Oropharyngeal aspiration: An alternative route for challenging in a mouse model of chemical-induced asthma
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ABSTRACT

Background: To assess the importance of the route of challenge in an existing mouse model of chemical-induced asthma, we replaced intranasal instillation by oropharyngeal aspiration. To our knowledge, oropharyngeal aspiration as a challenge route has not yet been investigated in a mouse model of chemical-induced asthma.

Methods: On days 1 and 8, mice were dermally sensitized with toluene diisocyanate (TDI) (0.3%) [or vehicle (acetone/olive oil)] and on day 15 they received a single challenge, via oropharyngeal aspiration, with TDI (0.01%) or vehicle. One day after challenge, airway reactivity to methacholine was measured by a forced oscillation technique (FlexiVent) and total and differential cell counts, as well as levels of KC, IL-5, IL-17 and TNF-α, were assessed in the bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluid. Lymphocytes from the auricular and mediastinal lymph nodes were cultured to determine the concanavaline A-induced secretion of IL-2, IL-4, IL-10, IL-13, IL-17 and IFN-γ. Total serum IgE was measured.

Results: In TDI-sensitized mice, a significant increase in airway reactivity was found after a single oropharyngeal challenge with TDI. BAL neutrophils and eosinophils were increased 7- and 5-fold, respectively. An upregulation of Th1 (IFN-γ), Th2 (IL-4, IL-10, IL-13) and Th17 (IL-17) cytokines was found in the auricular lymph nodes, in the mediastinal lymph nodes only IL-4 was upregulated. The total serum IgE level induced in TDI-sensitized mice was significantly increased when compared to control mice.

Conclusion: We conclude that challenging mice via oropharyngeal aspiration mimics the characteristics of human asthma well, without the possible drawbacks of other techniques.

© 2009 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Over the years there have been many efforts to develop animal models that mimic the phenotype of human asthma. Although the usefulness of animal models for studying human asthma has been heavily debated, the number of murine studies published, increases every year (Wenzel and Holgate, 2006). The use of mice is justified by the fact that the specific molecular pathways are similar to those of humans, and also because of their well-characterized immune system, their fully sequenced genome, the existence of various genetically modified models and the availability of mouse-specific reagents (Boverhof et al., 2008; Shapiro, 2006).

Because mice do not spontaneously exhibit symptoms consistent with asthma, they must be sensitized and challenged with an allergen or a chemical agent. Different techniques have been developed and optimized to trigger an immune response. Inhalation, intratracheal or intranasal instillation are widely used to administer agents to the respiratory tract. Each of these routes has specific advantages and disadvantages. Although inhalation is the most natural way of exposure, it is technically demanding, expensive and time-consuming and it requires large amounts of the test agent. Exposure via intratracheal instillation has the advantage that exact amounts of test agent can be administered into the lung. However, this technique requires general anesthesia and some technical skill and it causes injury to the trachea, especially with repeated administrations (Rao et al., 2003). Intranasal application has gained popularity because it is an easy technique that can be used repeatedly. A disadvantage, however, is that the distribution between the upper and lower respiratory tracts is heavily influenced by...
the instilled volume, the degree of anesthesia and the nature of the vehicle in which the allergens are dissolved (aqueous or non-aqueous) (Ebino et al., 1999; Southam et al., 2002). Oropharyngeal aspiration has been proposed as an alternative to intratracheal instillation because it does not have the drawbacks of the latter. Thus, according to a recent study using silica particles, oropharyngeal aspiration results in less variability among animals and gives a more uniform pulmonary distribution of the test agent (Lakatos et al., 2006). To our knowledge, this route has not yet been investigated in chemical-induced asthma models.

