	0.890	−0.018	
Appreciation of monuments	0.668	0.328	
Appreciation of museums	0.749	0.222	
Appreciation of urban landscape	0.645	0.124	
Appreciation of cultural events	0.557	0.315	
Appreciation of traditions	0.225	0.806	
Appreciation of customs	0.139	0.893	
Appreciation of knowledge	0.197	0.855	

factors due to a dearth of internal consistency. In order to incorporate business in the structural model, modelling results would benefit from a complete separation of this motive from the other activities. This leads to the identification of four general motives in the structural model – the three identified compounded factors of environmental motivation, cultural motivation and commercial motivation – and one single motive (consisting of solely planned business), business.

The principal component analysis of satisfaction with different elements of the destination yielded a two-factor solution with a total explained variance of 63.539%. The first factor (i) is concerned with the satisfaction of tangible elements (architecture, monuments, museums, urban landscape, and cultural events), with factor two (ii) measuring satisfaction on intangible destination elements (traditions, customs, and knowledge). These factor scores are then incorporated in the structural path model as singular aggregated variables.

While other studies have generally treated e-services as a singular (for example, Colesca and Dobrica, 2008; van Dijk *et al*, 2007) or multifactorial (for example, van Leeuwen and Nijkamp, 2010) variable, such an approach would overlook possible differences between different types of e-services on satisfaction and loyalty (Neuts *et al*, 2013). Since multicollinearity diagnostics did not indicate a clear collinearity problem (with Variance Inflation Factors between 1.450 and 1.831, and tolerance values between 0.546 and 0.690), all seven e-services were treated independently in order to identify a larger number of possible relationships.

Figure 2. Operational structural equation model.

Note: For reasons of readability, covariances are not shown in the model. Only significant paths are shown. $\chi^2 = 227.217$ (df = 114, p -value = 0.000), $\chi^2/\text{df} = 1.993$, RMSEA = 0.046, SRMR = 0.041, CFI = 0.953.

Modelling results

After the previously described explanatory factor analysis, the structural relationships between the unidimensional variables of the conceptual model are tested in the SEM software AMOS 19.0. Apart from the structural model of the relationship between destination loyalty and different tourist characteristics, a second interest of this paper lies with the possibilities offered by e-services to increase satisfaction and loyalty. While the initial path model did not show adequate fit indices (χ^2 -value = 156.891 with df = 19 and p -value = 0.000, $\chi^2/\text{df} = 8.257$, RMSEA = 0.123, CFI = 0.943, SRMR = 0.031), the model was made more parsimonious on a stepwise basis, based on the t -test values of non-significant regression paths. The subsequent final path model, as shown in Figure 2 had satisfactory fit indices on all ($\chi^2/\text{df} = 1.993$, RMSEA = 0.046, SRMR = 0.041, CFI = 0.953) but the χ^2 -test (227.217, df = 114, p -value = 0.000). Since the latter χ^2 -statistic has been found to be biased in cases of higher sample size, departures from multivariate normality, and model complexity

Table 3. Unstandardized path estimates for personal characteristics and travel motives (A2).

Response variable	Explanatory variable	Estimate	Lower 95% confidence interval	Upper 95% confidence interval	SE
Motive environment	Nature holiday	0.221***	0.044	0.233	0.043
	Age	0.045**	0.007	0.082	0.018
	Gender	0.053*	-0.003	0.108	0.028
	Education level	0.046***	0.025	0.067	0.010
	German national	-0.154***	-0.236	-0.075	0.039
Motive culture	Nature holiday	0.142***	0.044	0.233	0.052
	Age	0.066***	0.019	0.113	0.024
	Gender	0.092***	0.029	0.159	0.034
	Income	-0.026***	-0.046	-0.008	0.009
	Education level	0.023*	-0.002	0.049	0.013
	German national	-0.151***	-0.242	-0.067	0.047
Motive consumption	Nature holiday	0.116***	0.036	0.194	0.038
	Age	-0.08***	-0.116	-0.045	0.016
	Gender	0.05**	0.002	0.099	0.025
	German national	0.101***	0.038	0.166	0.033
Motive business	Nature business	0.412***	0.228	0.582	0.035
	Gender	-0.032*	-0.067	0.000	0.018
	German national	0.043*	-0.003	0.083	0.023

Note: * p -value < 0.1, ** p -value < 0.05, *** p -value < 0.01.

