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Abstract

In the past years, analyzers have been introduced to detect classes of non-terminating queries for definite logic programs. Although these non-termination analyzers have shown to be rather precise, their applicability on real-life Prolog programs is limited because most Prolog programs use non-logical features. As a first step towards the analysis of Prolog programs, this paper presents a non-termination condition for Logic Programs containing integer arithmetics. The analyzer is based on our non-termination analyzer presented at ICLP 2009. The analysis starts from a class of queries and infers a subclass of non-terminating ones. In a first phase, we ignore the outcome (success or failure) of the arithmetic operations, assuming success of all arithmetic calls. In a second phase, we characterize successful arithmetic calls as a constraint problem, the solution of which determines the non-terminating queries.
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1 Introduction

The problem of proving termination has been studied extensively in Logic Programming. Since the early works on termination analysis in Logic Programming, see e.g. (De Schreye and Decorte 1994), there has been a continued interest from the community for the topic. Lots of in-language and transformational tools have been developed, e.g. (Giesl et al. 2006) and (Nguyen et al. 2009), and since 2004, there is an annual Termination Competition¹ to compare the current analyzers on the basis of an extensive database of logic programs.

In contrast with termination analysis, the dual problem, to detect non-terminating classes of queries, is a fairly new topic. The development of the first and most well-known non-termination analyzer, NTI (Payet and Mesnard 2006), was motivated by difficulties in obtaining precision results for termination analyzers. Since the halting problem is undecidable, one way of demonstrating the precision of a termination analyzer is with a non-termination analyzer. For NTI it was already shown that for many examples one can partition queries in terminating and non-terminating.

* Supported by the Fund for Scientific Research - FWO-project G0561-08
¹ Results are available at http://termcomp.uibk.ac.at/
NTI compares the consecutive calls in the program using binary unfoldings and proves non-termination by comparing the head and body of these binary clauses with a special more general relation.

Recently, in joined work with Yi-Dong Shen, we integrated loop checking into termination analysis, yielding a very accurate technique to predict the termination behavior for classes of queries described using modes (Shen et al. 2009). Classes of queries are represented as \textit{moded queries}. A moded query consists of a query and a label, input or output, for each variable in the query. These moded queries are then evaluated with a \textit{moded SLD-tree} obtained by applying clauses to the partially instantiated query and propagating the labels. To guarantee a finite analysis, this moded SLD-tree is constructed using a complete loop check. After evaluating the moded query, the analysis predicts the termination behavior of the program for the considered queries based on the labels and substitutions in the moded SLD-tree.

Motivated by the elegance of this approach and the accuracy of the predictions, our research focused on defining a non-termination condition based on these moded queries. In (Voets and De Schreye 2009), we introduced a non-termination condition identifying paths in a moded SLD-tree that can be repeated infinitely often. This approach was implemented in a system called \textit{P2P}, which proved more accurate than \textit{NTI} on the benchmark of the termination competition. An evaluation of the classes of queries not handled by current approaches lead to considerable improvements in our non-termination analysis. These improvements were presented in (Voets and De Schreye 2010) and implemented in the analyzer \textit{pTNT}.

Both termination and non-termination analyzers have been rather successful in analyzing the termination behavior of definite logic programs, but only a few termination analyzers, e.g. (Serebrenik and De Schreye 2001), and none of the non-termination analyzers handle non-logical features such as arithmetics or cuts, typically used in practical Prolog programs. In this paper, we introduce a technique for proving non-termination of logic programs containing a subset of the built-in predicates for integer arithmetic, commonly found in Prolog implementations.

Given a program, containing integer arithmetics, and a class of queries, described using modes, we infer a subset of these queries for which we prove existential non-termination (i.e. the derivation tree for these queries contains an infinite path). The inference and proof are done in two phases. In the first phase, non-termination of the logic part of the program is proven by assuming that all comparisons between integer expressions succeed. We will show that only a minor adaption of our technique presented in (Voets and De Schreye 2009) is needed to achieve this. In the second phase, given the moded query, integer arguments are identified and constraints over these arguments are formulated, such that solutions for these constraints correspond to non-terminating queries.

The paper is structured as follows. In the next section, we introduce some preliminaries concerning logic programs, integer arithmetics and we present the symbolic derivation trees used to abstract the computation. In Section 3, we introduce our non-termination condition for programs containing integer arithmetics. In Section 4, we describe our prototype analyzer and some results. Finally, we conclude in Section 5.
2 Preliminaries

2.1 Logic Programming

We assume the reader is familiar with standard terminology of logic programs, in particular with SLD-resolution as described in (Lloyd 1987). Variables are denoted by strings beginning with a capital letter. Predicates, functions and constant symbols are denoted by strings beginning with a lower case letter. We denote the set of terms constructible from a program \( P \) by \( \text{Term}_P \). Two atoms are called variants if they are equal up to variable renaming. An atom \( A \) is more general than an atom \( B \) and \( B \) is an instance of \( A \) if there exists a substitution \( \theta \) such that \( A\theta = B \).