In the past years, our research unit has developed a mouse model of chemical-induced occupational asthma (Tarkowski et al., 2007; Vanoirbeek et al., 2004, 2006, 2008). Using toluene-2,4-diisocyanate (TDI), one of the most common causes of occupational asthma, we were able to mimic several characteristics of human asthma (Piirila et al., 2008). In this model, an intranasal challenge leads in previously sensitized animals to an early antigen-specific ventilatory response, bronchial hyper-responsiveness to methacholine 24 h later, and an airway inflammation, characterized mainly by neutrophils (Tarkowski et al., 2007; Vanoirbeek et al., 2004, 2006, 2008). Until now, mice were challenged by intranasal instillation in our mouse model. However, using this exposure route, questions arose on the relative roles of the upper and lower airways in the observed responses. To address this, we investigated whether we could obtain the same results found with intranasal instillation when bypassing the nose using oropharyngeal aspiration.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Reagents

Toluene-2,4-diisocyanate (98%; Fluka, CAS584-84-9), acetyl-β-methylcholine (methacholine) and acetone were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Bornem, Belgium). Pentobarbital (Nembutal®) was obtained from Sanofi Santé Animale (CEVA, Brussels, Belgium) and Isoflurane (Forene®) from Abbott Laboratories (S.A. Abbott N.V., Ottignies, Belgium). The vehicle (AOO) used to dissolve TDI consisted of a mixture of 2 volumes of acetone and 3 volumes of olive oil (Selection de Alnazarra, Carbonell, Madrid, Spain) for the dermal sensitization, and 1 volume of acetone and 4 volumes of olive oil for the challenge. Concentrations of TDI are given as percent (v/v) in AOO.

2.2. Animals

Male BALB/c mice (approximately 20 g, 6 weeks old) were obtained from Harlan (Horst, The Netherlands). The mice were housed in a conventional animal house with 12-h dark/light cycles. They were housed in filter top cages and received ad libitum. All experimental procedures were approved by the Local Ethical Committee for Animal Experiments.

2.3. Treatment protocol

On days 1 and 8 the animals were dermally treated with 0.3% TDI or with the vehicle on the dorsum of both ears (20 μl/ear). On day 15 the mice underwent, under light isoflurane anesthesia, an oropharyngeal aspiration (20 μl) of 0.01% TDI or vehicle. The oropharyngeal aspiration technique was modified from the article by Lakatos et al. (2006). Briefly, the anesthetized mice were held vertically and the tongue was gently pulled out of the mouth using forceps (Fig. 1). The liquid was pipetted onto the back of the tongue while the nose was closed, thus forcing the mice to breathe through the mouth. The nose and tongue were released after at least two breaths had been completed. Each treatment group consisted of 10–15 animals.

The mice were sacrificed 24 h after the challenge. Experimental groups are AOO/AAO, AOO/TDI and TDI/TDI. The first abbreviation identifies the agent used for the dermal application on days 1 and 8 (sensitization) and the second abbreviation identifies the agents administered via oropharyngeal aspiration on day 15 (challenge).

2.4. Ventilatory function

2.4.1. Early ventilatory response and airway reactivity to methacholine

Before the challenge, the ventilatory function of each mouse was first recorded, in resting condition and unrestrained, for 5 min in a whole body plethysmograph (EMRA Technologies, Paris, France). Immediately after the oropharyngeal aspiration, mice were placed again in the whole body plethysmograph (zero time point) and their ventilatory parameters were measured for 40 min. Every 30 s, the mean enhanced pause (Penh), which is a composite index indicative of airway obstruction, was calculated. The area under the curve (AUC) of Penh against time between 0 and 40 min was calculated for each individual mouse and this figure was used for statistical analysis.

Twenty-four hours after the challenge, the airway hyper-reactivity (AHR) to methacholine was assessed using a forced oscillation technique (FlexiVent, SCIREQ, Montreal, Canada). Mice were anesthetized with an intraperitoneal injection of pentobarbital (70 mg/kg). The trachea was exposed and an 18-gauge metal needle was inserted into the trachea. Mice were connected to a computer-controlled small animal ventilator, and quasi-sinusoidally ventilated with a tidal volume of 10 ml/kg at a frequency of 150 breaths/min and a positive end-expiratory pressure of 2 cm H2O to achieve a mean lung volume close to that during spontaneous breathing. After measurement of a baseline, each mouse was challenged with methacholine aerosol, generated with an in-line nebulizer and administered directly through the ventilator for 5 s, with increasing concentrations (0, 0.625, 1.25, 2.5, 5 and 10 μg/ml). Airway resistance (R) was measured using a “snapshot” protocol each 20 s for 5 min. The mean of these six values was used for each methacholine concentration, unless the COD (coefficient of determination) of a measurement was smaller than 0.95. For each mouse, R was plotted against methacholine concentration (from 0 to 10 μg/ml) and the AUC was calculated.