(Schumacker and Lomax, 2004), a model is generally accepted if other indices reach satisfactory values (for a discussion of thresholds, see Wheaton *et al.*, 1977; Steiger, 2007; Tabachnick and Fidell, 2007).

Empirical results of the influence on satisfaction

Table 3 gives an overview of the significant² unstandardized regression estimates for the relationship between personal characteristics and travel motives. The estimation method used is based on maximum likelihood, coupled with bootstrap estimated 95% confidence intervals. According to the findings, tourists with a clear holiday purpose are more likely to be influenced by either the architectural and urban landmarks, the cultural aspects of the destination, or the shopping and nightlife possibilities in their decision to visit Leipzig. Conversely, when the primary nature of the holiday is business-related, the main travel motive is logically related to this business aspect. Older respondents are, in general, more motivated by both the environmental and the cultural aspect, while, conversely, the nightlife and shopping are seen as less important by higher age groups.

The data further support a relationship between the gender of the tourist and the main travel motive, with women seemingly being more motivated by the cultural aspects, the commercial functions, and the urban environment ($\alpha < 0.1$), while the business motive is more apparent among male visitors

($\alpha < 0.1$), both latter relationships seemingly borderline significant as suggested by the confidence intervals. The gender effect on the business motive could be related to the specific industrial sectors located in Leipzig (such as car manufacturing plants and freight distribution), which are still more male-dominant, thus indicating the potential importance of accounting for the economic resource base of a destination. As could be expected from other studies, a higher education level seems to increase the tourist interest for cultural destination aspects ($\alpha < 0.1$), as well as local architecture and urban design. Thus, the image of the well-educated cultural tourist is supported by the data analysis, highlighting the marketing possibilities of these elements when focusing on attracting culture tourists. Conversely, though, the negative coefficient of income on the cultural motive implies that a higher income decreases the chance that culture is a reason for visitation.

A final factor that was found to influence all travel motives was the difference between national and international tourism. Tourists from within Germany were significantly more motivated by shopping and nightlife, on the one hand, and business ($\alpha < 0.1$), on the other hand. This might be related to the amount of day-visits by this group as a result of its relative proximity to the destination and the significant amount of regional trade taking place. In contrast, culture and architecture were less likely to be a main travel motivation for German visitors.

Furthermore, personal tourist characteristics were found to influence the interest in e-service availability. Table 4 gives an overview of the significant paths. The data suggest that a leisure traveller places more importance on the availability of interactive maps, e-forums and virtual tours. It thus seems to indicate that respondents with a leisurely travel motive are increasingly likely to turn to these sources for relevant information about the destination and the specific sites of interest. As might be expected, age is more negatively related to e-service adaptation, with a significant negative impact of higher age groups on the use of interactive maps, booking services and interactive games. German tourists are found to be less likely to value personalized information, possibly as a result of their larger personal knowledge of the destination. The extent of one's income affects the use of booking services. A higher income thus increases the demand for online booking services. Finally, the level of education negatively impacts the importance placed on three e-services: e-forums, virtual tours and interactive games. It is found that these services are more valued by less educated tourists and might thus be considered to increase accessibility of information to these groups.

Table 5 then incorporates the significant paths between the previously identified travel motives (an environmental, cultural, commercial and business motive for travelling), and the use of e-services. As the results indicate, cultural tourists seem to have a preference for a number of e-services (booking services and e-forums) while, conversely, travellers with a main interest in the architectural and environmental landmarks value interactive services such as e-forums and interactive games less.