We restrict our attention to definite logic programs. A logic program \( P \) is a finite set of clauses of the form \( H \leftarrow A_1, \ldots, A_n \), where \( H \) and each \( A_i \) are atoms. A goal \( G_i \) is a headless clause \( \leftarrow A_1, \ldots, A_n \). A top goal is also called the query. Without loss of generality, we assume that a query contains only one atom.

Let \( P \) be a logic program and \( G_0 \) a goal. \( G_0 \) is evaluated by building a generalized SLD-tree as defined in (Shen et al. 2009), in which each node is represented by \( N_i : G_i \) where \( N_i \) is the name of the node and \( G_i \) is a goal attached to the node. Throughout the paper, we choose to use the best-known depth-first, left-most control strategy, as is used in Prolog, to select goals and atoms. So by the selected atom in each node \( N_i : G_i \), we refer to the left-most atom \( A_1 \). For any node \( N_i : G_i \), we use \( A_1^i \) to refer to the selected atom in \( G_i \). Let \( A_1^i \) and \( A_1^j \) be the selected atoms at two nodes \( N_i \) and \( N_j \), respectively. \( A_1^i \) is an ancestor of \( A_1^j \) if the proof of \( A_1^i \) goes through the proof of \( A_1^j \).

A derivation step is denoted by \( N_i : G_i = \Rightarrow C N_{i+1} : G_{i+1} \), meaning that applying a clause \( C \) to \( G_i \) produces \( N_{i+1} : G_{i+1} \). Any path of such derivation steps starting at the root node \( N_0 : G_0 \) is called a generalized SLD-derivation.

2.2 Integer arithmetics

Prolog implementations contain special purpose predicates for handling integer arithmetics. Examples are \( \text{is}/2, \geq /2, =:= /2, \ldots \).

**Definition 1**

An expression \( Expr \) is an integer expression if it can be constructed by the following recursive definition.

\[
\begin{align*}
Expr &= z \in \mathbb{Z} \mid -Expr \mid Expr + Expr \mid Expr - Expr \mid Expr \ast Expr
\end{align*}
\]

An atom "\( V \ is \ Expr \)”, with \( V \) a free variable and \( Expr \) an integer expression, is called an integer constructor. An atom \( Expr_1 \circ Expr_2 \) is called an integer condition if \( Expr_1 \) and \( Expr_2 \) are integer expressions and \( \circ \in \{>,\geq,=,<,\leq,=:,=/=\} \).

2.3 Moded SLD-trees and loop checking

In (Voets and De Schreye 2009), classes of queries are represented as moded queries. Moded queries are partially instantiated queries, in which variables can be labeled as input. Variables labeled input are called input variables and represent arbitrary
ground terms. To indicate that a variable is labeled as input, the name of the variable is underlined. A query in which no variable is labeled as input is called a concrete query. The set of concrete queries represented by a moded query $Q$ is called the denotation of $Q$.

Definition 2
Let $Q$ be a query and $\{I_1, \ldots, I_n\}$ its set of input variables. The denotation of $Q$, $\text{Den}(Q)$, is defined as:

$$\text{Den}(Q) = \{Q[I_1 \backslash t_1, \ldots, I_n \backslash t_n] \mid t_i \in \text{Term}_P, t_i \text{ is ground}, 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$ 

Note that the denotation of a concrete query is a singleton containing the query itself. Denotations of moded goals and atoms are defined similarly.

A moded query $\leftarrow Q$ is evaluated by constructing a moded SLD-tree, representing the derivations of the queries in $\text{Den}(\leftarrow Q)$. This moded SLD-tree is constructed by applying SLD-resolution to the query and propagating the labels. An input variable $I$ can be unified with any term $t \in \text{Term}_P$. After unifying $I$ and $t$, all variables of $t$ will be considered input as well.

Example 1
Figure 1 shows the moded SLD-tree of the program $\text{eq} plus$ for the moded query $\leftarrow \text{eq} plus(I,J,P)$. This program is non-terminating for any query in $\text{Den}(\leftarrow \text{eq} plus(I,J,P))$ and fails for all other queries in $\text{Den}(\leftarrow \text{eq} plus(I,J,P))$. A query fails if its derivation tree is finite, with no path ending with the empty goal.

\[
\text{eq} plus(I,J,P) :- \text{eq}(I,J), \text{plus}(P,I,In), \text{eq} plus(\text{In},J,P).
\]

\[
\text{eq}(A,A). \quad \text{plus}(0,B,B). \quad \text{plus}(s(A),B,s(C)) :- \text{plus}(A,B,C).
\]

![Fig. 1. Moded SLD-tree eq plus](image)

Substitutions on input variables express conditions for the clause to be applicable. The edge from node $N_2$ to $N_3$ shows that clause two is applicable if the concrete term denoted by $P$ can be unified with 0. The substitution, $I_1 \backslash I$, shows that applying this clause unifies $I_1$ with the term corresponding to $I$.