2.5. Pulmonary inflammation (bronchoalveolar lavage)

After measuring airway reactivity to methacholine the mice were deeply anesthetized by an intraperitoneal injection of pentobarbital (90 mg/kg body weight). Blood was first taken from the retro-orbital plexus, centrifuged (14,000 × g, 10 min) and serum samples were stored for further analyses. Afterwards, mice were killed by section of the abdominal vessels. The lungs were lavaged, in situ, three times with 0.7 ml sterile saline (0.9% NaCl), and the recovered fluid was pooled. Cells were counted using a Bürker hemocytometer (total cells) and the bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluid was centrifuged (1000 × g, 10 min). The supernatant was frozen (−80 °C) until further analyses. For differential cell counts, 250 μl of the resuspended cells (100,000 cells/ml) were spun (300 × g, 6 min) (Cytospin 3, Shandon, TechGen, Zellik, Belgium) onto microscope slides, air-dried and stained (Diff-Quik® method, Medical Diagnostics, Düsseldorf, Germany). For each sample, 200 cells were counted for the number of macrophages, eosinophils, neutrophils and lymphocytes.

The level of interleukin (IL) 17 was measured in undiluted BAL fluid by a sandwich enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), according to the manufacturer’s instructions (R&D Systems, Abingdon, UK). Levels of IL-5, cytokine-induced neutrophil chemoattractant (KC) and tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) were measured via Cytometric Bead Array and analyzed with the FCAP Array Software.

Fig. 1. Oropharyngeal aspiration technique. The different steps in oropharyngeal aspiration are shown.
trifuged (1000 x g) and resuspended with RPMI-1640 medium containing 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum, 10 mg/ml streptomycin, 100 IU/ml penicillin, 1 mM sodium pyruvate). Cells were washed three times and suspended (10^7 cells/ml) in complete tissue culture medium (RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum, 10 mg/ml streptomycin, 100 IU/ml penicillin, 1 mM sodium pyruvate). Five-hundred thousand cells were stained with anti-CD3+ (APC-Cy7), anti-CD8+ (PerCP-Cy5.5) and anti-CD25+ (PE), or received a single staining with anti-CD19+ (PE) labeled antibodies, according to standard procedures, and control samples being labeled with isotype match control antibodies (BD Biosciences, Erembodegem, Belgium). Flow cytometry (Facsarray, BD Biosciences, Erembodegem, Belgium) was performed using at least 10^6 cells.

Cells were seeded into 48-well culture plates at a density of 10^6 cells/ml and incubated in complete RPMI-1640 medium for 42 h without or with 2.5 μg/ml of concanavalin A (ConA) (Sigma–Aldrich, Bornem, Belgium). Cells were then cen-

After taking BAL fluid, the lungs were instilled with 4% formaldehyde until full inflation of all lobes, as judged visually. Evaluation of lung injury on slides stained by hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) was performed by an experienced pathologist in a blinded manner.

2.6. Lymph node cells (LNC)

Bono-auricular and mediastinal lymph nodes were obtained from the same mice. The retro-auricular lymph nodes were processed for each mouse separately, while the mediastinal lymph nodes from two to three mice were pooled. The lymph nodes were kept on ice in RPMI-1640 (Invitrogen, Merelbeke, Belgium) and cell

Fig. 2 shows airway resistance, measured by the FlexiVent, after increasing concentrations of methacholine, 24 h after the challenge. A significant 2-fold higher airway hyper-responsiveness (AHR) was found in the TDI/TDI group compared to the controls.

Numbers of macrophages, neutrophils, eosinophils and lymphocytes were assessed in the BAL fluid (Fig. 3). Significantly higher amounts of neutrophils and eosinophils and lower amounts of macrophages were found after dermal sensitization and challenge with TDI.

Fig. 4 shows a histological view of the lungs centered on a large airway, 24 h after challenging with vehicle (Fig. 4A and B) or TDI (Fig. 4C and D). Control animals (AOO/AOO) showed no signs of inflammation. The lungs of TDI-sensitized and TDI-challenged animals showed an influx of neutrophils, epithelial necrosis and epithelial shedding.