Next, Table 6 describes the results of the estimated paths between the two satisfaction factors, on the one hand, and the four motivational constructs and seven e-services, on the other hand. Only a limited number of proposed paths were found to be significantly related. First of all, the environmental travel

Table 4. Unstandardized path estimates for personal characteristics and e-services (B1).

Response variable	Explanatory variable	Estimate	Lower 95% confidence interval	Upper 95% confidence interval	SE
Interactive map	Nature holiday	0.506***	0.217	0.795	0.152
	Age	-0.208***	-0.347	-0.072	0.071
Personalized info	German national	-0.271***	-0.470	-0.069	0.106
Booking services	Age	-0.167**	-0.301	-0.038	0.074
	Income	0.124***	0.060	0.187	0.032
E-forums	Nature holiday	-0.261**	0.054	0.473	0.134
	Education level	-0.168***	-0.236	-0.105	0.033
Virtual tours	Nature holiday	0.490***	0.191	0.783	0.159
	Education level	-0.069**	-0.141	0.000	0.036
Interactive games	Age	-0.125**	-0.231	-0.023	0.053
	Education level	-0.103***	-0.163	-0.045	0.032

Note: * p -value < 0.1, ** p -value < 0.05, *** p -value < 0.01.

Table 5. Unstandardized path estimates for motives and e-services (B2).

Response variable	Explanatory variable	Estimate	Lower 95% confidence interval	Upper 95% confidence interval	SE
Booking services	Motive Culture	0.366***	0.106	0.625	0.130
E-forums	Motive Culture	0.529***	0.216	0.855	0.137
	Motive Environment	-0.504***	-0.853	-0.163	0.168
Interactive games	Motive Environment	-0.407***	-0.652	-0.159	0.131

Note: * p -value < 0.1, ** p -value < 0.05, *** p -value < 0.01.

Table 6. Unstandardized path estimates for travel motives and satisfaction (A3 and B3).

Response variable	Explanatory variable	Estimate	Lower 95% confidence interval	Upper 95% confidence interval	SE
Satisfaction tangible	Motive Environment	0.771***	0.561	0.984	0.091
	Interactive map	0.090***	0.042	0.141	0.022
	Interactive games	0.075***	0.016	0.131	0.029
Satisfaction intangible	Motive Culture	0.320***	0.121	0.518	0.098
	Personalized info	0.128***	0.050	0.206	0.036
	E-forums	0.079*	0.000	0.160	0.035

Note: * p -value < 0.1, ** p -value < 0.05, *** p -value < 0.01.

motive (tourists interested in the architecture and urban landscape) was positively related to satisfaction with tangible heritage. This would seem to suggest that tourists with an interest in tangible heritage are satisfied with the urban heritage elements found in Leipzig. In contrast, a higher cultural motivation more likely increases the satisfaction on the intangible heritage present. Both results indicate that the main travel motive of both tourist groups is in general sufficiently met in the destination city; the satisfaction rates on the corresponding destination elements increase with motivation.

The results also give insights into the potential of e-services to improve destination satisfaction. Four e-services have been found significantly positive. These can be divided into two main groups: interactive impersonal services (maps and games), and social information sources (personalized information and e-forums). It seems that satisfaction with tangible heritage can be improved by the provision of interactive maps, as well as interactive games as a means of heritage interpretation. In order to receive a superior rate of satisfaction for intangible heritage sources, visitors are more likely to depend on personalized information or e-forums. This might be an indication of the importance of social media sources, specifically for intangible heritage interpretation and appreciation.

Empirical results of the influence on loyalty

The influence of personal characteristics, satisfaction and e-services on destination loyalty is investigated in Table 7. Seven relationships were found significant, although two are only interpretable at a 90% confidence level. According to these findings, business as the main reason for travelling, educational level and satisfaction with the intangible elements of the destination all lead to higher loyalty towards the destination. Interestingly, loyalty thus seems only marginally affected by higher satisfaction with intangible elements, with the lower level of the 95% confidence interval hovering around zero. Since it was stated in the theoretical section that the relationship between satisfaction and loyalty might be inflated as a result of the focus on attitudinal loyalty, the link between satisfaction and actual return or recommendation behaviour might thus be very limited. The results also imply that other factors may be more influential in the formation of destination loyalty. However, compared to the non-significance of satisfaction with tangible aspects of the city, the positive value of the intangible elements should not be overlooked. The difference between both satisfaction aspects seems to suggest that intangible aspects are better capable of providing a stand-out experience that might lead to return visits or recommendations.