Every derivation in a moded SLD-tree for a query $\leftarrow Q$ corresponds to a concrete
derivation for a subclass of $\text{Den}(\leftarrow Q)$. The subclass of queries for which a derivation to node $N_i$ is applicable is obtained by applying all substitutions on input variables from $N_0$ to $N_i$. Our condition of (Voets and De Schreye 2009) proves non-termination for every query for which the derivation to $N_3$ is applicable. The substitutions on input variables in the derivation to $N_3$ are $\{J \setminus I\}$ and $\{P \setminus 0\}$. Applying these to the query proves non-termination for the queries in $\text{Den}(\leftarrow \text{eqplus}(L, L, 0))$. □

As in the example, moded SLD-trees are usually infinite. To obtain a finite analysis, a complete loop check is applied during the construction of the tree. As in our previous works, (Voets and De Schreye 2009) (Voets and De Schreye 2010), we use the complete loop check $\text{LP-check}$, (Shen et al. 2003). Without proof, we state that this loop check can also be used for moded SLD-trees and refer to (Shen et al. 2003) for more information.

Example 2
In Figure 1, $\text{LP-check}$ cuts clause 4 at node $N_6$ and clause 3 at node $N_7$. □

Combined with the loop check, a moded SLD-tree can be considered a lightweight alternative to an abstract interpretation for mode analysis.

3 Non-termination analysis for programs with integer arithmetics

In this section, we introduce a non-termination condition for programs containing integer arithmetics. To abstract the computations for the considered queries, the moded SLD-tree of (Shen et al. 2009) is used, with some modifications to handle integer constructors and integer conditions. $\text{LP-check}$ ensures finiteness of the tree and detects paths that may correspond to infinite loops. For every such path, two analyses are combined to identify classes of non-terminating queries.

In the first phase, an adaption of our non-termination condition of (Voets and De Schreye 2009) detects a class of queries such that each query is non-terminating or fails due to the evaluation of an integer condition such as $>/2$. This class of queries is a moded query with an additional integer label for variables representing unknown integers. In the second phase, the class of queries is restricted to a class of non-terminating queries by formulating additional constraints on the integer variables of the moded query. To prove that this class of non-terminating queries is not empty, these constraints over unknown integers are transformed to constraints over the natural numbers and solved by applying well-known techniques from termination analysis. Then we try to solve these constraints by transforming them to constraints over the natural numbers and applying well-known techniques on them.

3.1 Moded SLD-tree for programs with integer arithmetics

The first step of the extension is rather straightforward. The extensions to the moded SLD-tree of (Shen et al. 2009) are limited to the introduction of the label $\text{integer variable}$ and additional transitions to handle integer constructors and integer conditions. Integer variables are also input variables and will also be represented
by underlining the name of the variable. An integer constructor, i.e. \( is/2 \), is applicable if the first argument is a free variable and the second argument is an integer expression. The application of an integer constructor labels the free variable as an integer variable. An integer condition, e.g. \( \geq 2 \), is applicable if both arguments are integer expressions. Since integer variables denote unknown integers, integer expressions are allowed to contain integer variables. Applications of integer constructors and integer conditions in the moded SLD-tree are denoted by derivation steps \( N_i : G_i \rightarrow \text{cons} N_{i+1} : G_{i+1} \) and \( N_i : G_i \rightarrow \text{cond} N_{i+1} : G_{i+1} \), respectively.

**Example 3**

The following program, `count_to`, is a faulty implementation of a predicate generating the list starting from 0 up to a given number. The considered class of queries is represented by the moded query \( \leftarrow \text{count}_\text{to}(N, L) \) with \( N \) an integer variable.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{count}_\text{to}(N, L) & : \text{count}(0, N, L). \\
\text{count}(N, N, [N]). \\
\text{count}(M, N, [M|L]) & : M > N, M1 \text{ is } M+1, \text{count}(M1, N, L).
\end{align*}
\]

In the last clause, the integer condition should be \( M < N \) instead of \( M > N \). Due to this error, the program:

- fails for the queries for which \( N > 0 \) holds,
- succeeds for \( \leftarrow \text{count}_\text{to}(0, L) \),
- loops for the queries for which \( N < 0 \) holds.

![Fig. 2. Moded SLD-tree count_to](image)

Figure 2 shows the moded SLD-tree for the considered query, constructed using LP-check. LP-check cuts clause 3 at node \( N_9 \).

Note that by ignoring the possible values for the integer variables when constructing the tree, some derivations in it may not be applicable to any considered query. For example the refutations at nodes \( N_6 \) and \( N_{10} \) in the previous example cannot be reached by the considered queries.
3.2 Adapting the non-termination condition

In (Voets and De Schreye 2009), programs are shown to be non-terminating for a moded query, by proving that a path in the moded SLD-tree can be repeated infinitely often. Such a path, from a node \( N_b \) to a node \( N_e \), is identified based on three properties. The path should be applicable, independent from the concrete terms represented by the input variables. Therefore, the first property states that no substitutions on input variables may occur between \( N_b \) and \( N_e \). The second property states that the selected atom of \( N_b \) – i.e. \( A_1^b \) – has to be an ancestor of \( A_1^e \). These two properties prove that the sequence of clauses in the path from \( N_b \) to \( N_e \) is applicable to any goal with a selected atom from \( \text{Den}(A_1^b) \). Therefore, non-termination is proven by requiring that \( \text{Den}(A_1^e) \) is a subset of \( \text{Den}(A_1^b) \). This property can be relaxed by requiring that each atom in \( \text{Den}(A_1^e) \) is more general than some atom in \( \text{Den}(A_1^b) \). If this is the case, \( A_1^e \) is called moded more general than \( A_1^b \). For definite logic programs, these three properties imply non-termination.