A 7-fold increase in total serum IgE concentration was found in the TDI/TDI (1217 ± 493 ng/ml) group compared to AOO/AOO (181 ± 76 ng/ml) and AOO/TDI (144 ± 37 ng/ml).

Table 1 shows different cytokines measured in the BAL fluid. There were no significant differences in concentrations of the neutrophil attractants KC, IL-17, the eosinophil chemo-attractant IL-5, or TNF-α between the TDI/TDI group and the controls.

The different subpopulations of lymphocytes (CD3^+CD4^+, CD3^+CD4^-CD25^+, CD3^-CD8^+ and CD19^+), characterized with the FACScArray showed for the auricular lymph nodes similar results compared to our previous publications (Tarkowski et al., 2007). We found a significant increase in the total amount of CD3^+CD4^+, CD3^+CD4^-CD25^+, CD3^-CD8^+ and CD19^+ lymphocytes in the auric-

Fig. 3. Differential cell count in BAL after oropharyngeal aspiration. Total numbers of macrophages, neutrophils, eosinophils and lymphocytes were determined in the BAL fluid 24 h after challenging with the vehicle or TDI. Experimental groups are identical to Fig. 2. Data are presented as mean ± S.D. n = 9–15 per group. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.001 compared with the AOO/AOO group.

3. Results

The early response, immediately after challenge, measured in the whole body plethysmograph after the oropharyngeal challenge showed no statistically significant differences in Penh between the TDI/TDI group (mean AUC 31 ± 14) and the control groups AOO/AOO (mean AUC 21 ± 12) and AOO/TDI (mean AUC 16 ± 2).

Fig. 2 shows airway resistance, measured by the FlexiVent, after increasing concentrations of methacholine, 24 h after the challenge. A significant 2-fold higher airway hyper-responsiveness (AHR) was found in the TDI/TDI group compared to the controls.

Numbers of macrophages, neutrophils, eosinophils and lymphocytes were assessed in the BAL fluid (Fig. 3). Significantly higher amounts of neutrophils and eosinophils and lower amounts of macrophages were found after dermal sensitization and challenge with TDI.

Fig. 4 shows a histological view of the lungs centered on a large airway, 24 h after challenging with vehicle (Fig. 4A and B) or TDI (Fig. 4C and D). Control animals (AOO/AOO) showed no signs of inflammation. The lungs of TDI-sensitized and TDI-challenged animals showed an influx of neutrophils, epithelial necrosis and epithelial shedding.

A 7-fold increase in total serum IgE concentration was found in the TDI/TDI (1217 ± 493 ng/ml) group compared to AOO/AOO (181 ± 76 ng/ml) and AOO/TDI (144 ± 37 ng/ml).

Table 1 shows different cytokines measured in the BAL fluid. There were no significant differences in concentrations of the neutrophil attractants KC, IL-17, the eosinophil chemo-attractant IL-5, or TNF-α between the TDI/TDI group and the controls.

The different subpopulations of lymphocytes (CD3^+CD4^+, CD3^-CD4^-CD25^+, CD3^-CD8^+ and CD19^+), characterized with the FACScArray showed for the auricular lymph nodes similar results compared to our previous publications (Tarkowski et al., 2007). We found a significant increase in the total amount of CD3^+CD4^+, CD3^-CD4^-CD25^+, CD3^-CD8^+ and CD19^+ lymphocytes in the auric-
Fig. 4. Histology of lung tissue after oropharyngeal aspiration. H&E staining was performed on lung slices 24 h after challenging with the vehicle or TDI. (A) (200× amplification), (B) (400× amplification) represent a large airway of a control mouse (AOO/AOO), (C) (200× amplification) and (D) (400× amplification) a large airway of a TDI-challenged mouse. (→) Inflammation (mainly neutrophils), (▶) epithelial shedding and (►) epithelial necrosis.