Furthermore, three e-services (booking services, e-forums and virtual tours) had a positive effect on loyalty, while the provision of interactive games seemed to have a negative effect on the possibility of a return visit or a recommendation.

Finally, apart from these direct relationships, a number of indirect influences on tourist loyalty could be found for the personal tourist characteristics, motivations and e-services, as shown in Table 8. As such, gender (0.004; p -value = 0.024), income (0.005; p -value = 0.023), nature holiday (0.029, p -value = 0.002), cultural travel motive (0.055, p -value = 0.001) and personalized information (0.004; p -value = 0.091) had a further indirect positive influence

Table 7. Unstandardized path estimates for personal characteristics, satisfaction and loyalty (A1, A4 and B4).

Response variable	Explanatory variable	Estimate	Lower 95% confidence interval	Upper 95% confidence interval	SE
Destination loyalty	Nature business	0.134***	0.053	0.227	0.056
	Education level	0.036***	0.015	0.056	0.010
	Satisfaction				
	intangible	0.028*	−0.005	0.063	0.017
	Booking services	0.052***	0.030	0.072	0.011
	E-forums	0.049***	0.020	0.083	0.014
	Virtual tours	0.024*	−0.002	0.051	0.012
	Interactive games	−0.056***	−0.087	−0.026	0.015

Note: * p -value < 0.1, ** p -value < 0.05, *** p -value < 0.01.

Table 8. Unstandardized indirect effects.

Response variable	Explanatory variable	Estimate	Lower 95% confidence interval	Upper 95% confidence interval	SE
Destination loyalty	Nature holiday	0.029***	0.011	0.049	0.010
	Gender	0.004***	0.000	0.010	0.002
	Income	0.005**	0.001	0.010	0.002
	German national	−0.007*	−0.016	0.000	0.004
	Motive Culture	0.055***	0.026	0.091	0.016
	Personalized info	0.004*	−0.001	0.009	0.003

Note: * p -value < 0.1, ** p -value < 0.05, *** p -value < 0.01.

on loyalty, while the German nationality had a slight indirect negative effect on loyalty (−0.007; p -value = 0.064), the latter two relationships only being significant on a $\alpha = 0.1$ level though.

Conclusion

The statistical results generated by the model provide an indication of the different factors that ultimately influence the satisfaction and loyalty of visitors towards the destination of Leipzig and could provide for an overview of management actions in order to increase the destination's competitiveness. This is especially important with respect to the provision of different e-services. While a destination cannot directly impact the characteristics of tourists visiting (one can only apply alternative marketing strategies), the e-services that are available to visitors are more controllable by a destination management organization.

On the level of tourist characteristics, the results indicate a higher loyalty

for the business segment. While this could be linked to ongoing business relations between companies and sectors of different locations, it is possible that business travellers with a favourable attitude towards the destination are more likely to return later as leisure tourists. Destination management organizations should thus not just focus on providing the business sector with adequate facilities such as conference venues; an active approach towards informing these visitors of the leisure possibilities of the city (for example, through guided visits, information packages and location of meeting venues) might improve their lifetime value.

While satisfaction levels seemed of only minor importance in the construction of attitudinal loyalty, the fact should not be overlooked that intangible sources are more likely to lead to return or recommendation possibilities. Investments in the destination should thus not limit themselves to hardware, and events created around local traditions and culture might be more capable of translating into higher visitor loyalty. While it is difficult to diversify on the basis of an authentic cultural experience, cities that can succeed in the creation of a unique atmospheric image (such as Amsterdam) could have a competitive advantage over regions that focus purely on developing museums and attractions.