**Definition 3**
Let \( A \) and \( B \) be moded atoms. \( A \) is moded more general than \( B \) if

\[
\forall I \in \text{Den}(A), \exists J \in \text{Den}(B) : I \text{ is more general than } J. \]

**Example 4**
In Figure 1, the path from \( N_3 \) to \( N_6 \) satisfies these properties. The ancestor relation holds. There are no substitutions on input variables in the path. Finally, the selected atoms are identical and therefore denote the same concrete atoms.

The following proposition provides a practical sufficient condition to verify whether the moded more general relation holds.

**Proposition 1** (Proposition 1 of (Voets and De Schreye 2009))
Let \( A \) and \( B \) be moded atoms. Let \( A_1 \) and \( B_1 \) be renamings of these atoms such that they do not share variables. \( A \) is moded more general than \( B \) if \( A_1 \) and \( B_1 \) are unifiable with most general unifier \( \{V_1 \setminus t_1, \ldots, V_n \setminus t_n\}, t_i \in \text{Term}_P, 1 \leq i \leq n \), such that for each binding \( V_i \setminus t_i \), either:

- \( V_i \in \text{Var}(B_1) \) and \( V_i \) is labeled as input, or
- \( V_i \in \text{Var}(A_1), V_i \) is not labeled as input and no variable of \( \text{Var}(t_i) \) is labeled as input.

As stated, we want to prove that every query in the denotation of the considered moded query is either non-terminating or terminates due to the evaluation of an integer condition. To achieve this, we need to guarantee that integer constructors are repeatedly evaluated with a free variable and an integer expression as arguments and that integer conditions are repeatedly evaluated with integer expressions as arguments. Proposition 1 already implies that the first argument of all integer constructors are free variables in the subsequent iterations of the loop.

To prove the repeated behavior on integer constructors and integer expressions stated above, the integer-similar to relation is defined. Intuitively, given some loop in the computation, if an atom at the end of the loop is integer-similar to an atom
at the start of the loop, then it will provide the required integer expressions to
the first atom. First, we introduce positions to identify subterms and a function to
obtain a subterm from a given position.

**Definition 4**

Let $L$ be a list of natural numbers, called a *position*, and $A$ a moded atom or term.
The function $\text{subterm}(L, A)$ returns the subterm obtained by:

- if $L = [I]$ and $A = f(A_1, \ldots, A_I, A_{I+1}, \ldots, A_n)$ then $\text{subterm}(L, A) = A_I$
- else if $L = [I|T]$ and $A = f(A_1, \ldots, A_I, A_{I+1}, \ldots, A_n)$ then $\text{subterm}(L, A) = \text{subterm}(T, A_I)$

An atom $A$ is integer-similar to an atom $B$ if it has integer expressions on all
positions corresponding to integer expressions in $B$.

**Definition 5**

Let $A$ and $B$ be moded atoms. $A$ is **integer-similar to** $B$ if for every integer expres-
sion $t_B$ of $B$, with $\text{subterm}(L, B) = t_B$, there exists an integer expression $t_A$ of $A$,
with $\text{subterm}(L, A) = t_A$.

**Example 5**

- $\text{count}(0, N, L)$ is integer-similar to $\text{count}(M, N, L)$
- $\text{count}(M, N, L)$ is integer-similar to $\text{count}(0, N, L)$
- $\text{count}(M + 1, N, L)$ is integer-similar to $\text{count}(M, N, L)$
- $\text{count}(M, N, L)$ is not integer-similar to $\text{count}(M + 1, N, L)$

Note that the last one is a counterexample because $\text{count}(M + 1, N, L)$ has integer
expressions on $[1, 1]$ and $[1, 2]$, while $\text{count}(M, N, L)$ does not have any subterms
on these positions.