Table 1
Cytokines in the bronchoalveolar lavage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AOO/AOO</th>
<th>AOO/TDI</th>
<th>TDI/TDI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KC (pg/ml)</td>
<td>7.7 ± 3.2</td>
<td>12.3 ± 10.6</td>
<td>11.9 ± 8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-17 (pg/ml)</td>
<td>54.8 ± 52.3</td>
<td>30.4 ± 41.0</td>
<td>35.3 ± 32.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-5 (pg/ml)</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.5</td>
<td>3.3 ± 1.5</td>
<td>8.3 ± 6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α (pg/ml)</td>
<td>9.2 ± 2.9</td>
<td>12.2 ± 5.6</td>
<td>8.3 ± 4.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Concentrations of KC, IL-17, IL-5 and TNF-α were measured, via Cytometric Bead Array, in BAL 24 h after challenge with TDI or vehicle. Experimental groups are identical to Fig. 2. Data are presented as mean ± S.D. and n = 9–15 per group.

4. Discussion

In this paper we aimed to further validate our mouse model by introducing oropharyngeal aspiration as a challenge technique. This route of challenge proved to reproduce all our previous findings using intranasal challenge, except for the increase in Penh immediately following challenge. A significant increase in airway responsiveness was found after TDI challenge, as well as an influx of neutrophils and eosinophils in the BAL fluid.

Oropharyngeal aspiration has been proposed as an easy alternative to expose the lungs to different substances but, to...
our knowledge, oropharyngeal aspiration has not been used in chemical-induced asthma research. Foster et al. (2001) used the aspiration technique for the measurement of mucociliary function by using 99mTc-labeled sulfide colloid and later Rao et al. (2003), Lakatos et al. (2006) and Morgan et al. (2008) used it for exposing mice to particles, a silica suspension or to test respiratory toxicity of diacetyl, respectively (Fairley et al., 2007; Foster et al., 2001; Lakatos et al., 2006; Morgan et al., 2008; Rao et al., 2003). Kean-Myers et al. determined the tissue distribution after oropharyngeal aspiration using Evan’s blue dye. The authors observed none of the dye in the stomach, only a small amount in the trachea and the remainder of the dye in the lungs (Keane-Myers et al., 1998).

Foster et al. used 99mTc-labeled sulfide colloid to quantify the deposition to the lungs. They found that the amount in the lung was comparable to that achieved with intratracheal instillation but it appeared to be volume dependent. Aspiration delivered on average 62 ± 2% (S.E.) to the lung using 25 μl and 81 ± 2% using 50 μl of 99mTc-labeled sulfide colloid (Foster et al., 2001). In unpublished experiments we also used Evan’s blue dye and found similar results as Kean-Myers et al., although we did find some exposures of the stomach.

We experienced also some difficulties to find the correct non-aqueous vehicle for the oropharyngeal aspiration technique. When using intranasal instillation as a challenge technique, we used a mixture of 2 volumes of acetone and 3 volumes of olive oil. In preliminary experiments (data not shown), this type of vehicle produced pulmonary inflammation when given via oropharyngeal aspiration. So, we changed the composition to 1 volume of acetone and 4 volumes of olive oil. Although this still led to some background inflammation in our control groups, we found pronounced differences between mice challenged with vehicle vs. TDI.

A concentration of 0.1% TDI, as previously used for challenging via intranasal instillation, caused too much mucosal irritation when given via oropharyngeal aspiration. Decreasing the concentration to 0.01% TDI gave no signs of irritation and was, therefore, further used in the experiments.

In previous experiments we used intranasal instillation as a challenge technique. Although it is an easy and quick technique, it mainly exposes the nose and upper airways. Introducing oropharyngeal aspiration gave us the opportunity to further develop our mouse model and to compare both techniques. Table 3 gives a summary of the similarities and differences in the results obtained after intranasal instillation and after oropharyngeal aspiration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensitization</th>
<th>Intranasal instillation</th>
<th>Oropharyngeal aspiration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concentration TDI</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>AOO (2:3)</td>
<td>AOO (2:3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Challenge</th>
<th>Intranasal instillation</th>
<th>Oropharyngeal aspiration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concentration TDI</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>AOO (2:3)</td>
<td>AOO (1:4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In conclusion, we have further refined a method to induce chemical-induced asthma in a mouse model. Oropharyngeal aspiration as a challenge technique has proven that it mimics the characteristics of human asthma well without the disadvantages of other techniques. This technique has improved our model and this will lead to a better understanding of the mechanisms of chemical-induced asthma.
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