Finally, the most direct influence might be achieved by the creation of e-services. Given the positive influence of booking services, e-forums and virtual tours, a destination management organization could benefit from either adding a booking tool to its own website or helping local hotels, museums and attractions to manage Internet booking services. This could increase exposure and might add to the satisfaction of tourists by offering ease of access and reducing search costs or local waiting time (at attractions and museums). Furthermore, the positive effect of e-forums is a statement of the importance for a destination to develop its marketing on different platforms, thereby paying attention to the viral possibilities of these e-forums. While the new digital age has made the management of an official destination image much harder, online platforms can increase direct contact with the (potential) customer and provide them with the most up-to-date information about the destination.

Furthermore, the heterogeneity of e-services used, as influenced by personal characteristics and motivations to visit, emphasizes the importance of developing specific channels and information contents for specific market segments. As such it was found that e-forums and virtual tours could serve to increase information accessibility for less educated tourists, therefore opening up this market segment. Conversely, booking services were valued more highly by higher income tourists, strengthening their loyalty towards a destination. On the other hand, a destination management organization should not overlook the fact that certain tourist groups (for example, older tourists) are less likely to look for information through e-services.

Some limitations of this study and possibilities for future research may be noted. It is important to understand that the collection of data for this work was done before the massification of the usage of social networks like Twitter or Facebook, which have dramatically enhanced the ability of tourists to produce and share their travel experiences. Furthermore, an extension of the analysis of loyalty in relation to both attitudinal and behavioural aspects might be considered in future research. By measuring intention as well as actual return behaviour, better insights can be developed into the worth of attitudinal loyalty.

This would, however, require a longitudinal database over different years, since return visits do not necessarily occur within a short period. Any future development of this work should take these important aspects into consideration.

Notes

1. The data collection took place within the Sixth Framework Programme of the European Union (FP6EU) project, 'Integrated e-Services for Advanced Access to Heritage in Cultural Tourist Destinations' (ISAAC). All surveys were carried out by trained interview teams from the University of Nottingham between August and November 2007, using both online and face-to-face interview modes.
2. Tables 3–7 are limited to the results that reached significance levels of at least 0.90. While, conventionally, a p-value of 0.05 is often taken as a threshold, Hoekstra *et al* (2012) warn against the over-reliance on this binary form of hypothesis testing – also referred to as the 0.05 cliff effect (Poitevineau and Lecoutre, 2001) – instead proposing the use of confidence intervals as a more informative way of significance testing. Therefore, this study will report both measures.