**Theorem 1**

Let $N_b$ and $N_e$ be nodes in a moded SLD-tree for a moded query $Q$. Let $Q'$ be the
moded atom obtained by applying to $Q$ all substitutions on input variables from
$N_0$ to $N_b$. Every query in $\text{Den}(Q')$ is either non-terminating or terminates due to
the evaluation of an integer condition if the following properties hold:

- $A^b_1$ is an ancestor of $A^e_1$
- no substitutions on input variables occur from $N_0$ to $N_e$
- $A^b_1$ is moded more general than $A^b_e$
- $A^b_1$ is integer-similar to $A^b_e$

**Example 6**

The path between nodes $N_5$ and $N_9$ in Figure 2 satisfies the conditions of Theorem
1. There are no substitutions on input variables from $N_0$ to $N_5$ and thus, every query in $\text{Den}(\leftarrow \text{count}_\text{fo}(N, L))$ is either non-terminating or fails due to the evaluation
of an integer condition. Note that although $\leftarrow \text{count}_\text{fo}(0, L)$ has a succeeding
derivation to $N_2$, its derivation to $N_5$ fails due to the integer condition $0 > N$. □

To verify the last property automatically, we strengthen Proposition 1 to imply
both the moded more general relation and the integer-similar to relation.
Proposition 2
Let $A$ and $B$ be moded atoms. Let $A_1$ and $B_1$ be renamings of these atoms such that they do not share variables. $A$ is moded more general than $B$ and $A$ is integer-similar to $B$, if $A_1$ and $B_1$ are unifiable with most general unifier $\{V_1\setminus t_1, \ldots, V_n\setminus t_n\}$, such that for each binding $V_i \setminus t_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, either:

- $V_i \in Var(B_1)$ and $V_i$ is labeled as integer and $t_i$ is an integer expression, or
- $V_i \in Var(B_1)$ and $V_i$ is labeled as input but not as integer variable, or
- $V_i \in Var(A_1)$, $V_i$ is not labeled as input, no variable of $Var(t_i)$ is labeled as input and $t_i$ does not contain integers.

□

Example 7
Since the selected atoms of nodes $N_5$ and $N_9$ in Figure 2 are variants, Proposition 2 holds.

□

3.3 Generating the constraints on the integers of the query

In this subsection, we introduce the constraints on the integer variables of the moded query, identifying values for which all integer conditions in the considered derivations succeed. These constraints consist of reachability constraints, identifying queries for which the derivation up till the last node is applicable, and an implication proving that the integer conditions will also succeed in the following iterations.

Example 8
As a first example, we introduce the constraints for the path between $N_5$ and $N_9$ in the moded SLD-tree of $\text{count_to}$ in Figure 2. For this path, Theorem 1 holds and thus every query denoted by $\leftarrow \text{count_to}(N, L)$ is either non-terminating or terminates due to an integer condition.

To restrict the class of considered queries to those for which the derivation to $N_9$ is applicable, all integer conditions in the derivation are expressed in terms of the integers of the query, yielding $0 > N$ and $0 + 1 > N$.

For this program and considered class of queries, the condition $0 > N$ implies that the derivation is applicable until node $N_9$. The following implication states that if the condition of node $N_7$ holds for any two values $M$ and $N$, then it also holds for the values of the next iteration.

$$\forall M, N \in \mathbb{Z}: M > N \implies M + 1 > N$$

This implication is correct and thus proves non-termination for the considered queries if the precondition holds in the first iteration. This is the case for all queries in $Den(\leftarrow \text{count_to}(N, L))$ with $0 > N$ since the value corresponding to $M$ in the first iteration is 0 and the value corresponding to $N$ is $\overline{N}$. This proves non-termination of all considered queries for which $0 > \overline{N}$.

□

In the following example, applicability of the derivation does not imply non-termination. To detect a class of non-terminating queries, a domain constraint is added to the pre- and postcondition of the implication.
Example 9

\texttt{constants(I,J):- I =:= 2, In is J*2, Jn is I-J, constants(In,Jn).}

The clause in \texttt{constants} is applicable to any goal with \texttt{constants(2,J)} as selected atom, with \texttt{J} an integer variable. Since the first argument in the next iteration is the value corresponding to \texttt{J} \times 2, only goals with the selected atom \texttt{constants(2,1)} are non-terminating for this program.

Since applicability of the derivation does not imply non-termination, a similar implication as in the previous example is false, \( \forall I, J \in \mathbb{Z} : I = 2 \Rightarrow J \times 2 = 2 \).

To overcome this, a constraint is added to the pre- and post-condition of this implication, restricting the considered values of \texttt{J} to an unknown set of integers, called its \textit{domain}.

\[ \exists \text{Dom}_j \subset \mathbb{Z}, \forall I, J \in \mathbb{Z} : I = 2, J \in \text{Dom}_j \Rightarrow J \times 2 = 2, I - J \in \text{Dom}_j \]

The resulting implication is true for \( \text{Dom}_j = \{1\} \). By requiring that the considered moded query satisfies both the reachability constraint and the additional constraint in the pre-condition, the non-terminating query \( \leftarrow \text{constants}(2,1) \) is obtained.

All information needed to construct these constraints can be obtained from the moded SLD-tree.

\textbf{Definition 6}

Let \( C \) be an integer condition or expression and \( N_i \) and \( N_j \) two nodes in a moded SLD-tree \( D \). Let \( \text{Cons} \) be the set of all integer constructors occurring as selected atom in a node \( N_p \) (\( i \leq p \leq j \)) in \( D \).

The function \( \text{apply\_cons}(C, N_i, N_j) \) returns the integer condition or expression obtained by exhaustively applying \( I \ \backslash \ \text{Expr} \) to \( C \), for any \( I \) is \( \text{Expr} \in \text{Cons} \).