References

- Aldebert, B., Dang, R., and Longhi, C. (2011), 'Innovation in the tourism industry: the case of Tourism@', *Tourism Management*, Vol 32, pp 1204–1213.
- Bansal, H.S., and Eiselt, H. (2004), 'Exploratory research of tourist motivations and planning', *Tourism Management*, Vol 25, pp 387–396.
- Buhalis, D. (2000), 'Marketing the competitive destination of the future', *Tourism Management*, Vol 21, pp 97–116.
- Buhalis, D., and Law, R. (2008), 'Progress in information technology and tourism management: 20 years on and 10 years after the Internet – the state of tourism research', *Tourism Management*, Vol 29, pp 609–623.
- Castro, C., Armario, E., and Ruiz, D. (2007), 'The influence of market heterogeneity on the relationship between a destination's image and tourists' future behavior', *Tourism Management*, Vol 28, pp 175–187.
- Chang, G., and Caneday, L. (2011), 'Web-based GIS in tourism information search: perceptions, tasks, and trip attributes', *Tourism Management*, Vol 32, pp 1435–1437.
- Chen, C., and Chen, F. (2010), 'Experience quality, perceived value, satisfaction and behavioral intentions for heritage tourists', *Tourism Management*, Vol 31, pp 29–35.
- Chen, C., and Tsai, D. (2007), 'How destination image and evaluative factors affect behavioral intentions?', *Tourism Management*, Vol 28, pp 1115–1122.
- Chen, C., and Tsai, D. (2008), 'Perceived value, satisfaction, and loyalty of TV travel product shopping: involvement as a moderator', *Tourism Management*, Vol 29, pp 1166–1171.
- Chi, C., and Qu, H. (2008), 'Examining the structural relationships of destination image, tourist satisfaction and destination loyalty: an integrated approach', *Tourism Management*, Vol 29, pp 624–636.
- Colesca, S.E., and Dobrica, L. (2008), 'Adoption and use of e-government services: the case of Romania', *Journal of Applied Research and Technology*, Vol 6, No 3, pp 204–217.
- Cracolici, M.E., and Nijkamp, P. (2008), 'The attractiveness and competitiveness of tourist destinations: a study of Southern Italian regions', *Tourism Management*, Vol 30, pp 336–344.
- Crompton, J. (1979), 'Motivations for pleasure vacations', *Annals of Tourism Research*, Vol VI, No 4, pp 408–424.
- Crouch, G.I. (2011), 'Destination competitiveness: an analysis of determinant attributes', *Journal of Travel Research*, Vol 50, No 1, pp 27–45.
- Dann, G. (1981), 'Tourist motivation: an appraisal', *Annals of Tourism Research*, Vol VIII, No 2, pp 187–219.
- Dekimpe, M.G., Steenkamp, J.-B., Mellens, M., and Abeele, P.V. (1997), 'Decline and variability in brand loyalty', *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, Vol 14, pp 405–420.
- Dick, A.S., and Basu, K. (1994), 'Customer loyalty: toward an integrated conceptual framework', *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol 22, pp 99–113.
- Hoekstra, R., Johnson, A., and Kiers, H.A.L. (2012), 'Confidence intervals make a difference: effects of showing confidence intervals on inferential reasoning', *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, Vol 72, No 6, pp 1039–1052.

- Jenkins, H. (2006), *Convergence Culture. Where Old and New Media Collide*, New York University Press, New York.
- Jöreskog, K.G., and Sörbom, D. (1996), *LISREL 8: User's Reference Guide*, Scientific Software, Chicago.
- Kourtiti, K., Nijkamp, P., van Leeuwen, E., and Bruinsma, F. (2011), 'Evaluation of cyber-tools in cultural tourism', *International Journal of Sustainable Development*, Vol 14, No 3/4, pp 179–205.
- Kozak, M. (2002), 'Comparative analysis of tourist motivations by nationality and destinations', *Tourism Management*, Vol 23, pp 221–232.
- Kozak, M., and Rimmington, M. (2000), 'Tourist satisfaction with Mallorca, Spain, as an off-season holiday destination', *Journal of Travel Research*, Vol 38, pp 260–269.
- Lee, C., Yoon, Y., and Lee, S. (2007), 'Investigating the relationships among perceived value, satisfaction, and recommendations: the case of the Korean DMZ', *Tourism Management*, Vol 28, pp 204–214.
- Lee, T. (2009), 'A structural model to examine how destination image, attitude, and motivation affect the future behavior of tourists', *Leisure Sciences*, Vol 31, pp 215–236.
- Lee, T., and Hsu, F. (2011), 'Examining how attending motivation and satisfaction affects the loyalty for attendees at aboriginal festivals', *International Journal of Tourism Research*, Vol 15, No 1, pp 18–34.
- Lin, Y., and Huang, J. (2006), 'Internet blogs as a tourism marketing medium: a case study', *Journal of Business Research*, Vol 59, pp 1201–1205.
- Lo, I., McKercher, B., Cheung, C., and Law, R. (2011), 'Tourism and online photography', *Tourism Management*, Vol 32, pp 725–731.
- Mansson, M. (2011), 'Mediatized tourism', *Annals of Tourism Research*, Vol 38, No 4, pp 1634–1652.
- Matias, A., Nijkamp, P., and Sarmento, M. (2011), *Tourism Economics: Impact Analysis*, Physika, Heidelberg.
- Mitsche, N., Reino S., Knox, D., and Ulrike, B. (2008), 'Enhancing cultural tourism e-services through heritage interpretation', in O'Connor, P., Höpken, W., and Gretzel, U., eds, *Information and Communication Technologies in Tourism 2008*, Springer, Vienna, pp 418–429.
- Mulaik, S.A., and Millsap, R.E. (2000), 'Doing the four-step right', *Structural Equation Modeling*, Vol 7, pp 36–73.
- Neuts, B., Romão, J., Nijkamp, P., and van Leeuwen, E. (2013), 'Digital destinations in the tourist sector: a path model for the impact of e-services on tourist expenditures in Amsterdam', *Letters in Spatial and Resource Sciences*, Vol 6, No 2, pp 71–80.
- Oppermann, M. (2000), 'Tourism destination loyalty', *Journal of Travel Research*, Vol 39, No 1, pp 78–84.
- Parra-López, E., Bulchand-Gidumal, J., Gutiérrez-Taño, D., and Díaz-Armas, R. (2011), 'Intentions to use social media in organising and taking vacation trips', *Computers in Human Behaviour*, Vol 27, No 2, pp 640–654.
- Poitevineau, J., and Lecoutre, B. (2001), 'Interpretation of significance levels by psychological researchers: the .05 cliff effect may be overstated', *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, Vol 8, pp 847–850.
- Ritchie, J.R.B., and Crouch, G.I. (2003), *The Competitive Destination: A Sustainable Tourism Perspective*, CABI, Wallingford.
- Schumacker, R.E., and Lomax, R.G. (2004), *A Beginner's Guide to Structural Equation Modelling*, 2nd edn, Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Mahwah, NJ.
- Singala, M., Christou, E., and Gretzel, U. (2011), *Web 2.0 in Travel, Tourism and Hospitality: Theory, Practice and Cases*, Ashgate, Farnham.
- Singer, P., Ferri, M., Aiello, L., and Cacia, C. (2010), 'Internet as a "point of synergy" between communication and distribution. Hypothesis of model applied to tourism', *International Journal of Digital Content Technology and its Applications*, Vol 4, No 7, pp 23–38.
- Steiger, J.H. (2007), 'Understanding the limitations of global fit assessment in structural equation modeling', *Personality and Individual Differences*, Vol 42, No 5, pp 893–898.
- Tabachnick, B.G., and Fidell, L.S. (2007), *Using Multivariate Statistics*, 5th edn, Allyn & Bacon, New York.
- UNWTO (2009), *Tourism Highlights, 2009 Edition*, Madrid, UNWTO.
- UNWTO (2010), 'Resultados del turismo internacional en 2009 y perspectivas para 2010', Madrid, Press Release 18/1/2010.

- Van Dijk, J., Pieterse, W., van Deuren, A., and Ebbers, W. (2007), 'E-services for citizens: the Dutch usage case', *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, Vol 4656, pp 155–166.
- Van Leeuwen, E., and Nijkamp, P. (2010), 'A microsimulation model for e-services in cultural heritage tourism', *Tourism Economics*, Vol 16, No 2, 361–384.
- Van Leeuwen, E., and Nijkamp, P. (2011), 'The importance of e-services in cultural tourism: an application to Amsterdam, Leipzig and Genoa', *International Journal of Sustainable Development*, Vol 14, No 3/4, pp 262–289.
- Wall, G., and Mathieson, A. (2006), *Tourism – Change, Impacts and Opportunities*, Pearson Education, Harlow.
- Wheaton, B., Muthén, B., Alwin, D.F., and Summers, G. (1977), 'Assessing reliability and stability in panel models', *Sociological Methodology*, Vol 8, No 1, pp 84–136.
- Yoon, Y., and Uysal, M. (2005), 'An examination of the effects of motivation and satisfaction on destination loyalty: a structural model', *Tourism Management*, Vol 26, pp 45–56.