The constraints guaranteeing a derivation to \( N_j \) to be applicable, can be obtained using \( \text{apply\_cons}(\text{Cond}, N_0, N_i) \) for any integer condition \( \text{Cond} \) in a node \( N_i \) in the considered derivation. For a path from \( N_b \) to \( N_e \), the precondition of the implication is obtained using \( \text{apply\_cons}(\text{Cond}, N_b, N_i) \), for each condition \( \text{Cond} \) in a node \( N_i \) between nodes \( N_b \) to \( N_e \) and universally quantifying the integer variables of \( N_b \).

\textbf{Example 10}

The derivation to \( N_9 \) in Figure 2, contains integer conditions in nodes \( N_3 \) and \( N_7 \). These are expressed on the integer variable of the query, \( N \), using \( \text{apply\_cons} \).

- \( \text{apply\_cons}(0 > N, N_0, N_3) = 0 > N \)
- \( \text{apply\_cons}(M1 > N, N_0, N_7) = 0 + 1 > N \)

To obtain the precondition of the implication, the integer condition in \( N_7 \) is expressed in terms of the integer variables of \( N_5 \).

- \( \text{apply\_cons}(M1 > N, N_5, N_7) = M1 > N \)

Universally quantifying these variables yields the precondition.

To obtain the consequence of the implication for a path from \( N_b \) to \( N_e \), one first replaces the integer variables of \( N_b \) in the precondition by the corresponding integer variables of \( N_e \). Then, \( \text{apply\_cons} \) is used to express the consequence in terms of the values in the previous iteration.
Definition 7

Let \( \text{LHS} \) be the precondition of an implication, consisting of integer conditions and constraints of the form \( I \in \text{Dom}_I \) Let \( N_i \) and \( N_j \) be two nodes in a moded SLD-derivation such that all integer variables in \( \text{LHS} \) are in \( A_i^1 \) and let \( I_1, \ldots, I_n \) be all integer variables of \( A_i^1 \).

If there exist subterms of \( A_j^1 \), \( t_1, \ldots, t_n \), such that \( \forall L : \text{subterm}(L, A_i^1) = I_p \Rightarrow \text{subterm}(L, A_j^1) = t_p, 1 \leq p \leq n \), then \( \text{replace}(\text{LHS}, N_i, N_j) \) is obtained by applying \( \{ I_1 \setminus t_1, \ldots, I_n \setminus t_n \} \) to all constraints in \( \text{LHS} \).

□

Example 11

In Example 10, we generated the precondition of the implication, \( M_1 > N \). To obtain the consequence, \( \text{replace}(M_1 > N, N_5, N_9) \) is applied, yielding \( M_2 > N \).

Then, the integer variable of \( N_9, M_2 \), is expressed in terms of the integer variables of \( N_5 \) using \( \text{apply}_{\text{cons}}(M_2 > N, N_5, N_9) = M_1 + 1 > N \).

Adding the domains to the pre- and postcondition yields the desired implication:

\[
\exists \text{Dom}_N, \text{Dom}_{M_1} \subset \mathbb{Z}, \forall N, M_1 \in \mathbb{Z} : M_1 > N, N \in \text{Dom}_N, M_1 \in \text{Dom}_{M_1} \Rightarrow M_1 + 1 > N, N \in \text{Dom}_N, M_1 + 1 \in \text{Dom}_M
\]

Adding these constraints to the class of queries detected by Theorem 1, yields a class of non-terminating queries.

3.4 Proving that the constraints on integers are solvable

The previous subsection introduced constraints, implying that all integer conditions in a considered derivation succeed. In this subsection, we introduce a technique to check if these constraints have solutions, using a constraint-based approach. Symbolic coefficients represent values for the integers in the query and domains in the implication, for which the considered path is a loop. After these coefficients are introduced, the implication is transformed into a set of equivalent implications over natural numbers. These implications can then be solved automatically in the constraint-based approach, based on Proposition 3 of (Nguyen et al. 2009).

Proposition 3 (Proposition 3 of (Nguyen et al. 2009))

Let \( \text{prem} \) be a polynomial over \( n \) variables and \( \text{conc} \) a polynomial over 1 variable, both with natural coefficients, where \( \text{conc} \) is not a constant. Moreover, let \( p_1, \ldots, p_{n+1}, q_1, \ldots, q_{n+1} \) be arbitrary polynomials with integer coefficients\(^3\) over the variables \( \overline{X} \). If

\[
\forall \overline{X} \in \mathbb{N} : \text{conc}(p_{n+1}) - \text{conc}(q_{n+1}) - \text{prem}(p_1, \ldots, p_n) + \text{prem}(q_1, \ldots, q_n) \geq 0
\]

is valid, then \( \forall \overline{X} \in \mathbb{N} : p_1 \geq q_1, \ldots, p_n \geq q_n \Rightarrow p_{n+1} \geq q_{n+1} \) is also valid. □

\(^3\) Proposition 3 in (Nguyen et al. 2009) states natural coefficients, but the proposition also holds for polynomials with integer coefficients.
3.4.1 Introducing the symbolic coefficients.

To represent half-open domains in the implication by symbolic coefficients, the domains are described by two symbolic coefficients, one upper or lower limit and one for the direction. Constraints of the form $Exp \in Dom_I$ in the implication, are replaced by constraints of the form $d_I * Exp \geq d_I * c_I$ with $d_I$ either 1 or $-1$, describing the domain $\{c_I, c_I - 1, \ldots\}$ for $d_I = -1$ and $\{c_I, c_I + 1, \ldots\}$ for $d_I = 1$. The values to be inferred for the integers of the query should satisfy the precondition of the implication. Of course, the symbolic coefficients $c_I$ should also be consistent with the values of the integers in the query.
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In Example 8, we introduced constraints on the integer variable $N$, $0 > N$ and $0 + 1 > N$, proving non-termination for queries in $Den(\leftarrow count_to(N, L))$. By convention, we denote the symbolic coefficients as constants. For the integer variable $N$, we introduce the symbolic coefficient $n$.

The implication introduced in Example 8, for the path from $N_5$ to $N_0$ in Figure 2, does not contain constraints on the domains. When adding these constraints to the pre- and postcondition, we obtain the following implication.

$$\forall M, N \in \mathbb{Z} : M > N, N \in Dom_N, M \in Dom_M \implies M + 1 > N, N \in Dom_N, M + 1 \in Dom_M$$

Representing these domains by symbolic coefficients yields the following implication.

$$\forall M, N \in \mathbb{Z} : M > N, d_N * N \geq d_N * c_N, d_M * M \geq d_M * c_M \implies M + 1 > N, d_N * N \geq d_N * c_N, d_M * (M + 1) \geq d_M * c_M$$

To guarantee that the precondition succeeds for the considered derivation, $c_M$ and $c_N$ are required to be the values for $M$ and $N$ in node $N_5$. Combining these constraints implies non-termination for the query $\leftarrow count_to(n, L)$, for which the following constraints are satisfied with some unknown integers $c_N, c_M, d_N$, and $d_M$.

1. $0 > n$, $0 + 1 > n$ to guarantee applicability of the derivation
2. $c_N = n$, $c_M = 0 + 1$ to guarantee that the precondition holds
3. $d_N = 1 \lor d_N = -1$, $d_M = 1 \lor d_M = -1$,
4. $\forall M, N \in \mathbb{Z} : M > N, d_N * N \geq d_N * c_N, d_M * M \geq d_M * c_M \implies M + 1 > N, d_N * N \geq d_N * c_N, d_M * (M + 1) \geq d_M * c_M$ to prove that the condition succeeds infinitely often.

Due to the implication, $d_M$ has to be 1. $d_N$ can be either 1 or $-1$.

To be able to infer singleton domains, we allow the constant describing the direction of the interval to be 0. If in such a constant, $d_I$, is zero, the constraints on the domain are satisfied trivially because they simplify to $0 \geq 0$. To guarantee that the domain is indeed a singleton when $d_I$ is inferred to be zero, a constraint of the form $(1 - d_I^2) Exp = (1 - d_I^2) * c_I$ is added to the postcondition for every constant $d_I * I \geq d_I * c_I$. This constraint is trivially satisfied for half-open domains and proves that $\{c_I\}$ is the domain in the case that $d_I = 0$. 
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In Example 9, we introduced constraints on the integer variables $I$ and $J$, proving
non-termination for queries in $\text{Den}(\leftarrow \text{constants}(I, J))$. Introducing symbolic co-
efficient $i$ and $j$ for the integers of the query and for the domains of $I$ and $J$, yields
the following constraints.

1. $i = 2$ to guarantee applicability of the derivation
2. $c_I = i$, $c_J = j$ to guarantee that the precondition holds
3. $d_I \leq 1$, $d_I \geq -1$, $d_J \leq -1$, $d_J \geq -1$,
4. $\forall I, J \in \mathbb{Z} : I = 2$, $d_I * I \geq d_I * c_I$, $d_J * J \geq d_J * c_J \implies$
   $\begin{align*}
   J \cdot 2 &= 2, \\
   d_I * (J \cdot 2) &\geq d_I * c_I, \\
   (1 - d_I^2) * (J \cdot 2) &= (1 - d_I^2) * c_I, \\
   d_J * (J - I) &\geq d_J * c_J, \\
   (1 - d_J^2) * (I - J) &= (1 - d_J^2) * c_J
   \end{align*}$

The implication in (4) can only be satisfied with $d_J$ equal to zero. 

3.4.2 To implications over the natural numbers
The symbolic coefficients to be inferred which represent the domains, allow to trans-
form the implication over $\mathbb{Z}$ to an equivalent implication over $\mathbb{N}$.

- for $d_I = 1$, any integer in $\{c_I, c_I + 1, \ldots\}$ that satisfies the precondition is
  in $\{c_I + d_I * N | N \in \mathbb{N}\}$
- for $d_I = -1$, any integer in $\{c_I, c_I - 1, \ldots\}$ that satisfies the precondition is
  in $\{c_I + d_I * N | N \in \mathbb{N}\}$
- for $d_I = 0$, any integer in $\{c_I\}$ that satisfies the precondition is in $\{c_I + d_I * N | N \in \mathbb{N}\}$

Therefore, we obtain an equivalent implication over the natural numbers by re-
placing each integer $I$ by its corresponding expression $c_I + d_I * N$ and replacing the
universal quantifier over $I$ by a quantifier over $N$.

3.4.3 Automation by a translation to diophantine constraints
To solve the resulting constraints, we use the approach of (Nguyen et al. 2009).
Constraints of the form $A :=:= B$ in the implication, are replaced by the conjunc-
tion $A \geq B$, $B \geq A$. Constraints of the form $A = / = B$, yield two disjunctive
cases. One obtained by replacing the $= / =$ in the pre- and postcondition by $>$
and one obtained by replacing it by $<$. The other conditions – i.e. $>, <$ and $\leq$ –
are transformed into $\geq$-constraints in the obvious way. Implications with only one
consequence are obtained by creating one implication for each consequence, with
the pre-condition of the original implication.

The resulting implications allow to apply Proposition 3. These inequalities of
the form, $p \geq 0$, are then transformed into a set of diophantine constraints, i.e.
constraints without universally quantified variables, by requiring that all coefficients
of $p$ are non-negative. As proposed in (Nguyen et al. 2009), the resulting diophantine
constraints are then transformed into a SAT-problem. The constraints are then
proven to have solutions by a SAT solver by inferring one possible solution.
4 Evaluation

We implemented the analysis introduced in the paper, in our previous non-termination analyzer \textit{pTNT}, which is written in SWI-Prolog (Wielemaker et al. 2010) and can be downloaded from http://www.cs.kuleuven.be/~dean/iclp2011.html. We tested our analysis on a benchmark of 14 programs similar to those in the paper. These programs are also available online. To solve the resulting SAT-Problem, MiniSat (En and Srensson 2003) is used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>linear-class, 3 bits</th>
<th>linear-class, 4 bits</th>
<th>max2-class, 3 bits</th>
<th>max2-class, 4 bits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>count_to</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>constants</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>OS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>int1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>int2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>int3</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>OS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>int4</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>OS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>int5</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>OS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>int6</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>OS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>int7</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>OS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>int8</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>OS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>int9</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>OS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>int10</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>OS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>int11</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>OS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>int12</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>OS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>int13</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>int14</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>OS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. An overview of the experiments

We experimented with different bit-sizes in the translation to SAT and different classes of functions for the \textit{prem} functions in Proposition 3. As \textit{conc} functions, the identity function was used. Table 1 shows the results for the considered settings, + denotes that non-termination is proven successfully, − denotes that non-termination could not be proven and \textit{OS} denotes that the computation went out of stack. The considered settings are 3 and 4 as bit-sizes and \textit{linear} and \textit{max2} as forms for the symbolic \textit{prem}-functions. The \textit{linear} class is a weighted sum of each argument. The \textit{max2} class contains a weighted term for each multiplication of two arguments. The analysis time is between 1 and 20 seconds for all programs and settings.

Table 1 shows non-termination can be proven for any program of the benchmark when choosing the right combination of parameters, but no setting succeeds in proving non-termination for all programs. Programs \textit{int9} and \textit{int12} require a constant that cannot be represented with bit-size 3. Linear \textit{prem}-functions cannot prove non-termination for \textit{int10}. However, the setting with 4 as a bit-size and \textit{max2} as class of \textit{prem}-function usually fails, because these settings cause an exponential increase in memory use during the translation to SAT.

From the experiments, we have learned that it could be useful to apply SMT solvers, instead of SAT solvers, to reduce the memory usage.

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we introduced a technique to detect classes of non-terminating queries for logic programs with integer arithmetic. The analysis starts with a given program
and class queries, specified using modes, and detects subclasses of non-terminating queries. First, the derivations for the given class of queries are abstracted by building a moded SLD-tree, (Shen et al. 2009), with additional transitions to handle integer arithmetic. Then, this moded SLD-tree is used to detect subclasses of non-terminating queries in two phases. In the first phase, we ignore the conditions over integers, e.g. > /2, and detect paths in the moded SLD-tree that correspond to infinite derivations if all conditions on integers in those derivations succeed. For every such path, the corresponding subclass of queries is generated. In the second phase, the obtained classes of queries are restricted to classes of non-terminating queries, by formulating constraints implying that all conditions on integers will succeed. These constraints are then solved by transforming them into a SAT problem.

We implemented this approach in our non-termination analyzer pTNT and evaluated it on small benchmark of non-terminating Prolog programs with integer arithmetic. The evaluation shows that the proposed technique is rather powerful, but also that the parameters in the transformation to SAT must be chosen carefully to avoid excessive memory use. We are currently improving the efficiency of the analysis, by using an SMT solver instead of a SAT solver.
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